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Abstract—Index Modulation (IM) is capable of striking an attractive performance, throughput and complexity trade-off. The concept of Multi-dimensional IM (MIM) combines the benefits of IM in multiple dimensions, including the space and frequency dimensions. On the other hand, IM has also been combined with compressed sensing (CS) for attaining an improved throughput. In this paper, we propose Joint MIM (JMIM) that can utilize the time-, space- and frequency-dimensions in order to increase the IM mapping design flexibility. Explicitly, this is the first paper developing a jointly designed MIM architecture combined with CS. Three different JMIM mapping methods are proposed for a space- and frequency-domain aided JMIM system, which can attain different throughput and diversity gains. Then, we extend the proposed JMIM design to three dimensions by combining it with the time domain. Additionally, to circumvent the high detection complexity of the proposed CS-aided JMIM design, we propose Deep Learning (DL) based detection. Both Hard-Decision (HD) as well as Soft-Decision (SD) detection are conceived. Additionally, we investigate the adaptive design of the proposed CS-aided JMIM system, where a learning-based adaptive modulation configuration method is applied. Our simulation results demonstrate that the proposed CS-aided JMIM (CS-JMIM) is capable of outperforming its CS-aided separate-domain MIM counterpart. Furthermore, the learning-aided adaptive scheme is capable of increasing the throughput while maintaining the required error probability target.

Index Terms—Index Modulation (IM), Compressed Sensing-aided Multi-Dimensional Index Modulation (CS-MIM), Soft-Decision Detection, Machine Learning, Neural Network.

NOMENCLATURE

Acronym

Approx-Log-MAP Approximate Logarithm MAP
AWGN Additive White Gaussian noise
BER Bit-Error Ratio
CE Channel Estimation
CoE Cost Efficiency
CP Cyclic Prefix
CS Compressed Sensing
CS-GFIM-SM CS-aided Generalized SIM with SM
CS-JMIM Compressed Sensing-aided JMIM
CS-MIM CS-aided MIM
CS-STFIM CS-Aided Space-Time-Frequency Index Modulation
CSI Channel State Information
DL Deep Learning
DM Dispersion Matrix
DNN Deep Neural Network
EE Energy Efficiency
FC Fully-Connected
FD Frequency Domain
FFT Fast Fourier Transform
CS-GFIM Generalized Space-and-Frequency IM
GSIM Generalized SIM
HD Hard-Decision
IFFT Inverse Fast Fourier Transform
IM Index Modulation
JIM Joint Index Mapping
JMIM Joint Multi-dimensional Index Modulation
KNN K-nearest Neighbour
LLR Log-Likelihood Ratio
MAP Maximum A Posteriori
MIM Multi-dimensional Index Modulation
MIMO Multiple-In and Multiple-Out
ML Maximum Likelihood
mmWave Millimeter Wave
MSE Mean Square Error
OFDM Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing
OFDM-IM OFDM with Index Modulation
PSK Phase Shift Keying
QAM Quadrature Amplitude Modulation
QSF-IM Quadrature Space-Frequency IM
QSM Quadrature SM
RA Receive Antenna
RD Real Domain
Relu Rectified Linear Unit
RF Radio Frequency
RSC Recursive Systematic Convolutional
SD Soft-Decision
SE Spectral Efficiency
SF Space-Frequency
SFSK Space-Frequency Shift Keying
SIM Subcarrier-Index Modulation
SM Spatial Modulation
SNR Signal-to-Noise Ratio
SpD Spatial Domain
ST Space-Time
STSK Space-Time-Shift Keying
TA Transit Antenna
TD Time Domain
TS Time Slot
TSF Time-Space-Frequency
VD Virtual Domain

Symbols

\(N_r\) number of RAs
\(N_t\) number of TAs
\(N_c\) number of subcarrier for each frame
\(N_f\) number of subcarrier per group in FD
\(N_v\) number of subcarriers per group in VD
\(G\) number of group
\(b\) total bits number of each frame
\(b_q\) bits number per group
\(K\) number of activated index in JMIM matrix
\(Q\) number of total realizations of JMIM mapping DMs
\(S\) ST block
\(D_q\) The \(q\)-th DM of \(Q\) total JIM DMs
\(D_i\) Selected DM of \(Q\) total JIM DMs
\(\chi\) M-ary PSK or QAM constellation
\(x\) Selected constellation symbol from \(\chi\)
\(S_g\) combined signal after JMIM and PSK/QAM
\(g_s\) number of sub-groups in grouped JIM methods of JMIM
\(g_{sx}\) number of split groups in virtual FD
\(g_{sy}\) number of split groups in virtual spatial domain
\(N_q\) column length of coded JMIM DM
\(n_q\) number of active subcarriers in each column of coded JMIM
\(T\) number of TS for transmitting in TD
\(T_v\) number of TS for transmitting in VD
\(g_{sz}\) number of split groups in virtual TD
\(s\) JMIM vector after block creator in VD
\(A\) CS measurement matrix
\(s^{(RD)}\) JMIM vector after CS
\(h\) channel model in TD
\(H\) channel model in FD
\(Y\) Received signal
\(W\) Additive White Gaussian noise
\(N_0\) noise power of AWGN
\(D(\beta)\) all the possible realizations of the JMIM DM
\(W_n\) weights of \(n\)-th neuron
\(\hat{X}\) all the possible realizations of the selected PSK/QAM symbol
\(\theta_n\) bias of \(n\)-th neuron
\(B\) sample size of current iteration of DNN training phase
\(L\) de-interleaved LLR sequence of \(L_e\)
\(L_e\) output extrinsic LLR after soft demodulation
\(c\) coded bit sequence of information bits
\(u\) information bit sequence stream
\(X_1^{(\beta)}\) the PSK/QAM symbol at the \(\beta\)-th CS-JMIM DM
\(X_1^{(1)}\) subset of the legitimate equivalent signal \(X\) corresponding to bit \(u_1 = 1\)
\(X_0^{(1)}\) subset of the legitimate equivalent signal \(X\) corresponding to bit \(u_1 = 0\)
\(\xi\) the SNR value of a symbol with a BER lower than the target BER value for adaptive modulation
\(T\) training sets of SNR with different the adaptive mode
\(N_p\) total number of instantaneous SNR values with BER under the target

I. INTRODUCTION

Index Modulation (IM) [1] can be considered as an energy-efficient candidate for next-generation wireless systems as a benefit of its flexible resource activation [2]. The concept of IM has been derived from that of Spatial Modulation (SM), which is a low-complexity Multiple-In and Multiple-Out (MIMO) scheme capable of striking a flexible performance vs. complexity trade-off using a single Radio Frequency (RF) chain [2]–[4]. Then, the concept of SM has also been extended to the frequency and time dimensions, where the philosophy of IM has been proposed [5], [6]. In the Frequency Domain (FD), the IM combined with Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (OFDM) is referred to as Subcarrier-IM (SIM), where only a fraction of the subcarriers is activated for signal transmission and the index of active subcarriers conveys extra information bits [7]. The effective signal power of the subcarriers activated in the FD is amplified, without increasing the time domain signal power after Inverse Fast Fourier Transform (IFFT). This results in a higher Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR) for the modulated symbols without requiring extra radiated power. Then, Tsonev et al. [8] proposed an enhanced SIM and Basar et al. [9] conceived a novel IM-aided OFDM (OFDM-IM) scheme for increasing the spectral efficiency. However, subcarrier-index modulated OFDM suffers from significant throughput reduction compared to the classic OFDM due to the deactivation of a number of subcarriers. Hence, Zhang et al. [10] proposed an improved SIM concept relying on Compressed Sensing (CS) [11], which benefits from the sparsity of symbols in the FD by compressing the sparse transmit vector [12].

To further increase the overall performance, Datta et al. proposed the concept of Generalized SIM (GSIM) and proved that Generalized Space-and-Frequency IM (GSFIM) achieves better performance than MIMO-OFDM. Their solution conveyed extra information in the SM part compared to GSIM [13]. However, the detection complexity of GSFIM escalates. Hence, Chakrapani et al. [14] proposed a message passing based low-complexity detection method for reducing the complexity of GSFIM detection. Furthermore, inspired by the SM and Quadrature SM (QSM) concepts [15], Quadrature Space-Frequency IM (QSF-IM) was proposed in [16], which applies a twin-antenna constellation for the in-phase and quadrature-phase transmission, in order to increase the throughput without extra energy consumption. Hence this solution struck a compelling Spectral Efficiency (SE), Energy Efficiency (EE) and Cost Efficiency (CE) trade-off.

Furthermore, several researchers considered the design of Multi-Dimensional Index Modulation (MIM) relying on both the Spatial Domain (SpD) and FD. For example, Space-Time Shift Keying (SFSK) [17] relies on an SFSK Dispersion Matrix (DM), which achieves beneficial transmit diversity in rapidly time-varying channels. Space-Time Shift
Keying (STSK) constitutes another multi-functional MIMO technique in the family of MIM. It combines the Time Domain (TD) and the SpD and it is capable of striking a beneficial diversity versus multiplexing trade-off [18]. More specifically, in STSK, Q DMs are designed for spreading the signal over T Time Slots (TSs) and M Transmit Antennas (TA) in the TD and the SpD, respectively. Furthermore, the IM design activates one out of the Q DMs for transmission, hence \( \log_2 Q \) extra IM bits may be conveyed. By appropriately adjusting these parameters, improved Bit Error Ratio (BER), throughput and complexity trade-offs may be struck [19].

Additionally, the concept of MIM was proposed in [20], which is capable of improving the degrees of freedom, hence achieving all the benefits of the IM concept in several domains without introducing extra deployment costs, such as extra RF chains or transmission power. Furthermore, Lu et al. [21] proposed Compressed-Sensing-Aided Space-Time Frequency Index Modulation (CS-STFIM) to combine CS techniques with STSK and OFDM-IM, which is an MIM system concept that inherits the benefits of both STSK and OFDM-IM. As a further advance, SM was also integrated into this MIM scheme for TA selection in [22]. In [6], the concept of multi-functional layered SM was proposed, which offers flexible trade-offs in terms of performance, hardware cost and power dissipation.

However, in previous MIM schemes, the index selection was performed separately in each dimension. By contrast, in this paper, we extend this concept to a Joint MIM system, where we jointly design the IM in several dimensions. More specifically, the degrees of freedom of the IM mapping design is increased by harnessing multiple dimensions, which leads to a more flexible trade-off between the throughput, power efficiency, and cost. In this case, both SFSK and STSK can be considered as special cases of the proposed joint MIM (JMIM) family. JMIM may also be combined with CS techniques for increasing the spectral efficiency.

However, the joint detection of multiple dimensions leads to massive computational complexity at the receiver side. More specifically, conventional Maximum Likelihood (ML) detection, suffers from a rapidly escalating complexity upon increasing in the number of dimensions [31]. Coherent detection also requires the accurate knowledge of Channel State Information (CSI) at the receiver side, which leads to a substantial pilot overhead [32] as well as to a high Channel Estimation (CE) complexity [33], [34]. In [22], CS-aided MIM (CS-MIM) was presented, where multiple detection stages were required for recovering the data from the constituent CS, STSK, OFDM-IM and SM schemes. As a result, near-capacity operation can only be achieved, when Soft-Decision (SD) detection is used [35], but again, the complexity of MIM detection escalates with the number of IM dimensions.

Recently, learning-based detection has been used as an efficient tool for reducing the complexity of detection, while dispensing with the requirement of explicit CSI estimation [36]. In [37], a Deep Neural Network (DNN) based model is proposed for detecting the OFDM-IM signal, while the authors of [38] and [39] harnessed convolutional neural networks for IM detection, when the CSI is available at the input of the detector. By contrast, blind learning based detection was
our conclusions are offered in Section VI. Then, in Section IV we present our proposed adaptive system. In Section III, we present the system model of CS-JMIM is presented. In Section II, we focus our attention on a single group instead of several JMIM mapping matrix designs. Then, we propose a DNN-aided SD detector for the proposed CS-JMIM. We demonstrate that the learning-assisted JMIM mapping design is capable of achieving near-capacity performance. Hence, the concept of adaptive modulation can be intrinsically amalgamated with CS-JMIM to improve the attainable throughput, while maintaining a specific target BER. Yang et al. proposed machine learning aided adaptive SM [40], while Liu et al. [41] conceived learning-assisted IM for mmWave communications. In their follow-on research, they further developed the work by considering CE employing sparse Bayesian leaning for accurate CSI estimation [42].

Table I boldly contrasts the novelty of this paper to the literature. More explicitly, the contributions of this paper can be further detailed as follows:

1) We propose the CS-JMIM system concept and present several JMIM mapping matrix designs. Then, we demonstrate that the proposed JMIM mapping design is capable of striking an attractive trade-off between diversity and throughput.

2) We propose a DL-based HD detection aided CS-JMIM system that can achieve near-ML performance, while imposing significantly reduced complexity. Furthermore, we propose a DNN-aided SD detector for the proposed CS-JMIM that is capable of achieving near-capacity performance.

3) Both a K-nearest neighbour (KNN) algorithm based and a DL-assisted adaptive modulation scheme is proposed for CS-JMIM. We demonstrate that the learning-assisted adaptive CS-JMIM scheme is capable of selecting more appropriate CS-JMIM mapping design for transmission than its conventional threshold-based adaptive counterparts. Hence it can obtain a significant throughput gain over the conventional threshold-based adaptive method.

4) Our simulation results demonstrate that the proposed learning-based detector is capable of approaching the performance of the conventional coherent detection techniques at a reduced detection complexity. We also provide the associated capacity and throughput analysis, for characterising the trade-off between each mapping matrix and the benefits of the learning-assisted adaptive method.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section II, the system model of CS-JMIM is presented. In Section III, we characterize both HD and SD based learning-aided detectors. Then, in Section IV we present our proposed adaptive system design. In Section V, we present our simulation results, while our conclusions are offered in Section VI.

II. SYSTEM MODEL

In this section, we introduce the transceiver model of the proposed CS-JMIM system employing $N_t$ TAs and $N_r$ Receive Antennas (RAs). Fig. 2 shows the block diagram of the CS-JMIM system considered, where $b$ bits are equally divided into $G$ groups. We consider OFDM having $N_c$ subcarriers, which are then split into $G$ groups and each group has $N_f = N_c/G$ subcarriers in the FD, while $N_{vt}$ TAs and $N_v$ subcarriers of each group are applied for the CS-JMIM system in the Virtual Domain (VD) $\ddagger$. To be more specific, in each subcarrier group, there are $N_v$ available subcarrier indices within the VD, where the dimension $N_v$ of the VD is larger than the dimension $N_f$ of the FD. Similarly, $N_v$ antennas in the VD are larger than the $N_t$ antennas of the SpD. For each group of $b$ bits as $b_g (g = 1, 2, \cdots, G)$, $b_g^1$ bits are used for generating $K$ Phase Shift Keying/Quadrature Amplitude Modulation PSK/QAM symbols, while the remaining $b_g^2$ bits are mapped to the JMIM mapping matrix selector, which chooses a specific mapping matrix out of $Q$ JMIM matrices. Then, these $K$ PSK/QAM codewords and the selected JMIM mapping DM are combined to generate a Space-Time (ST) block $S$. Afterwards, the block creator of Fig. 2 collects all codewords from the $G$ groups for forming a frame, which is mapped to multiple index domains by the carrier index mapper, followed by the CS method and OFDM modulation, as shown in Fig. 2. Then, after transmission over the wireless channel, the receiver estimates the channel and detects the signal. At the receiver side, the signal is transformed back to the subcarrier domain and each JMIM group signal is detected separately.

In the following, we present the details of the processing stages at the transmitter and the receiver. In this case, we only focus our attention on a single group instead of $G$ groups, since the same procedure is applied to all groups, as shown in Fig. 2. The transmitter model is described in Section II-A, followed by the receiver model in Section II-B.

A. Transmitter

As shown in Fig. 2, $b$ bits are split into $G$ groups, where the $b_g$ bits, $(g = 1, 2, \cdots, G)$ of each group are split into two parts by the block splitter: $b_g^1$ bits are used for JMIM mapping matrix selection and $b_g^2$ bits for the classic PSK/QAM. In the following, we explain in detail the Joint Index Mapping (JIM) part of the CS-JMIM transmitter of Fig. 2.

1) Joint Index Mapping: As shown in Fig. 2, the $N_c$ subcarriers of the OFDM symbol are divided into $G$ groups of size $N_f$, with $N_f = N_c/G$. For each $b_g$ group of bits, the first part $b_g^1$ is used for selecting the active DM from the $Q$ candidates $D_1, D_2, \cdots, D_Q$ with $D_q \in \mathbb{C}^{N_v \times N_{vt}}$, $q = 1, 2, \cdots, Q$. The second part is used for determining the constellation symbol, which is employed for modulating the active DM. The classic constellation symbol is then selected from a M-ary PSK or QAM constellation $\chi$.

Let us denote the selected DM and the selected constellation symbol, respectively, by $D_i$, $i \in \{1, \cdots, Q\}$ and $x, x \in \chi$. Then the combined signal in group $g$ can be expressed by

$$S_g = xD_i, g = 1, \cdots, G.$$ (1)

In the following, we introduce three designs of the DMs. Firstly, to leverage the multi-dimensionality of MIM systems,
the design of IM encompasses all dimensions. Then, the activation of the corresponding indices is guided by the coordinates of these joint dimensions, which is detailed in the following section in the context of a DM design referred to as General JIM. Secondly, to strike a design trade-off between the throughput and diversity gain attained, we can further split the joint multi-dimensional matrix into sub-group matrices, where different general JIM DMs can be selected for each sub-group matrix. We refer to this mapping design as Grouped JIM, which is further detailed in the following sections. Additionally, we introduce a coded DM design for achieving a high diversity gain, which is detailed in the following sections. Furthermore, we start a discussion considering the Space-Frequency (SF) dimensions and then present a 3-dimensional mapping design for the Time-Space-Frequency (TSF) dimensions of JIMIM.

a) General Joint Index Mapping: As JIM, first we consider joint SF DM design. The index is selected based on both dimensions’ coordinates. We assign \( N_v t \) TAs and \( N_v \) subcarriers to a specific group, which results in \( N_v t \times N_v \) possible active positions and to a total of \( C(N_v t, N_v, K) \) legitimate realizations. As an example, let us consider having \( K = 2 \) active subcarriers and \( N_v t = 2, N_v = 2 \) for each group. Then, we have \( b_g^1 = \lceil \log_2 C(N_v t, N_v, K) \rceil = \lceil \log_2 C(4, 2) \rceil = 2 \) bits for selecting \( K = 2 \) active subcarriers out of 4 available subcarriers in each group, since we have \( 2^2 = 4 \) legitimate combinations which equivalent to \( Q = 4 \) DMs, as shown in Table II. Fig.3 shows a block diagram of the general JIM example presented in Table II, where the activated index is then combined with the QAM symbol by the multiplier to form the combined symbol \( S \). Furthermore, when compared to the CS-aided separate MIM system, CS-JMIM can attain comparable throughput as CS-MIM with significant sparsity.

b) Grouped Joint Index Mapping: Given a substantial number of TAs, subcarriers, and a limited quantity of active index elements \( K \) in each group, most elements in the DM remain inactive, leading to diminished SE. To address this, we propose grouped JIM, which divides the DM matrix into smaller sub-group matrices, each adopting a general JIM. Furthermore, striking a trade-off between throughput and diversity involves choosing either the same or different DMs across groups. To elaborate further, applying the same DM across all groups results in multiple copies of the information bits, which produces a diversity gain. On the other hand, employing different DMs for each group improve the throughput.

TABLE II: An example selection procedure of joint SF index selection in a CS-JMIM system having \( K = 2, N_v t = N_v = 2 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( b_g )</th>
<th>matrix No.</th>
<th>Indices</th>
<th>Allocation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[00]</td>
<td>( D_1 )</td>
<td>(1, 2)</td>
<td>1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[01]</td>
<td>( D_2 )</td>
<td>(1, 3)</td>
<td>1 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[10]</td>
<td>( D_3 )</td>
<td>(1, 4)</td>
<td>1 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[11]</td>
<td>( D_4 )</td>
<td>(2, 3)</td>
<td>0 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For example upon assuming $N_{vt} = 4, N_v = 4$ and $K = 2$ for each groups DM results in $D_q \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{vt} \times N_v}$. Then, we further split $D_q$ into four equal sub-matrices expressed as

$$D_q = \begin{bmatrix} D_{q,1}^{1,1} & D_{q,1}^{2,1} \\ D_{q,2}^{1,2} & D_{q,2}^{2,2} \end{bmatrix},$$  \hspace{0.5cm} (2)$$

where we have $D_{q,i}^{j} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{vt}/2 \times N_v/2}, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. For each sub-matrix $D_{q,i}^{j}, (i = 1, 2, 3...gsx), (j = 1, 2, 3...gsy)$ general JIM can be applied. Here, gsx and gsy represent the number of sub-group’s in the FD and SpD, respectively. In the above example, we can have a total of $gs = gsx \times gsy = 4$ sub-groups and $b_q^1 = \lfloor \log_2 C(4,2) \rfloor = 2$ bits for each sub-groups matrix. To maximize the throughput, four different sub-matrices can be aggregated to one DM $D_q$ to obtain 8 bits in total. Fig.4 shows the block diagram of the grouped JIM, where we have four sub-groups of smaller general JMIM matrix. For a small general JMIM matrix we can apply $Q = 4$ DMs in total, where we can assign $4 \times 2$ bits for all sub-groups. On the other hand, if four repeated sub-matrices are used, we can achieve similar structure of coded JMIM which will be discussed below.

![Fig. 4: Block diagram of a grouped JIM example with $b_1=[0 0 0 0 0 0 0]$.](image)

Subsequently, the grouped JIM optimally utilizes the available space of the VD matrix, albeit at the expense of sparsity. By adjusting the index mapping of each sub-group, it offers significant throughput or diversity gains. However, this leads to a substantial increase in detection complexity for conventional methods, such as the ML detector.

c) Coded Joint Index Mapping: Another way of further increasing the transmit diversity is to employ coded index mapping, where we use a circular shift based design of the DMs, which was proposed for SFSK in [17]. In this method, the number of active subcarriers in each column is $n_q$, with $N_q - n_q$ inactive subcarriers, where $N_q$ is the column length of $D_q$. Then, the second column is the circular down shift of the first column by one position. Similarly, other columns can be obtained based on the previous column distribution.

To elaborate a little further, using a 'toy' example, for $N_q = N_{vt} = 4, n_q = 2$, we can have $Q = C(N_q, n_q) = 6$ possible combinations, yielding $b_q^1 = \lfloor \log_2 C(N_q, n_q) \rfloor = 2$ bits. The following is an example of a circular shifting based DM:

$$D_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, D_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$D_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, D_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$  

Given $b_q^1 = 2$ bits, then $2^2 = 4$ DMs are selected for the CS-JMIM system.

Fig.5 shows a block diagram of the coded JIM, where we can apply the first JIM DM for $b_1=[0 0]$ based on the code book used. In this scenario, coded JIM offers the maximum diversity in the design of coded DMs, enabling reliable detection even in highly noisy environments.

![Fig. 5: Block diagram of a coded JIM example with $b_1=[0 0]$](image)

d) 3-Dimensional Dispersion Matrix Design: In this design, the TD is introduced as an extra dimension for the JIM. We assume that $T_v$, TSSs are applied in the VD and T TDs are used in the TD, while we have $T_v > T$. Then, we can assign three-dimensional DMs $D_q \in \mathbb{C}^{N_v \times N_{vt} \times T_v}$ in this case. The above-mentioned three mapping techniques can be applied. Specifically, for the general JIM we may consider the following example for further illustration. Let $K = 1$ and $N_{vt} = N_v = T_v = 2$ as shown in Fig. 6(a) and $b_q^2 = [001]$. More specifically, the three-dimensional matrix can be expressed in the coordinate form of $(n_v, n_{vt}, t_v)$. In this case, given the IM bits $b_q^2 = [010]$, we activate the fourth element in a set of 8 elements in this three-dimensional matrix with the coordinates $(2, 2, 1)$ as shown in Fig. 6(a). Then, the number of bits of this JMIM applied for the DM selection becomes $b_q^2 = \lfloor \log_2 C(N_{vt}, N_v, T_v, K) \rfloor = \lfloor \log_2 C(8,1) \rfloor = 3$ bits.

Fig. 6(b) shows the structure of the grouped JIM applied in three dimensions. Similar to the SF matrix, the TSF matrix can be split into several equal sub-groups. As shown in Fig. 6(b), we assume $N_{vt} = N_v = T_v = 4$ and $K = 1$ for each group's DM, which results in $D_q \in \mathbb{C}^{N_v \times N_{vt} \times T_v}$. Then, we further split $D_q$ into 8 equal sub-matrices. Each sub-group DM can
be expressed in the form of $D_g^{gsx,gsy,gsz}$, where $gsx,gsy,gsz$ represents the split size in the FD, the SpD and the TD, respectively. For each sub-matrix $D_g^{gsx,gsy,gsz}$, general JIM can be applied within a set of $gs = gsx \times gsy \times gsz = 8$ sub-group matrices. Then, we can have $\lceil \log_2 C(8,1) \rceil = 3$ bits for each sub-matrix. To maximize the throughput, we can also assign different information to each sub-group and then the 8 sub-matrices can be aggregated to form a single DM $D_q$ to obtain $b_q^l = g\lceil \log_2 C((N_v,gsx)g sy(T/gsz)),K \rceil = 8\lceil \log_2 C(8,1) \rceil = 24$ bits for the JIMJ design. Compared to the same DM size used in the general JIM, which has $b_q^l = \lceil \log_2 C(64,1) \rceil = 6$ bits, the grouped JIM can provide a significant gain in the spectral efficiency. On the other hand, in order to attain a diversity gain, the sub-matrices can achieve significant gain in the spectral efficiency. On the other hand, b

Furthermore, for the coded JIM matrix design in three dimensions, the same method is applied for the first TS of the space-frequency matrix. Then, circular shifting is applied to the entire SF matrix to generate the next TS matrix with shifting by one position. As shown in Fig. 6(c), upon assuming $N_v = T_v = 4$ for the DM size, as well as $N_q = n_q = 2$ for the activated subcarriers and $b^l = [01]$, then the corresponding circular shifting based DM $D_2$ presented in the previous section is applied to the first TS of the 3D matrix. Then, we can generate each TS index mapping with the aid of a single position shifting, which can be represented as:

$$D_{t1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D_{t2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$  

$$D_{t3} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D_{t4} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. $$

2) Compressed Sensing and Block Assembly: In order to exploit the sparsity of the JIM DM, CS is applied to all the dimensions of the joint multi-dimensional matrix symbol created by the block assembled to increase the throughput. As shown in Fig. 7, a matrix $S_g$ associated with $N_{vt} = N_v = 4$ will be transformed from the matrix $S, S \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{vt} \times N_v}$ into the vector $s, s \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{vt}N_v \times 1}$. The symbol vector $s$ is then compressed by a CS measurement matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{N_tN_v \times N_{vt}}$ from the $N_vN_{vt}$-dimensional $s$ in the VD into the $N_tN_v$-dimensional form in the Real Domain (RD)\(^3\) denoted as $s^{(RD)}$, which can be written as: $s^{(RD)} = As$. The RD vector $s^{(RD)}$ after CS is then transferred into a compressed joint multidimensional symbol matrix $S^{(RD)}$, where $S^{(RD)} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t \times N_f}$. Then, the index carrier mapper maps the corresponding joint multidimensional symbol elements to the OFDM subcarriers and the TAs to form the SF symbols. Afterwards, $G$ groups of SF symbols $S$ are assembled by the OFDM creator to a long SF symbol frame, as shown in Fig. 2. The RD SF symbol can be separated into $N_f$ FD symbols, which means that $N_f$ FD symbols are transmitted by $N_f$ TAs. Similar to conventional OFDM, the FD symbol will be transformed into TD symbols to be transmitted by their corresponding TAs and then a Cyclic Prefix (CP) will be added. The $G$ groups of SF symbols $S$ are assembled by the block creator of Fig. 2 to form a long ST frame, which is processed by the ST mapper to output a symbol for transmission over multiple TAs and TSs. Equivalently, the ST symbols $S$ of each subcarrier group are mapped to $N_f$ TAs during $T$ TSs, which have $N_f$ symbol sequences $\{s_1, ..., s_{N_f}\}$ for transmission from the $N_f$ TAs during each TS.

\(^3\)RD is the joint dimension of DM after the CS process. For instance, the SF-based JIMJ signal conveys more bits in the VD than in the RD.
For the three-dimensional JMIM, utilizing the TSF dimensions, the TD is also compressed by CS for improving the throughput, where $T_v$ TSs are introduced in the VD for IM, complemented by $T$ TSs in the TD. Specifically, for the general JMIM scheme, the TD is introduced for increasing the sparsity and for incorporating extra embedded information bits. As shown in Fig. 8, we apply CS to the TSF JMIM, where all the three dimensions are compressed for increasing the throughput. Specifically, a $(4 \times 4 \times 4)$-sized DM in the VD will be compressed to a $(2 \times 2 \times 2)$-sized DM of the RD. For example, when we have $T_v = N_{vt} = N_v = 4$, $b^1_g = [000100]$ and $K = 1$, the element at the fourth subcarrier, fourth TA and first TS is activated, corresponding to the coordinate of $(4, 4, 1)$.

As for the coded JMIM scheme, additionally the TD is harnessed for further increasing the diversity gain, where CS is not considered for the TD. We assign either the same or different symbols in a sub-group matrix of the grouped JMIM scheme, which leads to a different CS approach. Given the different sub-group matrix symbols, the TD is exclusively harnessed for carrying extra copies of the symbol without CS. The design objective of this scheme is to increase the diversity gain.

**B. Receiver Processing**

As shown in Fig. 9, a receiver having $N_r$ antennas is employed, where we assume that the transmitted signals are conveyed over a frequency-selective Rayleigh fading channel and the CSI is perfectly acquired at the receiver side. The $G$ groups of signal are received by the receiver over $N_r$ antennas and then the CP part of the received signals is removed. Finally, the processed signal is transformed into the FD by using the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT), as shown in Fig. 9.

The channel model can be expressed as $h = \mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_r \times N_t}$, which represents the TD CSI between the $N_t$ TAs and the $N_r$ RAs. Then, the FD channel matrix can be expressed as $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_r \times N_t}$ for $\alpha = 1, \ldots, M$, which are then split into $G$ groups by the Block Splitter of Fig. 9. The symbols received by each subcarrier group are represented as $\mathbf{Y} = \{\mathbf{Y}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{Y}_T, \mathbf{Y}[N_f]\}$, with $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_r \times N_t}$ and $\alpha = 1, 2, \ldots, N_f$.

As for the three-dimensional signal, the transmitted signal is mapped ST symbols, which are also collected by the receiver and split into $G$ groups by the Block Splitter of Fig. 9. Afterwards, the symbols received in the three dimensions by each subcarrier group $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_r \times M \times T}$ may be expressed as

$$\mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Y}_{1,1} & \cdots & \mathbf{Y}_{1,N_f} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{Y}_{N_r,1} & \cdots & \mathbf{Y}_{N_r,N_f} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Y}_{1,1}^{1} & \cdots & \mathbf{Y}_{1,N_f}^{1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{Y}_{N_r,1}^{N_r} & \cdots & \mathbf{Y}_{N_r,N_f}^{N_r} \end{bmatrix},$$

(3)

The received symbol of the $i$-th TS can be represented as $\mathbf{Y}_i = \{\mathbf{Y}_i[1], \ldots, \mathbf{Y}_i[\alpha], \ldots, \mathbf{Y}_i[N_f]\}$, with $\mathbf{Y}_i \in \mathbb{C}^{N_r \times M}$ and $\alpha = 1, 2, \ldots, N_f, t = 1, 2, \ldots, T$ characterizing the ST structure per group and the ST symbol received at the $\alpha$-th subcarrier of each subcarrier group, respectively. Since the index is jointly decided in the multi-dimensional space, we can transform the ST symbol into a vectorial form $\mathbf{y}$ associated with $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_r, M \times T}$.

Let the FD channel be $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_r \times T}$ for $\alpha = 1, \ldots, N_f$. Then the signal $\mathbf{Y}[\alpha] \in \mathbb{C}^{N_r \times T}$ can be expressed during the $T$ TSs for each subcarrier group as shown in [22]

$$\mathbf{Y}[\alpha] = \mathbf{H} \mathbf{S}^{(RD)}[\alpha] + \mathbf{W}[\alpha],$$

(4)
where $S^{RD}[\alpha] \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t \times T}$ denotes the ST symbols at the subcarrier $\alpha$ transmitted from the $N_t$ TAs in the RD. Furthermore, $W[\alpha] \in \mathbb{C}^{N_r \times T}$ represents the Additive White Gaussian noise (AWGN) obeying the distribution of $CN(0, \sigma^2_N)$, and $\sigma^2_N$ is the noise variance.

### III. CS-JMIM Signal Detection

Given the received signal model $Y$ in (4), the receiver detects the information bits of the JMIM mapping matrix, which jointly conveys the index of the active subcarrier, the active TA and TS in the VD. Firstly, we reshape the received signal into a vectorial form $y$ associated with $y \in \mathbb{C}^{N_r \times 1}$. The received signal $y$ contains $N_f$ ST symbols at $N_f$ subcarriers in the FD of each subcarrier group. Then, we can rewrite $y$ with the aid of (4) in the following form:

$$y = H \tilde{A} \tilde{s} + w,$$

where $\tilde{A}$ is the equivalent measurement matrix $A$ used for compressing the $s$ VD vectors. In our three-dimensional CS-JMIM system, $\tilde{A}$ also compresses the TD, where we have $\tilde{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_r \times N_t \times N_v \times N_f}$. Furthermore, $s \in \mathbb{C}^{N_r \times N_t \times N_v \times 1}$ denotes the vector of DM combined with the PSK/QAM symbol. In this case, we could rewrite $\tilde{s}$ in a matrix $\tilde{S}$ associated with $\tilde{S} = sD$, where $D \in \mathbb{C}^{N_r \times N_t \times N_v}$ denotes the realization of the JMIM DM in each subcarrier group.

Conventional exhaustive search based maximum likelihood (ML) detection can be applied at the receiver, albeit this may lead to excessive complexity [5]. Furthermore, in the soft detection scenario, the received signal is converted into probability values, which are referred to as Log Likelihood Ratios (LLR) that are fed into the channel decoder for obtaining a near-capacity performance [43].

In the following section we present the conventional ML-based HD detector, followed by our proposed DNN aided HD detector, where the neural network replaces the exhaustive search by a learning-based classification model in order to significantly reduce the complexity. Afterwards, we discuss the SD detector, where we first present the conventional SD detectors followed by our learning-aided SD receiver.

#### A. Hard Decision Decoding

Again, we commence with the conventional ML-based detection of the CS-JMIM system, followed by the DNN-based detector.

**1) Maximum Likelihood Detection:** As shown in Fig. 9, we detect each group's signal separately. In the CS-JMIM detector, according to the receiver model of (5), we have the modified joint JMIM and PSK/QAM symbol, which can be expressed as $\tilde{S} = xD$. Here $D$ represents a specific realization of the selected JMIM DM and $x$ represents $K$ STSK PSK/QAM symbols. To detect the specific realization, we use $D(\beta)$ ($\beta = 1, 2, \ldots, N_{JMIM}$) to denote all the possible realizations of the JMIM DM. Furthermore, as there are $N_x = (X)^K$ realizations of $x$, $X(\gamma)$ ($\gamma = 1, 2, \ldots, N_x$) denotes all the possible realizations of the selected PSK/QAM symbol. The ML detector makes a joint decision concerning the JMIM DM and PSK/QAM with the aid of exhaustive search, which can be modelled as

$$\hat{\gamma}, \hat{\beta} = \arg \min_{\gamma, \beta} ||Y - H \tilde{A}X(\gamma)\tilde{I}_D(\beta)||^2,$$

where $\hat{\gamma}$ and $\hat{\beta}$ represent the estimates of the selected DM and the corresponding PSK/QAM constellation in each subcarrier group, respectively.

The excessively high search complexity of considering all possible candidates by the ML detector is given by $O[N_{JMIM}(X)^K]$ per subcarrier group.

**2) DNN-based Detection:** To reduce the complexity of the ML detector, learning based detection is considered in this section, where a DNN based model is proposed for detecting the received CS-JMIM signal.

Detection may also be considered as a classification problem, where the corresponding bits of the harnessed CS-JMIM DM and PSK/QAM symbol constitute the DNN output. Under the assumption of perfect CSI at the receiver side, we use the received signal and the CSI as the input of the DNN model. The proposed DNN structure is shown in Fig. 10, where both the CSI $H$ at the receiver and the received symbols $Y$ constitute the inputs of the $L$-layer Fully-Connected (FC) network. Then, the output bits $\hat{u}$ can be modelled as

$$\hat{u} = f_{\text{sigmoid}}(W_n \cdots f_{\text{relu}}(W_2(f_{\text{relu}}(W_1 f_{\text{LSTM}}(Y) + \theta_1)) + \theta_2) + \cdots + \theta_n),$$

where $W_n$ and $\theta_n$, $n = 1, \ldots, L$ represent the weights and biases, respectively. In (7), the Rectified linear unit (Relu) function of $f_{\text{Relu}}(s) = \max(0, s)$ is employed for activating the DNN during the training phase, while the sigmoid function of $f_{\text{sigmoid}}(s) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-s}}$ is used to obtain the detected bits $\hat{u}$. The raw input data represented in the complex-valued matrix form obtained from the received signal $Y$ is vectorized first and then we rearrange the complex values by separately extracting the real as well as the imaginary parts and then merging them into a real-valued vector.

In the training phase, we employ randomly generated received signals, which are transmitted over a frequency selective Rayleigh fading channel for CS-JMIM. Afterwards, both the CSI and the received symbols are employed as the input data of the DNN. The number of training samples required is...
where $B$ is the sample size of the current iteration. A stopping criterion can be defined either by the number of iterations or by an MSE threshold. Then, the parameter sets $\{W_n, \theta_n\}$ can be updated in each training iteration based on our learning algorithm using gradient descent, which is formulated as

$$
\{W_n, \theta_n\} \leftarrow \{W_n, \theta_n\} - \alpha \nabla L(\{W_n, \theta_n\}),
$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is the learning rate and $\nabla L(\{W_n, \theta_n\})$ represents the gradient of $L(\{W_n, \theta_n\})$. In our proposed network aided detection, we use $\alpha = 0.001$.

By the end of the training phase, the DNN has learnt the mapping from the received signal and stores both the weight as well as the bias information, which will be used for producing the desired outputs based on the input data in the testing phase. The statistical properties of the input/output data have to remain the same as those used during training.

The detection complexity of the learning algorithm is dominated by the calculation of the layer weights and biases, which may be considered to be of the order of $O(n_i n_h) + O(n_h^2) + O(n_h n_o)$ [29], with $n$ representing the number of neurons in each layer. Hence, the DNN complexity order is significantly lower than that of the ML detector.

### B. Soft Decision Decoding

SD detection is employed for attaining near-capacity performance, when combined with channel coding. As the computational complexity of the maximum a posteriori probability in SD detector rapidly increases upon increasing the modulation order and the number of dimensions [44], the complexity of CS-JMIM rapidly becomes prohibitive, owing to the joint detection of JMIM signal in multiple dimensions. In the following, we present the conventional SD detector of CS-JMIM, followed by the correspond learning aided SD detector.

1) Conventional Soft Decision Detection: A channel coded CS-JMIM scheme is shown in Fig. 11, which was derived from the CS-JMIM model of [22], [36] for achieving near-capacity performance. A Recursive Systematic Convolutional (RSC) encoder encodes the information bit sequence $b$ followed by an interleaver, where the coded bit sequence $i$ is interleaved to generate the stream $u$ of Fig. 11. Then, the stream $u$ is modulated in the CS-JMIM modulator of Fig. 2.

At the receiver side of Fig. 11, the received signal $Y$ and CSI $\tilde{H}$ are input to the soft CS-JMIM that outputs LLRs. The LLRs output from the demodulator are then passed to the de-interleaver and the RSC decoder performs soft decoding. In Fig. 11, $L(\cdot)$ represents the LLRs of the bit sequences, where $L_e(u_i)$ is the output extrinsic LLR after soft demodulation and $L_d(c)$ is the de-interleaved LLR sequence of $L_e(u_i)$.

The LLR of a bit is defined as the ratio of probabilities associated with the logical bits ‘1’ and ‘0’, which can be written as $L(b) = \log \frac{p(b=1)}{p(b=0)}$. The conditional probability $p(Y|X_{\gamma,\beta})$ of receiving the group signal $Y$ is given by [45]

$$
p(Y|X_{\gamma,\beta}) = \frac{1}{(\pi N_o)^{NT}} \exp \left(-\frac{|Y - H\tilde{A}x(\gamma)\tilde{D}(\beta)|^2}{N_0}\right),
$$

where $X_{\gamma,\beta}$ represents the PSK/QAM symbol at the $\beta$-th CS-JMIM DM. Furthermore, $N_0$ is the noise power, where we have $\sigma_n^2 = N_0/2$ with $N_0/2$ representing the double-sided noise power spectral density.

Hence, we can formulate the LLR of bit $u_i$ as

$$
L_e(u_i) = \ln \frac{p(y|u_i=1)}{p(y|u_i=0)} = \sum_{X_{\gamma,\beta} \in X_i^1} p(Y|X_{\gamma,\beta}) - \sum_{X_{\gamma,\beta} \in X_i^0} p(Y|X_{\gamma,\beta}),
$$

where $X_i^1$ and $X_i^0$ represent a subset of the legitimate equivalent signal $X$ corresponding to bit $u_i$, when $u_i = 1$ and $u_i = 0$, respectively, yielding $X_i^1 \equiv \{X_{\gamma,\beta} \in X : u_i = 1\}$ and $X_i^0 \equiv \{X_{\gamma,\beta} \in X : u_i = 0\}$.

Upon using (9) and (10) we obtain the LLR $L_e(b_i)$ of the bit sequence conveyed by the received signal $Y$. To simplify the calculation, the Approximate Log-MAP (Approx-Log-MAP) algorithm based on the Jacobian Maximum operation can be used, which is given by [46], [47]

$$
L_e(u_i) = \text{jac}_{X_{\gamma,\beta} \in X_i^1}(\lambda_{\gamma,\beta}) - \text{jac}_{X_{\gamma,\beta} \in X_i^0}(\lambda_{\gamma,\beta}),
$$

where $\lambda_{\gamma,\beta}$ denotes the Jacobian maximum operation and the intrinsic metric of $\lambda_{\gamma,\beta}$ is

$$
\lambda_{\gamma,\beta} = -\frac{|Y - H\tilde{A}x(\gamma)\tilde{D}(\beta)|^2}{N_0}.
$$

At the receiver, the soft demodulator evaluates the probability of each bit being logical ‘1’ and ‘0’. Then it applies the approx-log-MAP algorithm for obtaining the extrinsic LLR of the coded bits, which has a complexity order $O[2^{c_g}(N_{JMIM}(X)^K)]$, where $c_g$ represents the number of coded bits after the RSC encoder and interleaver, and $N_{JMIM}$ represents the number of possible realizations of JMIM.

2) DNN-based SD Detection: In this section, we propose a reduced-complexity SD detector using DNN, which considers a similar DNN architecture to that of [29]. Since the conventional SD detector obtains the LLRs of the received signal after the CS-MIM soft demodulator, we replace the detected
bits \( \hat{u} \) output by the DNN in Fig. 10 with the extrinsic LLR \( L_e \) at the output of the DNN, as shown in Fig. 12. Then, the output of the SD DNN model can be expressed as

\[
\hat{L}_e = W_{N_2} \cdots f_{\text{Relu}} \left( W_2 \left( f_{\text{Relu}} \left( W_1 (Y_r) + b_1 \right) \right) \right) + b_2 + \ldots + b_{N_2},
\]

and the corresponding loss function is

\[
L(\theta_n) = \frac{1}{BT} \sum_{i=1}^{B} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left\| \hat{L}_e(\tau) - L_e(\tau) \right\|_2^2.
\]

We can also define a stopping criterion, which can be either the number of iterations or meeting a maximum MSE threshold. Then, the parameter sets \{\( W_n, \theta_n \)\} can be updated in each training iteration based on the learning algorithm using gradient descent, which is formulated as

\[
\{ W_n, \theta_n \} \leftarrow \{ W_n, \theta_n \} - \alpha \nabla L(\{ W_n, \theta_n \}),
\]

where \( \alpha > 0 \) is the learning rate and \( \nabla L(\{ W_n, \theta_n \}) \) represents the gradient of \( L(\{ W_n, \theta_n \}) \).

In our proposed neural network aided detection, we use \( \alpha = 0.001 \). Similar to the HD DNN detector described above, the model learns the parameters in the training phase and then outputs the LLR information.

The detection complexity of the learning algorithm is dominated by the calculation of the layer weights and biases, which may be considered to be of the order \( O(n_i n_{bi}) + O(n^2_k) + O(n_h n_o) \) \cite{29}, with \( n \) representing the number of neurons in each layer.

IV. ADAPTIVE DESIGN

Since the proposed CS-JMIM design provides flexibility in the design of the JMIM DM, we can design appropriate JMIM DMs for different channel conditions that can provide either an improved BER performance or an increased throughput. Furthermore, in our system, the transmitter can adapt both the JMIM DM \( D \) and the modulation order \( Q \) of PSK/QAM. Then, the system throughput may be adapted by appropriately adjusting the above parameters, while maintaining a target BER performance.

In the following two subsections, we highlight the classic threshold-based adaptive modulation, followed by its learning-aided counterpart. More specifically, both the KNN and DNN based adaptive model are applied for the proposed system.

Fig. 12: Fully-connected DNN model for CS-JMIM SD detection.
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Fig. 13: BER vs. SNR performance of the CS-JMIM system for different mapping modes shown in Table III.

![BER vs. SNR performance of the CS-JMIM system](image)

**TABLE III: Configuration of the modes presented in Fig. 13**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Mapping Type</th>
<th>( Q )</th>
<th>( N_{vt} )</th>
<th>( N_f )</th>
<th>( N_v )</th>
<th>( K )</th>
<th>( R_t )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Coded</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Grouped</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.333</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Conventional Threshold-based Adaptive Design: In our adaptive scheme, we can adapt both the configuration of JMIM DM and of the PSK/QAM mode. We can define the different configurations as \( \text{Mode}_1, \text{Mode}_2, \text{Mode}_3, \ldots \), which can attain different BER performance and throughput. Based on the different modes, the parameters \( N_v, N_{vt}, T \) and \( A \) of JMIM DM can be selected according to the SNR calculated at the receiver, where the SNR threshold values are selected for the different modes to satisfy a specific target BER \cite{41}, \cite{42}. In the following, we present the scenario, where the different adaptive modes \( P \) refer to different configurations of the JMIM DM for characterising its design flexibility.4

As an example, Fig.13 shows the BER performance of three different CS-JMIM mapping modes. The corresponding parameters and data rates provided by these modes are shown in Table III. For a target BER of \( 10^{-3} \), as shown in Fig. 13 the SNR values of mode transition points \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) can be selected as the thresholds for operating the appropriate modes. Specifically, \( \text{Mode}_1 \) is applied at low SNR values until the specific SNR reaches \( P_1 \). Then, the mode is changed to \( \text{Mode}_2 \) to provide higher throughput, when the SNR range spans from \( P_1 \) to \( P_2 \). Finally, \( \text{Mode}_3 \) is selected at SNRs higher than \( P_2 \), which has the highest throughput among the three modes.

For adaptive modulation, the receiver has to confidently infer the choice of the most appropriate transmission mode by comparing the instantaneous SNR of the received symbol against the Mode-switching threshold values. Then, the decision is fed back to the transmitter and applied for the next frame to be transmitted. Generally, with more available operation modes as well as faster and more accurate SNR feed-

4Note that the modulation scheme such as PSK/QAM can also be adapted, but in this design example, we aim to show the flexibility of the proposed CS-JMIM design.
back to the transmitter, we can obtain an increased throughput compared to non-adaptive designs. However, threshold-based adaptive modulation design ignores many of the hardware imperfections when deciding upon the threshold values, which results in sub-optimal performance of the adaptive system [41], [42]. Hence, in the next subsection, we propose the learning-based adaptive modulation scheme for our CS-JMIM system to further improve the adaptive system’s performance.

2) Learning aided adaptive modulation: The adaptive modulation can be modelled as a classification problem, which can be solved using learning-based methods. The SNR of the received signal, which is evaluated at the receiver side, can be fed back to the transmitter and then given the SNR information, which also corresponds to the current channel state information, the transmitter can select a specific mode from a range of candidates to achieve the highest throughput, which still maintain the target BER. Therefore, for a given channel condition, adaptive modulation selects the most suitable mode to achieve the highest throughput, under the constraint of achieving the target BER. In this paper, both the KNN and DNN techniques are investigated in the context of adaptive modulation.

Before the training phase, the input data should be pre-processed to improve the learning efficiency. First, we randomly generate the training data of each mode under different instantaneous SNR values at the receiver. Then, the corresponding switching SNRs that can maintain a BER lower than the target BER are stored. Given these training data, we can use learning models to find the mode switching thresholds in the training phase. After training, the trained model becomes capable of predicting the next mode, given the knowledge of the SNR. In the following, we first employ KNN for our adaptive modulation scheme and then we propose a DNN-based adaptive model for further improving the performance.

a) KNN-based Adaptive Design: KNN is a popular classification techniques relying on low-complexity implementation and yet providing a good performance [48], Yang et al. [40] developed KNN-assisted adaptive modulation schemes for SM, while Liu et al. [41] further developed DNN aided adaptive modulation to millimeter wave communication. To elaborate briefly on the KNN process, we define the training sets as

$$\mathcal{T}^{(i)} = [\xi^{(i)}_1, \ldots, \xi^{(i)}_{N_p}], \ldots$$

where $\xi$ represents the SNR value of a symbol with a BER lower than the target BER value, with $i = 1, 2, \ldots, I$ representing the adaptive mode index and $N_p$ is the total number of instantaneous SNR values with BER under the target. Then, the total training set of each mode can be formulated as

$$\mathcal{T} = [\mathcal{T}^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathcal{T}^{(i)}, \ldots, \mathcal{T}^{(I)}]^T.$$  

During runtime, for a given new data point, which corresponds to the instantaneous SNR $\hat{\xi}$, the KNN model finds $k$ nearest neighbours in the training set $\mathcal{T}$, using a distance metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$, which can be expressed as

$$d(\xi^{(i)}_n, \xi_{new}) = ||\xi^{(i)}_n - \xi_{new}||^2.$$  

Then, the mode is decided by the majority mode of the $k$ nearest neighbours to the input test point. With the possibility of several modes having the same number in the $k$ nearest neighbours, the mode with the highest throughput will be selected.

The performance of KNN significantly depends on its parameters and on the value of $k$, where the best value of $k$ can be selected empirically. In this adaptive system, the best value of $k$ is determined by considering the trade-off between the BER and throughput. Furthermore, KNN results in a high computational complexity for the nearest neighbour search in addition to requiring a large memory for storing the training. Hence, in the following we present a DNN based design alternative.

b) DNN-aided Adaptive Design: In this section, we present the DNN-based adaptive modulation regime of Fig. 14. Similarly to KNN, we randomly generate the training data and then store the mode index and SNR value pairs, which have BERs lower than the target value. Then, the training set $\mathcal{T}$ constitutes the estimated SNR $\xi$ of a symbol associated with a BER lower than the target BER. We use the DNN-based classification model, where the input corresponds to the instantaneous SNR and the output corresponds to the mode index of adaptive modulation.

The output mode index $\hat{i}$ of the DNN can be expressed as

$$\hat{i} = \text{softmax}(W_n f_{\text{Relu}}(W_2 f_{\text{Relu}}(W_1 \xi + \theta_1)) + \theta_2) + \ldots + \theta_n),$$  

where $W_n$ and $\theta_n$, $n = 1, \ldots, L$ represent the weights and biases, respectively. Relu is also employed for activating the DNN during the training phase, and the softmax function is used to obtain the mode index $\hat{i}$, which is

$$\text{softmax}(s) = \frac{e^s}{\sum_{c=1}^{C} e^{c}}.$$  

The number of training samples required is selected based on experimentation by gradually increasing the training size until acceptable MSE values are achieved. In this case, the MSE loss function of the DNN used for the training is

$$\mathcal{L}(\xi, \hat{\xi}; W_n, \theta_n) = \frac{1}{B} \sum_{i=1}^{B} ||\xi - \hat{\xi}||^2,$$  

where $B$ is the sample size of the current iteration.
TABLE IV: CS-MIM system simulation parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Real Domain</th>
<th>Virtual Domain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scheme type</td>
<td>Scheme 1</td>
<td>Scheme 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection type</td>
<td>HD</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-carrier System</td>
<td>CS-GFIM-SM</td>
<td>CS-JMIM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of subcarriers, Nc</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclic prefix</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Num of subcarrier, G</td>
<td>64, 32</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Num of active indices/group, K</td>
<td>1, 1, 2, 1</td>
<td>1, 1, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiver antennas, N_r</td>
<td>4, 8, 4</td>
<td>2, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSC code, (n, k, N_c)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(2,1,3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Num of subcarrier/group, N_f</td>
<td>2, 4, 2</td>
<td>2, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmit antennas, N_t</td>
<td>2, 4, 2</td>
<td>2, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activated antennas, N_ac</td>
<td>1, 1, 1</td>
<td>2, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time Slots, T</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STSK codeword, (m, n, l, q, l)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(2,2,2,2,4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A stopping criterion can be defined either by the number of iterations or by the maximum tolerable MSE threshold. Then, the parameter sets \{W_n, \theta_n\} can be updated in each training iteration based on our learning algorithm using gradient descent, which is formulated as:

\[\{W_n, \theta_n\} \leftarrow \{W_n, \theta_n\} - \alpha \nabla L(\{W_n, \theta_n\}),\]

where \(\alpha > 0\) is the learning rate and \(\nabla L(\{W_n, \theta_n\})\) represents the gradient of \(L(\{W_n, \theta_n\})\). In our proposed DNN-aided detection, we use \(\alpha = 0.001\).

V. SIMULATION RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

In this section, we characterize the performance of the proposed CS-JMIM system, where conventional detection will be used for benchmarking the proposed learning aided detection methods. Furthermore, we consider the system employing SF CS-JMIM and TSF CS-JMIM. The BER performance is evaluated by Monte-Carlo simulations, where we use the simulation parameters summarized in Table IV. The parameters used by the learning models are presented in Table VI. In our simulations, we assume that the receiver has perfect channel knowledge, while in practice this is estimated using channel estimation techniques.

In the following, we present the different schemes considered in our simulations for comparison purposes. Firstly, we compared CS-aided separate multi-dimensional IM with CS-JMIM. More specifically, for our SF domain system, we compared CS-aided Generalized Subcarrier Index Modulation with SM (CS-GFIM-SM). These are termed as Scheme 1, 3, with CS-JMIM as Scheme 2, 4. Then, for the TSF domain, the CS-JMIM of Scheme 5 is compared to Scheme 6, which represents the CS-MIM [22] [36]. Secondly, we compared the performance of different parameters in the context of Schemes 2, 4, 6. Thirdly, we characterized the performance of DNN-aided CS-JMIM both in HD and SD in Schemes 6-9. We also quantified the complexity and compared it to conventional ML detection. Finally, we also exploited the adaptation of CS-JMIM between different JMIM methods in Scheme 10. To elaborate:

1) Scheme 1: applies ML HD detection for the CS-GFIM-SM, which activated one of 2 TAs, 2 RAs, and 2 subcarriers per group, while considering 8 subcarriers per group in the VD and \(K = 1, 2\) activated subcarriers.

2) Scheme 2: applies maximum likelihood hard decision detection for the CS-JMIM system in the SF domain along with 2 TAs, 2 RAs, and 2 subcarriers per group in the RD, while considering 4 antennas and 4 subcarriers per group in the VD. In this scheme, we consider the following mappings:
   a) General JMIM with \(K = 1, 2\).
   b) Grouped JMIM with gs = 4 subgroups, and each subgroup applies general JMIM in conjunction with \(K = 1\) (In this case, we can consider that both the FD and SpD is split into two sub groups, which have \(gsx = gsy = 2\).).
   c) Coded JMIM with \(n_q = 2\).

3) Scheme 3: applies ML HD detection for the CS-GFIM-SM, which activated one antenna out of 4 TAs, 4 RAs, and 4 subcarriers per group, while considering 16 subcarriers per group in the VD and \(K = 1, 2, 3\) activated subcarriers.

4) Scheme 4: applies maximum likelihood hard decision detection for the CS-JMIM system in the SF domain along with 4 TAs, 4 RAs, and 4 subcarriers per group in this RD, with 8 antennas and 8 subcarriers per group in the VD. In this scheme, we consider the following mappings:
   a) General JMIM with \(K = 1, 2, 3\).
   b) Grouped JMIM with gs = 4, gsx = gsy = 2 subgroups, with each subgroup applying the general JMIM along with \(K = 1\).
   c) Coded JMIM with \(n_q = 4\).

5) Scheme 5: applies ML HD detection for the CS-MIM system in the TSF domain with 8 TAs, 8 RAs, 2 subcarriers per group and 2 TSS, while having 8 subcarriers per group in the VD. For the Space-Time-Shift-Keying (STSK) codeword STSK(\(M, N, T, Q, L\)) used in CS-MIM [22], STSK(2,2,2,4) is applied. In this case, we have 2 activated antennas out of 8 and \(K = 1, 2\) activated subcarrier out of 8 subcarrier in the VD.

6) Scheme 6: applies maximum likelihood hard decision
### TABLE V: Simulation results and complexity analysis of each Scheme.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scheme index</th>
<th>SNR at BER of $10^{-9}$</th>
<th>Throughput(bits/s/Hz)</th>
<th>Complexity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HD Detection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheme 1</td>
<td>K=1</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>2.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K=2</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheme 2</td>
<td>a)</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>2.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b)</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>4.444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c)</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>1.778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheme 3</td>
<td>K=1</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>1.778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K=2</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>2.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheme 4</td>
<td>a)</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>1.778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b)</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>3.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c)</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>4.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheme 5</td>
<td>K=1</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>3.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K=2</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>5.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheme 6</td>
<td>a)</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>3.556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b)</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>6.222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheme 7</td>
<td>a)</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>3.556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b)</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>17.778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheme 8</td>
<td>a)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b)</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>8.889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheme 9</td>
<td>a)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b)</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>1.778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c)</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>8.889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0.889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheme 10</td>
<td>a)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE VI: Training configuration for learning-aided detection method of Scheme 7,9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Hard-decision</th>
<th>Soft-decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum training epoch</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial learning rate</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target SNR for training</td>
<td>0dB-20dB</td>
<td>-10dB to 5dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini batch size</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>200 to 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimizer</td>
<td>Adam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training data size</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validation data ratio</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE VII: Training configuration for adaptive modulation of Scheme 10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Channel realizations for training</td>
<td>100000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Channel realizations for testing</td>
<td>200000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target SNR for training</td>
<td>0dB-30dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of neighbors in KNN searchin $k$</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of FC layers in DNN</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of neurons in each FC layer</td>
<td>$(128, 256, 128)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of output layer size</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activation function for output layer</td>
<td>Soft Max</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Scheme 10

- **Adaptive Modulation**
- Number of output layer size: 4
- Activation function for output layer: Soft Max

**Note:**
- Detection for the CS-JMIM system in the TSF domain with 2 TAs, 2 RAs, 2 subcarriers per group and 2 TSs in the RD, while using 4 antennas, 4 subcarriers per group and 4 TSs in the VD. In this scheme, we consider the following mappings:
  - a) General JMIM with $K = 1, 2$.
  - b) Grouped JMIM with $gs = 8, gs_x = gs_y = gs_z = 2$ subgroups, where each subgroup applies general JMIM along with $K = 1$. (In this case, we further split the TD into two parts, which have $gs_z = 2$).
  - c) Coded JMIM $n_q = 2$.

7) **Scheme 7**: Applies DNN based HD detection for the CS-JMIM system. Here, we consider 2 TAs, 2 RAs, 2 subcarriers per group, and 2 TSs in the RD, while using 4 antennas, 4 subcarriers per group and 4 TSs in the VD. In this scheme, we consider the following mappings:
- a) General JMIM with $K = 2$.
- b) Grouped JMIM with $gs = 8, gs_x = gs_y = gs_z = 2$ subgroups, where each subgroup applies general JMIM with $K = 1$.
- c) Coded JMIM $n_q = 2$.

8) **Scheme 8**: Applies conventional SD detection for the CS-JMIM system in the TSF domain, while using RSC channel coding RSC(2,1,3). Here, we consider 2 TAs, 2 RAs, 2 subcarriers per group, and 2 TSs in the RD, while using 4 antennas, 4 subcarriers per group and 4 TSs in the VD. In this scheme, we consider the following mappings:
- a) General JMIM with $K = 2$.
- b) Grouped JMIM with $gs = 8, gs_x = gs_y = gs_z =$
Our simulation parameters are shown in Table IV.

9) Scheme 9: applies DNN-based SD detection for the CS-JMIM system in the TSF domain, while using RSC channel coding RSC(2,1,3). Here, we consider 2 TAs, 2 RAs, 2 subcarriers per group, and 2 TSs in the RD, while using 4 antennas, 4 subcarriers per group and 4 TSs in the VD. In this scheme, we consider the following mappings:

a) General JMIM with $K = 2$.

b) Grouped JMIM with $g_s = 8, g_{sx} = g_{sy} = g_{sz} = 2$ subgroups, each subgroup applied general JMIM with $K = 1$.

c) Coded JMIM with $n_q = 2$.

10) Scheme 10: Adaptive HD-CS-JMIM system based on the TSF domain with 2 TAs, 2 RAs, 2 subcarriers per group, 2 TSs in RD and 4 antennas, 4 subcarriers per group and 4 TSs in the VD. The details of the DNN based adaptive system design are shown in Table VII. In this system, we consider the following adaptation schemes:

a) Conventional adaptation.

b) KNN-based adaptation.

c) DNN-based adaptation.

As shown in Fig. 15, we compared the CS-aided separate MIM - namely the CS-GFIM-IM in this case - to CS-JMIM, which applied the general JMIM method of Section II-A1a). In this case, based on the transmission rate calculation formula $N_t + L_{CP}$, we have the transmission rate of the CS-GFIM-IM associated with $K = 1$ in Scheme 1 as $R_{t}^{K=1} = 2.667$ bits/s/Hz. This is the same as the CS-JMIM associated with $K = 1$ in Scheme 2a) under identical hardware configuration. However, the performance of Scheme 2a) is almost 10 dB worse than that of Scheme 1 at a BER of $10^{-5}$. Hence CS-JMIM is unattractive in this situation. For more activated index entities of both CS-JMIM and CS-GFIM-IM, the throughput of Scheme 1 is increased to $R_{t}^{K=1,k=2} = 4$ bits/s/Hz and Scheme 2a) has $R_{t}^{K=2,k=2} = 4.444$ bits/s/Hz. In this case, Scheme 2a) of $K = 2$ has a 3.6 dB better performance than Scheme 1 of $K = 2$ at a BER of $10^{-5}$.

Fig. 16 shows the performance of the proposed CS-JMIM Scheme 2 for different JMIM methods. Observe that for a small index space of $N_t = N_f = 2$, the detector cannot beneficially exploit the sparsity. The transmission rate of Scheme 2 is either $R_{t}^{K=1} = 2.667$ bits/s/Hz, or $R_{t}^{K=2} = 4.444$ bits/s/Hz and we have $R_{t}^{K=1} = 7.111$ bits/s/Hz, $R_{t}^{K=2} = 1.778$ bits/s/Hz. As shown in Fig. 16, Scheme 2a) associated with $K = 1, 2$ has a similar BER performance, while Scheme 2a) of $K = 2$ has a higher throughput. Additionally, Scheme 2b) has almost 4 times the transmission rate compared to Scheme 2c), but the latter has an increased diversity gain. Hence the BER performance of Scheme 2c) is 12 dB better than that of Scheme 2c).

To further exploit the sparsity of CS-JMIM, we also consider larger SF dimensions applied to the JMIM method, as shown in Fig. 17. We assume that both schemes have the same number of TAs and subcarriers per group along with an adjustable number of VD subcarriers. For $N_t = 4, N_f = 4$, the CS-JMIM of Scheme 4a) achieves better performance than the separate MIM in Scheme 3 with the same $K$ value. Specifically, both schemes have $R_{t}^{K=1} = 1.778$ bits/s/Hz and $R_{t}^{K=3} = 3.333$ bits/s/Hz, respectively, while Scheme 4a) with $K = 1$ at BER of $10^{-5}$. When relying on a higher K, CS-JMIM is capable of providing higher throughput as well as improved detection performance. With $K = 2, 3$, the throughput of Scheme 3 is $R_{t}^{K=2} = 2.667$ bits/s/Hz and $R_{t}^{K=3} = 3.333$ bits/s/Hz, respectively, while Scheme 4a) could achieve $R_{t}^{K=2} = 3.111$ bits/s/Hz and $R_{t}^{K=3} = 4.667$ bits/s/Hz.
Fig. 18 shows the BER performance of Scheme 4. A higher VD index mapping DM size allows for more flexible K value selection in Scheme 4a). Observe that Scheme 4a) with K = 1 achieves a similar performance to Scheme 4c), where Scheme 4a) with K = 1 has \( R_t = 1.778 \text{ bits/s/Hz} \) and Scheme 4c) has \( R_t = 1.333 \text{ bits/s/Hz} \).

For the TSF domain system of Fig. 6(a), we consider a separate model termed as CS-JMIM [22]. This model applied SIM and STSK in the FD and CS is applied for the FD. Then the symbol after IFFT is modulated using SM and transmitted by the activated antennas. The CS-MIM scheme is simulated using the parameters of Table IV for Scheme 5. In this case, to achieve the same throughput as Scheme 5 and Scheme 6a) at \( K = 1 \), for Scheme 5, we deliver the signals over 8 TAs with the aid of 2 RF chains. Then both Scheme 5 and Scheme 6a) can have a throughput of \( R_t^{K=1} = 3.556 \text{ bits/s/Hz} \) with \( K = 1 \). Then, we can observe in Fig. 19 that Scheme 6a) achieves a BER of \( 10^{-5} \) at -0.1 dB while Scheme 5 requires about 9.8 dB at the same BER. For \( K = 2 \), Scheme 5 requires 13.5 dB SNR at \( 10^{-5} \) BER for \( R_t^{K=2} = 5.333 \text{ bits/s/Hz} \) and Scheme 6a) requires 7.5 dB lower SNR than Scheme 5 for \( R_t^{K=2} = 6.222 \text{ bits/s/Hz} \).

In Fig. 20, the TSF domains are considered for the CS-JMIM using Scheme 6. As shown in Fig. 20, Scheme 6a) with \( K = 1 \) attains the best performance among all types in Scheme 6. Quantitatively, at a BER of \( 10^{-5} \), it requires an SNR of \(-0.3 \text{ dB}\) and has a throughput of \( R_t = 3.555 \text{ bits/s/Hz} \). Scheme 6c) achieves a BER of \( 10^{-5} \) at an SNR of 1.1 dB. When higher dimensions are introduced, both the general JMIM and grouped JMIM can provide a high throughput as well as a good BER performance, albeit at the cost of a huge detection complexity. In Fig. 20, Scheme 6b) represents the grouped JMIM associated with 8 sub-groups. When \( K = 1 \) and the general JMIM DM is applied, we have \( R_t = 17.778 \text{ bits/s/Hz} \). This scheme attains a BER of \( 10^{-5} \) at an SNR of 15.1 dB. Scheme 6a) with \( K = 3 \) has \( R_t = 9.333 \text{ bits/s/Hz}\) and achieves a BER of \( 10^{-5} \) at an SNR of 11 dB. Hence, for higher dimensions, the grouped JMIM outperforms the other two JMIM methods. However, the complexity of grouped JMIM is exponentially increasing. Specifically, the detection complexity order of the grouped JMIM is \( O[(N_{JMIM}(X^K)^N_{sub})] \) for the TSF domain CS-JMIM system. This can be simplified to \( O([(N_{v}N_{at}T_{v}/(g_s)))(M^K)^N_{sub}] \), where \( N_{sub} \) represents the number of sub-groups. On the other hand, the detection complexity order of the general JMIM is \( O[(N_iN_{at}K_T,M^K)] \). Furthermore, the coded JMIM complexity order can be \( O((N_q - n_q)n_qM) \). Then we can formulate the computational complexity order of ML for Scheme 7a) as \( O_{ML}[(N_{sub}N_{j}N_{f}T(g_s)(N_{j}N_{f}T)^N_{sub}M^2C^2_{Tv}/(g_s^2) + N_{at}N_{v}N_{f}M_{T}N_{f}T + M^K)(N_{JMIM}(X^K))]) \). For Scheme 7b), the sub-groups must be considered in each rounds, which have a complexity of \( O_{ML}[(N_{sub}N_{j}N_{f}N_{v}T(g_s)N_{j}N_{f}N_{v}T^N_{sub}M^2C^2_{Tv}/(g_s^2)) + N_{at}N_{v}N_{f}M_{T}N_{f}T + M^K(N_{JMIM}(X^K)))] \). For Scheme 7c), we have a reduced complexity order of \( O_{ML}[(N_rN_{j}N_{f}N_{v}N_{at}M_{T}N_{f}T(g_s)N_{j}N_{at}M^K)] \) due to having multiple bit copies. Then we can calculate the computational complexity based on Table IV, as shown in Table V.

Upon increasing the throughput excessive detection complexity is imposed by conventional ML detection. To reduce the detection complexity, we have to accept a performance vs. complexity trade-off. In this context, we compare our DNN-based detector of the TSF based CS-JMIM system to conventional maximum likelihood detection by comparing Scheme 6 and Scheme 7 in Fig. 21. Observe that the DNN-assisted HD detector achieves a similar performance to the ML detector. Furthermore, the complexity of the NN is determined by that.
of the forward and backward propagation, where we have the general DNN complexity order of $O[n_l n_1 n_i + n_h + n_o]$. Here $n_i$ and $n_o$ denote the number of neurons in the input and output layers, $n_l(l = 1, 2, \cdots)$ is the number of neurons in the hidden layer between the input and output. Then we can analyse each DNN model in Scheme 8. For a classification neural network, we have the LSTM layer as the activation layer of the input layer, which has the complexity of $O[4 n_l]$, where $n_d$ is the number of neurons in the input layer and the popular sigmoid function is used as the activation layer of the output layer. The associated complexity is $O[2 n_l n_L - n_L - 1 + 2 n_L - 1]$. The complexity of the FC layer with the ReLu function is given by $O[2 n_l n_{l-1} - n_{l-1} + 1]$. Then we have the computational complexity order of $O[4 n_l(n_1 + 2 + n_i) + \sum_{l=1}^{L} -1_l(2n_{l+1}-n_l)+2n_L-1]$. Now we can also summarize the computational complexity of the DNN methods in Table V.

Furthermore, we extend the DNN-assisted detector to the SD of the TSF domain CS-JMIM system in Scheme 8 and Scheme 9, while using the half-rate RSC encoder RSC(2,1,3), having a memory of 3. As shown in Fig. 22, with the aid of channel coding, the performance of CS-JMIM can be further increased, as seen for Scheme 8. By comparing Scheme 8 of Fig. 22 and Scheme 6 of Fig. 21, the detection performance is 1dB better for Scheme 8c) than for Scheme 6c) at the BER of $10^{-5}$. Furthermore, Scheme 8a) requires an SNR of 6.2 dBs at BER=10$^{-5}$, while Scheme 6a) necessitates SNR=1.6 dB. Scheme 8b) has the best performance, outperforming Scheme 6b) by about 8 dB at a BER of $10^{-5}$. Fig. 22 also shows the performance of DNN based detection for TSF CS-JMIM, where Scheme 9a) and Scheme 9c) exhibit similar performance. Quantitatively, they require about 4 and 3.2 dB at a BER of $10^{-5}$. Scheme 9b) requires 3 dB higher SNR than the conventional SD detector, but it is still about 6 dB better than Scheme 7b). The proposed learning method has a complexity order of $O[O(n_l n_i) + O(n_h^2) + O(n_l n_o)]$ compared to $O[2^{q_g} (T,N_v, N_{el}(QX)K)]$ for the conventional scheme, where $c_g$ denotes the RSC-coded number of bits in a transmitted symbol.

Finally, we present the performance of Scheme 10 in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Scheme</th>
<th>$R_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Coded</td>
<td>Scheme 6a</td>
<td>1.773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Scheme 7b</td>
<td>6.222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Grouped</td>
<td>Scheme 7c</td>
<td>17.778</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 23: Adaptive modulation performance comparison of CS-JMIM Scheme 7. Our simulation parameters are shown in Table IV.
As a conclusion, we present both KNN and DNN-based adaptive modulation schemes. Our simulation results showed that both the KNN and DNN-based systems. Table VIII presents the thresholds for mode selection. Then we can further analyse the throughput of each mode selection scheme in Fig. 24. Observe that the DNN-based adaptive modulation scheme has a higher throughput than the KNN-based one, because more accurate decisions can be made by the DNN classifier than by the KNN classifier. Clearly, the learning assisted adaptive schemes are capable of selecting the best possible mode, while the conventional adaptive modulation uses the predefined average SNR-based thresholds for mode selection.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

A CS-JMIM system was proposed and DL-aided detection using both HD and SD was conceived for reducing the detection complexity. We demonstrated that the proposed JMIM system is capable of outperforming its individual domain based counterpart, striking more flexible trade-offs between the BER performance and throughput. The learning method constructed is capable of approaching the performance of the maximum likelihood detector at a significantly reduced complexity. Furthermore, we showed that adaptive modulation can be applied for the selection of the JMIM DM design. We demonstrated that the CS-JMIM can flexibly adjust the transmission mode for accommodating time-variant channel conditions. We presented both KNN and DNN based adaptive schemes. Our simulation results showed that both the KNN and DNN-based approaches outperform the conventional threshold-based adaptive modulation. We also demonstrated that the DNN based adaptive design has a lower computational complexity and higher throughput than the KNN based approach.
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