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#### Abstract

Two substituted phosphonium tetrahydoxidohexaoxidopentaborate(1-) salts, [ ${ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{PrPPh}_{3}$ ] $\left[\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right] \cdot 3.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathbf{1})$ and $\left[\mathrm{MePPh}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right] \cdot \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2)$, were prepared by templated self-assembly processes with good yields by crystallization from basic methanolic aqueous solutions primed with $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ and the appropriate phosphonium cation. Salts 1 and 2 were characterized by spectroscopic (NMR and IR) and thermal (TGA/DSC) analysis. Salts 1 and 2 were thermally decomposed in air at $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to glassy solids via the anhydrous phosphonium polyborates that are formed at lower temperatures $\left(<300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. BET analysis of the anhydrous and pyrolysed materials indicated they were non-porous with surface areas of $0.2-2.75 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{g}$. Rhe recrystallization of $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 from aqueous solution afforded crystals suitable for single-crystal XRD analyses. The structure of 1 comprises alternating cationic/anionic layers with the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ /pentaborate(1-) planes held together by H-bonds. The cationic planes have offset face-to-face (off) and vertex-to-face (vf) aromatic ring interactions with the ${ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}$ groups oriented towards the pentaborate $(1-) / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ layers. The anionic lattice in 2 is expanded by the inclusion of $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ molecules to accommodate the large cations; this results in the formation of a stacked pentaborate $(1-) / \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ structure with channels occupied by the cations. The cations within the channels have vf, ef (edge-to-face), and off phenyl embraces. Both H-bonding and phenyl embrace interactions are important in stabilizing these two solid-state structures.
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## 1. Introduction

Hydrated polyhydroxidooxidoborates and anhydrous polyoxidoborates are a wellknown, naturally occurring classes of compounds [1-9] with many synthetic analogues [3,9-12]. Some of these compounds are industrially important bulk chemicals (e.g., $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{OH})_{4} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, tincalconite and the synthetic borax pentahydrate largely produced from $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (kernite) and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{OH})_{4} \cdot 8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, borax (tincal)) with many applications [13-15], whilst others, such as $\beta-\mathrm{BaB}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (BBO), have found more specialist niche applications in NLO materials [9,16]. Structurally, these polyoxidoborates are a diverse class of compounds with the polyoxidoborate moieties as discrete insular anions or as more highly condensed polymeric 1-D, 2-D or 3-D anionic networks, and the associated cations as simple $s$-, $p$-, $d$ - or $f$-block element cations, cationic $p$ - or $d$-block complexes or non-metal/organic based [1-16]. With some late transition metals (e.g., $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}$, $\mathrm{Zn}^{\mathrm{II}}, \mathrm{Cd}^{\mathrm{II}}$ and $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ ), oxidoborates can also function as O-donor ligands [17]. Examples of hydroxidooxidopolyborate salts with phosphorus-containing cations are rare and are currently limited to $\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PNPPh}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right] \cdot 2.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}[18],\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PNPPh}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right] \cdot 1.5$
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ [18] and [ $\left.\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right] \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ [19]; the latter compound has been structurally characterized by a single-crystal X-ray diffraction (sc-XRD). We have previously published thermal studies and BET analysis on materials that were thermally obtained from pentaborate(1-) salts containing organic cations [20-22]. This manuscript extends our structural studies on phosphonium salts of pentaborate(1-) anions and examines them using BET analysis on materials derived thermally from these salts. A schematic drawing of the tetrahydroxidohexaoxidopentaborate(1-) anion, hereafter generally abbreviated to pentaborate(1-) [23], is shown in Figure 1.


Figure 1. Schematic drawing of tetrahydroxidohexaoxidopentaborate(1-) as found in [ ${ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{PrPPh}_{3}$ ] $\left[\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right] \cdot 3.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathbf{1})$ and $\left[\mathrm{MePPh}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right] \cdot \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2)$. The oxygen H -bond acceptor sites are labelled as in Ref. [24].

## 2. Results and Discussion

### 2.1. Synthesis

The two new tetraorganophosphonium pentaborate(1-) salts [ $\left.{ }^{1} \mathrm{PrPPh}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right]$ $\cdot 3.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathbf{1})$ and $\left[\mathrm{MePPh}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right] \cdot \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2)$ were obtained by crystallization from basic aqueous solutions primed with $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ and the appropriate substituted phosphonium cation, as shown in Scheme 1. The phosphonium iodide salts were converted to their hydroxide salts by use of an ion-exchange resin and $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ was used as the boron source for the pentaborate(1-) salts. The boron-containing substrate $B(O H)_{3}$, is only present in aqueous solution as $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ under acidic conditions [25-27]. At a higher pH , it is present as rapidly attained equilibrium concentrations of various hydroxidooxidopolyborate anions and $\left[\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right]^{-}[25-27]$. The observed crystalline products arise through the cation templated self-assembly/crystallization processes [28-31], as are often observed in related systems involving non-metal cations derived from organic amines and $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}[10,32]$.


Scheme 1. Synthesis of compounds 1 and 2.
Compounds 1 and 2 were prepared in excellent yields and were characterized spectroscopically (multinuclear NMR, IR) and thermally (TGA/DSC). Porosity data (BET) were also obtained on materials derived thermally in air from 1 and 2. Crystallization of the crude products 1 and 2 from $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ afforded crystals suitable for sc-XRD studies (Section 2.4). The co-crystallization of $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ with pentaborate(1-) anions, as in 2, has occasionally been observed in structures with large organic cations [18,33-36].

### 2.2. Thermal Studies

Organic cation polyborates are known to thermally decompose in air with the formation of glassy $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ at $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [10,34]. The closely related tetraphenylphosphonium pentaborate salt, $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right] \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, is reported to be thermally decomposed in a similarly manner [19]. In previous studies, water is lost at lower temperatures with the formation of 'anhydrous' pentaborates and this is followed at higher temperatures by oxidation of the cation, gaseous evolution, and the formation of darkened intumesced solids. At higher temperatures again, these solids shrink down to form glassy residual materials of $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}[10,24]$. The thermal decomposition of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ was studied by TGA/DSC analysis in air over the temperature range $20-800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The data for 1 were consistent with the initial loss of $5.5 \times \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in the first stage $\left(<275{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ in an endothermic process to form anhydrous [ $\left.{ }^{i} \mathrm{PrPPh}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{8}\right]$. This dehydration stage involved the loss of interstitial $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(3.5 \times \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ and condensation/cross-linking of the B-OH groups ( $2.0 \times \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) as one large continuous step (see Supplementary Information, Figure S10 for TGA plots). The TGA plot of 2 had a similar profile, with loss of $4.0 \times \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in the first stage $\left(100-275{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and the formation of anhydrous $\left[\mathrm{MePPh}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{9.5}\right]$. Since 2 is a $1: 1 \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ / pentaborate $(1-)$ co-crystal, this material is formulated as an anhydrous hexaborate $[18,33,34]$. This endothermic dehydration step for $\mathbf{2}$ is a two-stage process involving the loss of interstitial $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and partial condensation/cross-linking of the pentaborate B-OH groups ( $2.0 \times \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 100-150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), with further condensation/cross-linking of the pentaborate B-OH groups $\left(2.0 \times \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 150-275^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. This two-stage water loss is qualitatively very similar to that observed for $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right] \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}[19]$.

It was anticipated that, upon further heating $\left(275-800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), \mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ would leave, after oxidation of the cations during the exothermic second stages, with glassy residues com-
prised of 2.5 or 3.0 equivalents of $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, respectively. However, the residual masses from 1 and 2 were higher than calculated, indicating that they both contained additional, non- $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ material. It has been noted that phosphonium salts, with simple non-polar substituents, generally decompose cleanly with little residue [37], and our previous studies on the thermal decomposition of phosphonium polyborates are consistent with this [18,19]. However, some phosphonium salts are also known to decompose with residual material [37]. The additional residual material from 1 and 2 possibly arises through the incorporation of phosphorus and/or an organic char slowing down the oxidation process.

Porosity data (BET analysis [38]) of organic pentaborates salts and their thermally derived anhydrous, pyrolysed and residual glasses have been reported and the results indicated that they were non-porous [20-22]. Compounds 1 and 2 both possess unusual solid-state pentaborate structures (see sc-XRD studies, Section 2.4), with 1 layered and 2 having its cations stacked in channels. We were, therefore, interested in obtaining porosity data on the thermally derived intermediate materials from these phosphonium pentaborates to see if these structural modifications are influential. Thus, samples of 'anhydrous' and 'pyrolysed' materials were obtained from 1 and 2 by heating ca. 0.5 g samples in a furnace in air for 24 h at $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $625^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively. These materials had surface areas of $0.2-2.75 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{g}$ and were essentially non-porous, with similar values to those obtained for materials derived thermally from $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right] \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}[39]$ and organic pentaborates [20-22], again suggesting that the intumesced solids have 'foamlike' gas-encapsulated macroporous structures [40].

### 2.3. Spectroscopic Studies

IR and NMR $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C},{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right.$ and $\left.{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)$ data for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are reported in the experimental section. These spectroscopic data are in agreement with the expected data for the anions and cations found in 1 and 2.

The IR spectra, obtained as KBr discs, show the expected broad H-bonded O-H stretches (ca. $3300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) and strong B-O stretches/bends ( $1450-620 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) [41] associated with the pentaborate(1-) anions. Specifically, a diagnostic strong band ( $\mathrm{B}_{\text {trig }}-\mathrm{O}$ (sym.) at ca. $925 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ [34]) for the anion was observed at 918 and $924 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 1 and 2 , respectively, helping to confirm their identities.

Compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 are insoluble in organic solvents but 'dissolve' in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with decomposition of the pentaborate(1-) anion by the borate equilbria processess that are also involved in their formation [25-27]. The cations in 1 and 2 are not affected by this, and their presence was confirmed as substituted phosphonium cations by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ spectroscopic analysis. Thus, ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ spectra of 1 and 2 both show only one signal at the expected chemical shift for their phosphonium cations [42]. The ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ spectra of $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 (in $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ show three signals, corresponding to the tetrahedral boron centre of $\left[\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right]^{-}$ $(c a .+1 \mathrm{ppm}),\left[\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right]^{-}(\mathrm{ca} .+13 \mathrm{ppm})$ and $\left(\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3} /\left[\left[\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right]^{-}(c a .+18 \mathrm{ppm})\right.\right.$ in the form of 'signature spectra', as was previously observed [43]. These signals arise from the equilbrium concentrations of the borate anions present from the 'disolution' of the original pentaborate(1-) anion.

### 2.4. X-ray Crystallography

There are two independent isopropyltriphenylphosphonium(1+) cations, two independent tetrahydroxidohexaoxidopentaborate(1-) anions, and seven waters of crystallization within the unit cell of $\mathbf{1}$. The asymmetric unit cell of 2 contains two independent tetrahydroxidohexaoxidopentaborate(1-) anions and two independent methyltriphenylphosphonium $(1+)$ cations. Additionally, 2 also contains two independent $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ molecules and a single disordered $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ of crystallization. These crystallographic studies are in agreement with the formulation of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ as ionic phosphonium $(1+) /$ pentaborate $(1-)$ salts, as indicated by their spectroscopic and thermal analysis. The co-crystallization of $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ is not uncommon in recrystallized samples of pentaborate(1-) salts containing bulky cations [18,33-36]. Drawings of the structures of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ showing atomic numbering are
shown in Figures 2 and 3, respectively. Selected crystallographic information is available in the experimental section and full details can be found in the Supplementary Information.



Figure 2. Drawing of the structure of $\left[{ }^{i} \mathrm{PrPPh}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right] \cdot 3.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1)$, showing selected crystallographic atomic numbering schemes. The seven waters of crystallization have been omitted for clarity. The O atoms of these $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecules are numbered O61-O67. Only the lowest numbered carbon in each aryl ring is labelled; the other five carbons are numbered sequentially. H atoms take the same label number as the heavy atoms to which they are attached.


Figure 3. Drawing of the structure of $\left[\mathrm{MePPh}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right] \cdot \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2)$ showing the crystallographic atomic numbering scheme. The waters of crystallization have been omitted for clarity and are disordered over four sites. The O atoms of these waters are labelled O41-O43. Only the lowest numbered carbon in each phenyl ring is labelled; the other five carbons are numbered sequentially around the ring. H atoms take the same label number as the heavy atoms to which they are attached.

The tetrahydroxidohexaoxidopentaborate(1-) anion is crystallographically wellknown [10] and has the gross structure of two fused, slightly puckered ('planar') boroxole $\left(\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ rings sharing a spiro 4 -coordinate boron centre; all other boron atoms are 3-coordinate, and are bound solely to oxygen atoms within the rings, or to exo hydroxido groups (see Figure 1). B-O bonds lengths and OBO and BOB angles within these com-
pounds are within normal limits [10,20,24]. The B-O distances involving 4-coordinate boron centres range from $1.455(3)$ to $1.487(3) \AA(a v .1 .473(3) \AA)$ and $1.434(4)$ to $1.481(4) \AA(a v$. $1.462(4) \AA$ ), whilst B-O distances involving 3-coordinate boron centres are shorter and range from 1.344 (2) to $1.401(3) \AA$ (av. $1.369(3) \AA$ ) and $1.334(4)$ to $1.395(4) \AA(a v .1 .359(4) \AA)$ in 1 and 2 , respectively. The OBO angles involving 4-coordinate ( $s p^{3}$ hybridized, tetrahedral) boron centres range from $106.24(17)$ to $111.19(16)^{\circ}\left(a v .109 .5(2)^{\circ}\right)$ and $107.5(2)$ to $110.9(2)^{\circ}$ (av. 109.5(2) ${ }^{\mathrm{o}}$ ), whilst OBO angles involving 3-coordinate ( $s p^{2}$ hybridized, trigonal planar) borons are larger and range from $116.21(19)$ to $122.2(2)^{\circ}\left(a v .120 .0(2)^{\circ}\right)$ and $114.3(3)$ to 123.7(3) (av. 120.0(3) ${ }^{\mathrm{o}}$ ) for 1 and 2, respectively. The BOB angles within the boroxole rings range from $118.40(17)$ to $123.39(18)^{\circ}\left(a v .121 .46(18)^{\circ}\right)$ and $116.7(2)$ to $124.2(2)^{\circ}\left(a v .121 .4(3)^{\circ}\right)$ for 1 and 2 respectively, indicative of these oxygens being $s p^{2}$ hybridised [44]. The B-O distances and OBO angles within the $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ molecules of 2 are normal for $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ and are also within the ranges found for the trigonal borons of the pentaborate(1-) rings in $\mathbf{1}$ and 2.

The $\left[{ }^{i} \mathrm{PrPPh}_{3}\right]^{+}$and $\left[\mathrm{MePPh}_{3}\right]^{+}$cations in $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are also well-known crystallographically [45,46] with P-C distances ranging from $1.795(2)$ to $1.882(2) \AA$ (av. 1.803(2) A $)$ and $1.757(4)$ to $1.785(3)$ (av. 1.776(5)A), respectively. Likewise, the CPC angles about the ( $s p^{3}$ ) phosphorus centres range from $107.84(9)$ to $111.31(10)^{\circ}\left(a v .109 .47(10)^{\circ}\right)$ and $106.82(17)$ to $110.8(2)^{\mathrm{o}}\left(a v .109 .47(19)^{\mathrm{o}}\right)$. These values are within previously observed ranges for these cations [45,46].

The closely related hydrated tetraphenylphosphonium pentaborate salt, $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right]$ $\cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, has an interesting supramolecular giant structure composed of interpenetrating networks of complex H -bonded anion-anion interactions and cation-cation interactions involving multiple embraces of their aromatic rings [19]. Aromatic embraces are known to be strong stabilizing interactions $[47,48]$ and are likely to be responsible (together with H-bonding interactions) for the crystallized self-assembly [28-31] of this compound. We examined the structures of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ to see if similar aromatic interactions occur in these compounds, and details, together with their H -bonding interactions, are described below.

Compound 1 is a co-crystallised phosphonium pentaborate salt with $3.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ per cation/anion. These water molecules H -bond with the pentaborate(1-) anions and form a unique $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ /pentaborate H -bonded anionic network. Unusually for pentaborate salts, this anionic network is arranged in layers (Figure 4). Each pentaborate(1-) has four Hbond donor sites and the pentaborate anions containing B 1 forms donor H -bonds to two $\beta$-sites (see Figure 1 for acceptor site labels [24]) of two neighbouring pentaborate anions ( $\mathrm{O} 10 \mathrm{H} 10 \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{O} 8 \mathrm{H} 8 \cdots \mathrm{O} 20^{\prime \prime}$ ) and two $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecules ( $\mathrm{O} 7 \mathrm{H} 7 \cdots \mathrm{O} 62$ and O9H9… O63). The repeating $\mathrm{O} 10-\mathrm{H}-10 \cdots{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ interaction is part of an infinite chain that links the B 1 containing pentaborates $(1-)$ anions. This interaction is $\mathrm{C}(8)$ using Etter terminology [49]. Likewise, the pentaborates containing B11 are similarly linked into infinite chains by $\mathrm{C}(8)$ interactions involving $\mathrm{O} 18-\mathrm{H} 18 \cdots \mathrm{O} 19^{\prime}$. The other three H -bond acceptor sites for the pentaborate containing B11 are water molecules: O17H17… O61, O19H19 . . O67, and $\mathrm{O} 20 \mathrm{H} 20 \cdots$ O66. These two pentaborate chains are linked through a complex series of H -bonds involving four $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecules (containing O61, O62, O63 and O67) and a $\mathrm{C}_{5}{ }^{5}(10)$ chain of three $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecules (containing O66, O65, O64), linking with O8H8-O20' interborate interaction into layers (Figure 4).

(a)

(b)

Figure 4. (a) A view along the $c$ axis in compound 1 (perpendicular to a anionic pentaborate $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ layer) with selected atomic labels, illustrating the two $C(8)$ chains associated with the pentaborate(1-) anions containing B5 and B11. A third $\mathrm{C}_{5}{ }^{5}(10)$ chain, involving three $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecules (containing O64, O65, and O66) and pentaborate(1-) oxygens (O8 and O20), can also be seen. (b) The pentaborate(1-) anion/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecules in $\mathbf{1}$ can be viewed along the $b$ axis, illustrating their layered structure.

The $\left[{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{PrPPh}_{3}\right]^{+}$cations in $\mathbf{1}$ are also arranged in layers, and these layers alternate with the anionic $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ layers. Within these cationic layers, there are several cation-cation interactions involving their aromatic rings [47,48]. The two independent cations are both arranged as centrosymmetric pairs, with aromatic (phenyl) ring embrace interactions between each pair. Thus, the cation containing P1 forms an offset face-to-face (off) interaction between a phenyl ring (containing $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 6$ ) and the $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ ring in its pair, with a centroidcentroid distance of $3.748(2) \AA$ and a centroid-to-plane distance of $3.634(2) \AA$ with a shift of $0.917(4) \AA$. These distances are indicative of a strong interaction and are considerably shorter than that found in $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right] \cdot 3 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (ca. $4.3 \AA$ ) [19]. These phenyl rings are also involved in vertex-to-face (vf) interactions between C 4 H 4 and its paired $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 12^{\prime}$ phenyl ring, with the H4-to-plane distance at 2.538(1) $\AA$ (Figure 5). Dance and Scudder refer to this type of interaction in tetraphenylphosphonium salts as a parallel quadruple phenyl embrace (PQPE) and calculate this interaction energy as $-41 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ [48]. Similarly, the cation containing P31 in $\mathbf{1}$ is also involved in a PQPE interaction with paired off interactions involving the C37-C42/C37'-C42' phenyl rings and paired of interactions from C 40 H 40 to the phenyl ring containing $\mathrm{C} 43^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 48^{\prime}$. For these rings, the centroid-centroid distance is $3.712(2) \AA$; the centroid-to-plane distance is $3.528(2) \AA$ with a shift of $1.155(4) \AA$, and the H40-to-plane distance is $2.636(1) \AA$. The P1 • P P1 and P31 $\cdot$ P P31 distances are 8.004(1) $\AA$ and $8.170(1) \AA$, with $\mathrm{P} 1 \cdots \mathrm{P} 31$ of $11.129(1) \AA$. The $\left[{ }^{i} \mathrm{PrPPh}_{3}\right]^{+}$cations in 1 are oriented within the cationic layers with the ${ }^{\mathrm{i}} \operatorname{Pr}$ groups towards the pentaborate $(1-) / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ layers.


Figure 5. The centrosymmetric paired [ $\left.{ }^{i} \mathrm{PrPPh}_{3}\right]^{+}$cations (containing P 1 ) in $\mathbf{1}$ display vertex-to-face interactions phenyl ring interactions, in addition to an offset face-to-face interactions (not highlighted). Similar interactions also occur in centrosymmetric paired cations containing P31.

Compound 2 is a further example of a co-crystallized phosphonium pentaborate salt with one $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ and 0.5 (disordered) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ per cation/anion. The supramolecular structure of 2 also displays anion-anion H -bond interactions and cation-cation aromatic embraces, but the details of these stabilizing interactions differ from those observed in 1 and $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right] \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and are described below.

All hydroxyl groups of the two independent $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ and the two independent pentabo-rate(1-) anions are used as H -bond donor centres. The anion containing B 1 forms two donor H-bonds to two $\alpha$-sites ( $\mathrm{O} 9 \mathrm{H} 9 \cdots \mathrm{O} 11^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{O} 10 \mathrm{H} 10 \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ ) of two adjacent pentaborates (one containing B11 and one containing B1) and both these interactions are $\mathrm{R}_{2}{ }^{2}(8)$ [49] with the ring involving the O 10 H 10 donor centrosymmetric (reciprocal). The anion containing B 1 also forms two donor H -bonds to two adjacent $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ molecules: $\mathrm{O} 8 \mathrm{H} 8 \cdots \mathrm{O} 32$, and $\mathrm{O}^{\prime} \mathrm{H} 7^{\prime} \ldots$ O31. The anion containing B11 forms three donor H-bonds to three adjacent anions at two $\alpha$-sites (O17H17‥O4 $4^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{O} 18 \mathrm{H} 18 \cdots \mathrm{O} 13^{\prime}$, reciprocal) and one $\beta$-site, (O19H19 . . O7 $7^{\prime}$ ). This $\mathrm{O} 10 \mathrm{H} 19 \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ interaction is part of a two larger $\mathrm{R}_{4}{ }^{4}(12)$ ring interactions with both these rings including both $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ molecules (Figure 6). The fourth pentaborate donor interaction is to the disordered $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{O} 20 \mathrm{H} 20 \cdots \mathrm{O} 44)$, and overall the anion can be represented as $\alpha, \alpha, \beta, \omega$ [21]. The hydroxido groups of the two $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ molecules are arranged asymmetrically to maximise their acceptor/donor H -bond interactions. The $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ containing B 31 forms a $\mathrm{R}_{2}{ }^{2}(8)$ 'pincer' ring with the $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ containing B 21 , and likewise this $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ forms a 'pincer' $\mathrm{R}_{2}{ }^{2}(8)$ interaction with the pentaborate containing B11 (Figure 6a). These interactions allow for the two co-crystallised $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ molecules to function as 'spacer' units to expand the lattice and replace what would otherwise be a simpler pentaborate/pentaborate $\mathrm{R}_{2}{ }^{2}(8)$ interaction [18,33-36].


Figure 6. (a) View along the $c$ axis in $\left[\mathrm{MePPh}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right] \cdot \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2) showing H -bond interactions involving the two $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ moieties and their three $\mathrm{R}_{2}{ }^{2}(8)$ and the two $\mathrm{R}_{4}{ }^{4}(12)$ ring motifs. (b) View along the $a$ axis in 2, illustrating the stacking pattern of the anionic network. The $\left[\mathrm{MePPh}_{3}\right]^{+}$ cations (for clarity, only some are shown) occupy the channels as shown forming stacks (chains) with cations in the stacks only containing P atoms, as labelled. From this perspective, the $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ units are side-on and are in the 'vertical' section of the borate channels.

A view along the $a$ axis of 2 (along the plane shown in Figure 6a) is shown in Figure 6b. This view reveals a stacked anionic lattice (rectangular and honeycomb-like) with channels that are occupied by the cations; interestingly, each cationic stack is occupied by either cations containing solely P1 or P21 and adjacent cationic stacks in the arrangement, as shown in Figure 6b. Cations are arranged as centrosymmetric pairs within the stacks with P1 $\cdots$ P1 and P21 $\cdots$ P21 distances of $6.253(2) \AA$ and $6.255(2) \AA$, respectively. The
repeating P. . P P distances in both stacks are $10.1076(10) \AA$, but the interpair dimer interactions differ. These interactions involve aromatic embraces and are of ( $\mathrm{C} 19 \mathrm{H} 19 \ldots \mathrm{C} 6^{\prime}$ ) and an edge-to face (ef) ( $\mathrm{C} 6 \mathrm{H} 6 \cdots \mathrm{C} 11^{\prime}, \mathrm{C} 7 \mathrm{H} 7 \mathrm{~A} \cdots \mathrm{C} 10^{\prime}$ ) for P1-containing cations and vf (C39H39. $\cdot \mathrm{C} 23^{\prime} / \mathrm{C} 24^{\prime}$ and C24H24 $\cdots \mathrm{C} 31^{\prime} / \mathrm{C} 32^{\prime}$ ) for P21-containing cations. The closest contacts between centrosymmetric pairs arise from $\mathrm{Me} \cdots \mathrm{Ph}\left(\mathrm{C} 1 \mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{~B} \cdots \mathrm{C} 10^{\prime} / \mathrm{C} 11^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Ph} \cdot \cdots \mathrm{Ph}\left(\mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{H} 3 \cdots \mathrm{C} 12{ }^{\prime}\right)$ interactions for the P1-containing stack whilst the P21-containing stack has an off phenyl ring interaction (between C34-C39 and C34 ${ }^{\prime}$-C39') with a centroidcentroid distance of 4.889 (3) $\AA$, a centroid-to-plane distance of $3.326(6) \AA$ and a plane-toplane shift of $3.583(7) \AA$. The C38-to-plane distance is $3.323(8) \AA$.

## 3. Materials and Experimental Methods

### 3.1. General

Reagents were all obtained commercially. FTIR spectra were obtained as KBr pellets on a Perkin-Elmer 100FTIR spectrometer (Perkin-Elmer, Seer Green, UK). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{31}$ P NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker Avance- 500 spectrometer (Bruker, Coventry, UK) on samples dissolved in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at 500, 160, 125 and 202 MHz , respectively. Chemical shifts are in ppm, with positive values to high frequency (downfield) of TMS $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$, $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}\left({ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right)$ or $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)$. TGA and DSC were performed on an SDT Q600 instrument (TA Instruments, New Castle, DE, USA) using $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ crucibles with a temperature ramprate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ per minute $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ to $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in air). BET measurements were performed on a Gemini 2375 analyser (Norcross, GA, USA) with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ gas as the adsorbent. Samples were analysed between partial pressures $\left(\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)$ of 0.05 and 0.30 . X-ray crystallography was performed at the EPSRC national crystallography service centre at Southampton University. CHN analyses were obtained from OEA Laboratories (Callingham, Cornwall, UK).

### 3.2. X-ray Crystallography

Crystallographic data for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are given in the experimental section and in the Supplementary Data. Data collection for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ was performed on a Nonius KappaCCD area detector ( $\phi$ scans and $\omega$ scans to fill asymmetric unit sphere) diffractometer at 120(2)K. Unit cell parameters were determined using DirAx [50], with data collection using Collect [51]. Denzo [52] was used for data reduction and cell refinement and SORTAV [53,54] was used for absorption correction. SHELXS97 [55] was used to solve the structure and was refined using SHELXL 2018/3 97 [56]. Olex2 [57] was used for graphics in the Supplementary Information.

### 3.3. Preparation of $\left[{ }^{i} \mathrm{PrPPh}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right] \cdot 3.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1)

[ ${ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{PrPPh}_{3}$ ]I $(3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 6.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. To this solution, excess Dowex 550A monosphere ( $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$form) was added and the suspension was stirred for 24 h . The ion-exchange resin was removed by filtration and $\mathrm{MeOH}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the filtrate. $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(2.14 \mathrm{~g}, 34.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the resulting solution, which was then heated for 1 h . The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation to yield a solid, which was dried at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h to give a white crude product ( $2.94 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%$ ). NMR. ( $\delta^{1} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $1.30\left(6 H, d d,{ }^{3} J(H H) 6.9,{ }^{2} J(\mathrm{PH}) 18.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.73\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{HH}) 6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.50(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.63$ (9H, m); ( $\delta\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{ppm}\right): 15.42\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.09\left(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{CP}) 48.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 117.21(3 \times \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.{ }^{1} J(C P) 83.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 130.04\left(6 \times \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{2} J(\mathrm{CP}) 12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 133.62\left(6 \times \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{CP}) 9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 134.78$ $\left(3 \times \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{CP}) 2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$; $\left(\delta^{11} \mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{ppm}\right): 1.1,12.9,18.5 ;\left(\delta^{31} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{ppm}\right): 30.15$. IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$; 3292 (vs), 3149 (vs), 1425 (vs), 1404 (vs), 1311 (vs), 1148 (m), 1106 (s), 1095 (s), 1061 (s), 1015 (s), 924 (s), 897 (s), 811 (m), 709 (s). Elemental analysis, $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~B}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{13.5}$ P req. C $43.0 \%$, H $5.7 \%$, found C, $42.9 \%, \mathrm{H}, 4.9 \%$. TGA: 1st stage-loss of $5.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(<275{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): 17 \%$ expt., $17 \%$ calc., 2 nd stage-oxidation ( $275-800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) to glassy residue: $36 \%$ expt., $30 \%$ calc. for $2.5 \times \mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$. BET: multi-point surface area ( $\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{g}$ ) 0.7979 (1); 2.7599 (anhydrous); 0.2723 (pyrolysed). Crystals suitable for sc-XRD studies were obtained by crystallization from $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. sc-XRD: $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~B}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{13.5} \mathrm{P}, M_{r}=586.49$, triclinic, $P-1, a=9.1810(3) \AA, b=13.4812(5)$ $\AA, c=23.8357(8) \AA, \alpha=75.3130(10)^{\circ}, \beta=83.095(2)^{\circ}, \gamma=86.919(2)^{\circ} V=2832.24(17) \AA^{3}$,
$\mathrm{Z}=4, T=120(2) \mathrm{K}, \lambda=0.71073 \AA, D_{\text {(calc.) }} 1.375 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}$, absorption coefficient $0.162 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$, $F(000) 1228,50,870$ reflections measured, $99.7 \%$ complete to $\theta=27.48^{\circ}, 12,947$ unique [ $\left.R_{\text {int }}=0.0578\right]$, which were used in all calculations. The final $R 1=0.0563(I>2 \sigma(I))$ and $w R 2=0.1571$ (all data) .

### 3.4. Synthesis of $[\mathrm{MePPh} 3]\left[\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right] \cdot \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3} \cdot 0 \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2)

[ $\mathrm{MePPh}_{3}$ ]I ( $2.50 \mathrm{~g}, 6.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. To this solution, excess Dowex 550A monosphere ( $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$form) was added and the suspension was stirred for 24 h . The ion-exchange resin was removed by filtration and $\mathrm{MeOH}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the filtrate. $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(1.91 \mathrm{~g}, 30.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the resulting solution, which was then heated for 1 h . The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation to yield an orange solid as the crude product, which was dried at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $24 \mathrm{~h}(2.84 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%)$. NMR. ( $\delta^{1} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $2.69\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{2} J(\mathrm{HP}) 13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.50(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.68(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;\left(\delta^{13} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{ppm}\right): 7.96\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{CP})\right.$ $58.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $119.06\left(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{CP}) 89.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$; ( $\left.\delta^{11} \mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{ppm}\right) ~ \mathrm{ppm}: 1.2,13.1,18.6 ;\left(\delta^{31} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{ppm}\right): 21.05$. IR (KBr/cm ${ }^{-1}$ ): 3307 (s), 1417 (vs), 1296 (vs), 1118 ( s$), 1019$ (m), 918 ( s$), 775$ (m), 748 (m), $720(\mathrm{~m}), 688(\mathrm{~m})$. Elemental analysis, $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~B}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{13.5}$ P req. C $40.3 \%, \mathrm{H} 4.6 \%$, found $\mathrm{C}, 42.8 \%$, $\mathrm{H}, 4.6 \%$. TGA: 1 st stage-loss of $4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(<275{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): 12 \%$ expt., $13 \%$ calc., $2^{\text {nd }}$-stage oxidation (275-800 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) to glassy residue: $42 \%$ expt., $37 \%$ calc. for $3 \times \mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$. BET: multi-point surface area ( $\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{g}$ ) $0.5098(2) ; 0.4134$ (anhydrous); 0.2226 (pyrolysed). Crystals suitable for sc-XRD were obtained by crystallization from $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. sc-XRD: $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{~B}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{27} \mathrm{P}_{2}, M_{r}=1132.46$, triclinic, $P-1, a=10.1076(10) \AA, b=13.0403(10) \AA, c=20.6260(15) \AA, \alpha=84.364(5)^{\circ}, \beta=82.811(4)^{\circ}$, $\gamma=76.492(4)^{\circ}, V=2615.9(4) \AA^{3}, Z=2, T=120(2) K, \lambda=0.71073 \AA, D_{\text {(calc.) }} 1.438 \mathrm{Mg}$ $/ \mathrm{m}$, absorption coefficient $0.172 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, F(000) 1172,35,616$ reflections measured, $98.8 \%$ complete to $\theta=27.48^{\circ}, 12,083$ unique [ $R_{\text {int }}=0.0649$ ], which were used in all calculations. The final $R 1=0.0742(I>2 \sigma(I))$ and $w R 2=0.1676$ (all data).

## 4. Conclusions

Two substituted aryl phosphonium pentaborate salts were synthesized by templated crystallization from aqueous solution primed with $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{3} 3\right.$ and appropriate aryl phosphonium cation and characterized by sc-XRD. Their structures are unusual for pentaborates in that $\left[{ }^{i} \mathrm{PrPPh}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right] \cdot 3.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ has alternating layers of cations and anions whilst $\left[\mathrm{MePPh}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right] \cdot \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ has a rectangular honeycomb-like structure with cations stacked within channels. Despite their unusual structures, the materials derived by thermal oxidation of the cations are non-porous. The solid-state structures of both compounds are stabilized by multiple H-bonding and phenyl embrace interactions.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https:/ / www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/molecules28196867/s1, Crystallographic data for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are available as Supplementary Materials. CCDC 2291682 (1) and 2291683 (2) also contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These CCDC data can be obtained free of charge via http:/ /www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html (or from CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge, CB2 1EZ. Fax: +44-1223-336033; E-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).
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