



This is the overview page

**Potentially modifiable factors associated with health-related quality of life among people with chronic kidney disease: baseline findings from the National Unified Renal Translational Research Enterprise CKD (NURTuRE-CKD) cohort**

Journal:	<i>Clinical Kidney Journal</i>
Manuscript ID	CKJ-00783-2023.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original article
Date Submitted by the Author:	19-Nov-2023
Complete List of Authors:	Phillips, Thomas; University of Southampton, School of Primary Care, Population Science and Medical Education Harris, Scott; University of Southampton, School of Primary Care, Population Science and Medical Education Aiyegbusi, Olalekan Lee; University of Birmingham, Centre for Patient-Reported Outcomes Research, Institute of Applied Health Research Lucas, Bethany; University of Nottingham, Centre for Kidney Research and Innovation, Academic Unit for Translational Medical Sciences; Royal Derby Hospital, Department of Renal Medicine Benavente, Melissa; University of Nottingham, Centre for Kidney Research and Innovation, Academic Unit for Translational Medical Sciences; Royal Derby Hospital, Department of Renal Medicine Roderick, Paul J; University of Southampton, School of Primary Care, Population Science and Medical Education Cockwell, Paul; Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Department of Renal Medicine Kalra, Philip; Salford Royal Hospital, Department of Renal Medicine Wheeler, David C.; University College London, Department of Renal Medicine Taal, Maarten; University of Nottingham, Centre for Kidney Research and Innovation, Academic Unit for Translational Medical Sciences; Royal Derby Hospital, Department of Renal Medicine Fraser, Simon; University of Southampton, School of Primary Care, Population Sciences and Medical Education, Faculty of Medicine
Keyword list:	chronic renal failure, chronic renal insufficiency, quality of life, renin-angiotensin system, obesity

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

# Potentially modifiable factors associated with health-related quality of life among people with chronic kidney disease: baseline findings from the National Unified Renal Translational Research Enterprise CKD (NURTuRE-CKD) cohort

Thomas Phillips<sup>1</sup>, Scott Harris<sup>1</sup>, Olalekan Lee Aiyegbusi<sup>2</sup>, Bethany Lucas<sup>3,4</sup>, Melissa Benavente<sup>3,4</sup>, Paul J. Roderick<sup>1</sup>, Paul Cockwell<sup>5</sup>, Philip A. Kalra<sup>6</sup>, David C. Wheeler<sup>7</sup>, Maarten W. Taal<sup>3,4</sup>, Simon D.S. Fraser<sup>1</sup>

## Author affiliations:

1. School of Primary Care, Population Science and Medical Education, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton General Hospital, Southampton, UK
2. Centre for Patient-Reported Outcome Research (CPROR), University of Birmingham
3. Centre for Kidney Research and Innovation, Academic Unit for Translational Medical Sciences, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, UK
4. Department of Renal Medicine, Royal Derby Hospital, University Hospitals of Derby and Burton NHS Foundation Trust, Derby, UK
5. Department of Renal Medicine, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, University Hospitals of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK
6. Department of Renal Medicine, Salford Royal Hospital, Northern Care Alliance NHS Foundation Trust, Salford, UK
7. Department of Renal Medicine, University College London, London, UK

## Corresponding author address:

Dr. Thomas Phillips,  
School of Primary Care,  
Population Science and Medical Education,  
Faculty of Medicine,  
University of Southampton,  
University Hospital Southampton,  
Southampton,  
SO16 6YD

**Word count:** Abstract – 300, Main text - 4142

**Number of figures, tables, references:** 2 figures, 5 tables, 56 references.

**Running head:** Potentially modifiable factors affecting HRQoL

# Abstract

## Background and hypothesis

Many non-modifiable factors are associated with poorer health-related quality of life (HRQoL) experienced by people with chronic kidney disease (CKD). We hypothesise that potentially modifiable factors for poor HRQoL can be identified among CKD patients, providing potential targets for intervention.

## Method

The NURTuRE-CKD cohort study recruited 2996 participants from nephrology centres with all stages of non-dialysis dependent CKD. Baseline data collection for sociodemographic, anthropometric, biochemical, and clinical information, including Integrated Palliative care Outcome Scale renal (IPOS), Hospital Anxiety and Depression score (HADS), and EQ-5D-5L as HRQoL measure, took place between 2017-2019. EQ-5D-5L dimensions (mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort, anxiety/depression) were mapped to an EQ-5D-3L value set to derive index value. Multivariable mixed effects regression models, adjusted for known factors affecting HRQoL with recruitment region as a random effect, were fit to assess potentially modifiable factors associated with index value (linear) and within each dimension (logistic).

## Results

Among the 2958/2996 (98.7%) participants with complete EQ-5D data, 2201 (74.4%) reported problems in at least one EQ-5D-5L dimension. Multivariable linear regression identified independent associations between poorer HRQoL (EQ-5D-3L index value) and obesity (body mass index  $\geq 30.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ,  $\beta$ -0.037, 95%CI -0.058 to -0.016,  $p=0.001$ ), HADS depression score  $\geq 8$  ( $\beta$ -0.159, -0.182 to -0.137,  $p<0.001$ ), anxiety score  $\geq 8$  ( $\beta$ -0.090, -0.110 to -0.069,  $p<0.001$ ), taking  $\geq 10$  medications ( $\beta$ -0.065, -0.085 to -0.046,  $p<0.001$ ), sarcopenia ( $\beta$ -0.062, -0.080 to -0.043,  $p<0.001$ ) haemoglobin  $<100 \text{ g/L}$  ( $\beta$ -0.047, -0.085 to -0.010,  $p=0.012$ ) and pain ( $\beta$ -0.134,

1  
2  
3 -0.152 to -0.117,  $p < 0.001$ ). Smoking and prescription of prednisolone independently associated  
4 with problems in self-care and usual activities respectively. Renin-angiotensin system inhibitor  
5 (RASi) prescription associated with fewer problems with mobility and usual activities.  
6  
7  
8

## 9 10 **Conclusion**

11 Potentially modifiable factors including obesity, pain, depression, anxiety, anaemia,  
12 polypharmacy, smoking, steroid use, and sarcopenia associated with poorer HRQoL in this  
13 cohort, whilst RASi use was associated with better HRQoL in two dimensions.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54

## Key learning points

### What was known:

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) leads to poorer health-related quality of life (HRQoL), which worsens with disease progression and the need for kidney replacement therapies.

Sociodemographic, lifestyle and clinical factors are known to influence HRQoL for people with CKD. Poorer HRQoL leads to worse outcomes such as death and CKD progression.

### This study adds:

Potentially modifiable factors associated with poorer HRQoL were identified in a non-dialysis dependent cohort. These included obesity, sarcopenia, polypharmacy, anaemia, anxiety, depression, smoking, steroid use, and symptoms such as pain, shortness of breath and weakness. Prescription of renin-angiotensin system inhibitor medications associated with improved self-rated mobility and self-care.

### Potential impact on practice or understanding:

Many of the factors associated with poorer HRQoL in CKD are potentially modifiable and are possible targets for intervention and further research. In a non-dialysis dependent cohort of people with CKD managed in secondary care, these factors show that intervention could potentially benefit those with earlier stage CKD.

### Keywords:

Chronic kidney disease, quality of life, health-related quality of life, population health

## Introduction

Impaired health-related quality of life (HRQoL) is more common among people with chronic kidney disease (CKD) than the general population [1, 2]. Those with more advanced CKD, especially those requiring Kidney Replacement Therapy (KRT), typically have poorer HRQoL than those with milder disease; this is partly attributable to the treatment burden of KRT [3].

Factors associated with poorer HRQoL in those with non-dialysis dependent CKD (NDD-CKD) include sociodemographic (lower age, female sex, lack of a partner, lower educational attainment, greater socioeconomic deprivation), lifestyle (higher body mass index (BMI), smoking) and clinical factors (haemoglobin level, lower clinician-assessed functional status, worse renal function, higher number of comorbidities) [3-7]. However, not all of these are modifiable.

Several studies have described associations between poor HRQoL and adverse clinical outcomes [8]. The Renal Impairment In Secondary Care (RIISC) study identified an association between poorer HRQoL and mortality, and higher risk of all-cause and cardiovascular mortality was shown for people with CKD and poor HRQoL in physical domains in the AusDiab cohort [9, 10].

Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) 2012 guidelines suggested that potentially modifiable factors for CKD progression such as albuminuria, blood pressure, dyslipidaemia, smoking, obesity, physical activity and medications may also impact on HRQoL [11].

A review of qualitative studies and patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) highlighted that a wide range of symptoms, mood, memory, poor concentration, burden of dietary restrictions and concerns about physical limitations and self-care, impact HRQoL for people with CKD, suggesting potential targets for interventions [12].

Given the range of factors influencing HRQoL, and the importance of HRQoL as both an outcome and an indicator of future clinical outcomes, there is a need to identify factors that are

1  
2  
3 potentially modifiable. This includes aspects beyond traditional treatment targets in CKD  
4 management. The aim of this study was therefore to identify potentially modifiable factors  
5 associated with poorer HRQoL in a large UK cohort of people with CKD managed in secondary  
6 care.  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

For Peer Review

## Methods

### Baseline data collection in NURTuRE-CKD

The National Unified Renal Translational Research Enterprise Chronic Kidney Disease (NURTuRE-CKD) cohort began recruitment in 2017. The NURTuRE-CKD HRQoL study was initiated to investigate factors associated with HRQoL outcomes in this cohort.

2996 participants were enrolled in the NURTuRE-CKD study and baseline data collection took place between 2017 and 2019. The methods are detailed in the NURTuRE-CKD baseline paper [13], and briefly summarised below.

Participants were eligible if aged 18 or over, had visited a secondary care nephrology clinic at least once and had an estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate (eGFR) as calculated by the CKD-EPI equation of 15-59 ml/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup> or  $\geq 60$  ml/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup> with a urine albumin to creatinine ratio (uACR) of more than 30mg/g. Potential participants also needed to be able to provide informed consent, not be in regular need of any form of KRT, not have received any solid organ transplant and expected to live for over one year from the time of enrolment. Those on chemotherapy for cancer, with acute kidney injury (AKI) or those who experienced a major cardiovascular event (MACE) within the previous three months were excluded. Recruitment took place in 16 nephrology centres in England, Wales and Scotland (see *Table 1*).

The baseline study visit collected socio-demographic data, medical history, blood and urine test results from both local laboratories and centrally analysed samples (Roche, Geneva, Switzerland), anthropometric measures and several PROMs [13].

The Hospital Anxiety and Depression score (HADS) [14] defines problems with anxiety or depression as scores of eight or above in either domain. The Six-item Cognitive Impairment Test (6CIT) [15] defines problems with cognition as scores of eight or above. The Integrated Palliative care Outcome Score for renal (IPOS-renal) [16] was used to measure symptom severity. Health literacy was assessed via the Single-Item Literacy Screener (SILS) [17]. This comprises of one question, "How often do you need to have someone help you when you read



1  
2  
3 instructions, pamphlets, or other written material from your doctor or pharmacy?", with  
4 responses on an ordinal scale from "1 - Never" to "5 - Always". Potential health literacy issues  
5 are defined as a score of "3 – Sometimes" or above.  
6  
7

8  
9 Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) describes the relative socioeconomic deprivation of each  
10 small area in the UK based on its income, employment, education, skills and training, health and  
11 disability, crime, barriers to housing and services and living environment [18]. This was obtained  
12 for all participants residing in England based on their postal address. As IMD in Wales and  
13 Scotland is calculated differently, these were adjusted using the method outlined by Abel et al  
14 2016 [19] to allow direct comparison.  
15  
16  
17  
18

19  
20 Sarcopenia was classified by applying the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older  
21 People 2 (EWGSOP2) criteria [20] to the results of participants' timed up and go and hand grip  
22 strength tests (with sarcopenia present if either result met criteria). Medications including renin-  
23 angiotensin system inhibitors (RASi), immunosuppressive therapies, bicarbonate, phosphate  
24 binders and erythropoietin stimulating agents (ESA) are listed in *Supplementary Materials Table*  
25 *S1*. Nephrotic range proteinuria was defined as uACR of over 2200 mg/g. The Karnofsky  
26 Performance Score (KPS) is a validated clinician assessment of functional status on a 0-100 scale  
27 where 0 is "Dead" and 100 is "Normal no complaints; no evidence of disease" [21].  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

34  
35 BMI was divided into well recognised categories [22] due to its non-linear relationship with  
36 clinical outcomes. Parathyroid hormone (PTH) was similarly categorised into quartiles for  
37 analysis due to known associations with poor outcomes at both high and low levels. Current  
38 guidance on target PTH levels focuses on overall trend and is numerically specific only for those  
39 on dialysis [11, 23]. Only 3 participants had PTH levels below the normal range (<1.3pmol/L),  
40 therefore the lowest category is amalgamated as 'low to normal'.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 Polypharmacy has varying definitions [24, 25]. A threshold of ten or more medications was used,  
47 due to high numbers of regular medications in this cohort.  
48  
49

50 Potentially modifiable factors were defined as those which may be reasonably changed by people  
51 with CKD or healthcare providers either by lifestyle modification or clinical intervention. These  
52  
53  
54

were discussed with a patient advisory group to establish the feasibility of modification (e.g. depression was felt to be potentially modifiable, but not with medication alone).

For a full list of analysed variables and their descriptions, please see the *Supplementary Materials Tables S1* and *S2*.

## HRQoL measure

The EuroQoL EQ-5D-5L is a validated measure of health status that can be standardised to different populations [26]. It consists of two aspects: five dimensions (mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain or discomfort, anxiety and depression) each with five levels rated on an ordinal scale from “1 - no problems” to “5 – extreme problems”, and a visual analogue scale (VAS) health rating from 0-100 (where 0 is ‘the worst health you can imagine’ and 100 is ‘the best health you can imagine’).

Overall HRQoL is interpreted as EQ-5D-5L index value, where the answers for each dimension are mapped to a value set, which is country specific. The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) advise that UK index values should be translated to the previous EQ-5D-3L value set using a method described by Hernandez-Alava et al [27, 28]. EQ-5D-3L mapped index values represent 1.0 as perfect health (no problems in any dimensions) and 0.0 as an equivalent health state to death, with negative values possible (indicating a health state worse than death).

The main outcome variables of interest were:

- a) EQ-5D-3L mapped index value (continuous) as an overall measure of HRQoL,
- b) problems present in *any* EQ-5D-5L dimension (measured as two or above in any dimension, binary variable),
- c) the participants’ self-rated health via the VAS measure
- d) problems present within *each* EQ-5D-5L dimension (measured as score of two or above in each dimension, binary variable).

The number of dimensions which scored two or above was also reported and analysed as a continuous outcome in modelling, however this is not directly comparable with current literature

1  
2  
3 and is a surrogate for index value, therefore the results are reported in *Supplementary Materials*  
4 *Table S3*.

## 8 **Statistical analysis**

10  
11 Baseline variables were described using mean and standard deviation (SD) or median and  
12 interquartile range (IQR) for continuous variables. Missing data were quantified by number and  
13 percentage (see *Supplementary Materials Tables S1* and *S2*).

14  
15  
16  
17 The Python (Python Software Foundation, version 3.9. Available at [www.python.org](http://www.python.org)) packages  
18 Pandas, NumPy and statsmodels were used to prepare data and fit unadjusted univariable logistic  
19 (logit) and linear (ordinal least squares) regression models. R (R Foundation for Statistical  
20 Computing, version 4.2.2, [www.R-project.org](http://www.R-project.org)) was used for multivariable mixed effects linear  
21 and logistic regression using the lme4 and lmerTest packages, as well as assessing for  
22 collinearity of covariates using variance inflation factor testing via the cars package.

23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28 Independent variables were selected for multivariable regression based on clinical knowledge  
29 and the use of direct acyclic graphs (DAG) to assess the interactions of known variables and  
30 create a list of confounders to the potentially modifiable factors of interest (see *Supplementary*  
31 *Materials Figure S1*). The symptoms of weakness or lack of energy and shortness of breath were  
32 chosen as covariates in modelling due to their high prevalence in renal disease and the potential  
33 for modification with common existing CKD treatments such as erythropoietin. All multivariable  
34 mixed effect logistic and linear regression models were adjusted for these factors including age,  
35 sex, ethnicity, IMD, eGFR, number of comorbidities, degree of cognitive impairment and  
36 education status. Region of recruitment was added as a random effect to both linear and logistic  
37 models to account for clustering.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Results

Most participants (2958/2996, 98.7%) had complete EQ-5D data. Of these participants, the majority had CKD stage G3a-G4 (n=2573, 87.0%) and 1177 (43.7%) had a uACR of >300mg/g with 275 (9.3%) in the nephrotic range. 2583 (87.5%) were white and 1729 (58.5%) were male. Mean age was 62.7 (SD±14.7) years and the mean number of comorbidities was 3.5 (SD±2.2), with 2474 (85.3%) participants diagnosed as hypertensive and 907 (31.3%) diagnosed with diabetes. The mean number of different regular medications was 7.8 (SD±4.5), with 1954 (66.1%) taking RASi drugs and 354 (12.0%) taking prednisolone. 889 (30.1%) of participants met the definition for being sarcopenic and 1190 (41.3%) were obese. *Table 1* shows the cohort baseline characteristics and *Table 2* shows a breakdown of participants' CKD staging by eGFR and uACR.

Mean EQ-5D-3L mapped index value was 0.73 (SD±0.26) and mean EQ-5D-5L health rating VAS was 71.2/100 (SD±20.2). 2201/2958 (74.4%) reported problems in at least one EQ-5D-5L dimension, with 1465 (49.5%) reporting problems in the mobility dimension, 548 (18.5%) problems with self-care, 1324 (44.8%) problems with usual activities, 1773 (59.9%) problems with pain or discomfort and 1014 (34.8%) problems with anxiety or depression (see *Figure 1*). 540 (18.3%) participants had problems in 1 dimension, 457 (15.4%) in two dimensions, 468 (15.8%) in three dimensions, 414 (14.0%) in four dimensions and 322 (10.9%) in all 5 dimensions.

Univariable linear regression identified associations between EQ-5D-3L mapped index value and multiple variables (see *Table 3*). Potentially modifiable factors significantly associated with poorer overall HRQoL included worse health literacy (coefficient  $\beta$  -0.165, 95%CI -0.210 to -0.120,  $p < 0.001$ ), being on ten or more different regular medications (coefficient  $\beta$  -0.199, 95%CI -0.218 to -0.181,  $p < 0.001$ ), statins (coefficient  $\beta$  -0.052, 95%CI -0.071 to -0.033,  $p < 0.001$ ), oral prednisolone (coefficient  $\beta$  -0.035, 95%CI -0.064 to -0.007,  $p = 0.016$ ), presence of sarcopenia (coefficient  $\beta$  -0.175, 95%CI -0.194 to -0.156,  $p < 0.001$ ), the majority of symptoms in IPOS, significant HADS scores in anxiety (coefficient  $\beta$  -0.222, 95%CI -0.242 to -

0.201,  $p < 0.001$ ) and depression (coefficient  $\beta$  -0.305, 95%CI -0.326 to -0.284,  $p < 0.001$ ), haemoglobin less than 100 g/L (coefficient  $\beta$  -0.120, 95%CI -0.163 to -0.078,  $p < 0.001$ ), very high PTH ( $>56.0$  pmol/L, reference category PTH 0 – 7.1 pmol/L, coefficient  $\beta$  -0.061, 95%CI -0.090 to -0.032,  $p < 0.001$ ), phosphate (continuous, coefficient  $\beta$  -0.106, 95%CI -0.149 to -0.062,  $p < 0.001$ ), and obesity (BMI  $\geq 30.0$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>, reference category normal weight (BMI 18.5-24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), coefficient  $\beta$  -0.102, 95%CI -0.126 to -0.078,  $p < 0.001$ ). Potentially modifiable factors associated with better HRQoL were taking regular RASi medication (coefficient  $\beta$  0.035, 95%CI 0.016 to 0.055,  $p < 0.001$ ), higher mean arterial pressure (coefficient  $\beta$  0.001, 95%CI 0.001 to 0.002,  $p < 0.001$ ) and higher serum albumin (coefficient  $\beta$  0.003, 95%CI 0.001 to 0.005,  $p = 0.001$ ).

Multivariable mixed effects regression models of EQ-5D-3L mapped index value and health rating VAS (linear) and problems in any EQ-5D-5L dimension (logistic) showed several potentially modifiable variables associated with HRQoL, as shown in *Table 4*. *Figure 2* shows the associations between potentially modifiable variables and index value. Obesity (coefficient  $\beta$  -0.037, 95%CI -0.058 to -0.016,  $p = 0.001$ ), sarcopenia (coefficient  $\beta$  -0.062, 95%CI -0.080 to -0.043,  $p < 0.001$ ), taking ten or more regular medications (coefficient  $\beta$  -0.065, 95%CI -0.085 to -0.046,  $p < 0.001$ ), significant HADS scores for depression (coefficient  $\beta$  -0.159, 95%CI -0.182 to -0.137,  $p < 0.001$ ) and anxiety (coefficient  $\beta$  -0.090, 95%CI -0.110 to -0.069,  $p < 0.001$ ), IPOS pain symptoms (coefficient  $\beta$  -0.134, 95%CI -0.152 to -0.117,  $p < 0.001$ ), IPOS shortness of breath symptoms (coefficient  $\beta$  -0.026, 95%CI -0.043 to -0.008,  $p = 0.005$ ), IPOS weakness or lack of energy symptoms (coefficient  $\beta$  -0.034, 95%CI -0.054 to -0.014,  $p = 0.001$ ) and haemoglobin of less than 100g/L (coefficient  $\beta$  -0.047, 95%CI -0.085 to -0.010,  $p = 0.012$ ) were independently associated with a worse index value. All these variables also significantly associated with a lower health rating VAS, apart from taking ten or more regular medications. High PTH (15.8-56.0 pmol/L) was associated with higher health rating VAS (reference category PTH low to normal (0-7.1 pmol/L), coefficient  $\beta$  3.127, 95%CI 1.113 to 5.141,  $p = 0.002$ ) and higher adjusted serum calcium (continuous measure) was associated with fewer reported problems in any dimension (Odds Ratio 0.166, 95%CI 0.049 to 0.560,  $p = 0.004$ ).

1  
2  
3 *Table 5* summarises the associations (and direction of association) between potentially  
4 modifiable factors and each dimension of EQ-5D-5L. Significant HADS depression scores, IPOS  
5 pain symptoms and IPOS weakness or lack of energy symptoms were associated with a greater  
6 likelihood of reporting problems in all dimensions, while significant HADS anxiety scores and  
7 taking ten or more regular medications were associated with a higher likelihood of reporting  
8 problems in four of the five dimensions. Obesity, sarcopenia and IPOS shortness of breath  
9 symptoms associated with more problems in the mobility, self-care and usual activities  
10 dimensions, prednisolone use was associated with more problems in the self-care dimension and  
11 current smoking associated with problems in the mobility dimension. RASi use was associated  
12 with fewer problems in the mobility and usual activities dimensions, whilst higher PTH (15.8-  
13 56.0 pmol/L) was associated with fewer issues in usual activities and anxiety and depression  
14 dimensions (compared with PTH low to normal (0-7.1pmol/L)). Underweight BMI (<18.5kg/m<sup>2</sup>)  
15 associated with fewer problems in the pain or discomfort dimension (compared with normal  
16 weight BMI 18.5-24.9kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and a higher adjusted serum calcium associated with fewer  
17 problems in the mobility dimension.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Discussion

This cross-sectional study of a large cohort of people with CKD referred to secondary care demonstrated that impaired HRQoL was common, with almost three quarters of participants reporting problems in at least one EQ-5D-5L dimension. Reporting problems in every dimension of HRQoL was relatively uncommon at 10.9%. As reported in previous studies, those with the non-modifiable factors of older age, female sex, cognitive impairment, greater socioeconomic deprivation, lower educational attainment, lower eGFR and increased comorbidity were more likely to report poorer HRQoL. Additionally, our analyses provided several novel insights regarding potentially modifiable factors associated with HRQoL.

### Lifestyle/Functional

Obesity was associated with poorer HRQoL, as found in other studies [4]. A recent review highlighted that interventions aimed at reducing BMI, including diet and exercise, weight loss drugs and bariatric surgery have all been used effectively for weight loss in people with CKD [29], and interventions targeting weight loss in people without CKD have demonstrated prolonged benefit to HRQoL [30].

Sarcopenia is common in the CKD population and has a multifactorial aetiology [31]. Sarcopenia is a significant contributor to physical frailty [32]. Potentially effective interventions include regular resistance exercise and a higher protein diet, especially in combination [33]. Increased angiotensin II is associated with muscle atrophy in CKD [34] and RASi drug use decelerates the decline of renal function, which may explain the benefit in the mobility and usual care dimensions associated with RASi drug use in this cohort.

Current smoking status was associated with reported problems in the mobility dimension. A review has shown consistent association between smoking and poorer HRQoL and mobility across studies, and highlighted that HRQoL improves with smoking cessation [35]. The use of e-cigarettes as nicotine replacement for those with chronic kidney disease has not been extensively reported in human studies, but may contribute to albuminuria [36, 37].

## Symptoms

59.9% of participants reported problems in the EQ-5D-5L pain dimension, with 63.8% reporting pain in the IPOS. Prevalence of pain in a review of studies in NDD-CKD stages G3-5 was 60.7% [38]. Pain can be complex to manage in people with CKD due to limitations in the safe use of analgesic agents, as non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs are associated with bleeding and acute kidney injury and opioids have been associated with poor clinical outcomes [39]. A cautious approach, specific to the type of pain, is recommended, with limited evidence at present for non-pharmacological therapies for those with CKD [40]. Other symptoms, including lack of energy, and shortness of breath were associated with problems in several HRQoL dimensions and are observed in CKD with varied pathophysiology [41]. Specific treatments for common symptoms were collated in a recent article on optimising symptom control in conservative care, although the focus was on those with severe CKD [42].

Depression was associated with problems in every EQ-5D-5L dimension and overall HRQoL, which suggests pervasion of other HRQoL aspects, a relationship that has been described previously [43]. The interaction of CKD and depression have been linked to poor clinical outcomes [44]. The Chronic Kidney Disease Antidepressant Sertraline Trial (CAST) [45] found that treatment with traditional anti-depressants for those with CKD had minimal effect on depressive symptoms or HRQoL in a NDD-CKD population, whilst a trial in those on dialysis showed improvement in depression scores with either cognitive behavioural therapy or sertraline [46]. Further work is needed to assess the benefit of interventions for depression in people with NDD-CKD.

## Drugs

Polypharmacy was common, with 32% of the cohort taking ten or more different medications. It was associated with worse overall HRQoL and problems in most dimensions, in keeping with previous studies [47], but this may partly relate to the associated increase in comorbidity. Strategies for medication review in CKD polypharmacy have been trialled, although impact on HRQoL has not yet been demonstrated [48].



1  
2  
3 RASi drugs were prescribed for 66.1% of the cohort and associated with fewer reported  
4 problems in the mobility and usual activities dimensions. Potential mechanisms could be the  
5 anti-proteinuric effect of these drugs, however albuminuria was not significantly associated with  
6 HRQoL in any analysis. Another explanation may be improved blood pressure control, although  
7 lower blood pressure failed to demonstrate a significant association. RASi drugs have proven  
8 beneficial effects on left ventricular function and symptoms in heart failure, which may help to  
9 explain these findings, as well as its potential relation to sarcopenia, as described above.

16 Prednisolone was associated with worse HRQoL in the self-care dimension, which may relate to  
17 the known association between glucocorticoid use and muscle atrophy.

### 21 **Biochemical measures**

23 Blood markers including high phosphate, low bicarbonate and high PTH associated with worse  
24 HRQoL in univariable modelling only. Association with higher phosphate and worse HRQoL  
25 was described in the EQUAL study with longitudinal data [7]. Bicarbonate replacement has not  
26 been shown to improve HRQoL in a trial of people with CKD stages 3 and 4 [49].

31 Higher PTH has a complex relationship with HRQoL in this analysis, as it also positively  
32 associated with improved HRQoL in some dimensions, although not when at very high levels  
33 (>56.0pmol/L). This may be due to those with moderately higher PTH levels being on less  
34 medication to control their PTH, or due to lack of either adynamic bone disease or  
35 osteodystrophy at moderately raised values. Whilst these findings possibly suggest that  
36 permissively higher PTH in CKD may benefit HRQoL, due to contrasting directions of affect,  
37 this more likely reflects the heterogeneity of presentation in those with secondary  
38 hyperparathyroidism at differing stages of CKD and the authors strongly advise caution in its  
39 interpretation. Higher adjusted serum calcium levels also associated with better HRQoL, but as  
40 only 228 (8.5%) of participants had a calcium outside the normal range (2.2 – 2.6mmol/L) and  
41 only 71 participants had a low calcium, this is difficult to translate to a clinical context other than  
42 to recommend that a low calcium is inadvisable. The authors advise that calcium should be  
43 considered a necessary covariate due to confounding of PTH, but that the practical implications

of this finding are limited. Conventional treatments for secondary hyperparathyroidism in CKD have yet to show an impact on quality of life [50].

Haemoglobin of less than 100g/L was associated with poorer overall HRQoL, which is consistent with other studies [1, 5] and anaemia treatment with ESAs, hypoxia-inducible factor–prolyl hydroxylase inhibitors and iron have led to improved HRQoL [51, 52]. Recommended treatments to improve CKD-related anaemia to above haemoglobin levels of 100g/L are already part of current guidance [11], therefore these findings are unlikely to prompt change in secondary care nephrology practice, although they may highlight their importance for the HRQoL of people being conservatively managed or at an earlier stage of CKD than G4/5.

Higher eGFR was associated with a higher health rating VAS in multivariable analyses. In some studies, worse eGFR has similarly been associated with worse HRQoL, as was the need for KRT [2], however other studies have shown no significant association [1, 4].

## Strengths and Limitations

Strengths include that the NURTuRE-CKD cohort consists of a large number of secondary care nephrology patients across the UK, with a diverse range of validated measures. The data were mostly complete (see *Supplemental Materials*), and the anthropometric tests used to define sarcopenia are relatively novel compared with other CKD cohort studies.

However, some limitations should be considered in interpreting the data. As these are cross-sectional analyses, causality cannot be inferred from these findings. The variables also have complex relationships not always unmasked with checks for collinearity performed at the time of multivariable regression modelling. Prospective analyses with longitudinal data for change in HRQoL over time may provide more clarity and clinical outcomes for analysis such as death and CKD progression. Local blood and urine test results were used for some variables, resulting in some discrepancies due to lack of standardisation between laboratories.

HADS and 6CIT demonstrated associations with HRQoL, however it should be noted that they are predominantly screening tools and not inherently diagnostic without clinical confirmation.

Anaemia was associated with worse HRQoL, however this was in the absence of data on participants' iron stores, which was unfortunately not available. Those participants with underweight BMI had fewer issues with the pain dimension, however only 32 (1.1%) of the cohort met this criterion, therefore caution should be used when interpreting this finding.

Some factors associated with overall HRQoL outcomes in multivariable analysis directly relate to dimensions of EQ-5D-5L and therefore their contribution to overall HRQoL index value is more direct (i.e. a dimension concerns depression, HADS depression score has significant associations with the HRQoL index value which is partly derived from this dimension). Whilst we recognise this relationship, the importance of these findings is their significance across multiple dimensions of EQ-5D-5L, not limited to their most obvious counterpart. Therefore, these variables have remained in models.

EQ-5D-5L does not capture every aspect of HRQoL and has a documented ceiling effect, where more participants tend to answer 'no problem', especially in the self-care dimension [53]. CKD-specific measures such as the Kidney Disease Quality Of Life instrument (KDQoL-36) take into account symptoms specific to kidney disease [54], but heterogeneity of measures can hamper comparison between studies, and comparison with the general population or other chronic conditions.

## Summary and recommendations

We have focussed on potentially modifiable factors associated with HRQoL for people with CKD to identify targets for intervention. Factors identified included:

- Depression and anxiety
- Sarcopenia
- Polypharmacy
- Pain, shortness of breath and weakness
- Anaemia
- Smoking

- Obesity
- Steroid use
- RASi drug use (improved HRQoL)

The most important factors to consider for potential intervention may be framed by those interventions which would potentially address a range of the factors above and are simple to deliver to a wide group of patients. Emerging digital health tools could provide exercise, educational, mental wellbeing and lifestyle interventions directly to patients to help to address depression and anxiety symptoms, sarcopenia, smoking cessation, obesity and health literacy [55]. The recent Kidney BEAM trial showed an improvement in mental composite HRQoL scores for those randomised to this tool versus control [56] and points to these types of interventions having potential future impact. From a daily clinical perspective, anaemia management and prioritisation of RASi drugs are already common practice, but their impact on HRQoL adds yet another spotlight to their importance for CKD patients of all stages.

Further research should focus on developing and testing interventions to improve HRQoL in this vulnerable population.

## Acknowledgements

Fiona Braddon – UK Renal Registry

Kate Osmaston – UK Renal Registry

David Pitcher – UK Renal Registry

Wendy Cook – Patient advisory group NURTuRE-CKD HRQoL

Claudia Drake – Patient advisory group NURTuRE-CKD HRQoL

Mathew Orchard – Patient advisory group NURTuRE-CKD HRQoL

John Roberts – Patient advisory group NURTuRE-CKD HRQoL

## Conflicts of interest statement

TP receives funding from Kidney Research UK (Charity number: 252892) who are also funders of the NURTuRE-CKD study.

SH has no conflicts of interest to declare.

OLA receives funding from the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Birmingham Biomedical Research Centre (BRC), NIHR Applied Research Collaboration (ARC), West Midlands, NIHR Blood and Transplant Research Unit (BTRU) in Precision Transplant and Cellular Therapeutics at the University of Birmingham and University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation, The Health Foundation, Innovate UK (part of UK Research and Innovation), Gilead Sciences Ltd, Merck, Anthony Nolan, and Sarcoma UK. OLA declares personal fees from Gilead Sciences Ltd, GlaxoSmithKline (GSK) and Merck outside the submitted work.

BL is funded by the NIHR.

MB has no conflicts of interest to declare.

PR has no conflicts of interest to declare.

PC reports a leadership role in the UK Kidney Association and a non-remunerated research consultancy with Boehringer Ingelheim.

PAK has received honoraria for lecturing and advisory board attendance from Astra Zeneca and UCB, which are both funders of the NURTuRE study.

DCW has an ongoing consultancy contract with AstraZeneca. In the last 3 years, he has received payments from the following companies in relation to consultancy work, speaker engagements or service on trials committees: Astellas, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, Eledon, GSK, Galderma, Janssen, Menarini, Merck, Pharmacosmos, ProKidney, Tricida and Vifor.

MWT reports consulting fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, honoraria from Bayer and support to attend conferences from Bayer and a leadership role in the International Society of Nephrology.

SF has no conflicts of interest to declare.

For Peer Review

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Authors' contributions

TP – primary author of paper, data cleaning and statistical analysis.

SH – statistical support, review of paper.

OLA – advice on HRQoL, PROM and analysis, review of paper.

BL – data quality support, review of paper.

MB – data collection, data quality support.

PR – advice on analysis, review of paper.

PC – advice on analysis, review of paper.

PAK – advice on analysis, review of paper.

DCW – data collection, advice on analysis, review of paper.

MWT – data quality support, advice on analysis, review of paper, chief investigator of NURTuRE-CKD.

SF – academic supervision of primary author, advice on data cleaning and statistical analysis, review and revision of paper, chief investigator of NURTuRE-CKD HRQoL study.



## Support

Funding for NURTuRE-CKD HRQoL was obtained through a Kidney Research UK (KRUK) research grant in 2022. KRUK also funded the NURTuRE-CKD cohort study and aided with that study's design.

For Peer Review

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Data availability statement**

Data will not be made available due to a data sharing agreement between University of Nottingham and University of Southampton.

For Peer Review

## References

1. Perlman, R.L., et al., *Quality of life in chronic kidney disease (CKD): a cross-sectional analysis in the Renal Research Institute-CKD study*. Am J Kidney Dis, 2005. **45**(4): p. 658-66.
2. Legrand, K., et al., *Perceived Health and Quality of Life in Patients With CKD, Including Those With Kidney Failure: Findings From National Surveys in France*. Am J Kidney Dis, 2020. **75**(6): p. 868-878.
3. Krishnan, A., et al., *Health-Related Quality of Life in People Across the Spectrum of CKD*. Kidney Int Rep, 2020. **5**(12): p. 2264-2274.
4. Fraser, S.D., et al., *Health-related quality of life, functional impairment and comorbidity in people with mild-to-moderate chronic kidney disease: a cross-sectional study*. BMJ Open, 2020. **10**(8): p. e040286.
5. Mujais, S.K., et al., *Health-related quality of life in CKD Patients: correlates and evolution over time*. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol, 2009. **4**(8): p. 1293-301.
6. Tabata, A., et al., *Factors affecting health-related quality of life in older patients with chronic kidney disease: a single-center cross-sectional study*. Int Urol Nephrol, 2022. **54**(10): p. 2637-2643.
7. Chesnaye, N.C., et al., *Health-Related Quality-of-Life Trajectories over Time in Older Men and Women with Advanced Chronic Kidney Disease*. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol, 2022. **17**(2): p. 205-214.
8. Tsai, Y.C., et al., *Quality of life predicts risks of end-stage renal disease and mortality in patients with chronic kidney disease*. Nephrol Dial Transplant, 2010. **25**(5): p. 1621-6.
9. Jesky, M.D., et al., *Health-Related Quality of Life Impacts Mortality but Not Progression to End-Stage Renal Disease in Pre-Dialysis Chronic Kidney Disease: A Prospective Observational Study*. PLoS One, 2016. **11**(11): p. e0165675.
10. Wyld, M.L.R., et al., *The impact of progressive chronic kidney disease on health-related quality-of-life: a 12-year community cohort study*. Quality of Life Research, 2019. **28**(8): p. 2081-2090.
11. Stevens, P.E. and A. Levin, *Evaluation and management of chronic kidney disease: synopsis of the kidney disease: improving global outcomes 2012 clinical practice guideline*. Ann Intern Med, 2013. **158**(11): p. 825-30.

12. Flythe, J.E., et al., *Development of a preliminary conceptual model of the patient experience of chronic kidney disease: a targeted literature review and analysis*. BMC Nephrol, 2021. **22**(1): p. 233.
  13. Taal, M.W., et al., *Associations with age and glomerular filtration rate in a referred population with chronic kidney disease: Methods and baseline data from a UK multicentre cohort study (NURTuRE-CKD)*. Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation, 2023.
  14. Bjelland, I., et al., *The validity of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale: an updated literature review*. Journal of psychosomatic research, 2002. **52**(2): p. 69-77.
  15. O'Sullivan, D., N.A. O'Regan, and S. Timmons, *Validity and Reliability of the 6-Item Cognitive Impairment Test for Screening Cognitive Impairment: A Review*. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord, 2016. **42**(1-2): p. 42-9.
  16. Raj, R., et al., *Validation of the IPOS-Renal Symptom Survey in Advanced Kidney Disease: A Cross-sectional Study*. Journal of Pain and Symptom Management, 2018. **56**(2): p. 281-287.
  17. Morris, N.S., et al., *The Single Item Literacy Screener: Evaluation of a brief instrument to identify limited reading ability*. BMC Family Practice, 2006. **7**(1): p. 21.
  18. McLennan, D., et al., *The English indices of deprivation 2019: technical report*. 2019.
  19. Abel, G.A., M.E. Barclay, and R.A. Payne, *Adjusted indices of multiple deprivation to enable comparisons within and between constituent countries of the UK including an illustration using mortality rates*. BMJ Open, 2016. **6**(11): p. e012750.
  20. Cruz-Jentoft, A.J., et al., *Sarcopenia: revised European consensus on definition and diagnosis*. Age Ageing, 2019. **48**(1): p. 16-31.
  21. Crooks, V., et al., *The use of the Karnofsky Performance Scale in determining outcomes and risk in geriatric outpatients*. J Gerontol, 1991. **46**(4): p. M139-44.
  22. *National Institute for Health and Care Excellence: Guidelines, in Obesity: identification, assessment and management*. 2023, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE)
- Copyright © NICE 2023.: London.
23. *KDIGO 2017 Clinical Practice Guideline Update for the Diagnosis, Evaluation, Prevention, and Treatment of Chronic Kidney Disease-Mineral and Bone Disorder (CKD-MBD)*. Kidney Int Suppl (2011), 2017. **7**(1): p. 1-59.

- 1
  - 2
  - 3
  - 4
  - 5
  - 6
  - 7
  - 8
  - 9
  - 10
  - 11
  - 12
  - 13
  - 14
  - 15
  - 16
  - 17
  - 18
  - 19
  - 20
  - 21
  - 22
  - 23
  - 24
  - 25
  - 26
  - 27
  - 28
  - 29
  - 30
  - 31
  - 32
  - 33
  - 34
  - 35
  - 36
  - 37
  - 38
  - 39
  - 40
  - 41
  - 42
  - 43
  - 44
  - 45
  - 46
  - 47
  - 48
  - 49
  - 50
  - 51
  - 52
  - 53
  - 54
  - 55
  - 56
  - 57
  - 58
  - 59
  - 60
24. Hayward, S., et al., *International prescribing patterns and polypharmacy in older people with advanced chronic kidney disease: results from the European Quality study*. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*, 2021. **36**(3): p. 503-511.
25. Taghy, N., et al., *Failure to Reach a Consensus in Polypharmacy Definition: An Obstacle to Measuring Risks and Impacts-Results of a Literature Review*. *Ther Clin Risk Manag*, 2020. **16**: p. 57-73.
26. Janssen, M.F., et al., *Measurement properties of the EQ-5D-5L compared to the EQ-5D-3L across eight patient groups: a multi-country study*. *Qual Life Res*, 2013. **22**(7): p. 1717-27.
27. Hernandez Alava, M., S. Pudney, and A. Wailoo, *The EQ-5D-5L Value Set for England: Findings of a Quality Assurance Program*. *Value Health*, 2020. **23**(5): p. 642-648.
28. Hernández Alava, M., S. Pudney, and A. Wailoo, *Estimating the Relationship Between EQ-5D-5L and EQ-5D-3L: Results from a UK Population Study*. *Pharmacoeconomics*, 2023. **41**(2): p. 199-207.
29. Chintam, K. and A.R. Chang, *Strategies to Treat Obesity in Patients With CKD*. *Am J Kidney Dis*, 2021. **77**(3): p. 427-439.
30. Buckell, J., et al., *Weight loss interventions on health-related quality of life in those with moderate to severe obesity: Findings from an individual patient data meta-analysis of randomized trials*. *Obesity Reviews*, 2021. **22**(11): p. e13317.
31. Sabatino, A., et al., *Sarcopenia in chronic kidney disease: what have we learned so far?* *J Nephrol*, 2021. **34**(4): p. 1347-1372.
32. Cruz-Jentoft, A.J. and A.A. Sayer, *Sarcopenia*. *The Lancet*, 2019. **393**(10191): p. 2636-2646.
33. McKendry, J., et al., *Nutritional supplements to support resistance exercise in countering the sarcopenia of aging*. *Nutrients*, 2020. **12**(7): p. 2057.
34. Watanabe, H., Y. Enoki, and T. Maruyama, *Sarcopenia in Chronic Kidney Disease: Factors, Mechanisms, and Therapeutic Interventions*. *Biological and Pharmaceutical Bulletin*, 2019. **42**(9): p. 1437-1445.
35. Goldenberg, M., I. Danovitch, and W.W. IsHak, *Quality of life and smoking*. *The American Journal on Addictions*, 2014. **23**(6): p. 540-562.
36. Raja, A., J.T. Zelikoff, and E.A. Jaimes, *A contemporary review of nephrotoxicity and e-cigarette use*. *Current Opinion in Toxicology*, 2022. **31**: p. 100361.

- 1  
2  
3 37. Podzolkov, Valery I., et al., *Relation between Tobacco Smoking/Electronic Smoking and*  
4 *Albuminuria/Vascular Stiffness in Young People without Cardiovascular Diseases*. *Kidney*  
5 *and Blood Pressure Research*, 2020. **45**(3): p. 467-476.  
6  
7  
8 38. Davison, S.N., et al., *The Prevalence and Severity of Chronic Pain in Patients With Chronic*  
9 *Kidney Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis*. *Can J Kidney Health Dis*, 2021.  
10 **8**: p. 2054358121993995.  
11  
12 39. Zhan, M., et al., *Association of Opioids and Nonsteroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs With*  
13 *Outcomes in CKD: Findings From the CRIC (Chronic Renal Insufficiency Cohort) Study*. *Am*  
14 *J Kidney Dis*, 2020. **76**(2): p. 184-193.  
15  
16 40. Roy, P.J., et al., *Pain management in patients with chronic kidney disease and end-stage*  
17 *kidney disease*. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens*, 2020. **29**(6): p. 671-680.  
18  
19 41. Fletcher, B.R., et al., *Symptom burden and health-related quality of life in chronic kidney*  
20 *disease: A global systematic review and meta-analysis*. *PLoS Med*, 2022. **19**(4): p.  
21 e1003954.  
22  
23 42. Davison, S.N., et al., *Recommendations for the care of patients receiving conservative*  
24 *kidney management: focus on management of CKD and symptoms*. *Clinical Journal of*  
25 *the American Society of Nephrology*, 2019. **14**(4): p. 626-634.  
26  
27 43. Lee, Y.-J., et al., *Association of depression and anxiety with reduced quality of life in*  
28 *patients with predialysis chronic kidney disease*. *International Journal of Clinical Practice*,  
29 2013. **67**(4): p. 363-368.  
30  
31 44. Meng, F., et al., *The synergistic effect of depression and moderate chronic kidney disease*  
32 *on the all-cause and cardiovascular disease mortality among adults: a retrospective*  
33 *cohort study*. *BMC Nephrol*, 2022. **23**(1): p. 330.  
34  
35 45. Hedayati, S.S., et al., *Effect of Sertraline on Depressive Symptoms in Patients With*  
36 *Chronic Kidney Disease Without Dialysis Dependence: The CAST Randomized Clinical*  
37 *Trial*. *JAMA*, 2017. **318**(19): p. 1876-1890.  
38  
39 46. *Comparative Efficacy of Therapies for Treatment of Depression for Patients Undergoing*  
40 *Maintenance Hemodialysis*. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 2019. **170**(6): p. 369-379.  
41  
42 47. Colombijn, J.M.T., et al., *Impact of Polypharmacy on Health-Related Quality of Life in*  
43 *Dialysis Patients*. *Am J Nephrol*, 2021. **52**(9): p. 735-744.  
44  
45 48. Parker, K., et al., *Effectiveness of using STOPP/START criteria to identify potentially*  
46 *inappropriate medication in people aged  $\geq 65$  years with chronic kidney disease: a*  
47 *randomized clinical trial*. *European Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 2019. **75**(11): p.  
48 1503-1511.  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 49. Melamed, M.L., et al., *Effects of Sodium Bicarbonate in CKD Stages 3 and 4: A*  
4 *Randomized, Placebo-Controlled, Multicenter Clinical Trial*. Am J Kidney Dis, 2020. **75**(2):  
5 p. 225-234.  
6  
7  
8 50. Habbous, S., et al., *The efficacy and safety of sevelamer and lanthanum versus calcium-*  
9 *containing and iron-based binders in treating hyperphosphatemia in patients with*  
10 *chronic kidney disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis*. Nephrology Dialysis  
11 Transplantation, 2016. **32**(1): p. 111-125.  
12  
13 51. Freburger, J.K., et al., *Comparative Effectiveness of Iron and Erythropoiesis-Stimulating*  
14 *Agent Dosing on Health-Related Quality of Life in Patients Receiving Hemodialysis*. Am J  
15 Kidney Dis, 2016. **67**(2): p. 271-82.  
16  
17  
18 52. Johansen, K.L., et al., *The ASCEND-NHQ randomized trial found positive effects of*  
19 *daprodustat on hemoglobin and quality of life in patients with non-dialysis chronic*  
20 *kidney disease*. Kidney International, 2023. **103**(6): p. 1180-1192.  
21  
22 53. Konnopka, A. and H.-H. Koenig, *The “no problems”-problem: an empirical analysis of*  
23 *ceiling effects on the EQ-5D 5L*. Quality of Life Research, 2017. **26**(8): p. 2079-2084.  
24  
25 54. Hays, R.D., et al., *Development of the kidney disease quality of life (KDQOL) instrument*.  
26 Qual Life Res, 1994. **3**(5): p. 329-38.  
27  
28  
29 55. Lightfoot, C.J., et al., *The Codevelopment of “My Kidneys & Me”: A Digital Self-*  
30 *management Program for People With Chronic Kidney Disease*. J Med Internet Res,  
31 2022. **24**(11): p. e39657.  
32  
33 56. Greenwood, S.A., et al., *Evaluating the effect of a digital health intervention to enhance*  
34 *physical activity in people with chronic kidney disease (Kidney BEAM): a multicentre,*  
35 *randomised controlled trial in the UK*. The Lancet Digital Health.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Tables

**Table 1. Baseline characteristics of participants with complete EQ-5D-5L data**

		<b>Total participants with EQ-5D-5L data</b>	<b>Participants with no problems in any EQ-5D-5L dimension</b>	<b>Participants with problems in any EQ-5D-5L dimension</b>
		n (%) unless stated otherwise	n (%) unless stated otherwise	n (%) unless stated otherwise
<b>Overall number</b>		2958	757 (25.6%)	2201 (74.4%)
<b>Sex</b>	Male	1729 (58.5%)	484 (63.9%)	1245 (56.6%)
<b>Age at baseline (mean <math>\pm</math>SD)</b>		62.7 $\pm$ 14.7	58.64 $\pm$ 15.2	64.1 $\pm$ 14.3
<b>Ethnicity</b>	Asian	197 (6.7%)	63 (8.3%)	134 (6.1%)
	Black	89 (3.0%)	26 (3.4%)	63 (2.9%)
	Mixed	31 (1.0%)	8 (1.1%)	23 (1.0%)
	Other	53 (1.8%)	20 (2.6%)	33 (1.5%)
	White	2583 (87.5%)	638 (84.5%)	1945 (88.5%)
<b>Recruitment region</b>	East Midlands	1128 (38.1%)	248 (32.8%)	880 (40.0%)
	London	377 (12.7%)	143 (18.9%)	234 (10.6%)
	North East	196 (6.6%)	62 (8.2%)	134 (6.1%)
	North West	374 (12.6%)	84 (11.1%)	290 (13.2%)
	Scotland	96 (3.2%)	37 (4.9%)	59 (2.7%)
	South East	17 (0.6%)	5 (0.7%)	12 (0.5%)
	Wales	151 (5.1%)	39 (5.2%)	112 (5.1%)
	West Midlands	263 (8.9%)	59 (7.8%)	204 (9.3%)
	Yorkshire and Humber	356 (12.0%)	80 (10.6%)	276 (12.5%)



<b>Renal diagnosis major heading</b>	CKD of uncertain aetiology	952 (32.2%)	210 (27.7%)	742 (33.8%)
	Diabetes Mellitus	337 (11.4%)	55 (7.3%)	282 (12.8%)
	Familial / hereditary nephropathies	324 (11.0%)	103 (13.6%)	221 (10.1%)
	Glomerular disease	694 (23.5%)	235 (31.0%)	459 (20.9%)
	Hypertension / Renal vascular disease	266 (9.0%)	48 (6.3%)	218 (9.9%)
	Other systemic diseases affecting the kidney	64 (2.2%)	15 (2.0%)	49 (2.2%)
	Tubulointerstitial disease	318 (10.8%)	91 (12.0%)	227 (10.3%)
<b>Had previous KRT</b>		137 (4.6%)	21 (2.8%)	116 (5.3%)
<b>Smoking status</b>	Non-smoker	1479 (50.2%)	434 (57.5%)	1045 (47.7%)
	Ex-smoker	1205 (40.9%)	272 (36.0%)	933 (42.6%)
	Current smoker	263 (8.9%)	49 (6.5%)	214 (9.8%)
<b>Alcohol use</b>		1560 (53.3%)	463 (61.7%)	1097 (50.3%)
<b>Education status</b>	None	829 (29.0%)	138 (18.2%)	691 (31.4%)
	GCSE/NVQ/A-level	1347 (45.5%)	334 (44.1%)	1013 (46.0%)
	Higher education	782 (26.4%)	285 (37.6%)	497 (22.6%)
<b>Employment status</b>	Not in work	348 (11.8%)	47 (6.2%)	301 (13.7%)
	Retired	1582 (53.6%)	313 (41.4%)	1269 (57.8%)
	Working	1020 (34.6%)	396 (52.4%)	624 (28.4%)
<b>Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) quintile</b>	1 = most deprived	641 (21.7%)	187 (24.8%)	454 (20.7%)
	2	612 (20.7%)	181 (24.0%)	431 (19.6%)
	3	549 (18.6%)	132 (17.5%)	417 (19.0%)
	4	543 (18.4%)	133 (17.6%)	410 (18.7%)
	5 = least deprived	607 (20.6%)	122 (16.2%)	485 (22.1%)
<b>EQ-5D-5L dimensions - n (%) with score</b>	Mobility	1465 (49.5%)	-	1465 (66.6%)

<b>2 or above</b>	Self-care	548 (18.5%)	-	548 (24.9%)
	Usual activities	1324 (44.8%)	-	1324 (60.2%)
	Pain/discomfort	1773 (59.9%)	-	1773 (80.6%)
	Anxiety/depression	1014 (34.3%)	-	1014 (46.1%)
<b>EQ-5D-3L mapped index value (median (IQR))</b>		0.79 (0.36)	-	0.70 (0.24)
<b>EQ-5D-3L index value less than 0.0</b>		54 (1.8%)	-	54 (2.5%)
<b>EQ-5D-5L health rating (visual analogue scale) (mean <math>\pm</math>SD)</b>		71.2 $\pm$ 20.2	84.93 $\pm$ 14.5	66.5 $\pm$ 19.8
<b>Number of EQ-5D-5L dimensions scored 2 or above</b>	0 dimensions	757 (25.6%)	757 (100%)	-
	1 dimensions	540 (18.3%)	-	540 (24.5%)
	2 dimensions	457 (15.4%)	-	457 (20.8%)
	3 dimensions	468 (15.8%)	-	468 (21.3%)
	4 dimensions	414 (14.0%)	-	414 (18.8%)
	5 dimensions	322 (10.9%)	-	322 (14.6%)
<b>Single item literacy screener (SILS) score above 2</b>		130 (4.4%)	16 (2.1%)	114 (5.2%)
<b>Number of comorbidities (median (IQR))</b>		3.0 (3.0)	2.0 (2.0)	3.0 (3.0)
<b>Number of medications 10 or above</b>		948 (32.0%)	103 (13.6%)	845 (38.4%)
<b>Number of regular medications (mean <math>\pm</math>SD)</b>		7.8 $\pm$ 4.5	5.73 $\pm$ 3.5	8.5 $\pm$ 4.6
<b>Taking specific medications</b>	Renin-angiotensin system inhibitor (RASi)	1954 (66.1%)	532 (70.3%)	1422 (64.6%)
	Statins	1716 (58.9%)	384 (52.2%)	1332 (61.1%)
	Erythropoietin Stimulating Agent	186 (6.3%)	41 (5.4%)	145 (6.6%)
	Bicarbonate therapy	347 (11.7%)	81 (10.7%)	266 (12.1%)

	Immunosuppression	308 (10.4%)	78 (10.3%)	230 (10.4%)
	Prednisolone	354 (12.0%)	72 (9.5%)	282 (12.8%)
	Immunosuppression including prednisolone	492 (16.6%)	111 (14.7%)	381 (17.3%)
<b>Body Mass Index (BMI, kg/m<sup>2</sup>) (mean ±SD)</b>		29.6±6.3	28.09 ±5.2	30.1 ±6.5
<b>BMI categories</b>	Underweight (BMI <18.5kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	32 (1.1%)	8 (1.1%)	24 (1.1%)
	Normal weight (BMI 18.5 to 24.9kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	642 (22.3%)	202 (27.1%)	440 (20.6%)
	Overweight (BMI 25.0 to 29.9kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	1016 (35.3%)	300 (40.3%)	716 (33.5%)
	Obese (BMI ≥30.0 kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	1190 (41.3%)	235 (31.5%)	955 (44.7%)
<b>Karnofsky performance score (median (IQR))</b>		90.0 (20.0)	100.0 (10.0)	90.0 (30.0)
<b>Mean Mean Arterial Pressure (MAP, mmHg) (mean ± SD)</b>		99.7±12.8	100.73 ±11.8	99.3 ±13.1
<b>Sarcopenia present</b>		889 (30.1%)	99 (13.1%)	790 (35.9%)
<b>Admitted to hospital in the last year</b>		885 (29.9%)	156 (20.6%)	729 (33.1%)
<b>eGFR (CKD EPI formula) central laboratory (ml/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup>) (mean ± SD)</b>		37.4 ±17.9	40.66 ±19.2	36.2 ±17.3
<b>Urine albumin creatinine ratio (uACR) central laboratory (mg/g) (median (IQR))</b>		206.5 (889.25)	239.0 (886.0)	191.0 (890.0)
<b>Nephrotic range proteinuria (uACR above 2200mg/g)</b>		275 (9.3%)	70 (9.2%)	205 (9.3%)

<b>Bicarbonate local laboratory (mmol/L) (mean ± SD)</b>		24.6 ±3.4	24.64 ±3.0	24.6 ±3.5
<b>Bicarbonate less than 20 mmol/L</b>		172 (5.8%)	25 (3.3%)	147 (6.7%)
<b>Haemoglobin local laboratory (g/L) (mean ± SD)</b>		126.9 ±18.1	131.53 ±17.5	125.3 ±18.0
<b>Haemoglobin less than 100 g/L</b>		147 (5.0%)	22 (2.9%)	125 (5.7%)
<b>Albumin serum local laboratory (g/L) (mean ± SD)</b>		40.5 ±5.3	41.0 ±5.3	40.3 ±5.2
<b>Phosphate local laboratory (mmol/L) (mean ± SD)</b>		1.1±0.2	1.1 ±0.2	1.1 ±0.2
<b>Phosphate above 1.5 mmol/L</b>		121 (4.1%)	26 (3.4%)	95 (4.3%)
<b>Parathyroid hormone (PTH) local laboratory (pmol/L) (median (IQR))</b>		15.4 (48.0)	12.1 (38.7)	16.68 (51.6)
<b>Calcium adjusted local laboratory (mmol/L) (mean ± SD)</b>		2.35 ±0.1	2.38 ±0.1	2.36 ±0.1
<b>PTH categories</b>	Low to normal (0-7.1 pmol/L)	626 (25.4%)	191 (30.1%)	435 (23.8%)
	Raised (7.2-15.7 pmol/L)	618 (25.1%)	161 (25.4%)	457 (25.0%)
	High (15.8-56.0 pmol/L)	626 (25.4%)	168 (26.5%)	458 (25.1%)
	Very high (>56.0 pmol/L)	590 (24.0%)	115 (18.1%)	475 (26.0%)
<b>Integrated Palliative care Outcome Scale (IPOS) symptoms present</b>	Pain	1848 (63.8%)	168 (22.6%)	1680 (78.1%)
	Shortness of breath	1622 (56.2%)	224 (29.9%)	1398 (65.4%)
	Weakness or lack of energy	2125 (72.5%)	319 (42.3%)	1806 (83.0%)
	Nausea	711 (24.4%)	73 (9.7%)	638 (29.5%)
	Vomiting	285 (9.7%)	24 (3.2%)	261 (12.0%)
	Poor appetite	814 (27.8%)	82 (10.9%)	732 (33.7%)
	Constipation	813 (27.8%)	96 (12.7%)	717 (33.1%)

	Mouth problems	562 (19.3%)	68 (9.0%)	494 (22.9%)
	Drowsiness	1370 (47.0%)	175 (23.2%)	1195 (55.3%)
	Poor mobility	1393 (47.7%)	42 (5.6%)	1351 (62.3%)
	Itching	1189 (40.9%)	205 (27.3%)	984 (45.6%)
	Difficulty sleeping	1534 (52.4%)	267 (35.5%)	1267 (58.3%)
	Restless legs	1110 (38.0%)	150 (19.9%)	960 (44.3%)
	Anxiety	1186 (40.6%)	132 (17.6%)	1054 (48.5%)
	Depressive	914 (31.3%)	62 (8.2%)	852 (39.3%)
	Changes in skin	881 (30.3%)	131 (17.4%)	750 (34.9%)
	Diarrhoea	545 (18.7%)	72 (9.5%)	473 (21.9%)
	<b>Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) anxiety score <math>\geq 8</math></b>	709 (24.0%)	54 (7.1%)	655 (29.8%)
	<b>HADS depression score <math>\geq 8</math></b>	563 (19.0%)	20 (2.6%)	543 (24.7%)
	<b>Six Item Cognitive Impairment Test (6CIT) score <math>\geq 8</math></b>	237 (8.1%)	26 (3.4%)	211 (9.7%)
<p>For more detail on variables, the full cohort of 2996 participants and missing data, please refer to methods and <i>Supplementary Materials</i>. Percentages here exclude missing data unless specified</p> <p>Minor discrepancies in fields such as diagnosis/ethnicity may be seen between this table and the <i>Taal et al 2020</i> baseline paper - this is due to minor differences in classification and due to only including those with complete EQ-5D-5L data in this table</p>				

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

**Table 2. CKD stage of participants, organised by eGFR and uACR for cohort**

		<b>A1</b>	<b>A2</b>	<b>A3</b>
ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup>		<30mg/g	30-300mg/g	>300mg/g
<b>G1</b>	≥90	5 (0.2%)	10 (0.4%)	37 (1.4%)
<b>G2</b>	60-90	74 (2.7%)	75 (2.8%)	91 (3.3%)
<b>G3a</b>	45-60	174 (6.4%)	146 (5.4%)	166 (6.1%)
<b>G3b</b>	30-45	231 (8.5%)	314 (11.5%)	371 (13.6%)
<b>G4</b>	15-30	148 (5.4%)	336 (12.3%)	486 (17.8%)
<b>G5</b>	<15	7 (0.3%)	13 (0.5%)	42 (1.5%)
Due to incomplete ACR data 270 (9.0%) participants missing				

Peer Review

**Table 3. Univariable associations with the EQ-5D-3L mapped index value (i.e. overall HRQoL)**

<i>Risk factor</i>	EQ-5D-3L mapped index univariable linear regression				<i>Association with HRQoL</i>
	<i>Coefficient</i>	<i>Lower 95% CI</i>	<i>Upper 95% CI</i>	<i>p-value</i>	
<i>Sociodemographic</i>					
In work	0.168	0.149	0.186	<0.001	↑
Has partner	0.048	0.029	0.068	<0.001	↑
Sex (female)	-0.061	-0.079	-0.042	<0.001	↓
SILS indicates issue	-0.165	-0.210	-0.120	<0.001	↓
<i>Medical history</i>					
Renin-angiotensin system inhibitor	0.035	0.016	0.055	<0.001	↑
Immunosuppression	0.016	-0.015	0.046	0.314	*
Bicarbonate therapy	-0.011	-0.040	0.017	0.438	*
Phosphate binders	-0.016	-0.057	0.025	0.439	*
Prednisolone	-0.035	-0.064	-0.007	<b>0.016</b>	↓
Erythropoetin stimulating agent	-0.072	-0.110	-0.034	<0.001	↓
Number of comorbidities	-0.044	-0.048	-0.041	<0.001	↓
Statins	-0.052	-0.071	-0.033	<0.001	↓
Admitted to hospital in the last year	-0.073	-0.093	-0.053	<0.001	↓
6CIT score 8 or above	-0.118	-0.152	-0.084	<0.001	↓
Sarcopenia present	-0.175	-0.194	-0.156	<0.001	↓
Regularly takes over the counter analgesia (paracetamol, co-codamol or ibuprofen)	-0.194	-0.213	-0.176	<0.001	↓
On 10 or more regular medications	-0.199	-0.218	-0.181	<0.001	↓
<i>Symptom measures</i>					

IPOS diarrhoea rating	-0.076	-0.088	-0.063	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	↓
IPOS difficulty sleeping rating	-0.084	-0.092	-0.077	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	↓
IPOS restless legs rating	-0.096	-0.104	-0.087	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	↓
IPOS drowsiness rating	-0.100	-0.109	-0.092	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	↓
IPOS constipation rating	-0.106	-0.116	-0.096	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	↓
IPOS shortness of breath rating	-0.112	-0.120	-0.104	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	↓
IPOS poor appetite rating	-0.114	-0.124	-0.104	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	↓
IPOS weakness or lack of energy rating	-0.121	-0.128	-0.114	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	↓
IPOS pain rating	-0.148	-0.154	-0.142	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	↓
HADS anxiety score 8 or above	-0.222	-0.242	-0.201	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	↓
HADS depression score 8 or above	-0.305	-0.326	-0.284	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	↓
<i>Clinical measures</i>					
Karnofsky performance score	0.010	0.009	0.010	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	↑
Albumin serum g/L	0.003	0.001	0.005	<b>0.001</b>	↑
eGFR (ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> )	0.002	0.001	0.002	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	↑
Mean Mean Arterial Pressure (mmHg)	0.001	0.001	0.002	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	↑
Albumin creatinine ratio (mg/g)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.474	*
Potassium (mmol/L)	-0.011	-0.029	0.007	0.218	*
Nephrotic range proteinuria	-0.022	-0.054	0.009	0.167	*
Parathyroid hormone (PTH, pmol/L) - continuous	0.000	0.000	0.000	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	↓
PTH raised (7.2-15.7pmol/L) <sup>1</sup>	-0.029	-0.057	0.000	<b>0.048</b>	↓
PTH high (15.8-56.0pmol/L) <sup>1</sup>	-0.029	-0.057	0.000	<b>0.048</b>	↓
PTH very high (>56.0pmol/L) <sup>1</sup>	-0.061	-0.090	-0.032	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	↓
HbA1c (mmol/mol)	-0.002	-0.003	-0.001	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	↓
Urea (mmol/L)	-0.005	-0.006	-0.003	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	↓
Body Mass Index (BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) - continuous	-0.010	-0.011	-0.008	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	↓
Underweight (BMI <18.5kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>	0.035	-0.124	-0.055	0.449	*



Overweight (BMI 25 to 29.9 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>	-0.012	-0.037	-0.013	0.358	*
Obese (BMI ≥30kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>	-0.102	-0.126	-0.078	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	↓
Bicarbonate less than 20 mmol/L	-0.050	-0.089	-0.010	<b>0.013</b>	↓
Phosphate (mmol/L)	-0.106	-0.149	-0.062	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	↓
Haemoglobin less than 100 g/L	-0.120	-0.163	-0.078	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	↓
<p>p-values in <b>bold</b> indicate significance &lt;0.05</p> <p>↑ denotes association with improved HRQoL (i.e. higher index value)</p> <p>↓ denotes association with worse HRQoL (i.e. lower index value)</p> <p>* denotes no clear association or lack of significant association</p> <p><sup>1</sup> reference category of PTH is low to normal (0-7.1pmol/L)</p> <p><sup>2</sup> reference category of BMI is normal weight (BMI 18.5 to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>)</p>					

**Table 4. Potentially modifiable factors in multivariable mixed effects regression models for main HRQoL outcomes**

Risk factors	EQ-5D-3L mapped index value linear regression			EQ-5D-5L health rating (visual analogue scale) linear regression			EQ-5D-5L problems in any dimension logistic regression			n (%) in cohort with complete EQ-5D-5L data
	Coefficient B	95% Confidence intervals	p-values	Coefficient B	95% Confidence intervals	p-values	Odds Ratios	95% Confidence intervals	p-values	
Body Mass Index (BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) [Underweight]	0.023	-0.057 to 0.103	0.570	-4.301	-11.595 to 2.993	0.248	1.171	0.290 to 4.723	0.825	32 (1.1%)
BMI [Overweight]	-0.001	-0.022 to 0.020	0.916	-0.259	-2.150 to 1.632	0.788	0.976	0.703 to 1.357	0.887	1016 (35.3%)
BMI [Obese]	-0.037	-0.058 to -0.016	<b>0.001</b>	-2.747	-4.635 to -0.858	<b>0.004</b>	1.202	0.856 to 1.687	0.288	1190 (41.3%)
Smoking status [Ex-smoker]	-0.017	-0.033 to -0.001	<b>0.043</b>	-0.181	-1.680 to 1.318	0.813	1.124	0.857 to 1.475	0.397	1205 (40.9%)
Smoking status [Current smoker]	-0.004	-0.033 to 0.025	0.786	0.832	1.803 to 3.466	0.536	1.135	0.666 to 1.934	0.641	263 (8.9%)
Sarcopenia present	-0.062	-0.080 to -0.043	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	-4.083	-5.774 to -2.392	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	1.859	1.322 to 2.615	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	889 (30.1%)

Prednisolone	0.011	- 0.014 to 0.0 36	0.404	-1.466	- 3.736 to 0.80 4	0.205	0.830	0.540 to 1.2 75	0.395	354 (12.0%)
Renin- angiotensin system inhibitors	0.011	- 0.006 to 0.0 28	0.200	0.038	- 1.486 to 1.56 3	0.961	0.863	0.650 to 1.1 44	0.305	1954 (66.1%)
On 10 or more regular medications	-0.065	-0.085 to - 0.046	<b>&lt;0.00 1</b>	-1.717	- 3.484 to 0.04 9	0.057	1.382	0.970 to 1.9 69	0.073	948 (32.0%)
Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) depression score 8 or above	-0.159	-0.182 to - 0.137	<b>&lt;0.00 1</b>	-13.231	-15.296 to - 11.165	<b>&lt;0.00 1</b>	3.506	1.899 to 6.4 74	<b>&lt;0.00 1</b>	563 (19.0%)
HADS anxiety score 8 or above	-0.090	-0.110 to - 0.069	<b>&lt;0.00 1</b>	-4.965	-6.842 to - 3.087	<b>&lt;0.00 1</b>	3.022	1.981 to 4.6 11	<b>&lt;0.00 1</b>	709 (24.0%)
Integrated Palliative care Outcome Scale (IPOS) pain symptoms present	-0.134	-0.152 to - 0.117	<b>&lt;0.00 1</b>	-4.004	-5.602 to - 2.406	<b>&lt;0.00 1</b>	7.001	5.380 to 9.1 12	<b>&lt;0.00 1</b>	1848 (63.8%)
IPOS shortness of	-0.026	-0.043 to - 0.008	<b>0.005</b>	-2.953	-4.576 to - 1.330	<b>&lt;0.00 1</b>	1.639	1.236 to 2.1 73	<b>0.001</b>	1622 (56.2%)

breath symptoms present										
IPOS weakness or lack of energy symptoms present	-0.034	-0.054 to -0.014	<b>0.001</b>	-7.477	-9.282 to -5.672	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	2.516	1.904 to 3.325	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	2125 (72.5%)
Haemoglobin less than 100 g/L	-0.047	-0.085 to -0.010	<b>0.012</b>	-3.592	-6.968 to -0.217	<b>0.037</b>	1.239	0.586 to 2.622	0.575	147 (5.0%)
Phosphate greater than 1.5 mmol/L	-0.007	-0.047 to 0.032	0.716	2.542	1.041 to 6.125	0.164	0.944	0.458 to 1.943	0.875	121 (4.1%)
Parathyroid hormone (PTH) Raised (7.2-15.7 pmol/L)	0.005	0.018 to 0.028	0.668	1.590	0.455 to 3.634	0.127	0.867	0.600 to 1.254	0.448	618 (25.1%)
PTH High (15.8-56.0 pmol/L)	0.017	0.008 to 0.042	0.190	3.127	1.113 to 5.141	<b>0.002</b>	0.746	0.495 to 1.123	0.160	626 (25.4%)
PTH Very high (>56.0 pmol/L)	0.003	0.028 to 0.034	0.841	-0.661	2.801 to 1.480	0.545	0.900	0.547 to 1.482	0.678	590 (24.0%)
Calcium (adjusted, mmol/L)	0.039	0.030 to 0.108	0.270	3.326	2.868 to 9.519	0.293	0.166	0.049 to 0.560	<b>0.004</b>	-
<b>R<sup>2</sup> of model</b>	0.513		0.358			0.57				

1  
2  
3 p-values in **bold** denote statistical significance of <0.05

4 BMI reference category = Normal weight (BMI 18.5 to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), Smoking status reference category = non-smoker, PTH  
5 reference category = PTH low to normal (0 to 7.1 pmol/L)

6 All models include confounding covariates of age\*, ethnicity\*, sex\*, Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD)\*, eGFR\*, number of  
7 comorbidities\*, 6-item Cognitive Impairment Test score of 8 or above\* as fixed effects  
8 and recruitment region as random effect - \* denotes p-value <0.05 in any model above (see *Supplementary Materials* for effect  
9 sizes)  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

For Peer Review

**Table 5. Summary of the statistically significant associations between potentially modifiable independent variables and problems in each EQ-5D-5L dimension in multivariable mixed effects logistic regression models**

<i>Risk factors</i>	<i>Mobility dimension</i>	<i>Self-care dimension</i>	<i>Usual activities dimension</i>	<i>Pain/discomfort dimension</i>	<i>Anxiety/depression dimension</i>
Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) depression score 8 or above	✓ ↓	✓ ↓	✓ ↓	✓ ↓	✓ ↓
Integrated Palliative care Outcome Scale (IPOS) pain symptoms present	✓ ↓	✓ ↓	✓ ↓	✓ ↓	✓ ↓
IPOS weakness or lack of energy symptoms present	✓ ↓	✓ ↓	✓ ↓	✓ ↓	✓ ↓
HADS anxiety score 8 or above		✓ ↓	✓ ↓	✓ ↓	✓ ↓
On 10 or more regular medications	✓ ↓	✓ ↓	✓ ↓		✓ ↓
Body Mass Index (BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) [Obese]	✓ ↓	✓ ↓	✓ ↓		
Sarcopenia present	✓ ↓	✓ ↓	✓ ↓		
IPOS shortness of breath symptoms present	✓ ↓	✓ ↓	✓ ↓		
Prednisolone		✓ ↓			
Smoking status [Current smoker]	✓ ↓				
Renin-angiotensin system inhibitors	✓ ↑		✓ ↑		
PTH High (15.8-56.0 pmol/L)			✓ ↑		✓ ↑
BMI [Underweight]				✓ ↑	
Calcium (adjusted, mmol/L)	✓ ↑				
✓ denotes significant association (p-value <0.05). Blank fields denote no significant association with that dimension ↑ denotes odds ratio less than 1.0 (i.e. less likely to report problems in that dimension) ↓ denotes odds ratio more than 1.0 (i.e. more likely to report issues in that dimension)					


1  
2  
3  
4 **Figure legends (figures uploaded separately)**  
5  
6

7 **Figure 1. Distribution of the percentage of participants reporting problems within the five dimensions of EQ-5D-5L**  
8

9 **Figure 2. Forest plot of associations with mapped EQ-5D-3L index values in mixed effects multivariable linear regression**  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47


For Peer Review

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41






Multivariable mixed effects linear regression forest plot - potentially modifiable variables vs. dependent variable of mapped EQ-5D-3L index value as measure of overall health-related quality of life (HRQoL) - coefficients with 95% confidence intervals



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41



- Potentially modifiable variables of interest
- Non-modifiable confounding variables
- Main outcome of interest