Recent Advances in Our Understanding of NEC Diagnosis, Prognosis and Surgical Approach

George S Bethell¹ and Nigel J Hall¹

¹University Surgery Unit, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom.

Correspondence to: Nigel Hall, Associate Professor of Paediatric Surgery, University Surgery Unit, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom, SO16 6YD. n.j.hall@soton.ac.uk 023 8120 6146

Funding: George Bethell is funded by the National Institute of Heath Research Doctoral Fellowship programme (NIHR302541). The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.

Category: Invited review

Abstract

Necrotising enterocolitis (NEC) remains a devasting condition that has seen limited improvement in outcomes in recent years. The incidence of the disease is increasing as more extremely premature infants survive. NEC is responsible for 1 in 10 neonatal deaths and up to 61% of survivors have significant neurodevelopmental delay. The aim of this review is to highlight recent advances in diagnosis, prognosis and surgical approach in this condition. Many recent studies have reported novel methods of diagnosis of NEC with the aim of earlier and more accurate identification. These include imaging and machine learning techniques. Prognostication of NEC is particularly important to allow earlier escalation of therapy. Around 25% of infants with NEC will require surgery and recent data has shown that time from disease onset to surgery is greater in infants whose indication for surgery is failed medical management, rather than pneumoperitoneum. This indication was also associated with worse outcomes compared to pneumoperitoneum. Ongoing research has highlighted several new methods of disease prognostication which includes differentiating surgical from medical NEC. Finally, recent randomised controlled trials in surgical technique are discussed along with the implications of these for practice. Further, high quality research utilising multi-centre collaborations and high fidelity data from electronic patient records is needed to address the issues discussed and ultimately improve outcomes in NEC.

Keywords

Necrotising enterocolitis; decision making; surgery; prognosis.

Introduction

The incidence of necrotising enterocolitis (NEC) is increasing and outcomes in this condition have shown no improvement in recent years despite advancements in neonatal intensive care and improvements in outcome in a number of other conditions that effect premature infants.(1) A recent systematic review and meta-analysis reveal that NEC is responsible for 1 in 10 neonatal deaths whilst 61% of survivors experience significant neurodevelopmental delay.(2) Additionally, NEC is the most common cause of intestinal failure in children and parenteral nutrition is required in up to 9% of survivors of NEC at 1 year of age.(3, 4) This has significant impact on children and families whilst creating a significant lifelong, financial burden on health and social care systems.

Research into the exact pathophysiology underlying NEC is ongoing and not fully understood however it is felt to be multifactorial involving a number of important molecular signalling mechanisms.(5) Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) plays a crucial role in the development of NEC and is an immune receptor found in elevated frequency on enterocytes, intestinal stem cells and macrophages of prematurely born infants. TLR4 activation by microbial motifs, such as lipopolysaccharide, triggers a pro-inflammatory response which also induces apoptosis in enterocytes and inhibits enterocyte migration, contributing to intestinal injury.(6, 7) TLR4 also supresses cell proliferation including those of the mucous barrier via the reduces Wnt and Notch signalling pathways.(8) Impairment of intestinal perfusion is another critical factor in the pathogenesis of NEC. Prematurely born infants intestinal vascular system demonstrates increased vasoconstriction leading to inadequate vasodilation in response to digestion.(9) This leads to ischaemia and intestinal injury following feeding. Further vasoconstriction occurs due to reduced expression of nitric oxide synthase secondary to TLR4 activation and downregulation of development of a premature infants microvasculature further contributes to ischaemia and necrosis in response to increased postnatal stresses such as feeding and bacterial colonisation moderated by the Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and VEGF receptor 2 (VEGFR2) pathways.(10-12) Additionally, bacterial colonisation stimulates platelet-activating factor (PAF) leading to cell apoptosis. PAF has been shown to be increased in NEC and inhibition has been shown to have protective effects in animal studies.(13) It is also clear that the immature gut immune system plays a significant role in development of NEC as lymphocytes and macrophages are pro-inflammatory compared to term infants.(14)

Fortunately, there is ongoing research into all aspects of NEC with active research groups across the globe. Prevention of the disease has always been a key focus for researchers and recently there has been great interest in the use of probiotics. The microbiome is implicated in the pathogenesis of NEC.(15) Studies have found a bloom of intestinal Gammaproteobacteria usually precedes NEC in many preterm infants and protective commensal bacteria such as Bifidobacterium spp. are less abundant in infants that develop NEC versus controls.(16, 17) Probiotics have been widely studied to alter the microbiome in infants at risk of NEC and prevent disease.(18, 19) This work has culminated in a recent European Society of Paediatric Hepatology, Gastroenterology and Nutrition (ESPHGAN) specialist interest group recommending specific probiotic strains for the prevention of NEC.(20) These strains are L. rhamnosus GG or a combination of Bifidobacterium (B) infantis BB-02, B lactis BB-12, and Streptococcus thermophilus TH-4. Numerous metaanalyses have been published reporting pooled data from trials of this intervention many of which have shown that probiotics are effective at reducing the incidence of NEC however a recent Cochrane review of this area concludes that the certainty of evidence is low and the grade of recommendation is weak. (21-23) Other techniques that are currently being evaluated for disease prevention include remote ischaemic conditioning. Remote ischaemic

conditioning is a technique which has shown promise in animal models of NEC.(24) It involves exposing an infant to periods of ischaemia, such as by torniquet of a limb, prior to developing disease which allows greater resilience to ischemia. Ischaemia is known to be a key element in the pathogenesis of NEC. Animal studies have shown that this method is particularly effective and significantly reduces the extent and severity of bowel injury compared to controls (24). At this stage human studies have not progressed beyond safety studies but further clinical research is in progress included a feasibility randomised controlled trial.(25, 26) Human breast milk, either maternal or donor, has been shown to almost half the risk of NEC versus formula feed in meta-analysis.(27) The exact mechanisms for this are an area of ongoing research but in-vitro studies and animal models suggest that these mechanisms include epidermal growth factor (EGF) mediated inhibition of signalling via the innate immune receptor TLR4, human milk oligosaccharide (HMO) associated enhancement of intestinal perfusion and binding of intestinal bacteria by Immunoglobulin A (IgA).(28, 29) Whilst NEC continues to afflict preterm infants it is important that we can identify and treat NEC as quickly and effectively as possible. There have been recent advancements in diagnosis, management and prognostication which are discussed further in this article along with areas of future research.

Diagnosis

Making an accurate and timely diagnosis of NEC continues to be a significant challenge.(30) Other intestinal diseases such as septic ileus and focal intestinal perforation have similar clinical features including abdominal distention and global clinical deterioration. However, early and accurate diagnosis is essential to allow timely treatment for an appropriate duration. Moreover, good quality research in NEC is dependent on accurate differentiation of those with NEC from those with other conditions.(31)

Criteria and scoring systems to diagnose NEC, and differentiate it from these other conditions, have been long established and include the Vermont-Oxford Network definition, Bell's criteria and a gestational-age specific scoring system.(31-34) Data from a UK based collaboration were used to derive the gestational age specific case definition.(31, 35) Clinical and radiological features are assigned a score to give an overall score from 1-9. Whether the total score meets the criteria for NEC or not is determined by the gestational age of the infant. If an infant is less than 30 weeks gestational age then 2 points are required whereas 4 are required if the infant has a gestational age of 37 weeks or more. This was effective and using this approach achieved a sensitivity of 63.6% and specificity of 96.8% with a positive predictive value of 85.5%. More recently, machine learning has been employed to differentiate infants with NEC from those with other conditions. One study used these

methods to differentiate NEC from focal intestinal perforation at a single centre with remarkable accuracy.(36) A random forest model was able to differentiate these two conditions with a sensitivity of 0.96, specificity of 0.96 and an area under the receiver operating characteristic (AUROC) of 0.98. The variables included in the model were pneumatosis intestinalis, pneumoperitoneum, corrected gestational age prior to surgery and gestational age at birth. Another study using machine learning in a modest cohort of infants found that definitions based on Bell were outperformed by novel artificial intelligence methods.(37) The most effective model used the presence of apnoea, lethargy, Guaiacpositive gastrointestinal bleed, pneumatosis, gestation age, post-natal age at NEC onset, volume of feeding at NEC onset, disseminated intravascular coagulation and occult rectal bleeding to differentiate NEC from other conditions. Whether these techniques prove useful in clinical practice remains to be seen.

A metabolomics and proteomics approach to biomarker discovery for the diagnosis of NEC has attracted increased interest in recent years. This approach typically uses liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry to determine the presence of proteins and metabolites in fluids of cases and controls. Various different specimens have been investigated in infants with NEC which include stool, serum, urine, intestinal tissue and buccal swab samples.(38) The challenges of this approach are the need for high quality samples, expertise in advanced biochemical techniques and access to specialist equipment. This hypothesis-free approach to biomarker discovery is particularly effective in experimental medicine and has had positive findings in a number of studies (39-43) along with some important reports of negative findings(44-46) mainly limited due to sample sizes. A study which shows particularly potential investigated a multi-centre cohort of infants with confirmed NEC, defined as meeting Bell's criteria, and controls who were healthy or had sepsis.(41) Seven urine biomarkers were identified which delineated NEC from sepsis with an AUROC of 98%. Genomics have also been investigated for the identification of NEC and several associations have been identified between genetic variants and disease. (47) Individual genes that increase the risk of NEC include TLRR4, Single immunoglobulin and toll-interleukin 1 receptor (SIGIRR), Nucleotide binding oligomerization domain containing protein 2 (NOD2) and many others.(47-50) Genome wide approaches have also been undertaken which found strongest association with a cluster of single nucleotide polymorphisms in chromosome 8 followed by chromosomes 14 and 11.(51) This recent and exciting approach may further uncover the pathogenesis of NEC whilst allowing better identification of those at risk of disease or with early disease.

Another method well known to neonatology but with little implementation with NEC is heart rate variability.(52) A study of 245 infants, of which 32 had NEC, calculated heart rate

variability using electrocardiogram (ECG) data combined with a panel of blood cytokine levels to diagnose NEC. Decreased heart rate variability was associated with a diagnosis of NEC although the numbers studied were low and the clinical utility of this from this current study is limited.(53) Given the ability for heart rate variability to improve detection and outcomes in neonatal sepsis this is certainly an area for further exploration.(54, 55)

Abdominal ultrasonography (US) has also gained interest in recent years with many studies exploring the utility of this modality in NEC diagnosis. A recent systematic review and metaanalysis summarised 6 studies which included 462 children evaluating the use of US to diagnose NEC.(56) A number of US signs were taken individually including portal venous gas, free air, pneumatosis intestinalis, bowel wall thinning and simple ascites. All these signs were found to have a pooled specificity of between 0.91 and 0.99. The pooled sensitivity however was much lower and between 0.22 and 0.48 showing that US is a good modality for excluding NEC however less effective at diagnosing it. The important caveat is that these data are based on individual signs rather than a combined overall impression by an experience paediatric sonographer.

These recent studies all show promise for earlier diagnosis of disease however there are some limitations to overcome prior to incorporation into clinical practice. The majority of which are related to incorporation of these methods into current electronic patient records and real-time monitoring systems. Even the most accurate method of prediction, developed from sophisticated statistical or machine learning methods, requires implementation into bedside systems so that these earlier diagnoses are brought to the attention of clinicians in real time. It is hoped that earlier treatment, including administration of antibiotics, cessation of enteral feeding, advanced monitoring and multi-organ support will limit disease progression. This assumption is yet to be confirmed.

Prognostication

Prognostication in NEC is being recognized as increasingly important. A quarter of babies with NEC undergo acute surgery due to bowel perforation, clinical deterioration with maximal medical therapy or failure to recover.(57) After the initial acute episode there is a further cohort of infants that develop stricture formation and may require surgery for this.(58) It is anticipated that accurate identification of those with severe NEC early in the disease course will allow earlier surgical intervention. Recent observational data suggest that those infants with NEC that have the longest time from diagnosis to surgery have the worst outcomes. In a secondary analysis of a population-based study infants were grouped depending on indication for surgery as determined by the operating surgeon. Those that underwent surgery on the basis that they were deemed to have failed medical therapy had surgery

(adjusted) 30 hours later than those with bowel perforation. This same group of infants were 4.5 times as likely to require parenteral nutrition or have died by 28 days following surgery.(57) Requirement for parenteral nutrition at 28 days post surgery has previously been shown to be associated with mortality at 1 year follow-up.(3) These data suggest that earlier identification of need for surgery in NEC, accompanied by earlier surgery has the potential to improve outcome. These data are however limited by their observational nature and lack of consistent definition regarding whether surgery is indicated or not. For example some infants that underwent surgery may have improved without intervention although reassuringly no intervention at laparotomy was only required in 3% of this cohort.(57) Additionally, as many as 20% of infants with NEC die of the disease prior to ever undergoing surgery although it is impossible to know whether surgery would have changed this outcome.(59) Moreover, in 1 in 20 that do undergo surgery the extent of necrosis is so great that survival is not possible suggesting that earlier intervention would be of benefit.(60) Identification of this group of babies earlier may be key to improving survival and outcomes.

Earlier identification of need for escalation of medical treatment and requirement for surgery are also likely to improve longer term outcomes. Poor neurodevelopmental outcomes in survivors of NEC is thought to be secondary to reduced cerebral perfusion and exposure of the developing brain to prolonged systemic inflammation which occurs in severe NEC. (2, 61, 62) Mouse studies have shown that activation of microglial cells in the brain promote cognitive impairment secondary to production of Toll-like receptor 4 endogenous ligands by inflamed intestine. (63) Additionally, in this study it was possible to prevent cognitive impairment with administration of microglia-targeting antioxidants.(63, 64) This suggests that medical therapies may be key to unlocking better long term outcomes in NEC however human study of this is required. In the meantime, it is hypothesised that earlier removal of diseased intestine reduces cerebral exposure to these harmful substances and hence reduces cerebral tissue damage with the caveat that it is unknown as to whether surgery itself detrimentally impacts cerebral perfusion due to physiological stress and increased exposure to anaesthetic agents. Nevertheless, to test this hypothesis we require accurate and early identification of intestinal necrosis, preferably in a non-invasive manner. Many methods have been derived to differentiate those with medical NEC from those that require surgery, known as surgical NEC. These include various biochemical biomarkers in blood plasma, urine and stool that are not yet readily clinically available (65-70) along with novel machine learning approaches.(40) Additionally, conventional biochemical biomarkers that are readily clinically available have also been investigated (71-73) along with the use of scoring systems.(74, 75)Novel methods requiring specialised equipment in the form of Near-Infrared Spectroscopy (NIRS)(76) and heart rate variability(77) have both shown promise in

small studies. Finally, imaging methods have been extensively explored for this purpose.(56, 78)

Biomarkers

There have been many promising studies published in recent years. Firstly, authors of a retrospective UK based study including 191 infants with non-perforated NEC hypothesised that a serum c-reactive protein (CRP) to serum albumin ratio could predict surgery and also mortality.(79) It was found that a CRP to albumin ratio of more than or equal to three on day two of NEC diagnosis was most effective at predicting surgical intervention with an AUROC of 0.71 and was slightly less effective at predicting mortality (AUROC = 0.66). This study addresses the group of most interest which is those with non-perforated disease as this is where decision making is most challenging(57) and the results of prospective use of this method are much awaited.

Another recent study focussing on readily available clinical data retrospectively investigated the ability of the coagulation profile, 12 hours after disease diagnosis to predict surgical intervention.(80) In 114 infants, where the rate surgical intervention was 40%, the presence of coagulopathy was defined as a platelet count less than 100×10⁹/L or an activated partial thrombo-plastin time greater than 45.4 seconds or a prothrombin time international normalized ratio greater than 1.3. It was found that the presence of coagulopathy at this timepoint was predictive of surgical intervention with AUROC of 0.869 and a specificity of 91.2% which outperformed individual tests from the coagulation profile within the same study. These results are exciting but again require prospective evaluation and consideration of how the effectiveness of this method changes depending on point of definite diagnosis. It is relatively easy to decide retrospectively the point in which NEC is diagnosed but more challenging in real world settings.

A collaborative study involving multiple institutions in the Netherlands investigating biomarkers for NEC detection and late-onset sepsis separately looked at a cohort of infants in this study with medical NEC and compared these, to those that underwent surgical intervention for NEC.(81, 82) Rather than explore the ability of patient characteristics, clinical features or laboratory results to predict those who underwent surgery and those who didn't, associations between these two groups were sought. Multivariable regression was used to adjust for confounding and it was found that surgical NEC was associated with low gestational age, no maternal corticosteroid administration, earlier onset of NEC, low serum bicarbonate (prior to disease onset) and a hemodynamically significant patent ductus arteriosus for which ibuprofen was administered. These results are interesting and can certainly be incorporated into further work looking at better ways to prognosticate in this condition but arguably cannot be implemented in the neonatal intensive care unit yet. Additionally, it may be challenging to convince clinicians of the importance of a factor such as maternal corticosteroid administration. Despite showing statistical significance it is very unlikely that neonatologists or surgeons consider this in practice.

Imaging

Abdominal US has been investigated as a radiological method of determining surgical from medical NEC. A systematic review by *Cuna et al.* included 11 studies of which 2 were prospective.(56) It was found that there were several features that were associated with surgery or death of which a focal fluid collection, complex ascites and absent peristalsis had the highest odds ratios. The authors conclude that further work is needed to assess whether using this technique improves outcome and when it should be undertaken. A practical limitation of US is that it requires a sonographer with experience of using US in NEC and results in a snapshot of abdominal signs at time of study. As this is not routine practice it can be difficult and slow to arrange in reality.(83)

An alternative radiological method that has for the first time been investigated to differentiate medical from surgical NEC is computed tomography (CT) imaging. Abdominal CT imaging is frequently used in adults to accurately identify ischaemic or necrotic bowel in conditions such as small bowel obstruction or mesenteric ischaemia. It is highly effective in these settings but is rarely undertaken for any indication in premature infants. However, in a study of 34 infants with clinical and radiological features of NEC, 21 participants underwent abdominal dual energy CT scan. (78) The mean weight of infants at time of imaging was just over 1.3kg with a standard deviation of +/- 0.53kg. Bowel ischaemia was identified in 9 infants whom subsequently had a laparotomy where ischaemic bowel was found and confirmed histologically. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive values in this study were all 100%. This highly effective approach has similar limitations to US, it requires a highly skilled paediatric radiologist to interpret findings and provides a snapshot of intra-abdominal signs at the time the scan was undertaken. The challenge of moving a critically unwell infant to the CT scanner may also contraindicate this method in real world settings. More detail regarding logistics and timing of these studies is needed to further inform clinicians about the true feasibility of this method.

Summary

The studies discussed here clearly highlight the wealth of research currently being undertaken in this area which has significant importance to all stakeholders. Each method has its advantages but most need further investigation or development before they can be implemented into routine clinical practice. Moreover, incorporation of these, non-radiological, methods into electronic real-time monitoring systems is an essential prerequisite. Most studies into this problem are from single centres and hence only include a handful of patients with NEC. This is a problem for most studies, but particularly those using machine learning where large numbers of participants are required to effectively train models. Multi-centre collaboration is needed to increase the effectiveness of these whilst also ensuring they remain generalisable to populations beyond single neonatal units. These studies are harder to undertake, requiring ethical approval, data sharing agreements and restructuring of data to allow combination into one dataset but these challenges are not insurmountable.

Surgical Approach

The principle of surgery for NEC is to reduce contamination and sepsis by control of bowel perforation and resection of non-viable intestine.(84, 85) It is also essential to reduce physiological burden on the infant as much as possible by limiting surgical time, ensuring adequate systemic perfusion and avoiding hypothermia which can lead to life threatening coagulopathy.(86) Many surgical approaches exist including peritoneal drainage, laparotomy with or without bowel resection, enterostomy formation or primary anastomosis and temporary laparostomy formation.(60) The choice of procedure is dependent on extent of disease, surgeon preference and physiological status of the infant, with a significant lack of high quality evidence to guide clinical decisions.

One option for surgical intervention in perforated NEC is insertion of an intra-peritoneal drain rather than undertake a laparotomy. This is less invasive, quicker and reduces the physiological burden on the infant. Randomised controlled trials have explored whether this approach is advantageous in NEC but have shown no difference in outcomes using peritoneal drainage versus laparotomy.(87, 88) However, the most recent trial exploring this question included those with both NEC and focal intestinal perforation and recorded outcomes to 2 years following intervention.(89) It was found that rates of death and neurodevelopmental impairment were similar between both treatment modalities when both diseases are pooled together. However, planned subgroup analysis revealed that for infants with a presumed diagnosis of NEC, death or neurodevelopmental impairment was seen more frequently in those with an initial peritoneal drain (85%) than with laparotomy (69%). This difference equates to a 97% likelihood of reducing mortality or neurodevelopmental impairment at 18-22 months corrected gestational age with initial laparotomy in NEC. This is likely due to NEC causing extensive bowel necrosis requiring resection. If necrotic bowel is removed then systemic inflammatory response will be reduced.

Protocolisation of all areas of medicine has become increasingly popular. This approach allows standardisation and allows evidence based practice even in infrequently encountered

conditions such as NEC. A recent multi-centre study from the United States has described their protocol for determining surgical approach in NEC or focal intestinal perforation and the outcomes associated with this.(90) The authors report that peritoneal drainage or laparotomy is undertaken in those determined to have surgical NEC or focal intestinal perforation depending on weight, age and abdominal radiograph findings. If an infant weighed less than 750 grams, was less than or equal to 14 days old and had either a normal or gasless or pneumoperitoneum on radiograph they underwent peritoneal drainage. All others underwent laparotomy if deterioration or no improvement occurred. This protocol meant that only peritoneal drainage, without subsequent laparotomy, was used in 27% of children after implementation compared to 13% prior to implementation. Despite this, no improvement was observed in survival after implementation of the protocol and further reports of this are awaited.

The concept of damage control surgery in NEC was first reported in 2004.(91) More recently a more detailed description of this technique and the potential benefits has been reported.(86) In Birmingham Children's Hospital (Birmingham, UK), neonates who were severely unwell with presumed abdominal pathology underwent laparotomy on the paediatric intensive care unit. This took place as soon as possible with ongoing resuscitation during this. The aim of the initial procedure was to excise obviously dead or perforated bowel and then leave a laparostomy for planned relook surgery 48 hours later. Surgery was undertaken as promptly as possible to limit physiological deterioration with a median operative time of 38 minutes. Only 13% of those with NEC required an enterostomy at relook laparotomy as most underwent delayed anastomosis. Mortality was seen in 18% of those with NEC at 28 days which is lower than most previously reported series.(2) This technique requires coordination between all team members include transfusion laboratories to allow this approach. Other UK centres are currently developing similar approaches for selected infants.

These studies highlight recent developments in regards to surgery for NEC however it is challenging to robustly compare different surgical procedures in such a heterogenous population where there are no set standards for deciding whether surgery is indicated, or not. Providing the principles of surgery for NEC are met then it is likely that all surgical options will be comparable depending on operative findings in these challenging procedures.

Further areas for research

Fortunately, there is plenty of interest in ongoing research of all aspects of NEC as highlighted throughout this review. Multi-centre collaboration is essential in this infrequently encountered condition, particularly when studying sub-groups such as those with surgical NEC. Important areas for further work include earlier detection of disease and better prognostication which includes earlier identification of need for care escalation and requirement for surgery. These questions will be easier to address in the age of technology driven healthcare, electronic patient records and advanced statistical techniques including machine learning. The ability of studies to address these issues is dependent of quality of data collection and it is more important, now than ever, to ensure that those with NEC are correctly identified in datasets. Those with other disease such as focal intestinal perforation should be correctly labelled as such. With coordinated efforts from all clinicians and researchers interested in this devasting condition it is hoped that the currently poor outcomes will improve for generations of future NEC sufferers and their families.

References

1. Alsaied A, Islam N, Thalib L. Global incidence of Necrotizing Enterocolitis: a systematic review and Meta-analysis. BMC Pediatr. 2020;20(1):344.

2. Jones IH, Hall NJ. Contemporary Outcomes for Infants with Necrotizing Enterocolitis-A Systematic Review. J Pediatr. 2020.

3. Allin BSR, Long AM, Gupta A, Lakhoo K, Knight M, Collaboration BAoPSCASSNE. One-year outcomes following surgery for necrotising enterocolitis: a UK-wide cohort study. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed. 2018;103(5):F461-F6.

4. Khan FA, Mitchell PD, Fisher JG, Sparks EA, Jaksic T, Duggan C, et al. Magnitude of surgical burden associated with pediatric intestinal failure: a multicenter cohort analysis. J Pediatr Surg. 2014;49(12):1795-8.

5. Sabbatini S, Ganji N, Chusilp S, Balsamo F, Li B, Pierro A. Intestinal atresia and necrotizing enterocolitis: Embryology and anatomy. Semin Pediatr Surg. 2022;31(6):151234.

6. Afrazi A, Branca MF, Sodhi CP, Good M, Yamaguchi Y, Egan CE, et al. Toll-like receptor 4-mediated endoplasmic reticulum stress in intestinal crypts induces necrotizing enterocolitis. J Biol Chem. 2014;289(14):9584-99.

7. Siggers RH, Hackam DJ. The role of innate immune-stimulated epithelial apoptosis during gastrointestinal inflammatory diseases. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2011;68(22):3623-34.

8. de Jong JCW, Ijssennagger N, van Mil SWC. Breast milk nutrients driving intestinal epithelial layer maturation via Wnt and Notch signaling: Implications for necrotizing enterocolitis. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis. 2021;1867(11):166229.

9. Sieber C, Beglinger C, Jaeger K, Hildebrand P, Stalder GA. Regulation of postprandial mesenteric blood flow in humans: evidence for a cholinergic nervous reflex. Gut. 1991;32(4):361-6.

10. Hackam DJ, Sodhi CP. Toll-Like Receptor-Mediated Intestinal Inflammatory Imbalance in the Pathogenesis of Necrotizing Enterocolitis. Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2018;6(2):229-38 e1.

11. Abhinand CS, Raju R, Soumya SJ, Arya PS, Sudhakaran PR. VEGF-A/VEGFR2 signaling network in endothelial cells relevant to angiogenesis. J Cell Commun Signal. 2016;10(4):347-54.

12. Bowker RM, Yan X, De Plaen IG. Intestinal microcirculation and necrotizing enterocolitis: The vascular endothelial growth factor system. Semin Fetal Neonatal Med. 2018;23(6):411-5.

13. Soliman A, Michelsen KS, Karahashi H, Lu J, Meng FJ, Qu X, et al. Plateletactivating factor induces TLR4 expression in intestinal epithelial cells: implication for the pathogenesis of necrotizing enterocolitis. PLoS One. 2010;5(10):e15044. 14. MohanKumar K, Namachivayam K, Chapalamadugu KC, Garzon SA, Premkumar MH, Tipparaju SM, et al. Smad7 interrupts TGF-beta signaling in intestinal macrophages and promotes inflammatory activation of these cells during necrotizing enterocolitis. Pediatr Res. 2016;79(6):951-61.

15. Patel RM, Underwood MA. Probiotics and necrotizing enterocolitis. Semin Pediatr Surg. 2018;27(1):39-46.

 Underwood MA, German JB, Lebrilla CB, Mills DA. Bifidobacterium longum subspecies infantis: champion colonizer of the infant gut. Pediatr Res. 2015;77(1-2):229-35.
Sodhi C, Richardson W, Gribar S, Hackam DJ. The development of animal models for the study of necrotizing enterocolitis. Dis Model Mech. 2008;1(2-3):94-8.

18. Frost BL, Modi BP, Jaksic T, Caplan MS. New Medical and Surgical Insights Into Neonatal Necrotizing Enterocolitis: A Review. JAMA Pediatr. 2017;171(1):83-8.

19. Underwood MA. Impact of probiotics on necrotizing enterocolitis. Semin Perinatol. 2017;41(1):41-51.

20. Szajewska H, Canani RB, Domellöf M, Guarino A, Hojsak I, Indrio F, et al. Probiotics for the management of pediatric gastrointestinal disorders: position paper of the ESPGHAN Special Interest Group on Gut Microbiota and Modifications. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2022.

21. Sharif S, Oddie SJ, Heath PT, McGuire W. Prebiotics to prevent necrotising enterocolitis in very preterm or very low birth weight infants. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2023;6(6):CD015133.

22. Sharif S, Meader N, Oddie SJ, Rojas-Reyes MX, McGuire W. Probiotics to prevent necrotising enterocolitis in very preterm or very low birth weight infants. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2020;10(10):CD005496.

23. Morgan RL, Preidis GA, Kashyap PC, Weizman AV, Sadeghirad B, McMaster Probiotic P, et al. Probiotics Reduce Mortality and Morbidity in Preterm, Low-Birth-Weight Infants: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-analysis of Randomized Trials. Gastroenterology. 2020;159(2):467-80.

24. Jones IH, Tao D, Vagdama B, Orford M, Eaton S, Collins J, et al. Remote ischaemic pre-conditioning reduces intestinal ischaemia reperfusion injury in a newborn rat. J Pediatr Surg. 2022.

25. Zozaya C, Ganji N, Li B, Janssen Lok M, Lee C, Koike Y, et al. Remote ischaemic conditioning in necrotising enterocolitis: a phase I feasibility and safety study. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed. 2022.

26. Ganji N, Li B, Ahmad I, Daneman A, Deshpande P, Dhar V, et al. Remote ischemic conditioning in necrotizing enterocolitis: study protocol of a multi-center phase II feasibility randomized controlled trial. Pediatr Surg Int. 2022;38(5):679-94.

 Altobelli E, Angeletti PM, Verrotti A, Petrocelli R. The Impact of Human Milk on Necrotizing Enterocolitis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Nutrients. 2020;12(5).
Reniker LN, Frazer LC, Good M. Key biologically active components of breast milk and their beneficial effects. Semin Pediatr Surg. 2023;32(3):151306.

29. Ganji N, Li B, Lee C, Pierro A. Necrotizing enterocolitis: recent advances in treatment with translational potential. Pediatr Surg Int. 2023;39(1):205.

30. Kim JH, Sampath V, Canvasser J. Challenges in diagnosing necrotizing enterocolitis. Pediatr Res. 2020;88(Suppl 1):16-20.

31. Battersby C, Longford N, Costeloe K, Modi N, Group UNCNES. Development of a Gestational Age-Specific Case Definition for Neonatal Necrotizing Enterocolitis. JAMA Pediatr. 2017;171(3):256-63.

32. Bell MJ, Ternberg JL, Feigin RD, Keating JP, Marshall R, Barton L, et al. Neonatal necrotizing enterocolitis. Therapeutic decisions based upon clinical staging. Ann Surg. 1978;187(1):1-7.

33. Vermont Oxford Network. Vermont Oxford Network manual of operations: part 2 data definitions and infant data forms. <u>https://vtoxford.zendesk.com/hc/en-us/articles/360013115393-2019-Manual-of-Operations-Part-2-Release-23-2-PDF2019</u>

34. Patel RM, Ferguson J, McElroy SJ, Khashu M, Caplan MS. Defining necrotizing enterocolitis: current difficulties and future opportunities. Pediatr Res. 2020;88(Suppl 1):10-5.

35. Battersby C, Longford N, Costeloe K, Modi N, Group UKNCNES. Development of a Gestational Age-Specific Case Definition for Neonatal Necrotizing Enterocolitis. JAMA Pediatr. 2017;171(3):256-63.

36. Lure AC, Du X, Black EW, Irons R, Lemas DJ, Taylor JA, et al. Using machine learning analysis to assist in differentiating between necrotizing enterocolitis and spontaneous intestinal perforation: A novel predictive analytic tool. J Pediatr Surg. 2021;56(10):1703-10.

37. Lueschow SR, Boly TJ, Jasper E, Patel RM, McElroy SJ. Correction: A critical evaluation of current definitions of necrotizing enterocolitis. Pediatr Res. 2022;91(3):711.

38. Agakidou E, Agakidis C, Gika H, Sarafidis K. Emerging Biomarkers for Prediction and Early Diagnosis of Necrotizing Enterocolitis in the Era of Metabolomics and Proteomics. Front Pediatr. 2020;8:602255.

39. Ng PC, Ang IL, Chiu RW, Li K, Lam HS, Wong RP, et al. Host-response biomarkers for diagnosis of late-onset septicemia and necrotizing enterocolitis in preterm infants. J Clin Invest. 2010;120(8):2989-3000.

40. Sylvester KG, Ling XB, Liu GY, Kastenberg ZJ, Ji J, Hu Z, et al. A novel urine peptide biomarker-based algorithm for the prognosis of necrotising enterocolitis in human infants. Gut. 2014;63(8):1284-92.

41. Sylvester KG, Ling XB, Liu GY, Kastenberg ZJ, Ji J, Hu Z, et al. Urine protein biomarkers for the diagnosis and prognosis of necrotizing enterocolitis in infants. J Pediatr. 2014;164(3):607-12.e1-7.

42. Chatziioannou AC, Wolters JC, Sarafidis K, Thomaidou A, Agakidis C, Govorukhina N, et al. Targeted LC-MS/MS for the evaluation of proteomics biomarkers in the blood of neonates with necrotizing enterocolitis and late-onset sepsis. Anal Bioanal Chem. 2018;410(27):7163-75.

43. Thomaidou A, Chatziioannou AC, Deda O, Benaki D, Gika H, Mikros E, et al. A pilot case-control study of urine metabolomics in preterm neonates with necrotizing enterocolitis. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci. 2019;1117:10-21.

44. Wilcock A, Begley P, Stevens A, Whatmore A, Victor S. The metabolomics of necrotising enterocolitis in preterm babies: an exploratory study. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2016;29(5):758-62.

45. Wandro S, Osborne S, Enriquez C, Bixby C, Arrieta A, Whiteson K. The Microbiome and Metabolome of Preterm Infant Stool Are Personalized and Not Driven by Health Outcomes, Including Necrotizing Enterocolitis and Late-Onset Sepsis. mSphere. 2018;3(3).

46. De Magistris A, Corbu S, Cesare Flmincola F. NMR-based metabolomics analysis of urinary changes in neonatal enterocolitis. Jpnim. 2015;4:37-8.

47. Cuna A, George L, Sampath V. Genetic predisposition to necrotizing enterocolitis in premature infants: Current knowledge, challenges, and future directions. Semin Fetal Neonatal Med. 2018;23(6):387-93.

48. Zhou W, Yuan W, Huang L, Wang P, Rong X, Tang J. Association of neonatal necrotizing enterocolitis with myeloid differentiation-2 and GM2 activator protein genetic polymorphisms. Mol Med Rep. 2015;12(1):974-80.

49. Sampath V, Menden H, Helbling D, Li K, Gastonguay A, Ramchandran R, et al. SIGIRR genetic variants in premature infants with necrotizing enterocolitis. Pediatrics. 2015;135(6):e1530-4.

50. Szebeni B, Szekeres R, Rusai K, Vannay A, Veres G, Treszl A, et al. Genetic polymorphisms of CD14, toll-like receptor 4, and caspase-recruitment domain 15 are not associated with necrotizing enterocolitis in very low birth weight infants. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2006;42(1):27-31.

51. Jilling T, Ambalavanan N, Cotten CM, Martin CA, Maheshwari A, Schibler K, et al. Surgical necrotizing enterocolitis in extremely premature neonates is associated with genetic variations in an intergenic region of chromosome 8. Pediatr Res. 2018;83(5):943-53. 52. Zeigler AC, Ainsworth JE, Fairchild KD, Wynn JL, Sullivan BA. Sepsis and Mortality Prediction in Very Low Birth Weight Infants: Analysis of HeRO and nSOFA. Am J Perinatol. 2021.

53. Meister AL, Gardner FC, Browning KN, Travagli RA, Palmer C, Doheny KK. Vagal Tone and Proinflammatory Cytokines Predict Feeding Intolerance and Necrotizing Enterocolitis Risk. Adv Neonatal Care. 2021;21(6):452-61.

54. Sullivan BA, Wallman-Stokes A, Isler J, Sahni R, Moorman JR, Fairchild KD, et al. Early Pulse Oximetry Data Improves Prediction of Death and Adverse Outcomes in a Two-Center Cohort of Very Low Birth Weight Infants. Am J Perinatol. 2018;35(13):1331-8. 55. Sullivan BA, Fairchild KD. Predictive monitoring for sepsis and necrotizing

enterocolitis to prevent shock. Semin Fetal Neonatal Med. 2015;20(4):255-61.

56. Cuna AC, Reddy N, Robinson AL, Chan SS. Bowel ultrasound for predicting surgical management of necrotizing enterocolitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Pediatr Radiol. 2018;48(5):658-66.

57. Bethell GS, Knight M, Hall NJ, BAPS-CASS B-CNIGobo. Surgical necrotizing enterocolitis: Association between surgical indication, timing, and outcomes. J Pediatr Surg. 2021;56(10):1785-90.

58. Bazacliu C, Neu J. Necrotizing Enterocolitis: Long Term Complications. Curr Pediatr Rev. 2019;15(2):115-24.

59. Battersby C, Santhalingam T, Costeloe K, Modi N. Incidence of neonatal necrotising enterocolitis in high-income countries: a systematic review. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed. 2018;103(2):F182-F9.

60. Allin B, Long AM, Gupta A, Knight M, Lakhoo K, Collaboration BAoPSCASSNE. A UK wide cohort study describing management and outcomes for infants with surgical Necrotising Enterocolitis. Sci Rep. 2017;7:41149.

61. Hintz SR, Kendrick DE, Stoll BJ, Vohr BR, Fanaroff AA, Donovan EF, et al. Neurodevelopmental and growth outcomes of extremely low birth weight infants after necrotizing enterocolitis. Pediatrics. 2005;115(3):696-703.

62. Lodha A, Asztalos E, Moore AM. Cytokine levels in neonatal necrotizing enterocolitis and long-term growth and neurodevelopment. Acta Paediatr. 2010;99(3):338-43.

63. Niño DF, Zhou Q, Yamaguchi Y, Martin LY, Wang S, Fulton WB, et al. Cognitive impairments induced by necrotizing enterocolitis can be prevented by inhibiting microglial activation in mouse brain. Science Translational Medicine. 2018;10(471):eaan0237.

64. Nino DF, Zhou Q, Yamaguchi Y, Martin LY, Wang S, Fulton WB, et al. Cognitive impairments induced by necrotizing enterocolitis can be prevented by inhibiting microglial activation in mouse brain. Sci Transl Med. 2018;10(471):eaan0237.

65. Wisgrill L, Weinhandl A, Unterasinger L, Amann G, Oehler R, Metzelder ML, et al. Interleukin-6 serum levels predict surgical intervention in infants with necrotizing enterocolitis. J Pediatr Surg. 2019;54(3):449-54.

66. Benkoe T, Reck C, Gleiss A, Kettner S, Repa A, Horcher E, et al. Interleukin 8 correlates with intestinal involvement in surgically treated infants with necrotizing enterocolitis. J Pediatr Surg. 2012;47(8):1548-54.

67. Benkoe T, Reck C, Pones M, Weninger M, Gleiss A, Stift A, et al. Interleukin-8 predicts 60-day mortality in premature infants with necrotizing enterocolitis. J Pediatr Surg. 2014;49(3):385-9.

68. Thuijls G, Derikx JP, van Wijck K, Zimmermann LJ, Degraeuwe PL, Mulder TL, et al. Non-invasive markers for early diagnosis and determination of the severity of necrotizing enterocolitis. Ann Surg. 2010;251(6):1174-80.

69. Heida FH, Hulscher JB, Schurink M, Timmer A, Kooi EM, Bos AF, et al. Intestinal fatty acid-binding protein levels in Necrotizing Enterocolitis correlate with extent of necrotic bowel: results from a multicenter study. J Pediatr Surg. 2015;50(7):1115-8.

70. Dabritz J, Jenke A, Wirth S, Foell D. Fecal phagocyte-specific S100A12 for diagnosing necrotizing enterocolitis. J Pediatr. 2012;161(6):1059-64.

71. Robinson JR, Rellinger EJ, Hatch LD, Weitkamp JH, Speck KE, Danko M, et al. Surgical necrotizing enterocolitis. Semin Perinatol. 2017;41(1):70-9.

72. Cetinkaya M, Ozkan H, Koksal N, Akaci O, Ozgur T. Comparison of the efficacy of serum amyloid A, C-reactive protein, and procalcitonin in the diagnosis and follow-up of necrotizing enterocolitis in premature infants. J Pediatr Surg. 2011;46(8):1482-9.

73. Yu M, Liu G, Feng Z, Huang L. Combination of plasma white blood cell count, platelet count and C-reactive protein level for identifying surgical necrotizing enterocolitis in preterm infants without pneumoperitoneum. Pediatr Surg Int. 2018;34(9):945-50.

74. Tepas JJ, Sharma R, Leaphart CL, Celso BG, Pieper P, Esquivia-Lee V. Timing of surgical intervention in necrotizing enterocolitis can be determined by trajectory of metabolic derangement. J Pediatr Surg. 2010;45(2):310-3; discussion 3-4.

75. Tepas JJ, Leaphart CL, Plumley D, Sharma R, Celso BG, Pieper P, et al. Trajectory of metabolic derangement in infants with necrotizing enterocolitis should drive timing and technique of surgical intervention. J Am Coll Surg. 2010;210(5):847-52, 52-4.

76. Schat TE, Schurink M, van der Laan ME, Hulscher JB, Hulzebos CV, Bos AF, et al. Near-Infrared Spectroscopy to Predict the Course of Necrotizing Enterocolitis. PLoS One. 2016;11(5):e0154710.

77. Stone ML, Tatum PM, Weitkamp JH, Mukherjee AB, Attridge J, McGahren ED, et al. Abnormal heart rate characteristics before clinical diagnosis of necrotizing enterocolitis. J Perinatol. 2013;33(11):847-50.

78. Çağlar Ö, Cesur E, Sade R, Firinci B, Kara M, Çelikkaya ME, et al. Dual energy CT in necrotizing enterocolitis; a novel diagnostic approach. Turk J Med Sci. 2021.

79. Mohd Amin AT, Zaki RA, Friedmacher F, Sharif SP. C-reactive protein/albumin ratio is a prognostic indicator for predicting surgical intervention and mortality in neonates with necrotizing enterocolitis. Pediatr Surg Int. 2021;37(7):881-6.

80. Feng W, Hou J, Die X, Sun J, Guo Z, Liu W, et al. Application of coagulation parameters at the time of necrotizing enterocolitis diagnosis in surgical intervention and prognosis. BMC Pediatr. 2022;22(1):259.

81. Berkhout DJC, van Keulen BJ, Niemarkt HJ, Bessem JR, de Boode WP, Cossey V, et al. Late-onset Sepsis in Preterm Infants Can Be Detected Preclinically by Fecal Volatile Organic Compound Analysis: A Prospective, Multicenter Cohort Study. Clin Infect Dis. 2019;68(1):70-7.

82. El Manouni El Hassani S, Niemarkt HJ, Derikx JPM, Berkhout DJC, Ballón AE, de Graaf M, et al. Predictive factors for surgical treatment in preterm neonates with necrotizing enterocolitis: a multicenter case-control study. Eur J Pediatr. 2021;180(2):617-25.

83. Alexander KM, Chan SS, Opfer E, Cuna A, Fraser JD, Sharif S, et al. Implementation of bowel ultrasound practice for the diagnosis and management of necrotising enterocolitis. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed. 2021;106(1):96-103.

84. Carr BD, Gadepalli SK. Does Surgical Management Alter Outcome in Necrotizing Enterocolitis? Clin Perinatol. 2019;46(1):89-100.

85. Parigi GB, Bragheri R, Minniti S, Verga G. Surgical treatment of necrotizing enterocolitis: when? how? Acta Paediatr Suppl. 1994;396:58-61.

86. Arul GS, Singh M, Ali AM, Gee OJ. Damage control surgery in neonates: Lessons learned from the battlefield. J Pediatr Surg. 2019;54(10):2069-74.

87. Rees CM, Eaton S, Kiely EM, Wade AM, McHugh K, Pierro A. Peritoneal drainage or laparotomy for neonatal bowel perforation? A randomized controlled trial. Ann Surg. 2008;248(1):44-51.

88. Moss RL, Dimmitt RA, Barnhart DC, Sylvester KG, Brown RL, Powell DM, et al. Laparotomy versus peritoneal drainage for necrotizing enterocolitis and perforation. N Engl J Med. 2006;354(21):2225-34.

89. Blakely ML, Tyson JE, Lally KP, Hintz SR, Eggleston B, Stevenson DK, et al. Initial Laparotomy Versus Peritoneal Drainage in Extremely Low Birthweight Infants With Surgical Necrotizing Enterocolitis or Isolated Intestinal Perforation: A Multicenter Randomized Clinical Trial. Ann Surg. 2021;274(4):e370-e80.

90. Quiroz HJ, Rao K, Brady AC, Hogan AR, Thorson CM, Perez EA, et al. Protocol-Driven Surgical Care of Necrotizing Enterocolitis and Spontaneous Intestinal Perforation. J Surg Res. 2020;255:396-404. 91. Banieghbal B, Davies MR. Damage control laparotomy for generalized necrotizing enterocolitis. World J Surg. 2004;28(2):183-6.