Domain wall AdS/QCD

Nick Evans[®] and Jack Mitchell

STAG Research Centre & Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton SO17 1BJ, United Kingdom

(Received 8 September 2021; accepted 19 October 2021; published 12 November 2021)

We construct a new holographic description of QCD using domain wall fermions. The construction consists of probe D7 branes in a D5 brane geometry describing quarks on a (4 + 1)-dimensional defect in a (5 + 1)-dimensional gauge theory. We then compactify one dimension of the D5 to introduce confinement in the gauge degrees of freedom. In addition we allow a spatial dependent mass term for the D7 brane quarks to isolate chiral fermions on (3 + 1)-dimensional domain walls. The D7 world volume fields, when restricted to the domain wall position, provide an AdS/QCD description. We compute the spectrum and compare to data. We include higher dimension operators to systematically improve the description.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.104.094018

I. INTRODUCTION

Domain wall fermions [1] are a powerful technique for isolating massless chiral fermions within a gauge theory. The technique is widely used in lattice QCD simulations to enforce chiral symmetry. Recently, we investigated the technique in a holographic setting [2] providing a holographic description of (2 + 1)-dimensional domain wall fermions on a probe D7 brane in the five dimensional antide-Sitter space (AdS₅) generated by $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory [3]. In the limit where the higher dimension mass is very large, the position of the domain wall (where the chiral fermions are massless) can be found exactly. Restricting the holographic fields to the locus of the domain wall gives a holographic description of the dynamics of those chiral fermions.

Here we take this approach to provide a description of a (3 + 1)-dimensional domain wall theory with N_f chiral quarks on the defect—the basic construct is a (5 + 1)-dimensional gauge theory (on a D5 brane) compactified in one dimension (introducing confinement), with quarks present on (4 + 1)-dimensional defects (probe D7 branes). The domain wall structure is then used to place chiral fermions on (3 + 1)-dimensional defects. When the (4 + 1)-dimensional mass is large the position of the domain wall can be found and the holographic fields, when reduced to this locus, provide a description of the chiral fermions. We present the construction of this domain wall AdS/QCD theory and compute the light meson

spectrum it predicts. The UV of the theory, reflecting that the gauge dynamics is (5 + 1) dimensional, does not match to perturbative QCD, so we impose a cutoff at the 3 GeV scale and only work at lower scales in the holographic model. The predictions are comparable in quality to those of other AdS/QCD constructions [4,5].

The holographic description should be matched at the 3 GeV upper cutoff to QCD in the intermediate coupling regime, and higher dimension operators (HDOs) would be expected to be present [6]. We include such operators using Witten's multitrace prescription [7] (see [8,9] for previous examples of using HDOs in holographic descriptions of QCD). We fit the couplings of these operators to the meson data since we cannot compute the nonperturbative QCD matching. We show that the predictions of the model can be systematically improved in this way.

II. THE BRANE CONSTRUCTION

Our construction is built around the D5/probe D7 system with five coincident directions in the configuration (one of the systems discussed in [10]),

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
D5	_	_	-	-	_	(-)	•	•	•	•	(1)
D7	-	_	_	_	_	•	_	_	_	•	

The UV theory is therefore a supersymmetric (5 + 1)dimensional gauge theory with quark hypermultiplets restricted to a (4 + 1)-dimensional domain wall. The gauge theory is strongly coupled in the UV, but we will set up our QCD-like dynamics in the IR, where the supergravity approximation holds. We will compactify one of the five spatial directions on the D5 brane, shown by the brackets

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

in (1). This breaks supersymmetry and introduces an IR confinement scale by making the geometry a cigar in the x_5 and radial direction.

Note if the D7 brane were at $x_9 = 0$, describing a massless quark, then the D7 would wrap around the cigar and reemerge as an anti-D7 brane antipodal on the circle in x_5 . This demonstrates that the theory needs an anti-D7 in order for the D7 fluxes to have a sensible solution on the x_5 circle. Here though, we will, except on a domain wall, set the quark mass very large so that the D7 only live at large radius where they are widely separated on the x_5 circle. We will assume that there is then no interaction between the antipodal branes and concentrate on the dynamics on one brane.

The final trick we will employ is to allow the quark mass M on the (4 + 1)-dimensional defect to be x_4 dependent. We will assume it is positive and very large everywhere except in an interval of width w where the sign flips. The boundaries of this region have M = 0 and are the domain walls. One expects the localization of (3 + 1)-dimensional chiral fermions, one on each domain wall. As in the previous examples we studied in [2], the domain walls approach and merge as one moves into the IR of the holographic description, indicating the presence of chiral symmetry breaking. The v_9 field, which describes the condensate of the left and right-handed fermions, can have solutions display, consistently, chiral symmetry breaking solutions on the U-shaped embeddings of the domain wall configurations.

A. D5 geometry

The geometry generated by the D5 branes with a compact direction is known. One takes the thermal geometry, for example, found in [11] and Wick rotates to interchange a spatial and time direction, as described in [12]. This leads to the near-horizon metric $\left(U = r/\alpha', K = \frac{(2\pi)^{3/2}}{g_{\rm YM}\sqrt{N}}\right)$, $\frac{ds^2}{\alpha'} = KU(-dt^2 + dx_4^2 + hdz^2) + \frac{1}{KU}\left(\frac{1}{h}dU^2 + U^2d\Omega_3^2\right)$, (2)

where

$$h(U) = 1 - \frac{U_0^2}{U^2},\tag{3}$$

$$e^{\phi} = \frac{U}{K}, \qquad g_{\rm YM}^2 = (2\pi)^3 g_s \alpha'.$$
 (4)

Note that in the (5 + 1)-dimensional dual the gauge field is of energy dimension one so $1/g_{YM}^2$ has energy dimension two. Here we see that U has dimension one, and the dilaton is dimensionless. To find the circumference of the circle in z, we expand near the "horizon" $U = U_0 + \delta U$ and find to leading order in the U - z plane,

$$ds^2 = 2K\delta U dz^2 + \frac{1}{2K\delta U} d\delta U^2.$$

We then set $\alpha = Kz$ and $\delta U = \frac{1}{2}K\sigma^2$ and obtain

$$ds^2 = (d\sigma^2 + \sigma^2 d\alpha^2),$$

which is a plane. To have no deficit angle, $0 < \alpha < 2\pi$ so $0 < z < 2\pi/K$.

Before we can embed the D7 brane, we need to write the metric so that the directions transverse to the D5 are a flat plane (as in the cases explored in [13]). The relevant pieces of the metric are

$$ds^{2} = \frac{U}{K} \left(\frac{1}{U^{2}h(U)} dU^{2} + d\Omega_{3}^{2} \right).$$
 (5)

We change coordinates so

$$\frac{dv^2}{v^2} = \frac{dU^2}{U^2 h(U)},$$
(6)

so that

$$ds^{2} = \frac{1}{K} \frac{U(v)}{v^{2}} (dv^{2} + v^{2} d\Omega_{3}^{2}),$$
(7)

which we can then write as

$$ds^{2} = \frac{1}{K} \frac{U(v)}{v^{2}} (d\rho^{2} + \rho^{2} d\Omega_{2}^{2} + dv_{9}^{2}).$$
(8)

Solving (6) gives

$$v^{2} = \frac{1 + \frac{U}{\sqrt{U^{2} - U_{0}^{2}}}}{\frac{U}{\sqrt{U^{2} - U_{0}^{2}}} - 1} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{U}{U_{0}} = \frac{1 + v^{2}}{2v}.$$
(9)

Note that $v \to 1$ as $U/U_0 \to 1$, and at large U we find $v^2 = 4U^2/U_0^2$.

Finally, the metric can be written

$$ds^{2} = G_{x}(dx_{0-3}^{2} + hdx_{5}^{2}) + G_{v}(d\rho^{2} + \rho^{2}d\Omega_{2}^{2} + dv_{9}^{2}), \quad (10)$$

with

$$G_x = KU_0 \frac{v^2 + 1}{2v}, \quad h(v) = 1 - \left(\frac{2v}{v^2 + 1}\right)^2, \quad (11)$$

$$G_v = \frac{U_0}{K} \frac{1+v^2}{2v^3}, \qquad e^{-\phi} = \frac{K}{U_0} \frac{2v}{1+v^2}. \tag{12}$$

It is worth noting here that the holographic directions in this set of coordinates do not carry the field theory energy dimensions. G_x does and this is a useful check of equations below.

B. D7 probe action

Next we include (4 + 1)-dimensional quark hypermultiplets on defects in the (5 + 1)-dimensional glue theory by the inclusion of a probe D7 brane [14] in the configuration of (1). The Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI) action takes the form

$$S_{\rm D7} = -T_7 \int d^8 \xi \sqrt{\det(P[G_{ab}] + 2\pi \alpha' F_{ab})},$$
 (13)

where ξ are the world volume coordinates, and *P* denotes the pullback. We find, setting the worldvolume vector to zero for now,

$$S_{\text{D7}} = -\mathcal{N} \int d^5 x d\rho \rho^2 e^{-\phi} G_x^{5/2} G_v^{3/2} \\ \times \sqrt{1 + (\partial_\rho v_9)^2 + \frac{G_v}{G_x} (\partial_{x_{0-4}} v_9)^2}, \qquad (14)$$

where $\mathcal{N} = T_7 \int d\Omega_2$. The factor

$$e^{-\phi}G_x^{5/2}G_v^{3/2} = \frac{K^2 U_0^3}{8} \left(1 + \frac{1}{v^2}\right)^3 \tag{15}$$

and blows up as $v \rightarrow 0$, which encourages the D7 to bend away from v = 0 by switching on v_9 and generating chiral symmetry breaking. The equation for the D7 embedding that encodes this is

$$\partial_{\rho} \left[\frac{\rho^2 e^{-\phi} G_x^{5/2} G_v^{3/2}}{\sqrt{1 + (\partial_{\rho} v_9)^2}} \partial_{\rho} v_9 \right] - 2\rho^2 \sqrt{1 + (\partial_{\rho} v_9)^2} \left(\frac{d}{dv^2} e^{-\phi} G_x^{5/2} G_v^{3/2} \right) v_9 = 0.$$
(16)

The UV solution is $v_9 \simeq M + C/\rho(\simeq U/2U_0)$, and so the mass is proportional to MU_0 and the condensate (of dimension four in (4 + 1) dimensional) to $CK^2U_0^2$ [note that the condensate is a derivative with respect to the mass on the action so it naturally picks up the K^2 factor from (15)]. We will avoid this chiral symmetry breaking (and any interaction with any antipodal anti-D7) by taking configurations where $M \rightarrow \infty$, except on domain walls.

C. Domain walls

Our final ingredient is to introduce a quark mass that has spatial dependence in the x_4 direction. We take the UV mass to be M, except on the boundary,

$$v_9 = -M, \qquad -w/2 < x_4 < w/2.$$
 (17)

We expect (3 + 1)-dimensional chiral fermions to be isolated at the two discontinuities where M = 0. We will now work in the infinite M limit [2] so that any issues with the (4 + 1)-dimensional quarks are pushed to the far UV and so that the x_4 derivative of v_9 becomes a delta function. One must be careful to include appropriate Jacobian factors in the form of the delta function (these are those that effectively reduce the D7 action to that of a 6 brane). We have, with M vanishing on the contour $x_4(\rho)$,

$$\partial_{\rho} v_9 = \frac{1}{G_v^{1/2}(\partial_4 \rho)} \bigg|_{\text{locus}} \delta(x_4 - x_4(\rho)).$$
(18)

We now insert this factor into the D7 action (14), assuming that $v_9 = 0$ (formally $v_9 \ll M$), giving

$$S_{\text{locus}} = -\mathcal{N} \int d^4 x d\rho \rho^2 e^{-\phi} G_x^2 G_v^{3/2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{G_x}{G_v} (\partial_\rho x_4)^2}, \quad (19)$$

which is an action that determines the locus on which M = 0 in the $\rho - x_4$ plane. Equation (19) has a conserved quantity, which we denote C, and we find

$$\partial_{\rho} x_4 = \frac{G_v^{1/2}}{G_x^{1/2} \sqrt{e^{-2\phi} \rho^4 G_x^5 G_v^2 \mathcal{C}^2 - 1}}.$$
 (20)

Note the large ρ limit of this is $4\sqrt{2}/(CK^{7/2}U_0^{5/2}\rho^{7/2})$, and C has energy dimension -5.

The solutions are U shaped in the $\rho - x_4$ plane with the minimum ρ value given when the denominator vanishes. We display these solutions in Fig. 1.



FIG. 1. Loci of the domain walls in the $\rho - x_4$ plane for different choices of C/ρ_{\min} . Here we set $KU_0 = 1$ for numerics.

III. THE DOMAIN WALL THEORY

We now wish to describe holographically the (3 + 1)dimensional chiral fermions living on the domain walls and their interactions—this is the domain wall AdS/QCD theory. One wants solutions of the D7 brane world volume fields that are of the form of a delta function on the loci found above and shown in Fig. 1. To find such solutions we, by hand, dimensionally reduce the D7 brane action in (14) onto the loci by imposing a delta function of the form in (18).

A. The quark mass and condensate

As a first example let us find the vacuum configuration describing the quark condensate by considering just the field v_9 . We obtain the action

$$S_{\rm D7} = -\mathcal{N} \int d^4 x d\rho \rho^2 e^{-\phi} G_x^{5/2} \frac{G_v^{5/2}}{G_v^{1/2}(\rho)} (\partial_\rho x_4) \\ \times \sqrt{1 + \mathcal{F}(\partial_\rho v_9)^2 + \frac{G_v}{G_x} (\partial_{x_{0-3}} v_9)^2}, \tag{21}$$

where

$$\mathcal{F} = 1 + \frac{G_v}{G_x (\partial_\rho x_4)^2}.$$
(22)

It is worth noting that in the large ρ limit for the pieces relevant for the vacuum the configuration becomes

$$S_{\rm D7} \sim -\int d^4x d\rho \frac{1}{\mathcal{C}K\rho} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mathcal{C}^2 K^5 U_0^5}{32} \rho^5 (\partial_\rho v_9)^2}.$$
 (23)

The large ρ solution is $v_9 = m + c/\rho^3$. Note here we use little *m* and *c*—they are masses and condensates between the chiral fermions on the domain wall, which are distinct from the *M*, *C* of the (4 + 1)-dimensional theory. The condensate is identified by taking a derivative with respect to the mass mU_0 on the action—as written in this limit the action is *m* independent so one must imagine a subleading term, for example, coming from the expansion of the dilaton $\int d\rho v_9^2/CK\rho$. Now one sets $v_9 = m + c/\rho^3$ and differentiates the cross term with respect to U_0m : thus, we find the condensate is proportional to c/CKU_0 , which is both proportional to *c* and of dimension three.

The resulting full equation of motion for an x independent v_9 vacuum solution is

$$\partial_{\rho} \left(e^{-\phi} \rho^{2} \frac{G_{x}^{5/2} G_{v}^{3/2}}{G_{v}^{1/2}(\rho)} (\partial_{\rho} x_{4}) \frac{\mathcal{F} \partial_{\rho} v_{9}}{\sqrt{1 + \mathcal{F}(\partial_{\rho} v_{9})^{2}}} \right) \\ - \frac{2\rho^{2}(\partial_{\rho} x_{4})}{G_{v}^{1/2}(\rho)} v_{9} \frac{\partial}{\partial v^{2}} \left(e^{-\phi} G_{x}^{5/2} G_{v}^{3/2} \sqrt{1 + \mathcal{F}(\partial_{\rho} v_{9})^{2}} \right) = 0.$$
(24)



FIG. 2. Numerical solutions for the vacuum functions $v_9(\rho)$.

In the UV the solution is of the form $m + c/\rho^2$. We find solutions numerically by shooting from the IR boundary conditions $v_9(\rho_{\min}) = \rho_{\min}$ (this is required for the IR mass gap to be consistent with the gap described by the loci in Fig. 1) and $v'_9(\rho_{\min}) = 0$. We display the results in Fig. 2. The numerics become highly tuned as ρ_{\min} approaches one and the U-shaped loci become infinitely wide, but the results look very consistent with the UV quark mass being zero in this limit (which is the case for the D7 embedding in an uncompactified D5 background). For small separations of the domain walls, large ρ_{\min} , the quark mass scales as $1/\rho_{\min}$ as we found in similar configurations in [2]. The massless embedding shows chiral symmetry breaking behavior generating the $\rho_{\min} = 1$ mass gap.

B. Pions

The quark condensate and mass are complex objects, and we would expect a second degree of freedom in the dual that forms a complex pair with v_9 . Let us call this v_{10} , although, there is no such field in the DBI action. We can immediately write down its equation, following that for v_9 , since it has a U(1) symmetry that mixes it with that field. The equation of motion for fluctuations of v_{10} in the background of the v_9 vacuum solution is simply

$$\partial_{\rho} \left(e^{-\phi} \rho^{2} \frac{G_{x}^{5/2} G_{v}^{3/2}}{G_{v}^{1/2}(\rho)} (\partial_{\rho} x_{4}) \frac{\mathcal{F} \partial_{\rho} v_{10}}{\sqrt{1 + \mathcal{F}(\partial_{\rho} v_{9})^{2}}} \right) \\ - \frac{2\rho^{2}(\partial_{\rho} x_{4})}{G_{v}^{1/2}(\rho)} v_{10} \frac{\partial}{\partial v^{2}} \left(e^{-\phi} G_{x}^{5/2} G_{v}^{3/2} \sqrt{1 + \mathcal{F}(\partial_{\rho} v_{9})^{2}} \right) \\ + M^{2} e^{-\phi} \rho^{2} \frac{G_{x}^{3/2} G_{v}^{5/2}}{G_{v}^{1/2}(\rho)} (\partial_{\rho} x_{4}) \frac{v_{10}}{\sqrt{1 + \mathcal{F}(\partial_{\rho} v_{9})^{2}}} = 0.$$
(25)

This equation is therefore sufficient to compute the behavior of the Goldstone mode and its radially excited states of



FIG. 3. A plot of M_{π}^2 against m_q with a guiding linear function plotted (red).

the theory. v_{10} does not appear explicitly in the model, but this is because the $v_9 + iv_{10}$ complex number can be written as $v_9 e^{i\phi}$ and then a U(1)_A transformation used to set $\phi = 0$. The degrees of freedom though remain, and the solutions will emerge as components of the gauge fields, which are present on the U-shaped locus. It is easiest to compute using the logic here though.

The Goldstone nature of this v_{10} state follows simply from (25). If one sets $M^2 = 0$ and v_{10} equal to the v_9 background solution, then (25) is simply (24). This solution though can only be used as a physical state for the massless theory since we require that asymptotically it falls to zero so it describes a fluctuation of the operator (rather than asymptoting to a source). Away from the massless quark theory we must solve (25) numerically with $v'_{10}(\rho_{\min}) = 0$ and vary M^2 to achieve $v_{10}(\infty) = 0$. We show our numerical data in Fig. 3. The results sensibly match a Gell-Mann-Oakes-Renner relation $(M_{\pi}^2 \sim m_q)$ [15] at small quark mass but at larger quark mass $M^2 \sim m_q^2$, as one would expect.

C. Vector and axial vector mesons

The Lagrangian for a small $F^{\mu\nu}$ fluctuation is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\mathcal{N}}{2} \rho^2 \frac{e^{-\phi} G_x^{5/2} G_v^{3/2}}{G_v^{1/2}(\rho)} \frac{(\partial_\rho x_4)}{\sqrt{1 + \mathcal{F}(\partial_\rho v_9)^2}} g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta}.$$
(26)

Note here the x_4 derivative should be included in the sense that on the vacuum locus it contributes to the ρ derivative as $\partial_{x^4} = \partial_{\rho}/\partial_{\rho}x^4$. The resulting equation for the spatial mesons is given by

$$\partial_{\rho} \left[\rho^{2} e^{-\phi} G_{x}^{3/2} \frac{G_{v}^{1/2}}{G_{v}^{1/2}(\rho)} \frac{(\partial_{\rho} x_{4}) \mathcal{F}}{\sqrt{1 + \mathcal{F}(\partial_{\rho} v_{9})^{2}}} \partial_{\rho} A_{x} \right] \\ + M^{2} \rho^{2} e^{-\phi} G_{x}^{1/2} \frac{G_{v}^{3/2}}{G_{v}^{1/2}(\rho)} \frac{(\partial_{\rho} x_{4})}{\sqrt{1 + \mathcal{F}(\partial_{\rho} v_{9})^{2}}} A_{x} = 0. \quad (27)$$

Vector mesons have IR boundary conditions $\partial_{\rho}A(\rho_{\min}) = 0$ and $A(\infty) = 0$ (note the linearized equation does not depend on $A(\rho_{\min})$ so one varies M^2 to satisfy the UV boundary condition). Axial-vector mesons have $A(\rho_{\min}) = 0$ and $A(\infty) = 0$ (again the linearity means the derivative is only defined up to a constant—so one picks some fixed IR derivative and varies M^2 to find a solution that matches the UV boundary conditions).

The solutions for the vector meson must be normalized one requires that its kinetic term is canonical so

$$\mathcal{N} \int d\rho \rho^2 \frac{e^{-\phi} G_x^{1/2} G_v^{3/2}}{G_v^{1/2}(\rho)} \frac{(\partial_\rho x_4)}{\sqrt{1 + \mathcal{F}(\partial_\rho v_9)^2}} (A_x)^2 = 1. \quad (28)$$

To normalize the source solutions we must investigate the UV behavior of (27). At large ρ we have

$$\partial_{\rho} \left[\frac{CK^4 U_0^3}{8} \rho^4 \partial_{\rho} A_x \right] + M^2 \frac{4}{\mathcal{C}K^3 U_0^2} \frac{1}{\rho^3} A_x = 0.$$
 (29)

The solutions of this are not of the log Q^2/ρ^2 form found in AdS/QCD [4] since the UV of the theory is not a conformal (3+1)-dimensional theory (the higher dimensional glue theory's coupling runs as a power law in the UV). However, it is always a sleight of hand to match a gravity dual to perturbative QCD since the dual must fail (or become strongly coupled itself) as QCD becomes perturbative. A simple fix is to only allow the gravity description to extend to a UV cutoff. We will take $10U_0$ where U_0 sets the scale of the IR quark mass as shown in Fig. 2 so is matched to of order 300 MeV-thus the UV cutoff scale corresponds 3 GeV or so. One should match to QCD at this UV cutoff in the region, where QCD is between weak and strong coupling. Rather than attempting to match (which would require calculation in QCD in an intermediate coupling regime), we will simply set the normalization of the source solutions by fitting to F_V . We can then predict F_A and f_{π} .

Note that F_V^2 is defined as the Feynman rule for a vector meson to turn directly into its source at $q^2 = 0$. We must solve (27) with $M^2 = 0$ to find a solution that asymptotes to a constant in the UV to represent the source.

Now we can compute the decay constant (removing the UV surface term between the operator and source) as

$$F_V^2 = \mathcal{N} \int d\rho \partial_\rho \left[\rho^2 \frac{e^{-\phi} G_x^{3/2} G_v^{1/2}}{G_v^{1/2}(\rho)} \times \frac{(\partial_\rho x_4) \mathcal{F}}{\sqrt{1 + \mathcal{F}(\partial_\rho v_9)^2}} \partial_\rho A_V \right] A_{\text{source}}.$$
 (30)

TABLE I. Mesonic observables—QCD values and the basic domain wall (DW) AdS/QCD model's predictions. Starred quantities are used to fix parameters as described in the text. In the final column we list the values of the higher dimension operator couplings in the improved version of the model—here f_{π} , and the excited state masses are predicted.

	QCD	DW AdS/QCD	Improved DW AdS/QCD
m_{ρ}	775 MeV	775*	$g_q = 0.247$
m_{π}	139 MeV	139*	$g_v = 0.656$
m_a	1230 MeV	1,955	$g_A = 1.287$
F_V	345 MeV	345*	
F_A	433 MeV	726.7	433*
f_{π}	93 MeV	135.3	128.8
$M_{v,n=1}$	1465 MeV	3284	1881.8
$M_{A,n=1}$	1655 MeV	5043	2752.5

We cut the integration off at $10U_0$ and set the source normalization to give the observed value of F_V . The F_A coupling is then a repeat of this computation with the axial vector meson solutions and using the same normalization at the cutoff.

Note that f_{π}^2 is given by the axial axial correlator

$$f_{\pi}^{2} = \mathcal{N} \int d\rho \rho^{2} \frac{e^{-\phi} G_{x}^{3/2} G_{v}^{1/2}}{G_{v}^{1/2}(\rho)} \times \frac{(\partial_{\rho} x_{4}) \mathcal{F}}{\sqrt{1 + \mathcal{F}(\partial_{\rho} v_{9})^{2}}} (\partial_{\rho} A_{\text{source A}})^{2}.$$
(31)

Now we can compute m_{π} , M_V , M_A , F_V , F_A , and f_{π} . We use M_V and M_{π} to set the overall scale and quark mass, and F_V sets the source normalization, leaving three predictions. We display these results in Table I. The model, like many AdS/QCD models, gives the correct ball-park spectrum, but here we find the axial sector predictions (f_{π}, M_A, F_A) all lie above the QCD values. Radially excited states' masses also rise sharply suggesting $M \sim n$ rather than $M \sim \sqrt{n}$ as is widely the case in AdS/QCD models [16].

We can improve the predictions by adding higher dimension operators at the UV cutoff scale [6]. These should represent the generation of such operators in the intermediate regime between strong and weak coupling, where one should match to perturbative QCD. Using Witten's multitrace prescription, we change the UV boundary conditions on the holographic fields to allow solutions with nonzero source. We interpret the source as due to the presence of a generic Lagrangian term $GO^{\dagger}O$ which when O condenses generates an effective source $G\langle O \rangle$.

See [9] for recent examples of this methodology in alternative AdS/QCD set ups.

In particular, we proceed as follows. We start by considering different background embeddings for v_9 that asymptote in the UV to different source values. For each we

compute the pion mass. We then fix by hand the ratio of the vector meson mass to the pion mass to its observed value and find the wave function, which does not asymptote to zero in the UV-we can extract the HDO coupling from the source and operator values at the cutoff, assuming the presence of an operator $g_V^2/\Lambda^2 |\bar{q}\gamma^{\mu}q|^2$ (we will quote $g_V^2 = \Lambda^2 \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{O}$). Next we fit the normalization of the source to fit F_V . In the axial sector we allow a coupling $g_{\Lambda}^2/\Lambda^2 |\bar{q}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^5 q|^2$ to fit the axial vector meson mass. Now F_A and f_{π} can be computed. Repeating this for all the v_9 embeddings, we can achieve the physical value of f_A , fixing the background embedding. The pion decay constant reduces a little as shown in Table I but not as low as the physical value. There is a bigger improvement in the predictions of the radial excited state masses, as we show for the first excitations of the ρ and a mesons, although they too still remain high.

IV. DISCUSSION

We have presented a holographic domain wall theory of (3+1)-dimensional chiral quarks interacting via confining gauge interactions. Here the gauge interactions are five dimensional albeit with one compact dimension to generate the confinement scale. The quarks of a (4 + 1)-dimensional theory are isolated on separated domain walls, where the (4+1)-dimensional theory's mass vanishes. The holographic fields on the locus of the defects provide a holographic description of a QCD-like theory. We have shown the theory has chiral symmetry breaking and generates a spectrum that quite closely resembles QCD. Deviations are likely due to the gauge coupling growing into the UV-we have included an UV cutoff to stop this growth and included some higher dimension operators at the cutoff. The spectrum is then improved but the full effects of the higher dimension gauge dynamics are not suppressed.

In lattice simulations using the domain wall fermion method, the gauge fields are isolated on the defects and independent of the higher dimensions. It would be interesting to try to arrange such a set up holographically using multicenter brane solutions, although nonsupersymmetric multicenter solutions are hard to find.

We have presented the model on the surface of a single D7 brane, generating just a single flavor of quarks. However, one would expect the domain wall trick to generate non-Abelian $SU(N_f)_L \times SU(N_f)_R$ flavor symmetries—on a domain wall only a single chiral quark is massless whilst the other is massive, so the interaction with the adjoint scalar superpartner of the gauge field is suppressed on the wall. Thus, the theory on the surface of N_f D7 branes is just that of the Abelian case, but fields are promoted to $N_f \times N_f$ matrices, and the full action should be traced in flavor space. The bosonic fields will form $U(N_f)$ multiplets of the vector flavor symmetry with the masses and couplings of the Abelian case we have described. In conclusion, we believe it has been interesting to generate a new type of AdS/QCD model which uses the domain wall fermion method. The method may allow a wider class of chiral theories to be explored in the future.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work of N. E. was supported by the STFC consolidated Grants No. ST/P000711/1 and No. ST/T000775/1. The work of J. M. was supported by STFC.

- [1] D. B. Kaplan, Phys. Lett. B 288, 342 (1992).
- [2] J. Cruz Rojas, N. Evans, and J. Mitchell, Phys. Rev. D 104, 054029 (2021).
- [3] J. M. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2, 231 (1998);
 E. Witten, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2, 253 (1998); S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov, and A. M. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. B 428, 105 (1998).
- [4] J. Erlich, E. Katz, D. T. Son, and M. A. Stephanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 261602 (2005); L. Da Rold and A. Pomarol, Nucl. Phys. **B721**, 79 (2005).
- [5] T. Sakai and S. Sugimoto, Prog. Theor. Phys. 113, 843 (2005).
- [6] N. Evans and A. Tedder, Phys. Lett. B 642, 546 (2006);
 N. Evans, J. P. Shock, and T. Waterson, Phys. Lett. B 622, 165 (2005).
- [7] E. Witten, arXiv:hep-th/0112258.
- [8] N. Evans and K. Y. Kim, Phys. Rev. D 93, 066002 (2016).
- [9] W. Clemens and N. Evans, Phys. Lett. B 771, 1 (2017);
 J. Erdmenger, N. Evans, W. Porod, and K. S. Rigatos,
 J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2021) 058; M. Jarvinen, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2015) 033.
- [10] R. C. Myers and R. M. Thomson, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2006) 066.

- [11] N. Itzhaki, J. M. Maldacena, J. Sonnenschein, and S. Yankielowicz, Phys. Rev. D 58, 046004 (1998).
- [12] G. T. Horowitz and R. C. Myers, Phys. Rev. D 59, 026005 (1998).
- [13] J. Babington, J. Erdmenger, N. J. Evans, Z. Guralnik, and I. Kirsch, Phys. Rev. D 69, 066007 (2004).
- [14] A. Karch and E. Katz, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2002) 043;
 M. Grana and J. Polchinski, Phys. Rev. D 65, 126005 (2002); M. Bertolini, P. Di Vecchia, M. Frau, A. Lerda, and R. Marotta, Nucl. Phys. B621, 157 (2002); M. Kruczenski, D. Mateos, R. C. Myers, and D. J. Winters, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2003) 049; J. Erdmenger, N. Evans, I. Kirsch, and E. Threlfall, Eur. Phys. J. A 35, 81 (2008).
- [15] M. Gell-Mann, R. J. Oakes, and B. Renner, Phys. Rev. 175, 2195 (1968).
- [16] M. Shifman, Highly excited hadrons in QCD and beyond, Proceedings of the First Workshop on Quark-Hadron Duality and the Transition to pQCD, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Italy, June 6-8, 2005, and the Workshop on Highly Excited Hadrons, ECT* in Trento, Italy, July 4-9 (World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ, 2005), https://ocul-gue. primo.exlibrisgroup.com/permalink/01OCUL_GUE/t4pdma/ alma9953149299405154; A. Karch, E. Katz, D. T. Son, and M. A. Stephanov, Phys. Rev. D 74, 015005 (2006).