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#### Abstract

We construct the first four-dimensional multi-black hole solution of general relativity with a positive cosmological constant. The solution consists of two static black holes whose gravitational attraction is balanced by the cosmic expansion. These static binaries provide the first four-dimensional example of non-uniqueness in general relativity without matter.


Introduction. Black holes are famously featureless. This idea is embodied by the no-hair theorems, which state in essence that stationary black holes are uniquely characterized by their mass, angular momentum, and charge [1-11].

It should be noted that there are many situations where black hole uniqueness, as we have expressed it, is known to be violated. A well-known example involves multi-horizon configurations of charged, extremal black holes $[12,13]$. Other examples include higher dimensions [14], anti-de Sitter asymptotics [15-17], or exotic matter like classical Yang-Mills fields, complex scalars and Proca fields [18-20].

Additionally, there are some mathematical gaps in fully establishing black hole uniqueness, even in the more limited case of four-dimensional pure gravity in flat space. Indeed, asymptotically flat multi-Kerr black holes, where their gravitational attraction might be balanced by spinspin interactions, have not been ruled out (see e.g. [2133] for attempted constructions that yield singular configurations). Though for static solutions, a classic theorem due to [34-36] precludes the existence of regular asymptotically flat multi black holes.

Despite these (and potentially more) counterexamples, there is currently no experimental or observational evidence that black hole non-uniqueness can be realized in astrophysical or cosmological contexts. Indeed, the nohair theorems are fully consistent with observational results from the LIGO consortium [37].

However, the no-hair theorems assume that spacetime is asymptotically flat, a feature which is violated in our universe at the longest scales by the presence of a cosmological constant [38-42]. The resulting cosmic expansion might balance out the gravitational attraction of two or more black holes, allowing multiple black holes to exist

[^0]in static equilibrium. Such a configuration would share the same mass and angular momentum as some singlehorizon black hole and therefore serve as a more realistic counterexample to black hole uniqueness.

The aim of this Letter is to demonstrate that such a multi-horizon configuration indeed occurs within general relativity. We will focus on the simplest case with two equal-mass black holes that do not rotate nor contain charge, but our results and methods can be straightforwardly generalized. We will first show that these black binaries can be anticipated using intuition from NewtonHooke theory, and then construct these solutions by solving the Einstein equation numerically. Finally, we study the properties of these binaries in detail.

Our results, along with physical intuition, suggest that the static de Sitter binaries are dynamically unstable. Nevertheless, there remains a possibility that they can be stabilized with the introduction of charge or angular momentum. We will comment on this and other matters in the conclusions.

Before we continue, we mention some closely related work. Dynamical (i.e. out of equilibrium) multi-black holes in Einstein-Maxwell theory with a positive cosmological constant were found in [43]. The "rod-structure" corresponding to our static binaries were anticipated and examined in detail in [44]. In [45], a novel mechanism for balancing multi-black holes was proposed. These constructions provide Ricci-flat, closed-form solutions for static binaries supported by expanding bubbles of nothing. Mechanically, these solutions behave similarly to the static binaries we find.

Finally, we mention the mathematical papers [46-48], which might seem to rule out the existence of static black binaries in de Sitter. We will show that the assumptions made in $[46,47]$ do not apply, and that (for technical reasons) this conclusion from [48] is not correct.

Newton-Hooke. Let us first set out to see if the aforementioned multi-black hole configurations are allowed within Newtonian gravity. We adopt geometrized units in which $c=G=k_{B}=\hbar=1$.

Consider a configuration of $N$ black holes with masses $m_{a}$, with $a=1, \ldots, N$. For the Newtonian approximation to be valid, we assume that the distances between the black holes are much larger than their masses. We now include the effects of the cosmological constant $\Lambda \equiv 3 / \ell^{2}>0$, where $\ell$ is the de Sitter length scale. Accordingly, we assume that the entire configuration of black holes lies within a distance much smaller than $\ell$ and consider the Newton-Hooke equations of motion [49, 50]

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{a} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \mathbf{x}_{a}}{\mathrm{~d} t^{2}}-m_{a} \frac{\mathbf{x}_{a}}{\ell^{2}}=-\sum_{b \neq a}^{b=N} \frac{m_{a} m_{b}\left(\mathbf{x}_{a}-\mathbf{x}_{b}\right)}{\left|\mathbf{x}_{a}-\mathbf{x}_{b}\right|^{3}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{x}_{a}$ are the positions of the black holes.
Static solutions exist when

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathbf{x}_{a}}{\ell^{2}}=\sum_{b \neq a}^{b=N} \frac{m_{b}\left(\mathbf{x}_{a}-\mathbf{x}_{b}\right)}{\left|\mathbf{x}_{a}-\mathbf{x}_{b}\right|^{3}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such solutions are known as central configurations and provide homothetic solutions of the Newtonian $N$-body problem which has applications to Newtonian cosmology. The equation (2) can also be obtained from the DmitrievZeldovich equations [51] by using the scale factor $S(t)=$ $e^{\frac{t}{\ell}}$, corresponding to a de Sitter background in "steadstate" coordinates [49, 50, 52, 53].

Consider a central configuration with two equal mass black holes aligned along the $z$ axis and separated by a distance $d$. That is, $N=2, \mathbf{x}_{1}=-\mathbf{x}_{2}=\frac{d}{2} \mathbf{e}_{z}$, and $m_{a}=m_{b}=M$. Then (2) imposes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{3}}{\ell^{3}}=\frac{r_{+}}{\ell} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{+} \equiv 2 M$ is the Schwarzschild radius.
The requirements that the Newton-Hooke approximation should be valid and that the black holes are inside a single cosmological event horizon amount to

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{+} \ll d, \quad d \ll \ell \quad \text { and } \quad r_{+} \ll \ell \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the distance between the black holes is given as in (3), then we see that the first two conditions above are satisfied if we assume the third, i.e. if the black holes are small enough. We therefore conclude that static de Sitter binaries with small black holes are consistent with Newton-Hooke theory.

For later use, we introduce the event horizon Hawking temperature $T_{+}=\left(4 \pi r_{+}\right)^{-1}$ and rewrite (3) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{\ell}=\frac{1}{\left(4 \pi \ell T_{+}\right)^{1 / 3}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will confirm that our numerical solutions to the Einstein equation satisfy this scaling in the appropriate limit.

Numerical construction. We now construct static binaries in general relativity by numerically solving the Einstein equation with a positive cosmological constant:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{a b}=\frac{3}{\ell^{2}} g_{a b} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{a b}$ is the Ricci tensor and $g_{a b}$ is the metric tensor.
We use the DeTurck method, first introduced for general relativity in [54] and reviewed in [55, 56]. This method provides a convenient way of addressing the issue of gauge invariance, which ultimately causes the Einstein equation (6) to yield a set of ill-posed, non-elliptic PDEs.

The DeTurck method involves choosing any reference metric $\bar{g}$ with the same symmetries and causal structure as the solution we seek. In this case, our reference metric is static, contains two identical black holes, a cosmological horizon, and is axisymmetric. There is therefore a discrete $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ symmetry, as well as two Killing vector fields $k=\partial / \partial t$ and $m=\partial / \partial \phi$. We further assume that the black holes and cosmological horizon are Killing horizons generated by $k$. Our specific choice of reference metric involves a combination of the Israel-Khan solution [57] and the static patch of de Sitter space. Its design is detailed in the Supplementary Material.

We then write down the most general metric ansatz $g$ that respects the desired symmetries and causal structure. In this case, the metric ansatz depends non-trivially on two coordinates (i.e. it is cohomogeneity-two, and will yield two-dimensional PDEs).

We then solve the Einstein-DeTurck equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{a b}-\nabla_{(a} \xi_{b)}=\frac{3}{\ell^{2}} g_{a b} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi^{a} \equiv g^{b c}\left[\Gamma_{b c}^{a}(g)-\Gamma_{b c}^{a}(\bar{g})\right]$, and $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})$ is the metricpreserving Christoffel connection associated to a metric $\mathfrak{g}$. Unlike the Einstein equation, the Einstein-DeTurck equation (7) yields a set of elliptic PDEs [58], which gives a well-posed boundary-value problem with appropriate physical boundary conditions.

The Einstein-DeTurck equation (7) is solved numerically. One complication is that the integration domain contains five boundaries: the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ reflection surface, two disconnected symmetry axes, the black hole horizons, and the cosmological horizon. We handle this domain using patching techniques. This and other numerical methods we use are described in [56] and detailed in the Supplementary Material.

After solving (7), we must verify that the solution actually solves the Einstein equation, i.e. that $\xi=0$, and is therefore not a Ricci soliton (for which $\xi \neq 0$ ). Under many circumstances [58, 59], it can be proved that these unwanted Ricci solitons do not exist. Unfortunately, the present case is not one of these circumstances. Indeed, with a positive cosmological constant, Ricci solitons are known to exist (see e.g. [60]). Nevertheless, ellipticity guarantees local uniqueness. That is, solutions with $\xi=0$ cannot be arbitrarily close to solutions with $\xi \neq 0$, and thus the norm $\xi^{a} \xi_{a}$ can be monitored to identify whether our numerical discretization converges in the continuum to a Ricci soliton or to a true solution of the Einstein equation. In the Supplementary Material, we provide ample evidence that the numerical solutions we construct are not Ricci solitons.


FIG. 1. Proper distance between the black hole horizons versus the black hole temperature. The solid black line shows the scaling (5) according to Newton-Hooke analysis and the blue dots show numerical data according to general relativity.

Results. Having numerical solutions corresponding to static black binaries in de Sitter, we can now describe their properties and compare the numerical results to Newton-Hooke theory when the latter is valid.

We expect to find agreement with Newton-Hooke theory when the black holes become sufficiently small, or alternatively, when the black hole temperature becomes sufficiently large $T_{+} \ell \gg 1$. In FIG. 1, we provide a log$\log$ plot of the proper distance between the horizons of the two black holes along the symmetry axis $\mathcal{P}_{\phi} / \ell$, as a function of temperature $4 \pi T_{+} \ell$. The solid black line is the scaling (5), and the blue dots are the numerical data. The agreement at large values of $T_{+} \ell$ shows the validity of the Newton-Hooke analysis and corroborates our numerical construction.

We have not managed to find solutions with large black holes (small $4 \pi T_{+} \ell$ ). Because our solutions do not have regions of large curvature, there might be a "turning point" to a new branch of solutions. A similar phenomenon occurs for localized Kaluza-Klein black holes when the black holes are large relative to the KaluzaKlein circle [54, 55, 61-80]. We leave the exploration of this region of parameter space for future work.

Let us now discuss black hole thermodynamics. For a central configuration containing $N$ black holes inside the static patch of de Sitter, the covariant phase space formalism [81-92] shows that the following form of the first law of black hole mechanics holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} T_{+}^{(i)} \mathrm{d} S_{+}^{(i)}=-T_{c} \mathrm{~d} S_{c} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{c}$ is the temperature of the cosmological horizon, and $S_{c}$ is its entropy (i.e horizon area). $T_{+}^{(i)}$ and $S_{+}^{(i)}$ are the same quantities, respectively, for the $i-$ th black hole.


FIG. 2. Total black hole entropy versus the cosmological horizon entropy. The blue dots are numerical data for static binaries ( $S=2 S_{+}$) and the solid black line is for the single Schwarzschild-de Sitter black hole.

With $N=2$ and equal-mass black holes, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 T_{+} \mathrm{d} S_{+}=-T_{c} \mathrm{~d} S_{c} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have checked that our data satisfies this form of the first law to within $0.01 \%$.

Following [93], we now consider the entropy, which must increase during time evolution. The blue dots in FIG. 2 show the entropy of the static binary as a function of the entropy $S_{c}$ of the cosmological horizon. The black curve shows the entropy for the single Schwarzschild-de Sitter black hole (also known as the Kottler black hole). We see that for any given $S_{c}$, the single Schwarzschild black hole has higher entropy than the binary. This indicates that the binary can evolve towards the single black hole but not the other way around. The static black binary is therefore thermodynamically unstable.

The fact that (at least) two solutions exist for a given cosmological horizon entropy implies that the Schwarzschild-de Sitter black hole is not unique. This is the first counterexample to the no-hair conjecture [94] for pure gravity with a positive cosmological constant.

We now comment on the uniqueness theorems for de Sitter black holes [46-48] which would, under certain assumptions, rule out the existence of static de Sitter binaries. In [46], the level sets of the lapse function $N \equiv \sqrt{-g_{t t}}$ are assumed to be surface forming. In particular, this means that the level sets must consist only of 2 D surfaces. In [47], the set $\operatorname{MAX}(N)=\{x \in \mathcal{M}$ : $\left.N(x)=N_{\max }\right\}$, where $N_{\max }$ is the maximum value of $N$ in the manifold $\mathcal{M}$, is assumed to disconnect $\mathcal{M}$ into an inner region $\mathcal{M}_{-}$and an outer region $\mathcal{M}_{+}$with the same virtual mass. Our static binaries do not satisfy either of these assumptions. Indeed, in FIG. 3 we show the level sets $N$ in our domain of integration for a typical solution


FIG. 3. Contour plot showing the level sets of the lapse function $N$. The cosmological horizon is the outer solid black semicircle. The horizon axes has the two black hole horizons as solid magenta lines, and the outer and inner axes in dashed black lines. The green square is where $N$ takes its maximum value.
(all of our solutions show the same qualitative behavior). The coordinates $(r, z)$ are defined in the Supplemental Material. The cosmological horizon is represented by the outer solid black semicircle, the two black hole horizons are marked by solid magenta lines along the horizontal axis, and the inner and outer axes are given by the dashed horizontal line. Finally, the green square marks the location of the maximum of $N$ in $\mathcal{M}$. This maximum represents an $S^{1}$ on the manifold, which is not a 2D surface, and it also does not partition the manifold into two regions. Therefore, our static binaries fail to satisfy the assumptions in [46, 47].

Finally, we comment on [48]. We believe that this work is not correct for a rather technical reason. Beginning with the Schwarzschild-de Sitter black hole, the authors in [48] argue that they can construct an asymptotically flat metric that is conformal to the original one, is topologically $S^{1} \times S^{2}$ deprived of one point, and has zero ADM mass. If that were true, the rigidity statement in the positive mass theorem [95-99] would not only imply that the original metric is conformally flat, but also that $S^{1} \times S^{2}$ with one point removed is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, which is impossible.

Conclusions. We constructed the first example of a multi-black hole solution within general relativity with a positive cosmological constant and established that the leading behavior of these solutions agrees (for small black holes) with estimates from Newton-Hooke theory. Based on thermodynamic considerations, we argued that these solutions are thermodynamically unstable. Because the configuration requires a delicate balance between gravitational attraction and cosmic expansion, we expect these solutions to also be dynamically unstable.

We have focused on the static configuration of two identical black holes, but our results and methods can be generalized. First, consider the case where the black holes have different masses. When one of the black holes is much smaller than the other, one can use the geodesic approximation to predict the existence of such a configuration. Indeed, one can easily confirm the existence of static orbits for timelike particles on a Schwarzschild-de Sitter black hole background, thus providing further evidence for the existence of this more general central configuration. Note that if [48] were correct, this asymmetric binary would also not exist.

We can also include rotation, which will introduce spinspin interaction of the black holes. This opens the possibility of continuous non-uniqueness. Consider, for example, the case with two identical black holes rotating in opposite directions along the axis of symmetry. This configuration will have vanishing total angular momentum, and will thus be in the same class as the Schwarzschild-de Sitter black hole. Work in this direction is underway.

Perhaps more interestingly, because spin-spin interactions act on shorter length scales, they could provide a mechanism for stabilizing the binary. This possibility resembles the mechanism that provides stability for molecules. Work in this direction is underway.

We could also consider central configurations containing $N>2$ static black holes in the static patch of de Sitter. These configurations can show interesting properties within the Newton-Hooke approximation. For instance, when $N \geq 13$, minimal energy central configurations do not lie on a regular polyhedron [49]. We thus expect the equivalent property within general relativity. The study of these configurations is within the reach of the numerical methods employed in this Letter.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Stefano Borghini, Lorenzo Mazzieri and Ryan Unger for valuable discussions and correspondence regarding [48]. J. E. S. would like to thank Jay Armas and Troels Harmark for mentioning this interesting problem to him in 2012 at the 28th Pacific Coast Gravity Meeting, held at University of California, Santa Barbara and Don Marolf for discussions on the microcanonical properties of black holes contained inside the static patch of de Sitter. O. C. D. acknowl-
edges financial support from the STFC "Particle Physics Grants Panel (PPGP) 2018" Grant No. ST/T000775/1. J. E. S. has been partially supported by STFC consolidated grant ST/T000694/1. B. W. would like to thank Roberto Emparan for fruitful discussions and acknowledges support from ERC Advanced Grant GravBHs692951 and MEC grant FPA2016-76005-C2-2-P. The authors acknowledge the use of the IRIDIS High Performance Computing Facility, and associated support services at the University of Southampton, for the completion of this work.
[1] J. E. Chase, "Event horizons in static scalar-vacuum space-times," Commun. Math. Phys. 19 (1970) 276.
[2] R. Penney, "Axially Symmetric Zero-Mass Meson Solutions of Einstein Equations," Phys. Rev. 174 (1968) 1578-1579.
[3] J. D. Bekenstein, "Transcendence of the law of baryon-number conservation in black hole physics," Phys. Rev. Lett. 28 (1972) 452-455.
[4] J. D. Bekenstein, "Nonexistence of baryon number for static black holes," Phys. Rev. D5 (1972) 1239-1246.
[5] J. D. Bekenstein, "Nonexistence of baryon number for black holes. ii," Phys. Rev. D5 (1972) 2403-2412.
[6] C. Teitelboim, "Nonmeasurability of the quantum numbers of a black hole," Phys. Rev. D5 (1972) 2941-2954.
[7] J. B. Hartle, Magic Without Magic. edited by J. Klauder, Freeman, San Francisco, 1972.
[8] M. Heusler, "A No hair theorem for selfgravitating nonlinear sigma models," J. Math. Phys. 33 (1992) 3497-3502.
[9] J. D. Bekenstein, "Novel 'no scalar hair' theorem for black holes," Phys. Rev. D51 (1995) 6608-6611.
[10] J. D. Bekenstein, "Black hole hair: 25 - years after," in 2nd International Sakharov Conference on Physics, pp. 216-219. 5, 1996. arXiv:gr-qc/9605059.
[11] D. Sudarsky, "A Simple proof of a no hair theorem in Einstein Higgs theory,", Class. Quant. Grav. 12 (1995) 579-584.
[12] S. D. Majumdar, "A class of exact solutions of Einstein's field equations," Phys. Rev. 72 (1947) 390-398.
[13] A. Papapetrou, "A Static solution of the equations of the gravitational field for an arbitrary charge distribution," Proc. Roy. Irish Acad.(Sect. A) A51 (1947) 191-204.
[14] R. Emparan and H. S. Reall, "A Rotating black ring solution in five-dimensions," Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 101101, arXiv:hep-th/0110260.
[15] S. S. Gubser, "Breaking an Abelian gauge symmetry near a black hole horizon," Phys. Rev. D 78 (2008) 065034, arXiv:0801.2977 [hep-th].
[16] S. A. Hartnoll, C. P. Herzog, and G. T. Horowitz, "Holographic Superconductors," JHEP 12 (2008) 015, arXiv:0810.1563 [hep-th].
[17] O. J. C. Dias, G. T. Horowitz, and J. E. Santos, "Black holes with only one Killing field," JHEP 07 (2011) 115, arXiv:1105.4167 [hep-th].
[18] M. S. Volkov and D. V. Gal'tsov, "Gravitating nonAbelian solitons and black holes with Yang-Mills fields," Phys. Rept. 319 (1999) 1-83, arXiv:hep-th/9810070 [hep-th].
[19] C. A. R. Herdeiro and E. Radu, "Kerr black holes with scalar hair," Phys. Rev. Lett. 112 (2014) 221101, arXiv:1403.2757 [gr-qc].
[20] C. Herdeiro, E. Radu, and H. Rúnarsson, "Kerr black holes with Proca hair," Class. Quant. Grav. 33 no. 15, (2016) 154001, arXiv:1603.02687 [gr-qc].
[21] Z. Perjés, "Solutions of the coupled einstein-maxwell equations representing the fields of spinning sources," Phys. Rev. Lett. 27 (Dec, 1971) 1668-1670.
[22] W. Israel and G. A. Wilson, "A class of stationary electromagnetic vacuum fields," J. Math. Phys. 13 (1972) 865-871.
[23] J. B. Hartle and S. W. Hawking, "Solutions of the Einstein-Maxwell equations with many black holes," Commun. Math. Phys. 26 (1972) 87-101.
[24] A. Tomimatsu and H. Sato, "New Exact Solution for the Gravitational Field of a Spinning Mass," Phys. Rev. Lett. 29 (1972) 1344-1345.
[25] D. Kramer and G. Neugebauer, "The superposition of two kerr solutions," Physics Letters A 75 no. 4, (1980) 259-261.
[26] G. Neugebauer, "Relarivistic gravitational fields of rotating bodies," Physics Letters A 86 no. 2, (1981) 91-93.
[27] H. Stephani, D. Kramer, M. MacCallum, C. Hoenselaers, and E. Herlt, Exact Solutions of Einstein's Field Equations. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, 2 ed., 2003.
[28] V. S. Manko and E. Ruiz, "Exact solution of the double-kerr equilibrium problem," Classical and Quantum Gravity 18 no. 2, (Jan, 2001) L11.
[29] C. A. R. Herdeiro and C. Rebelo, "On the interaction between two Kerr black holes," JHEP 10 (2008) 017, arXiv:0808.3941 [gr-qc].
[30] V. S. Manko, E. D. Rodchenko, E. Ruiz, and B. I. Sadovnikov, "Exact solutions for a system of two counter-rotating black holes," Phys. Rev. D 78 (2008) 124014, arXiv:0809.2422 [gr-qc].
[31] V. S. Manko and E. Ruiz, "Metric for two equal Kerr black holes," Phys. Rev. D 96 no. 10, (2017) 104016, arXiv:1702.05802 [gr-qc].
[32] V. S. Manko and E. Ruiz, "Metric for two arbitrary

Kerr sources," Phys. Lett. B 794 (2019) 36-40, arXiv:1806.10408 [gr-qc].
[33] V. S. Manko and E. Ruiz, "Equatorially symmetric configurations of two Kerr-Newman black holes," Phys. Rev. D 105 no. 2, (2022) 024036, arXiv:2011. 04313 [gr-qc].
[34] W. Israel, "Event horizons in static vacuum space-times," Phys. Rev. 164 (1967) 1776-1779.
[35] D. C. Robinson, "A simple proof of the generalization of Israel's theorem.," General Relativity and Gravitation 8 no. 8, (Aug., 1977) 695-698.
[36] G. L. Bunting and A. K. M. Masood-Ul-Alam, "Nonexistence of multiple black holes in asymptotically Euclidean static vacuum space-time.," General Relativity and Gravitation 19 no. 2, (Feb., 1987) 147-154.
[37] KAGRA, LIGO Scientific, Virgo, VIRGO Collaboration, B. P. Abbott et al., "Prospects for observing and localizing gravitational-wave transients with Advanced LIGO, Advanced Virgo and KAGRA," Living Rev. Rel. 21 no. 1, (2018) 3, arXiv:1304. 0670 [gr-qc].
[38] Supernova Cosmology Project Collaboration, S. Perlmutter et al., "Discovery of a supernova explosion at half the age of the Universe and its cosmological implications," Nature 391 (1998) 51-54, arXiv:astro-ph/9712212.
[39] Supernova Search Team Collaboration, A. G. Riess et al., "Observational evidence from supernovae for an accelerating universe and a cosmological constant," Astron. J. 116 (1998) 1009-1038, arXiv:astro-ph/9805201.
[40] Supernova Cosmology Project Collaboration, S. Perlmutter et al., "Measurements of $\Omega$ and $\Lambda$ from 42 high redshift supernovae," Astrophys. J. 517 (1999) 565-586, arXiv:astro-ph/9812133.
[41] A. Wright, "It's a mystery," Nature Physics 7 no. 11, (Nov, 2011) 833-833.
[42] Planck Collaboration, P. A. R. Ade et al., "Planck 2013 results. XVI. Cosmological parameters," Astron. Astrophys. 571 (2014) A16, arXiv:1303.5076 [astro-ph.CO].
[43] D. Kastor and J. H. Traschen, "Cosmological multi black hole solutions," Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993) 5370-5375, arXiv:hep-th/9212035.
[44] J. Armas, P. Caputa, and T. Harmark, "Domain Structure of Black Hole Space-Times with a Cosmological Constant," Phys. Rev. D 85 (2012) 084019, arXiv:1111.1163 [hep-th].
[45] M. Astorino, R. Emparan, and A. Viganò, "Bubbles of nothing in binary black holes and black rings, and viceversa," JHEP 07 (2022) 007, arXiv:2204.09690 [hep-th].
[46] P. G. LeFloch and L. Rozoy, "Uniqueness of kottler spacetime and the besse conjecture," Comptes Rendus Mathematique 348 no. 19, (2010) 1129-1132.
[47] S. Borghini, P. T. Chruściel, and L. Mazzieri, "On the uniqueness of Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetime," arXiv:1909. 05941 [math.DG].
[48] A. M. ul Alam and W. Yu, "Uniqueness of de sitter and schwarzschild-de sitter spacetimes," Communications in Analysis and Geometry 23 no. 2, (2014) 377-387.
[49] R. A. Battye, G. W. Gibbons, and P. M. Sutcliffe, "Central configurations in three-dimensions," Proc.

Roy. Soc. Lond. A 459 (2003) 911-943, arXiv:hep-th/0201101.
[50] G. W. Gibbons and C. E. Patricot, "Newton-Hooke space-times, Hpp waves and the cosmological constant," Class. Quant. Grav. 20 (2003) 5225, arXiv:hep-th/0308200.
[51] I. Dmitriev and V. Nikolaev, "Semi-empirical method for the calculation of the equilibrium distribution of charges in a fast-ion beam," Sov. Phys. JETP 20 (1965) 409-415.
[52] G. F. R. Ellis and G. W. Gibbons, "Discrete Newtonian Cosmology," Class. Quant. Grav. 31 (2014) 025003, arXiv:1308.1852 [astro-ph.CO].
[53] G. F. R. Ellis and G. W. Gibbons, "Discrete Newtonian Cosmology: Perturbations," Class. Quant. Grav. 32 no. 5, (2015) 055001, arXiv:1409.0395 [gr-qc].
[54] M. Headrick, S. Kitchen, and T. Wiseman, "A New approach to static numerical relativity, and its application to Kaluza-Klein black holes," Class. Quant. Grav. 27 (2010) 035002, arXiv:0905. 1822 [gr-qc].
[55] T. Wiseman, Numerical construction of static and stationary black holes. in Black Holes in Higher Dimensions, CUP 2012, Ed. Gary T. Horowitz, 2011. arXiv:1107.5513 [gr-qc].
http://inspirehep.net/record/920553/files/arXiv: 1107.5513.pdf.
[56] O. J. C. Dias, J. E. Santos, and B. Way, "Numerical Methods for Finding Stationary Gravitational Solutions," Class. Quant. Grav. 33 no. 13, (2016) 133001, arXiv:1510.02804 [hep-th].
[57] W. Israel and K. A. Khan, "Collinear particles and bondi dipoles in general relativity," Il Nuovo Cimento (1955-1965) 33 no. 2, (Jul, 1964) 331-344.
[58] P. Figueras, J. Lucietti, and T. Wiseman, "Ricci solitons, Ricci flow, and strongly coupled CFT in the Schwarzschild Unruh or Boulware vacua," Class. Quant. Grav. 28 (2011) 215018, arXiv:1104. 4489 [hep-th].
[59] P. Figueras and T. Wiseman, "On the existence of stationary Ricci solitons," Class. Quant. Grav. 34 no. 14, (2017) 145007, arXiv:1610.06178 [gr-qc].
[60] M. Feldman, T. Ilmanen, and D. Knopf, "Rotationally Symmetric Shrinking and Expanding Gradient Kähler-Ricci Solitons," Journal of Differential Geometry 65 no. 2, (2003) 169 - 209.
[61] B. Kol, "Topology change in general relativity, and the black hole black string transition," JHEP 10 (2005) 049, arXiv:hep-th/0206220 [hep-th].
[62] T. Wiseman, "Static axisymmetric vacuum solutions and nonuniform black strings," Class. Quant. Grav. 20 (2003) 1137-1176, arXiv:hep-th/0209051 [hep-th].
[63] B. Kol and T. Wiseman, "Evidence that highly nonuniform black strings have a conical waist," Class. Quant. Grav. 20 (2003) 3493-3504, arXiv:hep-th/0304070 [hep-th].
[64] T. Harmark, "Small black holes on cylinders," Phys. Rev. D69 (2004) 104015, arXiv:hep-th/0310259 [hep-th].
[65] D. Gorbonos and B. Kol, "A Dialogue of multipoles: Matched asymptotic expansion for caged black holes," JHEP 06 (2004) 053, arXiv:hep-th/0406002 [hep-th].
[66] T. Harmark and N. A. Obers, "New phases of near-extremal branes on a circle," JHEP 09 (2004) 022, arXiv:hep-th/0407094 [hep-th].
[67] V. Asnin, B. Kol, and M. Smolkin, "Analytic evidence
for continuous self similarity of the critical merger solution," Class. Quant. Grav. 23 (2006) 6805-6827, arXiv:hep-th/0607129.
[68] T. Harmark and N. A. Obers, "Black holes on cylinders," JHEP 05 (2002) 032, arXiv:hep-th/0204047 [hep-th].
[69] T. Wiseman, "From black strings to black holes," Class. Quant. Grav. 20 (2003) 1177-1186, arXiv:hep-th/0211028.
[70] H. Kudoh and T. Wiseman, "Properties of Kaluza-Klein black holes," Prog. Theor. Phys. 111 (2004) 475-507, arXiv:hep-th/0310104 [hep-th].
[71] H. Kudoh and T. Wiseman, "Connecting black holes and black strings," Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 (2005) 161102, arXiv:hep-th/0409111 [hep-th].
[72] E. Sorkin, "Nonuniform black strings in various dimensions," Phys. Rev. D74 (2006) 104027, arXiv:gr-qc/0608115.
[73] B. Kleihaus, J. Kunz, and E. Radu, "New nonuniform black string solutions," JHEP 06 (2006) 016, arXiv:hep-th/0603119 [hep-th].
[74] T. Harmark, V. Niarchos, and N. A. Obers, "Instabilities of black strings and branes," Class. Quant. Grav. 24 (2007) R1-R90, arXiv:hep-th/0701022 [hep-th].
[75] O. J. C. Dias, T. Harmark, R. C. Myers, and N. A. Obers, "Multi-black hole configurations on the cylinder," Phys. Rev. D76 (2007) 104025, arXiv:0706.3645 [hep-th].
[76] P. Figueras, K. Murata, and H. S. Reall, "Stable non-uniform black strings below the critical dimension," JHEP 11 (2012) 071, arXiv:1209. 1981 [gr-qc].
[77] G.T. Horowitz, et. al, Black Holes in Higher Dimensions. Cambridge University Press, 2012.
[78] M. Kalisch and M. Ansorg, "Pseudo-spectral construction of non-uniform black string solutions in five and six spacetime dimensions," Class. Quant. Grav. 33 no. 21, (2016) 215005, arXiv:1607.03099 [gr-qc].
[79] O. J. C. Dias, J. E. Santos, and B. Way, "Localised AdS $S_{5} \times S^{5}$ Black Holes," Phys. Rev. Lett. 117 no. 15, (2016) 151101, arXiv:1605.04911 [hep-th].
[80] O. J. C. Dias, J. E. Santos, and B. Way, "Localised and nonuniform thermal states of super-Yang-Mills on a circle," JHEP 06 (2017) 029, arXiv:1702. 07718 [hep-th].
[81] R. M. Wald, "Black hole entropy is the noether charge," Physical Review D 48 no. 8, (1993) R3427.
[82] V. Iyer and R. M. Wald, "Some properties of the noether charge and a proposal for dynamical black hole entropy," Physical review D 50 no. 2, (1994) 846.
[83] V. Iyer and R. M. Wald, "Comparison of the noether charge and euclidean methods for computing the entropy of stationary black holes," Physical Review D 52 no. 8, (1995) 4430.
[84] R. M. Wald and A. Zoupas, "General definition of "conserved quantities" in general relativity and other theories of gravity," Physical Review D 61 no. 8, (2000) 084027.
[85] I. Papadimitriou and K. Skenderis, "Thermodynamics of asymptotically locally ads spacetimes," Journal of High Energy Physics 2005 no. 08, (2005) 004.
[86] I. M. Anderson and C. G. Torre, "Asymptotic conservation laws in field theory," Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 (1996) 4109-4113.
[87] G. Barnich and F. Brandt, "Covariant theory of asymptotic symmetries, conservation laws and central charges," Nucl. Phys. B633 (2002) 3-82.
[88] G. Barnich, "Boundary charges in gauge theories: Using Stokes theorem in the bulk," Class. Quant. Grav. 20 (2003) 3685-3698.
[89] G. Barnich and G. Compere, "Surface charge algebra in gauge theories and thermodynamic integrability," $J$. Math. Phys. 49 (2008) 042901.
[90] G. Compere, "Note on the First Law with p-form potentials," Phys. Rev. D75 (2007) 124020.
[91] D. D. K. Chow and G. Compere, "Dyonic AdS black holes in maximal gauged supergravity," Phys. Rev. D89 no. 6, (2014) 065003.
[92] G. Compere, Symmetries and conservation laws in Lagrangian gauge theories with applications to the mechanics of black holes and to gravity in three dimensions. PhD thesis, Brussels U., 2007. arXiv:0708.3153 [hep-th].
[93] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, "Cosmological Event Horizons, Thermodynamics, and Particle Creation," Phys. Rev. D 15 (1977) 2738-2751.
[94] R. Ruffini and J. A. Wheeler, "Introducing the black hole," Phys. Today 24 no. 1, (1971) 30.
[95] R. Schon and S.-T. Yau, "On the Proof of the positive mass conjecture in general relativity," Commun. Math. Phys. 65 (1979) 45-76.
[96] R. Schon and S.-T. Yau, "Proof of the positive mass theorem. 2.," Commun. Math. Phys. 79 (1981) 231-260.
[97] E. Witten, "A Simple Proof of the Positive Energy Theorem," Commun. Math. Phys. 80 (1981) 381.
[98] G. W. Gibbons, S. W. Hawking, G. T. Horowitz, and M. J. Perry, "Positive Mass Theorems for Black Holes," Commun. Math. Phys. 88 (1983) 295.
[99] D. A. Lee, M. Lesourd, and R. Unger, "Density and Positive Mass Theorems for Initial Data Sets with Boundary," Commun. Math. Phys. 395 no. 2, (2022) 643-677, arXiv:2112.12017 [math.DG].

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

## Appendix A: The Israel-Khan Solution

The Israel-Khan solution [57] is an exact solution that describes two asymptotically flat black holes separated by a conical strut. Because it is an exact multi-horizon solution, it will be useful for our numerical construction of static de Sitter binaries. Here, we review this solution and describe some of its coordinate representations that we use.

Aside from the conical strut, the Israel-Khan spacetime is completely regular outside the horizons. Here, we are only concerned with the case where both black holes are equal. The solution is often presented in Weyl coordinates:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=\ell^{2}\left[-f \mathrm{~d} t^{2}+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{f}\left[h\left(\mathrm{~d} r^{2}+\mathrm{d} z^{2}\right)+r^{2} \mathrm{~d} \phi^{2}\right]\right] \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
f=\left(\frac{k\left(R_{+}+r_{+}\right)-(1-k)}{k\left(R_{+}+r_{+}\right)+(1-k)}\right)\left(\frac{k\left(R_{-}+r_{-}\right)-(1-k)}{k\left(R_{-}+r_{-}\right)+(1-k)}\right)  \tag{A2}\\
h=\left(\frac{r^{2}+(z+1 / k)(z+1)+R_{+} r_{+}}{2 R_{+} r_{+}}\right)\left(\frac{r^{2}+(z-1 / k)(z-1)+R_{-} r_{-}}{2 R_{-} r_{-}}\right) \\
\times\left(\frac{r^{2}+z^{2}-1+r_{+} r_{-}}{r^{2}+(z-1 / k)(z+1)+r_{+} R_{-}}\right)\left(\frac{r^{2}+z^{2}-\left(1 / k^{2}\right)+R_{+} R_{-}}{r^{2}+(z+1 / k)(z-1)+R_{+} r_{-}}\right) \tag{A3}
\end{gather*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{ \pm}=\sqrt{r^{2}+\left(z \pm \frac{1}{k}\right)^{2}}, \quad r_{ \pm}=\sqrt{r^{2}+(z \pm 1)^{2}} \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and where $\ell$ is an arbitrary length scale that we have introduced for later use in de Sitter. The solution is parametrized by $\lambda \in(0, \infty)$ and $k \in(0,1)$. The temperature of the black holes is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{4 \lambda(1-k)}{k(1+k)} \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

A peculiarity of the Weyl form is that the axes and horizons of the solution are all located at $r=0$, and so is described as a "rod structure." At $r=0$, the horizons lie in the regions $z \in(1,1 / k)$ and $z \in(-1 / k,-1)$, with the inner axis between the black holes in the region $z \in(-1,1)$, and the outer axes in $z \in(1 / k, \infty)$ and $z \in(-\infty,-1 / k)$. The inner axes contains a conical singularity which holds the two black holes apart.

The Weyl form is useful for obtaining this solution as it provides a means of simplifying the Einstein equation into an integrable form. But in order to accommodate the rod structure, the coordinates cannot be smooth along the line $r=0$ (there are coordinate singularities at the "joints" between rods), making the Weyl form ill-suited for our numerical purposes.

We therefore seek a coordinate transformation that maps the outer axes, inner axis, and horizons into a coordinate rectangle. This can be accomplished by a conformal Schwarz-Christoffel transformation. The standard formulas for this type of transformation will give mappings that use Jacobi Elliptic functions, but here we convert these functions to a more algebraic form. The mappings we use are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\frac{x \sqrt{2-x^{2}} \sqrt{\left(1-y^{2}\right)^{2}+k^{2} y^{2}\left(2-y^{2}\right)}}{\left(1-y^{2}\right)^{2}+k^{2} x^{2}\left(2-x^{2}\right) y^{2}\left(2-y^{2}\right)}, \quad r=\frac{\left(1-x^{2}\right) \sqrt{1-k^{2} x^{2}\left(2-x^{2}\right)} y \sqrt{2-y^{2}}\left(1-y^{2}\right)}{\left(1-y^{2}\right)^{2}+k^{2} x^{2}\left(2-x^{2}\right) y^{2}\left(2-y^{2}\right)} \tag{A6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lines of constant $x$ and $y$, along with the rod structure of Israel-Khan are shown in FIG. 4.
With this coordinate transformation, the Israel-Khan solution takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=\ell^{2}\left\{-f \mathrm{~d} t^{2}+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{m^{2} \Delta_{x y}^{2}}\left[w^{2}\left(\frac{4 \mathrm{~d} x^{2}}{\left(2-x^{2}\right) \Delta_{x}}+\frac{4 \mathrm{~d} y^{2}}{\left(2-y^{2}\right) \Delta_{y}}\right)+y^{2}\left(2-y^{2}\right)\left(1-y^{2}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \phi^{2}\right]\right\} \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 4. Lines of constant $x$ and $y$, shown in Weyl coordinates. There is a reflection symmetry about $z=0$, so only positive $z$ is shown. The rod structure of the Israel-Khan solution can be seen at $r=0$, with one of the black hole horizons lying between the two red dots.
where in these coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{2} \Delta_{x} m^{2} \tag{A8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta_{x}=1-k^{2} x^{2}\left(2-x^{2}\right), \quad \Delta_{y}=1-\left(1-k^{2}\right) y^{2}\left(2-y^{2}\right), \quad \Delta_{x y}=\left(1-y^{2}\right)^{2}+k^{2} x^{2}\left(2-x^{2}\right) y^{2}\left(2-y^{2}\right)  \tag{A9}\\
w=\frac{k}{(1+k)^{2}}\left(1+\sqrt{\Delta_{y}}\right)^{2}, \quad m=\frac{k\left[1-(1-k) y^{2}\left(2-y^{2}\right)+\sqrt{\Delta_{y}}\right]}{(1-k) \Delta_{x}\left(1-y^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(k+\sqrt{\Delta_{y}}\right)\left[\Delta_{x}+(1-k)\left(\sqrt{\Delta_{x y}}-1\right)\right]} \tag{A10}
\end{gather*}
$$

The horizons are at $x= \pm 1$, the inner axis is at $y=0$, and the outer axes are at $y=1$. There is also a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ symmetry about $x=0$. All functions $\Delta_{x}, \Delta_{y}, w$, and $m$ are smooth and positive definite in the domain. $\Delta_{x y}$ vanishes at $(x, y)=(0,1)$ (asymptotic infinity), and is positive and smooth otherwise.

Eventually, we wish to join the Israel-Khan solution with a de Sitter horizon. In anticipation of doing so, we present the Israel-Khan solution in polar-Weyl coordinates defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\rho \xi \sqrt{2-\xi^{2}}, \quad r=\rho\left(1-\xi^{2}\right) \tag{A11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Israel-Khan solution takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=\ell^{2}\left\{-f \mathrm{~d} t^{2}+\frac{\lambda^{2} h}{f}\left[\mathrm{~d} \rho^{2}+\rho^{2}\left(\frac{4 \mathrm{~d} \xi^{2}}{2-\xi^{2}}+\frac{\left(1-\xi^{2}\right)^{2}}{h} \mathrm{~d} \phi^{2}\right)\right]\right\} \tag{A12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $f$ and $h$ also transformed accordingly. For later use, we find it convenient to express $f$ and $h$ as functions of $\rho$ and $z=\rho \xi \sqrt{2-\xi^{2}}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
f=\left(\frac{k\left(R_{+}+r_{+}\right)-(1-k)}{k\left(R_{+}+r_{+}\right)+(1-k)}\right)\left(\frac{k\left(R_{-}+r_{-}\right)-(1-k)}{k\left(R_{-}+r_{-}\right)+(1-k)}\right)  \tag{A13}\\
h=\left(\frac{\rho^{2}+\frac{1}{k}[1+(1+k) z]+R_{+} r_{+}}{2 R_{+} r_{+}}\right)\left(\frac{\rho^{2}+\frac{1}{k}[1-(1+k) z]+R_{-} r_{-}}{2 R_{-} r_{-}}\right) \\
\times\left(\frac{\rho^{2}-1+r_{+} r_{-}}{\rho^{2}-\frac{1}{k}[1+(1-k) z]+r_{+} R_{-}}\right)\left(\frac{\rho^{2}-\left(1 / k^{2}\right)+R_{+} R_{-}}{\rho^{2}-\frac{1}{k}[1-(1-k z)]+R_{+} r_{-}}\right) \tag{A14}
\end{gather*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{ \pm}=\sqrt{\rho^{2}+\frac{1}{k^{2}} \pm \frac{2 z}{k}}, \quad r_{ \pm}=\sqrt{\rho^{2}+1 \pm 2 z} \tag{A15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $h$ and $f$ approach unity when $\rho \rightarrow \infty$, where the spacetime becomes asymptotically flat.
From (A6) and (A11), we can derive an explicit coordinate transformation between the Schwarz-Christoffel ( $x, y$ ) coordinates and the polar-Weyl $(\rho, \xi)$ coordinates:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho=\frac{\sqrt{y^{2}\left(2-y^{2}\right)+x^{2}\left(2-x^{2}\right)\left(1-y^{2}\right)^{2}}}{\sqrt{\left(1-y^{2}\right)^{2}+k^{2} x^{2}\left(2-x^{2}\right) y^{2}\left(2-y^{2}\right)}},  \tag{A16a}\\
& \xi=\sqrt{1-\frac{\left(1-x^{2}\right) y \sqrt{2-y^{2}}}{\sqrt{y^{2}\left(2-y^{2}\right)+x^{2}\left(2-x^{2}\right)\left(1-y^{2}\right)^{2}} \sqrt{\left(1-y^{2}\right)^{2}+k^{2} x^{2}\left(2-x^{2}\right) y^{2}\left(2-y^{2}\right)}} .} . \tag{A16b}
\end{align*}
$$

Like the original Weyl coordinates, these polar-Weyl coordinates are not smooth along $\xi= \pm 1$. This will not be an issue for us as we will only use these coordinates in our numerical construction for sufficiently large $\rho$, where the coordinates are smooth.

## Appendix B: Designing the Reference Metric for Einstein-DeTurck

Our strategy for designing a reference metric for the Einstein-DeTurck problem (described in the main text) is to attach a de Sitter horizon to the Israel-Khan solution. De Sitter space in four dimensions is most commonly written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=-\left(1-\frac{R^{2}}{\ell^{2}}\right) \mathrm{d} \tau^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{d} R^{2}}{1-\frac{R^{2}}{\ell^{2}}}+r^{2}\left(\mathrm{~d} \theta^{2}+\sin ^{2} \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi^{2}\right) \tag{B1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\ell$ is the de Sitter length scale. De Sitter can also be written in isotropic coordinates with the transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{R}{\ell}=\frac{\lambda \rho}{1+\frac{\lambda^{2} \rho^{2}}{4}}, \quad \sin \theta=1-\xi^{2}, \quad \tau=\ell t \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=\frac{\ell^{2}}{g_{+}^{2}}\left\{-g_{-}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t^{2}+\lambda^{2}\left[\mathrm{~d} \rho^{2}+\rho^{2}\left(\frac{4 \mathrm{~d} \xi^{2}}{2-\xi^{2}}+\left(1-\xi^{2}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \phi^{2}\right)\right]\right\} \tag{B3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{ \pm}=1 \pm \frac{\lambda^{2} \rho^{2}}{4} \tag{B4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In these coordinates, the de Sitter horizon has a constant temperature of $1 / 2 \pi . \lambda$ is a gauge parameter that merely scales the radial coordinate $\rho$. There is an origin at $\rho=0$, the de Sitter horizon is located at $\rho=2 / \lambda$, there is an axis of symmetry at $\xi= \pm 1$ and a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ symmetry at $\xi=0$.

This form of de Sitter is suggestively close to the Israel-Khan solution in polar-Weyl form (A12). Aside from some factors of $f$ and $h$ (which approach unity at large $\rho$ ), the only differences are that de Sitter in isotropic coordinates has an overall conformal factor of $1 / g_{+}^{2}$ and a factor of $g_{-}^{2}$ in the $\mathrm{d} t^{2}$ term whose zero defines the de Sitter horizon. We will make use of these similarities in our construction.

Now to begin engineering a reference metric, we make some slight modifications to the Israel-Khan solution (A7) and (A12):

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} s_{\mathrm{ref}}^{2} & =\frac{\ell^{2}}{g_{+}^{2}}\left\{-f g_{-}^{2} F \mathrm{~d} t^{2}+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{m^{2} \Delta_{x y}^{2}}\left[w^{2}\left(\frac{4 \mathrm{~d} x^{2}}{\left(2-x^{2}\right) \Delta_{x}}+\frac{4 \mathrm{~d} y^{2}}{\left(2-y^{2}\right) \Delta_{y}}\right)+y^{2}\left(2-y^{2}\right)\left(1-y^{2}\right)^{2} S \mathrm{~d} \phi^{2}\right]\right\} \\
& =\frac{\ell^{2}}{g_{+}^{2}}\left\{-f g_{-}^{2} F \mathrm{~d} t^{2}+\frac{\lambda^{2} h}{f}\left[\mathrm{~d} \rho^{2}+\rho^{2}\left(\frac{4 \mathrm{~d} \xi^{2}}{2-\xi^{2}}+\frac{\left(1-\xi^{2}\right)^{2}}{h} S \mathrm{~d} \phi^{2}\right)\right]\right\} \tag{B5}
\end{align*}
$$

Here,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=1-\alpha\left(1-y^{2}\right)^{2} \tag{B6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is a new parameter, $F$ is a complicated function that we will describe later in (B10), and equality between the first and second lines of (B5) (here and in the remainder of this section) is understood to be through the coordinate transformation (A16). We will use $(x, y)$ coordinates in the region near the black holes and inner axis, and the $(\rho, \xi)$ coordinates near the cosmological horizon.

We only made three changes to the Israel-Khan solution to arrive at the reference metric (B5). The first is the inclusion of a conformal factor $1 / g_{+}^{2}$ to facilitate the matching to de Sitter. The second is a factor of $S$ in the $\mathrm{d} \phi^{2}$ term, which we will use to remove the conical singularity in the inner axis by adjusting the parameter $\alpha$. The conical singularity is removed when $\alpha$ takes the value

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{(1-k)^{2}\left(k^{2}+6 k+1\right)}{(k+1)^{4}} \tag{B7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last change is a factor of $g_{-}^{2} F$ in the $\mathrm{d} t^{2}$ term which introduces a cosmological horizon.
We have freedom to choose the function $F$, but the choice is a delicate matter. For numerical purposes, we wish for $F$ to be smooth in $(x, y)$ or $(\rho, \xi)$ coordinates, depending on where the coordinates are being used. The DeTurck method also requires that $F$ be chosen to preserve the regularity of both the cosmological horizon and of the black hole horizons [54-56]. That is, $F$ must be positive definite and satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.F\right|_{x= \pm 1}=\left.\frac{1}{g_{-}^{2}}\right|_{x= \pm 1},\left.\quad F\right|_{\rho=2 / \lambda}=\left.\frac{h}{f^{2}}\right|_{\rho=2 / \lambda} \tag{B8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have chosen equality in the above instead of proportionality in order to preserve the de Sitter and Israel-Khan temperatures.

In order to make it easier to find a solution in a Newton-Raphson algorithm (see e.g. [56]), we should also choose $F$ to match physical expectations in certain limits. Specifically, we expect that when the cosmological horizon is large compared to other length scales (i.e. $\lambda \ll 1$ ), the spacetime near the cosmological horizon should approach de Sitter and the spacetime closer to the origin should be approximately described by the Israel-Khan solution (when $\alpha=0$ ). The cosmological horizon is already accommodated by the fact that $f$ and $h$ approach unity for large $\rho$. That is, by requiring (B8), we already have $F \approx 1$ near the cosmological horizon $\rho \approx 2 / \lambda$ when $\lambda$ is small.

As for near the origin, we add the requirement that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.F\right|_{y=0}=\left.\frac{1}{g_{-}^{2}}\right|_{y=0} \tag{B9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is consistent with (B8). If we set $\alpha=0$, then when $\lambda$ and $\rho$ are small, $g_{ \pm} \approx 1$, and the metric approaches that of the Israel-Khan solution as desired.

All of these requirements can be satisfied by choosing $F$ to take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\frac{G}{f+g_{-}^{2} G-f g_{-}^{2} G}, \quad \text { with } \quad G=\frac{\frac{\hat{h}}{\hat{f}}\left(1-x^{2}\right) y^{2}\left(2-y^{2}\right)+g_{-}^{2}}{\left(1-x^{2}\right) y^{2}\left(2-y^{2}\right)+g_{-}^{4}} \tag{B10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{f}$ and $\hat{h}$ are any smooth, positive definite functions that agree with $f$ and $h$, respectively at $\rho=2 / \lambda$. To choose $\hat{f}$ and $\hat{h}$, we first take the expressions for $f$ and $h$ as written in (A13) and (A14), and treat them as functions $f(\rho, z)$ and $h(\rho, z)$. We then set $\hat{f}(\rho, \xi)=f\left(2 / \lambda, \rho \xi \sqrt{2-\xi^{2}}\right)$ and similarly for $\hat{g}$. Note that we cannot use a choice like $\hat{f}(\rho, \xi)=f\left(\frac{2}{\lambda}, \frac{2}{\lambda} \xi \sqrt{2-\xi^{2}}\right)$ as it is not smooth in the $(x, y)$ coordinates at $x=0, y=1$.

The entire Einstein-DeTurck reference metric is parametrized by $k, \lambda$, and $\alpha$. On the desired solution that is free of conical singularities, $\alpha$ is fixed according to (B7). Because the de Sitter horizon temperature is held fixed, the only remaining physical parameter is the black hole horizon temperature, which is given by (A5). Any $k$ and $\lambda$ that give the same black hole temperature are physically equivalent. To collect all our numerical data, we have fixed $\lambda=1 / 10$ and used $k$ to parametrize our solutions. We have tried different values of $\lambda$, but after trial and error, this value generated the best numerical results.


FIG. 5. Illustration of the patches used in our numerical construction.

## Appendix C: Patching and Numerical Methods

In this section we explain how we partition the domain of integration using patching techniques (see e.g. [56]). As mentioned in the main text, the solution we seek contains five boundaries: the inner axis $\left(\partial_{\phi}^{\text {in }}\right)$, the black hole horizon $\left(\mathcal{H}^{+}\right)$, the outer axis $\left(\partial_{\phi}^{\text {out }}\right)$, the cosmological horizon $\left(\mathcal{H}^{c}\right)$ and the plane of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ symmetry.

We numerically solve the Einstein-DeTurck equations with the boundary conditions of regularity at each of our five boundaries. To do so, we use both the coordinates $(\rho, \xi)$ and $(x, y)$ of the preceding section. Near the black hole event horizon we use $(x, y)$ coordinates, while near the cosmological horizon we use $(\rho, \xi)$ coordinates. We used a total of three patches $-I, I I$ and $I I I$ - with each of these having four boundaries: see FIG. 5. Patches $I$ and $I I$ are defined in $(x, y)$ coordinates, and patch $I I I$ in $(\rho, \xi)$ coordinates. The patching boundary between the patch $I$ and $I I$ (dashed line in FIG. 5) is given by $x=x_{0} y \sqrt{2-y^{2}}$, with $y \in(0,1)$. The patching boundary between patch $I I$ and patch III is simply given by $\rho=\rho_{0}$.

Furthermore, we fix $\rho_{0}$ and $x_{0}$ through

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{0}=\frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{2}{\lambda}-\frac{1}{k}\right)+\frac{1}{k} \quad \text { and } \quad x_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\sqrt{1-\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{k^{2} \rho_{0}^{2}}}}\right)+\sqrt{1-\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{k^{2} \rho_{0}^{2}}}} \tag{C1}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the only free parameters are $k, \lambda$, and $\alpha$. Note that for non-singular solutions we require $\alpha$ to be given by (B7) and, as described in the end of the previous section, we fix $\lambda=1 / 10$ for numerical convenience. We now apply the numerical methods detailed in [56], and discretize each of our patches on a $N \times N$ Chebyshev-Gauss-Lobatto grid using transfinite interpolation and pseudospectral collocation, for a total grid size of $(N+N+N) \times N$. The discretized Newton-Raphson equation reduces to an iteration of linear matrix problems, which we solve by LU decomposition. To find the first solution (which is always the trickiest step of the Newton-Raphson method), we have set $\lambda=1 / 10$, $k=1 / 2$ and used a Newton-Raphson algorithm with a judiciously chosen damping factor. Additionally, we used what we call the " $\delta$-trick" as explained in section VII.A of [56].

## Appendix D: Convergence Tests

In this section, we show that the norm $\chi \equiv \xi^{a} \xi_{a}$ of the DeTurck vector vanishes in the continuum limit, as expected for a solution of the Einstein-DeTurck equation that is not a Ricci soliton (i.e. that is instead a true solution to the Einstein equation). Additionally, we find exponential convergence, which is consistent with the use of pseudospectral collocation methods.

Let $\chi^{(N)}$ be $\chi$ computed on a (3-patched) grid with $(N+N+N) \times N$ spectral collocation points. For concreteness we take $k=1 / 2, \lambda=1 / 10$ and $\alpha$ as given in (B7). In FIG. 6 we show $\left\|\chi^{(N)}\right\|_{\infty}$ as a function of $N$ in a log-plot. The


FIG. 6. Convergence test showing both the exponential accuracy of our numerical method and the fact that we are not converging to a Ricci soliton.
solid black line shows the best $\chi^{2}$-fit to a straight line in the log-plot, and yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(N)=27.89409-0.79076 N \tag{D1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The exponential trend is clear and confirms that the Einstein-DeTurck solution is converging to a true solution of the Einstein equation (and not to a Ricci soliton).
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