THE TRANSACTIONS OF THE ROYAL INSTITUTION OF NAVAL ARCHITECTS

PART A – INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MARITIME ENGINEERING

DESIGN, DEVELOPMENT AND COMMISSIONING OF THE BOLDREWOOD TOWING TANK – A DECADE OF ENDEAVOUR

B Malas, University of Southampton, UK, **L** Creasey, Iconsys, UK, **D** Buckland, University of Southampton, UK and **S** R Turnock*, University of Southampton, UK

* Corresponding author. (Email) srt@soton.ac.uk

SUMMARY

The process of design, build and eventual commissioning of the towing tank on the Boldrewood Innovation Campus is 16 described. The design brief required a facility that would have a capability to test models at a commercial scale but that 17 would be effective as teaching environment for the next generation of Naval Architects as well as providing a flexible 18 space for future fundamental research. Each of these provided their own challenges but the eventual solution of a 138 m 19 long, 3.5 m deep, 6 m wide facility has more than met the initial aspirations. Equipped with 12 independent 0.5 m 20 wavemaking flaps at the West end, a passive beach at the East end, a deployable side beach along the South wall for post 21 run wave absorption and a monocoque Aluminium alloy carriage, the Boldrewood towing tank has now been successfully 22 operating for more than a year. The carriage position and speed are controlled by a twin winch arrangement using a laser 23 positioning system and low embodied energy composite cables. The carriage can reach a maximum speed of 10 m/s with 24 controllable acceleration rates and can have up to four constant speed phases per run. Initial commissioning results and 25 comparisons with benchmark data for the KCS hull confirm the accuracy and repeatability of the facility. In particular, 26 the position and speed of the carriage are known to a high level of precision. To date research and consultancy work has 27 spanned the performance of high speed vessels, uncrewed underwater and surface vessels, wave energy and tidal current 28 systems, floating platforms for wind turbines, performance sport work for sailing, kayaking, rowing and swimming, open 29 water propeller tests as well as conventional displacement vessel testing for self-propulsion and resistance. All ship 30 science and maritime engineering students use the facility as part of their taught modules in every year of their programme 31 as well as for individual, MSc and group projects as appropriate. It has also made a strong impact on the many thousands 32 of visitors a year to the campus for science and engineering open days. 33

35 KEYWORDS

36

38

34

37 Towing tank; wave tank, hydrodynamics, experimental, instrumentation, dynamometry, PIV

39 NOMENCLATURE

| 40 | | |
|----|-------|-------------------------------------|
| 41 | AMC | Australian Maritime College |
| 42 | CFD | Computational Fluid Dynamics |
| 43 | DIC | Digital Image Correlation |
| 44 | DSLR | Digital Single-Lens Reflex |
| 45 | ECN | Ecole Centrale de Nantes |
| 46 | FDS | Functional Design Specification |
| 47 | FNC | Fraser-Nash Consultancy |
| 48 | g | Standard Earth gravity (9.807 |
| 49 | | m/s ²) |
| 50 | H&S | Health and Safety |
| 51 | IMOCA | International Monohull Open |
| 52 | | Class Association |
| 53 | ITTC | International Towing Tank |
| 54 | | Conference (<u>www.ittc.info</u>) |
| 55 | KCS | Kriso Container Ship |
| 56 | MEng | Master of Engineering |
| 57 | MSc | Master of Science |
| 58 | NOC | National Oceanography Centre |
| 59 | NWTF | National Wind Tunnel Facility |

| 60 | PLC | Programmable Logic Controller |
|----|----------|-------------------------------|
| 61 | PIV | Particle Image Velocimetry |
| 62 | RANS | Reynolds-Averaged Navier- |
| 63 | | Stokes |
| 64 | SPAR | Single Point Anchor Reservoir |
| 65 | SYRF | Sailing Yacht Research |
| 66 | | Association |
| 67 | TU Delft | Technical University of Delft |
| 68 | WAB | Walk Around Box |
| 69 | WUMTIA | Wolfson Unit for Marine |
| 70 | | Technology and Industrial |
| 71 | | Aerodynamics |

1 2

3 4 5

6

7 8 9

10

11

12 13

14 15

72 1 INTRODUCTION

86

102

119

121

124

73 74 The UK has historically been at the forefront of experimental hydrodynamics, with many different 75 towing tanks being built since 1870 and the first ever 76 tank built by William Froude in Newquay, Cornwall 77 (Brown, 2006). At times, there were more than ten 78 towing tanks in operation in the country. In the 1990s 79 and 2000s, several facilities were shut by the 80 government or by commercial companies, mainly for 81 financial reasons. Around the same time, CFD tools 82 were becoming more reliable and used in the ship 83 design process, and towing tanks were by some seen 84 as less important. 85

The aim of this work is to document the process of 87 design, build and commissioning of the first new large 88 scale towing tank in the UK for nearly 50 years. The 89 objectives are to capture the lessons learnt, the 90 process used to design and experience of the 91 construction process. Despite the advances in CFD, 92 there is still the need to carry out both fundamental 93 and applied physical experimentation. The results will 94 help inform the development of better modelling 95 96 approaches and for validation of simulations and 97 crucially provide an educational experience of physical reality that will be evermore essential to the 98 next generation engineers who will be using complex 99 computer simulations as part of their day-to-day 100 work. 101

It is also worth noting there is a trade-off between the 103 104 energy cost for a computer based simulation for evaluation of ship resistance and that needed for a 105 physical model towed down a tank. With computer 106 power continuing to increase (Hawkes et al, 2018) 107 there still will be an energy cost per computation 108 made up of direct electrical power and the energy 109 embodied in the continual cycle of 110 investing/disposing in the processors used, whereas 111 for a physical model test as it is at scale the energy 112 needed per run will be small and independent of the 113 unsteadiness of the flow during the run. For complex 114 115 problems such as self-propelled and/or seakeeping experiments especially physical experimental will 116 continue to have many benefits compared to the 117 computational overhead needed. 118

120 2 BACKGROUND

122 2.1 MARITIME RESEARCH AT THE123 UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHAMPTON

In the 1960s, the University of Southampton had an
active yacht research group but no real way to
commercialise the extensive knowledge available.
Thanks to a grant from the Wolfson foundation,
WUMTIA was created in 1967 (Deakin, 2008) and
has been offering consultancy services as a University
Enterprise unit ever since. These services include

towing tank and wind tunnel experiments, full-scale 132 sea trials as well as marine software sales, 133 134 dynamometry design or CFD calculations. WUMTIA has developed a significant amount of expertise in 135 running scale experiments in various facilities, which 136 has served students, industry and research for many 137 decades and would also provide an invaluable 138 resource when considering what design features 139 would be required for a new tank. 140

142 2.2 THE NEED FOR A TOWING TANK

The aspiration for a University of Southampton 144 towing tank goes back a long time. Ever since the 145 creation of the Ship Science teaching programme in 146 1968, the practical labs had to be performed in the 147 small Lamont tank (30 m long). Research and 148 149 commercial experiments were also conducted in other 150 facilities such as the GKN tank on the Isle of Wight closed in 2008, the QinetiQ tank in Haslar, or the 151 Solent University tank (Molland, 1996), (Molland et 152 al., 2004), (Bahaj et al., 2007) and (Cartwright et al., 153 2008). 154

156 In an era of increasing competition in students 157 recruitment; it was also noted that other institutions such as Strathclyde University, Newcastle University, 158 Imperial College, University College London, 159 Plymouth University, Edinburgh University or Solent 160 University all had their own large hydrodynamic 161 facilities. Major equipment is nowadays a real asset to 162 attract students to join a particular course. 163

164 165

174

155

141

143

165 In the 1990s and 2000s, several possible sites were investigated, such as the University's oceanside 166 campus shared with the National Oceanography 167 Centre, Trafalgar Wharf in Portchester (where the 168 Vosper Thornycroft cavitation tunnel used to be) and 169 finally the Boldrewood Campus in Southampton. A 170 Southampton site was most favoured by the 171 University in order to reduce students and staff travel 172 distances. 173

175 2.3 THE CHOICE OF THE BOLDREWOOD SITE176

Built in 1975, the first Boldrewood Campus (SO16 177 7QF) was dedicated to Medical and Biological 178 179 sciences. With age, the concrete used for the buildings deteriorated and by the early 2000s, the maintenance 180 of the campus was very costly to the University. In 181 April 2006, the University announced plans to 182 develop a 'professional campus' on the Boldrewood 183 site, to house the Lloyd's Register Global Technology 184 Centre as well as several University engineering 185 departments. In October 2010, the Boldrewood 186 Campus was fully closed for redevelopment. 187 188

189 Although the discussions about the campus
190 redevelopment always included the possibility of a
191 towing tank, it was a sudden decrease in construction

192 prices in 2012 that allowed to include the towing tank 193 building in the existing construction budget, so it was 194 decided to go ahead. The main characteristics were agreed later that year and construction started in 2013 195 with an expected completion time of 3 to 4 years. 196

197

198

199

200

201

202

2.04



Figure 1: Construction site in February 2014. View towards the West end where the tank had to be cut deepest into the ground

2.4 A BALANCED BUSINESS CASE 203

The business case for the build of the Boldrewood 205 towing tank relied on three pillars: Education, 206 Research and Commercial activities. The tank has 207 also been designed with future flexibility in mind, so 208 that a wide range of experiments in various domains 209 210 can be performed in addition to conventional towing 211 experiments, in particular for education and research. To date, the facility has been used for experiments in 212 domains such as fundamental hydrodynamics, sailing, 213 wave energy, offshore wind, sports engineering, 214 shipping, autonomy and biomechanics. 215

- 216
- 2.4 (a) Education 217

Each academic year, a series of ten practical lab 218 classes are offered to both MEng and MSc students 219 across the Faculty of Engineering and Physical 220 221 Sciences (Table 1). The facility is also available for practical individual and group design undergraduate 222 and MSc projects. It is very important to the 223 University to allow students to have exposure to 224 physical experiments and their associated procedures 225 and problems (preparation, calibration, scaling, 226 analysis, etc). At a time when engineers spend most 227 of their time working on computers, it is critical for 228 students to acquire practical knowledge and to 229 understand the importance of validation data for any 230 231

Table 1: Laboratories Boldrewood towing tank 232

| Lab Year | | Description | |
|-------------------|---------|---|--|
| Induction week 1 | | Trials of boats designed and built by students | |
| Resistance | 2 | Resistance tests of a semi-displacement model | |
| Propeller | 2 | Open-water tests of a propeller | |
| Planing Craft | 3 / MSc | Resistance of a planing model | |
| Roll | 3 | Roll motions of a static model | |
| Seakeeping | 3 | Seakeeping of a displacement model | |
| Maritime Robotics | 4 / MSc | Trials of ASVs designed and built by students | |
| Offshore | 4 / MSc | Forces and motions of anchored bodies | |
| Wave Energy | 4 / MSc | Motions and performance of a wave energy device | |
| Wave Resistance | 4 / MSc | Wave resistance of a displacment model | |

234

2

2.4 (b) Research 235

The Boldrewood towing tank is classed as a 'badged 236 facility' within the University, which means it can be 237 costed on research grants and contracts. It is also a 238 strategic national facility as part of the NWTF group, 239 240 which means that any academic across the UK can easily obtain access to the facility, under some 241 conditions at a reduced rate¹, which is of particular 2.42 243 benefit for early career researchers.

- 244
- 245 2.4 (c) Commercial

The Boldrewood tank is available for support to the 246 marine industry. This can be done by bare charter hire 247 of the facility, where a company bring its own 248 equipment and run its own experiments with the 249 250 support of a technician to operate the carriage and 251 wavemaker. Interested companies should contact the towing tank staff to discuss this possibility and their 252 requirements². 253

The other option is to contract WUMTIA, who will 254 run the project from start to end for the customer, 255 including the design and manufacture of the model, 256 257 the preparation and conduction of the tests and the 258 delivery of a scientific report.

- 2.60 2.5 BUILDING 185: MORE THAN JUST A TOWING TANK 261
- 2.62

259

As part of the Boldrewood campus redevelopment, 263 the School of Engineering staff were consulted to 264 express their needs in terms of experimental facilities. 265 There was a large interest in getting new equipment 266 as well as replacing existing older facilities, which 267 resulted in the following large facilities to be installed 268 in Building 185 : the NWTF open jet anechoic wind 269 tunnel³, a boundary layer wind tunnel, a tilting water 270 flume and an environmental water flume⁴. In addition 271 to these, some smaller wind tunnels and flumes are 272 also present in the building. 273

274

2.6 A NEW TOWING TANK IN THE 2020s -275 WHAT FOR? 276

277

Amongst the 10,000+ visitors that have seen the tank 278 since 2015, lots of people ask why the University has 279

³ <u>https://www.nwtf.ac.uk/facility/anechoic/</u>

https://www.southampton.ac.uk/research/facilities/fl umes-wave-tanks

numerical simulations they may undertake.

¹https://www.nwtf.ac.uk/about/access-for-

researchers/

²https://www.southampton.ac.uk/research/facilities/t owing-tank

decided to build a tank in an age where CFD is seen 2.80 281 by many mature enough to replace towing tank experiments. The question is widely discussed in 282 various conferences and magazines in the marine 283 industry. There have been several CFD workshops in 284 the last few years (Larsson et al., 2014), (Claughton, 2.85 286 2015), (Ponkratov, 2017) and (Hino et al., 2020) where participants performed blind RANS 287 calculations on a given hull geometry and 288 benchmarked their results against the model tests 2.89 and/or sea trials results. Looking at the most recent 290 workshops results for calm water resistance, they 291 show a large scatter in the results (typically between 292 10% and 15%), which is a concern, especially as these 293 cases "only" consisted in relatively basic calm water 294 resistance predictions. There are many more complex 295 296 problems where numerical approaches need validation against high quality spatial and temporal 297 experimental data, such as propulsion, manoeuvring, 298 seakeeping, and novel hull forms. Although CFD is a 299 great tool in the early design stage of ships, especially 300 when it comes to hull form optimisation and relative 301 comparisons, these workshops results show that scale 302 model experiments still have and will continue to 303 304 have a major role to play in the marine industry, albeit 305 with an evolving role compared to the pre-CFD era.

307 3 DEVELOPMENT PROCESS AND HISTORY

309 3.1 SPECIFICATION DEVELOPMENT

310

306

308

Once the decision to build a tank was made, the 311 specification of the facility had to be developed 312 quickly in order to proceed within the campus 313 redevelopment schedule. It was therefore decided to 314 proceed iteratively. The main dimensions of the 315 towing tank (and thus the building) were quickly 316 agreed in 2012. In order to match the business case 317 (2.4), it was decided to go for the longest possible tank 318 on the campus, i.e. 138 m long, 6 m wide and 3.5 m 319 deep. A gas main and a protected tree constrained the length and the cost of additional excavation limited 321 the depth. This makes the Boldrewood towing tank by 322 323 far the largest University towing tank in the UK and the second longest existing towing tank in the UK. It 324 was also decided to aim for a maximum carriage 325 speed of 12 m/s, in order to accommodate a wide 326 range of experiments. The shape, cross-section and 327 the final building design were very much driven by 328 the towing tank dimensions, with the other spaces 329 being designed around the facility. The building is 330 supported by 340 steel piles of 400 mm diameter, 331 reaching a depth of 21 m in sandy clay. The 332 requirement to specify the level of the tank base, how 333 parallel and flat the walls was constrained by the 334 capability of the University's building contractor. A 335 typical tolerance of 10 mm was agreed over the length 336 of the tank. 337 338

339 3.2 PROCUREMENT



341 Engineering design consultancy FNC was appointed to support the academic staff and WUMTIA 342 engineers in creating the design brief and technical 343 staff. The rapid pace of development of the building 344 constrained the effort that could be spent on the 345 dimensions and shape of the carriage walls. However, 346 the use of the consultants did allow an initial safety 347 case to be developed that was used to inform the 348 whole process and allowed safe operation to be at the 349 heart of design alongside ensuring access would be 350 possible for all. 351

352

362

It was identified early on that a non-standard carriage 353 drive system would be required to achieve the high 354 speed due to site length constraints. It was decided 355 that a solution evolved from the Isle of Wight GKN 356 357 tank using cable drive rather than carriage mounted motors would be suitable. This has the beneficial 358 effect of reducing carriage mass as well as allowing 359 accelerations much greater than the 0.1g of 360 conventional friction contact of steel wheel on rail. 361



Procurement regulations required a tender process for 364 the carriage, its drive system, and the side beaches 365 whereas the rail installation, wave maker installation 366 were within the remit of the main building contractor 367 Wates. A competitive tender process in 2014 resulted 368 in the award of the construction to Penman Ltd. of the 369 carriage and side beaches to the design specification 370 of FNC. 371

373 3.3 BUILD DELAYS

374

372

363

375 Delays often associated with the concrete construction and poor weather resulted in a six month 376 delay to the handover of the building to spring 2015. 377 One short section of the South wall had to be re-378 engineered to achieve necessary tolerance of 379 verticality. Overall the smoothness of the concrete 380 walls and section profile was achieved. The exposure 381 of the tank to the environment before the roof was 382 completed meant that a layer of dirt was deposited 383 within the tank which caused water quality problems 384 later on.

385 386

387 3.4 FIT OUT

388

In parallel of the build, the remaining equipment was
specified and selected internally with the support of
FNC. This included discussions about the carriage
concept design, the choice of wavemaker and the side
beaches and end beach designs.

The carriage was delivered in June 2015, at the same time the tank was filled with water (4.2).

396

When the time came to procure the carriage drive
system in 2015, Penman was selected as the main
contractor, with another Scottish company ACE
Winches supplying the winches.

401

403

402 3.6 SUPPLIER BANKRUPTCY

In the Autumn 2016, Penman was declared bankrupt
and went into liquidation. At that time, the work on
the drive system was well underway for a planned
delivery in the summer 2017. The winches had
already been manufactured by ACE Winches.

409

A first iteration of the side beach had also been
installed in the tank back in 2015, but the wall
building tolerances, the size of the wall recess and the
choice of too heavy materials were causing major
issues. A major redesign of the beaches had already
been started by Penman.

416

417 3.7 RECOVERY AND COMPLETION

418

419 Soon after Penman's bankruptcy, discussions started

420 with ACE Winches with the intention of appointing

421 them as the new prime contractor for the carriage

422 drive system, with English company Iconsys in 423 charge of the control system.

424

425 Delays in the tendering and legal processes meant that the contract was only awarded to ACE in May 2018, 426 with a change in winches position from being 427 428 mounted on the vertical tank East and West walls to being located on the roof. ACE proposed this 429 improved technical solution for the winches position 430 and also suggested to add motors so the winches could 431 move transversally whilst pulling the carriage, 432 allowing the cable to stay in line with the tank 433 longitudinal axis and therefore increasing the tank 434 usable length. After internal discussions with regard 435 to potential planning permission challenges, the roof 436 solution was discounted by the University in the 437 438 Autumn 2018. A revised solution was proposed by 439 ACE: two winch houses would be built at each end of 440 the building. A planning application submitted in December 2018 was refused in April 2019. After 441 consultations, a permitted development route was 442 however granted in August. The winch house 443 erection started in the Autumn 2019, at the same time 444 as the six kilometres of electric and data cables and 445 the drive cabinets were installed. The winches were 446 447 delivered in January 2020 and the roofs installed shortly thereafter (Figure 3). 448 449



451

452

Figure 3: East winch delivery

Soon after the first carriage movement on 18th March 453 454 2020 the UK went into COVID-19 lockdown and the project was halted. Due to key contractor staff 455 availability, it was only in September 2020 that the 456 project team was able to return to site. The project was 457 458 again halted in January 2021 during the second 459 lockdown and then resumed in the summer of 2021. Work on the tuning of the control system then proved 460 more difficult than anticipated. There were also some 461 delays due to technical issues with the crash-stop 462 brakes and the design of the cable link with the 463 carriage. Once these were resolved, the drive system 464 was finally handed over to the University on 1st 465 February 2022. This was almost 10 years since the 466 team at Southampton started with a blank sheet of 467 paper for B185. Cumulative delays with construction, 468

procurement, bankruptcy, a global pandemic and
tuning amounted to 55 months and with a further
technical delay of 5 months out of that 10 years.

472

484

486

A Dorset based company Scale Engineering was in 473 parallel contracted to take over the side beach 474 redesign and completion, as they had already worked 475 in the preliminary specification phase of the system 476 pre-bidding. Using the Penman work on the system 477 redesign as a basis, Scale was able to prove that 478 concept by installing a prototype on a perfect dummy 479 wall section and then a near-final batch of beaches in 480 the tank. They were then contracted to supply the rest 481 of the system in 2019 and the whole installation was 482 completed in February 2020. 483

485 4 FINAL DESIGN AND CAPABILITIES

487 4.1 RAILS

When the GKN towing tank on the Isle of Wight 488 closed in 2008, the University was able to salvage the 489 carriage bogeys, the rails and the soleplates. Although 490 the bogeys could not be re-used and the rails had been 491 cut in random places, 440 soleplates were refurbished 492 493 and fitted in the Boldrewood tank. They allow for 494 horizontal, vertical and roll adjustment of the rails. The new rails were delivered in sections and welded 495 in situ (Figure 4) in January 2015. 496 497



498 499 500

505

Figure 4: Rail welding

The rail alignment in a towing tank is a very important
process and must be carefully thought through.
Misaligned rails can lead to a bumpy ride and noisy
measurements.

A review of existing literature (Du Cane, 1964) and
the excellent work at AMC (Sprent and MacFarlane,
2007) showed the following:

- The concrete should be allowed to settle in once
 the tank is filled with water. The AMC tank staff
 measured deflections of up to 1.2 mm in the rail
 alignment within 12 months of filling the tank;
- The use of a laser or telescope is not
 recommended due to the temperature and
 humidity gradients in the air;

- The rails must be aligned vertically to follow the curvature of the earth (in Southampton, the Sagitta for an east to west orientated tank of 138 m is about 0.6 mm), horizontally and in roll;
- 520 The top faces of the rails must be level to within 521 ± 0.1 mm over their length.

The rail alignment process was started in January 2016, or eight months after the first tank filling. This was assumed to give enough time for the concrete to settle.

The following methods were used, as recommendedby the literature review and refined in house (Figure5):

- For top surface roll adjustment, a master spirit
 level with a precision of 0.02 mm/m was used;
- For the longitudinal alignment, a 150 m long and 531 0.3 mm diameter Dyneema® fishing line was 532 attached to one bespoke winch at each rail end. 533 This line has a breaking point of about 25 kg and 534 very little stretching: it could then be pulled very 535 536 tight and reduce the catenary effectively. A 537 microscope was mounted on the rail to look down 538 at the line and perform the adjustment with high precision (A and B on Figure 5); 539
- For the vertical alignment, the tank walls had 540 been designed with a continuous small trough 541 along the tank walls in the concrete. This trough 542 was filled with water (a surprising 600 L). Two 543 steel pins were precisely machined and fitted on 544 a sliding bracket on top of the rail. A datum was 545 546 taken at one end of the tank to adjust the vertical 547 drop of the pins so their pointy end just broke the 548 surface tension of the water (C and D on Figure 549 5). The bracket was then moved along the tank to the desired alignment location. Each pin was 550 checked and readjusted to the datum on a regular 551 basis due to the water level changing slightly 552 with evaporation. 553

555 These methods, relying on the human vision,

- allowed the rail alignment to be performed by one
- 557 single person. The towing tank technician David
- 558 Turner took about three weeks per rail to finish the
- alignment process. The Boldrewood towing tank
- only has a walkway on its south side, so a floating
- 561 pontoon had to be used for the north rail alignment,
- 562 which made it even more challenging



Figure 5: Rail alignment techniques

565

554

The vertical alignment of the south rail was checked 566 in 20 spots along the tank length in June 2016. This 567 showed that the concrete did not move during that 568 period. Periodic spot checks were performed every 569 year on the south rail before 2023 and showed no 570 571 measurable movement.

572

After the carriage was commissioned in 2022, it was 573 always the intention to perform an accurate vertical 574 alignment check using two ultrasonic wave probes 575 (one on each side of the carriage). Due to the long 576 experiments waiting list, this was only performed in 577 April 2023 (Malas, 2023). 578

579





Results (Figure 6) show that: 583

- The south rail is almost perfectly aligned in the 584 . central section of the tank. Both the east and west 585 ends show some more variations which are 586 587 believed to be the consequence of the presence of surface rust, which is itself caused by the 588 589 proximity of the ventilation louvers and the less efficient action of the carriage rail brushes at each 590 end of the tank; 591
- The north rail's vertical alignment is less 592 satisfactory, with more variations in relative 593 height (from -1.0 mm to 0.5 mm in the central 594 section). It is believed that this is the direct 595 consequence of having had to perform the 596 alignment from a floating pontoon 597
- 598 On average, the rails' vertical alignment is good, . especially in the central section where the steady 599 state measurements are performed (±0.5 mm).. 600 601

Potential actions will be discussed in the near future 602 and could include: 603

- Implement an automatic correction for the 604 605 sinkage measurements as a function of carriage position (as done in the SSPA towing tank in 606 Sweden); 607
- Perform a new alignment of the North rail using 608 609 a bespoke platform mounted on the rolling bridge (and therefore not floating); 610
- Repeat these measurements to monitor potential 611 . concrete movement and seasonal effect on the 612 rails' vertical alignment. 613
- 614

4.2 WATER AND FILTRATION 615

- 616 617 The tank room has been designed without any windows to avoid direct sunlight on the water. This 618 has been known to cause issues in some tanks due to 619 re-circulating currents, thermocline or algae growth 62.0 that can affect the quality and repeatability of the 621 results over the seasons. The towing tank was filled in 622 June 2015 with about 2900 m³ of freshwater. As the 623 Boldrewood campus is located close to the highest 624 point in Southampton, there was a concern about a 625 626 possible drop in the water pressure in the mains, so the water was delivered by 120 tankers over the 627 course of about three weeks. 628
 - 629

The installed filtration system had been incorrectly 630 631 specified and could not cope with such a large volume of water. Towards the Autumn 2015, a layer of white 632 slime had developed on the surface of the water. A 633 water analysis revealed that this consisted in high 634 levels of unidentified bacteria, but not of a dangerous 635 636 type for humans (results came back negative for E. coli, coliforms and Pseudomona aeruginosa). 637

638

639 A visit in the ECN tank in France – which is very 640 close in size - showed that the installed pump and filters were too small. It was therefore decided to 641 perform a manual shock treatment with Sodium 642 Hypochlorite (or chlorine) to stop the growth and kill 643 the bacteria, to overhaul the filtration system 644 including the addition of a chlorine injection system 645 646 in order to prevent this growth happening again

647

By the end of 2016, the new filtration system was 648 operational. A new bigger pump (designed to run at a 649 flow rate of about 35 m³/h), two large sand filters, a 650 large UV lamp and the chlorine injection pump were 651 installed. The pipes diameter was increased from 50 652 mm to 100 mm. The new system runs every night 653 from 6pm to 5am in order to avoid recirculation 654 currents in the tank during experiments. It treats the 655 whole volume of water in approximately a week. 657 Chlorine levels are monitored weekly and are kept 658 around 1 ppm. In addition, the tank bottom is cleaned a couple of times a year using a swimming pool robot. 659 Since the new filtration system was installed, there 660 has been no issue with the tank water quality. 661

663 4.3 CARRIAGE

664

662

4.3 (a) Carriage design 665

Several options were considered for the carriage 666 design: a conventional carriage with either on-board 667 or out-board propulsion or a dual carriage (main 668 manned carriage for lower speeds and unmanned high 669 speed secondary carriage). In order to reduce 670 complexity and to achieve the target speed in the 671 relative short length of the tank, the conventional 672 carriage with a dual cable out-board propulsion 673

674 system was chosen. The carriage design was refined in collaboration with FNC and consisted in an innovative lightweight aluminium ring design, which had to be delivered in four sections due to the building configuration (Figures 7 and 8). The ring structure brings structural stiffness without having to have additional beams like in many other tanks.



683 684 685

682

Figure 7: Development of the carriage design



686 687 688

692

703

710

Figure 8. Delivery of a carriage section

The sections were then assembled together usingeight large threaded rods (Figure 9). This wasperformed in June 2015.

The carriage was designed with ease of work in mind. 693 It provides a very open layout, so installing and 694 securing equipment around and under the moonpool 695 is easily done. As the tank is too short to be fitted with 696 a dock, a lifting moonpool platform allows good 697 access to the model. There is a large 2 t overhead 698 crane at the East end of the tank allowing the transfer 699 of models from the workshop to the water or 700 equipment on the carriage and a smaller 500 kg hoist 701 on-board. 702

Underneath the carriage are permanently installed
two video cameras, two DSLR cameras and two
spotlights. These provide the driver with a live video
feed from under the carriage and users with the
possibility of taking high definition photos or videos
of the experiments.

The carriage is fitted with four two-wheels bogeys, each of them being equipped with four plastic horizontal wheels to prevent any lateral movement. Each corner of the carriage is fitted with a rail brushand the south bogeys (on the walkway side) are alsofitted with guards.

716 fitted

719

720

737

750

757



Figure 9: Completed carriage

721 4.3 (b) Drive system and winches

The drive system consists in a dual cable tow system. 722 The two cables wound/unwound from a winch drum 723 at each end of the tank allows a differential tension to 724 be applied that can give greater control of speed. 725 Although continuous cable driven carriages have 72.6 been operated in the past, it is believed that the 727 Boldrewood carriage is the first one with a dual cable 728 propulsion system. 72.9 730

The carriage can be controlled from either on-board
the carriage or remotely from the East end dock. This
allows remote or autonomous operations if deemed
necessary. In addition, it is also possible to control the
carriage from inside the winch houses (using the
WAB) during maintenance operations.

738 The two winches are electric and can pull up to 3.6 t thanks to the two 315 kW main motors. As described 739 in 3.7, they are fitted with traverse motors and slide 740 sideways so they always pull parallel to the tank 741 longitudinal axis. The winches are fitted with disc 742 brakes operated by an air compressor in each winch 743 house. Each winch sits lower than the carriage link 744 which is located at the top of the structure. As a 745 consequence, the winches are pulling vertically as the 746 cable is going through a 90° sheave. This allows a 747 748 load pin to be fitted on each sheave to monitor rope tensions. 749

The cables consist in two 150 m long and 14 mm
diameter Dyneema© synthetic ropes. Dyneema© was
chosen as it is stronger, lighter and stretches less than
steel for a same diameter. These ropes can sustain 18
tonnes tension before breaking, which provides a
safety factor of 4.5.

The cables are connected to the carriage via two weak links or breakaway connectors. These consist in one

760 male part and one female part held together by a brass

761 pin designed to break at 4 t tension and free the link,

762 to avoid damaging the carriage in case of overload.

The carriage position is measured at all times by two
on-board high-speed lasers and another one located at
the west end of the tank room. The carriage speed and
position signals are provided to the carriage
acquisition system.

768

The drive system is designed to allow the carriage to be operated both ways, towards and away from the wavemaker. This allows following seas experiments in addition to the typical head seas tests. In order to be as flexible and efficient as possible, up to four incrementing speeds can be programmed for a given run.

The input parameters of each run are saved in adatabase and can be consulted or recalled at any time.

780 **4.3 (c) Control**

781 The carriage drive system is controlled by the bespoke

782 control and safety softwares through a suite of high-

783 end Siemens[©] drives (Siemens[©] Sinamics S120

784 chassis modules).785

Typical high-performance drive systems rely on a 786 787 stiff mechanical system between the motor and the 788 load. This ensures that accurate dynamic positioning of the motor shaft results in equivalent accurate 789 positioning of the load. Traditionally, the design of 790 the mechanical system between the motor and the 791 load results in sufficiently high torsional stiffness and 792 sufficiently low backlash or other 'lost motion' that 793 794 the 'motor shaft to load' position error under dynamic 795 conditions (acceleration and deceleration) is negligible. 796

797

To achieve this scenario with the Boldrewood tank 798 and carriage would require that the transmission 799 system, motor and drive system are mounted on the 800 carriage. This increases the space required (which is 801 not available) and the mass to be transported by the 802 carriage. This creates a self-inflicted problem. The 803 carriage mass increases, so a bigger drive system is 804 805 required, so the mass increases, so a bigger drive 806 system is required, etc. This is particularly the case where reasonably high accelerations are required to 807 achieve the high target speed in a limited length, as 808 increasing acceleration requires increasing torque 809 810 which requires larger hardware.

811

Moving the drive system away from the carriage removes this problem but creates a new one. Using two winches, one at either end of the tank in an 'unwind/rewind' configuration, allows the carriage to be pulled in either direction, with the other winch 'holding back' the carriage during deceleration.

818

This creates a transmission system that differs significantly from a traditional mechanical system:

The rope stretches based on the tension applied
 to it. This changes during acceleration and
 deceleration;

- The working length (paid off the drum) of both
 ropes changes as the carriage travels from one
 end of the tank to the other.
- 827

837

These two factors create a non-linear relationship 828 between the motor and the load, that must be solved 829 830 continuously in the control software. The solution 831 implemented in the control software achieves performance similar to a mechanically stiff system, 832 using software techniques to overcome the drawbacks 833 associated with the winch and rope solution, whilst 834 still achieving the weight and space saving of an off-835 836 carriage drive system.

The control system runs with a fixed 1 ms cycle time, 838 synchronised across all hardware in the loop (the 839 drive system itself, as well as the encoders and 840 841 analogue inputs located in each of the winch houses). The current controller in each drive runs at 250 µs. 842 Every millisecond, the carriage position and speed are 843 read from the laser located at the West end of the tank, 844 along with the position and speed of each winch drum 845 846 and the tension in each rope. The control system directly controls the position of the two drums, with 847 individual instructions of the order of tenth of 848 millimetres, to adjust for the expected rope stretch 849 850 based on the known characteristics of the system, as well as the actual rope stretch, as observed by the load 851 pins. 852

853

860

The system is highly tuned, to the extent that it accounts for the manufacturing tolerances of the winch drums. The two circumferences (3213 mm and 3201 mm) differ by 0.37%, which is enough to create a disturbance that must be accounted for within the software.

861 4.3 (d) Carriage stopping

There are three stopping processes implemented in the tank drive system:

- The normal stop is performed by the forward 864 . winch stopping the pull and the aft winch slowing 865 down the carriage by reducing its rotation speed 866 and therefore increasing the tension in the aft 867 cable. This braking is designed to stay within the 868 same mode of operation as the run being 869 performed. This is performed automatically by 870 the drive system at the end of the run, with the 871 stopping point being calculated to maximise the 872 usable length in the tank. It can also be triggered 873 874 by the driver or the emergency stops present in various places around the facility (4.8); 875
- Should the normal stop fail to be activated within
 the pre-calculated limits in the tank, a back-up
 stop process is automatically triggered by the

system. The back-up stop does not use the 879 880 carriage motion controller, but rather is controlled through safety-rated software and 881 hardware. This stop has therefore higher integrity 882 and robustness. The downside is that the ramp 883 down stop is just a simple ramp. There is no 884 tension control of the other rope, so it is likely 885 that more of a reset is required to get back up 886 running afterwards. In terms of deceleration, it is 887 set to stay within the same mode as the performed 888 run; 889

The last stop is called the crash-stop. As 890 suggested by the name, this is what prevents the 891 carriage crashing into the end wall and/or the 892 wavemaker should the first two stop not happen 893 or one of the rope snaps. This process is 894 completely independent from the winches and 895 consists in eight brake pads located next to the 896 East carriage bogeys. The pads are activated by a 897 pneumatic/spring device, with a dedicated 898 compressor on-board the carriage. When the 899 crash-stop is activated, the winches are left free-900 coasting for a few seconds before they are 901 stopped by the disc brakes, dumping the ropes in 902 the water and avoiding any high tensions in the 903 system. 904 905

906 4.4 WAVEMAKER AND END BEACH

908 The HR Wallingford deep water hinged paddle 909 wavemaker was installed at the west end of the tank in the Spring 2015 (Figure 10). It consists of 12 910 independent paddles and can also generate oblique 911 waves, which is not a conventional feature for a 912 913 towing tank wavemaker but allows static experiments to be performed at varying wave angles using the 914 underwater platform (4.7). 915 916

917 918 919

907

Figure 10: View of the wavemaker after installation

A parabolic end beach (Figure 11) was also designed 920 in house and installed in the Spring 2015 at the east 921 end of the tank to dissipate the energy of the waves 922 and cancel reflections. This beach works well for low 923 amplitude wave <0.1 m but less effective beyond that 924 although combined with the side beach the wave 925 energy can typically be dissipated in less than 10 926 minutes. 927



Figure 11: View of the East end static beach duringtank filling

932 4.5 SIDE BEACH

931

933

954

964

966

934 In addition to the end beach, and in order to increase the facility productivity, the tank is fitted with an 935 automatic side beach system on the South wall. This 936 is in essence a replica of the GKN tank system, which 937 was considered to be the most efficient solution. The 938 wall is fitted with twelve batches of five 2 m wide 939 beaches each (Figure 12). Each batch has a dedicated 940 941 control box and linear actuator and the whole system is synchronised. The side beach panels deploy 100° 942 from the vertical and about 20% of the panels (about 943 90 mm) is immersed. 944 945

946 Unlike floating swimming lanes that can take a long 947 time to be deployed or removed and cannot be 948 deployed between runs, the side beach deployment is activated by pressing a button on the carriage drive 949 station or in the walkway. They are left deployed 950 951 during calm water runs and can be deployed between seakeeping runs to reduce the waiting time and 952 increase the facility productivity. 953

It should be noted that there is a gap between the 955 bottom of the beach panels and the side walls. This 956 gap being above the calm water level, causes small 957 short transverse waves to be created in the tank when 958 the wavemaker generated waves get large enough to 959 reach it. Although this issue is more visual than 960 anything else, a folding rubber flap that covers the gap 961 has been designed in-house and is currently being 962 installed. 963

965 4.6 DYNAMOMETRY AND ACQUISITION

967 4.6 (a) Carriage dynamometry and acquisition

The carriage dynamometer was specified and designed in-house by WUMTIA. It consists in a one to three post flexible cage system that can be fitted with three different sets of flexure plates:

- 972 Drag and side force range: 0 150, 500, 1000 N;
- 973 Vertical force: not measured;
- Roll moment range: 0 30 Nm;
- 975 Yaw moment range: 0 40 Nm;

- 976 Pitch moment: not measured;
- Pitch range: ±18 degrees;
- 978 Roll range: ± 45 degrees;
- 979 Pitch: free;
- 980 Roll: free or adjustable fixed;
- 981 Yaw: adjustable fixed;
- 982 Heave: free;
- 983 Surge and Sway: fixed.

984



Figure 12: View of the deployed side beaches

985

- An acquisition software was also specified internally,
 based on past experience and WUMTIA existing
 programme LASSO. The software was then
 developed in LabVIEW by SSDC, a local Hampshire
 based company. It includes an automated backup
 feature on a University server, allowing users to
 download their experiments data remotely.
- 993
- 994 4.6 (b) Motion capture

The University purchased two Qualisys motion 995 capture systems for the towing tank in 2016: one for 996 above water measurements and one for under water 997 measurements. The two systems can also be coupled 998 for hybrid measurements. Using reflective markers, 999 the measurements can precisely track single point 1000 trajectories in space or six degrees of freedom for 1001 rigid bodies. This technology is versatile and has 1002 allowed the university to develop new experimental 1003 methods and setups used for various education, 1004 research and commercial projects in the facility 1005 (Malas et al., 2019) and also in swimming pools. 1006

1007

1008 4.6 (c) Wave probes

1009 A set of four General Acoustics ultrasonic wave 1010 probes and their dedicated acquisition box were 1011 purchased in 2015. The probes can be individually 1012 connected to up to four channels, or be combined in 1013 groups of two or three per channel in case of steep 1014 waves or high speed, which can sometimes be a 1015 challenge for single ultrasonic probes. Each channel 1016 can then be connected to the carriage acquisition 1017 software.

1019 4.6 (d) PIV

1018

1039

1049

1020 A LaVision stereo underwater PIV system was 1021 purchased in 2015. This system consists in two 1022 cameras housed in a torpedo pointing at a middle laser 1023 sheet. In order to seed the tank with the necessary 1024 plastic particles, a dedicated system was designed and 1025 built, based on TU Delft's past experience:

- Particles are pre-mixed with water pumped from the tank in a container;
- That premix is then "jet mixed" with more tank
 water just before reaching a manifold;
- A lifting rake is used to seed the tank when
 returning to the Home position.

The PIV and seeding systems were proof-tested at low
speeds by manually pushing the carriage due to the
tank delays (Lidtke and Banks, 2018).

1037 The system can also be used for underwater DIC1038 measurements (Araujo Bento de Faria, 2019).

- 1040 4.6 (e) Environmental monitoring
- 1041 The following sensors were installed in the tank:
- 6 water temperature probes distributed along the tank length (20 m, 70 m and 120 m from the wavemaker) and at two different depths (0.5 m and 3.0 m);
- 1046 2 air temperature and air humidity probes;
- 1047 1 air pressure probe in the middle of the tank;
- 1048 1 water depth probe by the wavemaker.

Using a dedicated LabVIEW software developed by
SSDC, these sensors record constantly, and since
2019, the data is stored in an online database
accessible through an internal webpage.



1057

1058 Over the course of a year, and thanks to the thick 1059 windowless concrete walls, the largest observed 1060 amplitude of the average water temperature in the 1061 tank is about 6° C (in 2021, Figure 13), which is 1062 minimal. For a two weeks experiment, the

temperature variation is always less than 0.5 °C when 1063 other facilities are known to see variations of several 1064 degrees over the course of a single day. The 1065 measurements also show that the tank water 1066 temperature is pretty much homogeneous, with the 1067 water temperature being on average 0.2% higher at 1068 3.0 m depth than at 0.5 m depth and the average 1069 instant measurement amplitude between all sensors 1070 being 0.2°C. 1071

1073 4.7 ROLLING BRIDGE AND UNDERWATER1074 PLATFORM

1076 A small secondary carriage (Figure 14) was designed 1077 and manufactured in-house in 2016. This rolling 1078 bridge is 6 m long and 2 m wide with a 500 kg central 1079 electric lifting platform that provides good access to 1080 the water surface. The bridge is moved along the tank 1081 manually and is used to launch models or mount 1082 equipment, mostly for static experiments.

In addition, an underwater 500 kg hydraulic platform 1084 was purchased from Blackfish Engineering and 1085 installed in June 2018. This lifts all the way above the 1086 1087 water surface and is used for mooring experiments. 56 prepositioned M10 threaded holes are available on the 1088 platform top table to setup the mooring lines. The 1089 platform is located 10 m away from the wavemaker 1090 (Figure 14), allowing for high quality wave, an 1091 optimised experimental time and reduced reflections. 1092 1093



1095 1096 1097 1098 1099 1100 1101

1094

1072

1075

1083

Figure 14: View of the rolling bridge (in yellow) and underwater platform (in gray)

98 4.8 SAFE OPERATIONS

The philosophy of the carriage operations was based on staff experience and past research about the effects of acceleration or deceleration on people in transport 1102 (Abernethy et al., 1977), (Martin and Litwhiler, 2008) 1103 1104 and (Powell and Palacin, 2015). Based on these, the maximum acceleration and deceleration in normal 1105 operations were set to 0.25.g at the targeted maximum 1106 carriage speed of 12 m/s. This gives a maximum 1107 acceleration factor of 2.08% and therefore a 1108 maximum acceleration A for each target speed V: 1109

1111
$$A =$$

1112

1110

$$A = 2.08\% \times V \times g \ (1)$$

1113 This factor can be reduced by the carriage driver as 1114 deemed necessary, for example when testing heavy 1115 and slow models and to avoid unwanted inertia effects 1116 in the dynamometry (especially in the transition 1117 between the acceleration phase and the constant speed 1118 plateau phase).

1118 plateau phase).1119

1120 In addition, three modes of operation were introduced 1121 (Table 2).

1122

 1123
 Table 1: Modes of operation

| Speed m/s | Mode - | Acc. m/s^2 | Acc. | Max. no passengers | Restrictions |
|--------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1 | | 0.20 | 0.02 | 1 | D |
| 2 3 | Ι | 0.41 | 0.04 | 10 | It is recommended to hold a |
| 4 5 | | 0.82 1.02 | 0.08 0.10 | | safety rail. |
| 6 7 | | 1.23 | 0.13 | | Passengers to be seated |
| 8 | П | 1.63 | 0.17 | 4 | (in a seat or on the floor). |
| 9 >9 | Ш | 2.04 | 0.19 | | Passengers seated and belted. |

1124 1125

1145

1157

From past experience and accounts of people being 1126 hurt during carriage braking in other facilities, it was 1127 decided that an emergency stop in the Boldrewood 1128 towing tank would consist of a controlled stop only, 1129 i.e. a deceleration within the same range of operation 1130 as the performed run. Giving the possibility to 1131 passengers to crash-stop the carriage was deemed too 1132 1133 dangerous. The crash-stop can only be triggered 1134 automatically by the drive system in the following 1135 cases:

- The carriage reaches a distance too close to the end walls (in the unlikely event that both the normal stop and back-up stop failed);
- 1139 Rope snap;
- The carriage position data is lost (for this reason, the carriage lasers and reflection plate were covered with guards and warning signs preventing people from obstructing the laser beams).

1146 The facility is also fitted with some emergency stop 1147 buttons for the drive system:

- 1148 3 on the carriage;
- 1149 1 on the remote PLC;
- 1150 1 on the WAB;

1151 • 2 pull cords covering the whole length of the walkway.

1153 The emergency stops and crash-stop are all tested 1154 every six months. The crash-stop testing is done by 1155 using a voluntary triggering function implemented in 1156 the drive system (protected by password).

1158 There are also a number of interlocks in the facility to 1159 prevent a run to be started if:

- The rolling bridge is not parked at the west end;
- The underwater platform is not at the bottom of the tank;
- 1163 The carriage crane is not locked;
- The gates to the walkway are not closed.

1165 Due to the tank room configuration, access to the 1166 walkway during carriage operations has to be 1167 managed carefully – once in the walkway, there is no 1168 easy escape route. Access is therefore limited to a 1169 small number of experienced staff, who can escort 1170 visitors.

1172 5 COMMISSIONING AND VALIDATION

1174 5.1 WAVEMAKER AND END BEACH

1175

1171

1173

1176 The wavemaker was commissioned by HR 1177 Wallingford in the Summer 2015, using the first side 1178 beach design iteration to provide a straight wall (3.6). 1179 It is capable of generating regular and irregular waves 1180 with a maximum height of 0.70 m and a significant 1181 wave height of 0.37 m respectively (Figure 15).



1183 1184 1185

Figure 15: Wavemaker capability plots

The limits are defined by three parameters: thesteepness of the waves (to ensure they do not break),the stroke limit of the paddles and the tank freeboard.

1190 5.2 CARRIAGE PERFORMANCE

1191

1189

1192 **5.2 (a) Crash-stop**

1193 Unlike the normal and back-up stops which 1194 performances are easily and accurately predicted, the 1195 crash-stop process was extensively tested during the 1196 commissioning phase, in order to fully understand the 1197 behaviour of the brake pads and the pneumatic 1198 system.

1199

1200 The compressor operates in fail-safe mode, meaning 1201 that it is holding the pads away from the rails 1202 permanently by maintaining a constant air pressure in 1203 the system. Should the pressure drop for any reason, the brake is activated and prevents the carriage from
moving until the problem is resolved. The brake pads
have to be bedded in with one voluntary induced
crash-stop in each direction at about 2 m/s before they
achieve their expected performance (Figure 16).



Figure 16: Crash-stop braking

As an example of the crash-stop effectiveness, when
accounting for the reaction times of the system (about
200 ms), it takes about 1.1 m to stop the carriage at 2
m/s. The obtained deceleration was measured at about
0.35.g at the top speed.

The life of the brake pads is limited: at low speeds,
they can cope with four or five crash-stops, and at the
top speeds, they have to be changed after a single one.
In all cases, they are inspected after each crash-stop.

1224 5.2 (b) Maximum speed

1210

1211

1212

Towards the end of commissioning, and due to the 1225 delays and budget limits, the maximum achievable 1226 1227 carriage speed was reduced from 12 m/s to 10 m/s 1228 westbound. The number of experiments expected 1229 above 10 m/s was always minimal. Due to small physical differences and again a lack of available 1230 time, the eastbound maximum speed had to be 1231 1232 reduced to 8 m/s. These reductions have very little consequences with regard to the tank's capabilities. 1233 1234

1235 5.2 (c) Weak link

1236 The weak links are made of two heavy stainless steel 1237 parts. In the event of the pin breaking, the male part, 1238 connected to the rope, flies around the tank room. At first, this part was secured to the carriage using a 1239 secondary steel cable, but this caused a rope snap and 1240 1241 sheave breakage during commissioning, so this 1242 solution was abandoned. In order to understand the 1243 behaviour of the male part, progressive breaking tests 1244 of the safety pin were performed with a static carriage. A high speed camera was used to film the link (Figure 1245 17) and the distance travelled by the part along the 1246 tank X and Y axes was measured. The male part was 1247 1248 covered with a yacht fender cut in half in order to 1249 prevent damage to other equipment. The tests concluded that the part can travel up to about 1250 30 m along the tank, but stays roughly in the middle. 1251

For this reason, the safety procedures were modified and now prevent people from standing behind the carriage during operations (the area forward of the carriage was always forbidden). The walkway access procedure remained unaffected. 1257



1258 1259

Figure 17: Weak link release

1260

1261 5.3 (c) Carriage performance Speed tests in both directions were performed in 2022 1262 with the carriage manned by one person and no model 1263 in the water. The detailed results are provided in a 1264 dedicated report (Malas, 2022) and can be 12.65 summarised as follows: 1266

- 1267 Speed finding: The average measured speed is constantly below the target speed. The difference 12.68 decreases almost linearly to reach a maximum 12.69 between 10 and 15 mm/s at 8 m/s. For the 1270 westbound travel, the difference then decreases 1271 to 7 mm/s at 10 m/s. There is a discrepancy 1272 between both directions, with the eastbound 1273 speed finding being less precise than the 1274 westbound one. It was suggested during 1275 1276 commissioning that the source of the speed finding error and discrepancy could be some 1277 inaccuracies in the physical measurements of the 1278 winches circumferences. As a result and as 1279 recommended by ITTC, the speed used should 1280 always be that actually measured (ITTC, 2021); 1281
- Speed keeping: The amplitude of the noise is 1282 consistent between both directions of travel. It 1283 slowly increases from about 25 mm/s at low 1284 speeds to about 50 mm/s at 8m/s. For the 1285 westbound travel, it then increases sharply to 1286 about 150 mm/s at 10 m/s; 1287
- Overshoot: The overshoot time remains fairly 1288 constant at about 2 s for the whole speed range; 1289
- Usable time: The usable time is consistent 1290 between both directions of travel. It is about 10 s 1291 1292 at 6 m/s and goes down to just under 2 s at 10 m/s westbound. 1293
- 1294

Discussions suggest that the speed finding can be 1295 improved by either checking and correcting the actual 1296 winches circumferences or by implementing a gain 1297 1298 correction curve in the software. The noise of the speed keeping and the overshoot time could also 1299 potentially be reduced by further fine tuning of the 1300 1301 system.

1302

1309

1311

1303 Overall, the speed measured due to the accuracy of 1304 the laser position system comfortably meets the ITTC requirements across the whole range that 'the speed 1305 of the model should be measured to within 0.1% of the 1306 1307 maximum speed or to within 3 mm/s, whichever is the larger', ITTC(2017b). 1308

5.3 DYNAMOMETRY 1310

A set of validation experiments for calm water 1312 resistance was performed on a 4 m long KCS model 1313 in March 2022, shortly after the drive system was 1314 handed over (Figure 18). 1315

The runs showed good repeatability, within $\pm 1.0\%$ for 1316 the measured resistance. The results were compared 1317 1318 against the benchmark model test data adopted at the 1319 Tokyo 2015 CFD workshop (Hino et al., 2021). As the scale was different (31.5994 for the Tokyo model 1320 and 60.9547 for the Boldrewood one), the Tokyo 1321 results were rescaled using the ITTC 1957 method. 1322 Testing the same model would have been preferable, 1323 1324 but the Tokyo model is too large for the Boldrewood tank. 1325 1326



Figure 18: KCS validation experiments

Table 3: Results comparison of Boldrewood KCS 1330 with scaled data for Tokyo 2015 workshop

TOKVO 2015 Boldrowood

| | | rescaled | 2022 | |
|-------|-------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Vs | VM | R _{TM15} | R _{TM15} | Difference |
| knots | m/s | Ν | Ν | - |
| 10.0 | 0.659 | 2.492 | 2.491 | 0.0% |
| 14.0 | 0.922 | 4.648 | 4.729 | 1.7% |
| 18.0 | 1.186 | 7.310 | 7.441 | 1.8% |
| 21.0 | 1.384 | 9.877 | 10.029 | 1.5% |
| 24.0 | 1.581 | 13.615 | 13.625 | 0.1% |
| 26.0 | 1.713 | 18.909 | 18.738 | -0.9% |

1332 1333

1329

1331

When using the rescaled Tokyo results as reference, 1334 the difference varies from -0.9% or -0.17 N to 1.8% 1335 or 0.15 N (Table 3) These differences are very small 1336 and well acceptable. They can be explained by 1337 uncertainty induced by the rescaling process, small 1338 1339 differences in model making processes and therefore model shape and finish or different dynamometry 1340 arrangement and sensors. 1341

5.4 CASE STUDIES 1343

1344

1342

This section briefly presents some of the many 1345 projects that have been run in the Boldrewood towing 1346 1347 tank since 2015.

1348

5.4 (a) Freerunning full size kayak 1349

As part of the involvement of the University in the 1350

sports engineering domain, an MSc project focused 1351 on the performance of kayaks. A full size kayak 1352 (Figure 19) was taken into the tank, with both the hull 1353 and the paddle fitted with motion capture markers and 1354

- acquired as independent rigid bodies. This allowed to 1355
- investigate of the relationship between the paddle 1356
- position and kayak speed in waves (Suva, 2017). 1357

1358



1359 1360

1363

Figure 19: Free running kayak showing image of 1361 kayak and Qualisys motion capture tracks of kayak 1362 and paddle

5.4 (b) Freerunning IMOCA 1364

The above water motion capture system was used to 1365 track the behaviour and speed of a freerunning scale 1366 model of an IMOCA yacht (Figure 20) whilst surfing 1367 large waves (Gauvain, 2019). The motion capture 1368 1369 system was also used to measure the wave height with

purpose made floaters fitted with markers. 1370



1371 1372

Figure 20: Freerunning IMOCA model surfing

1373

5.4 (c) Floating wind turbine

- 1374 A model of a SPAR floating wind turbine was moored 1375 in the tank using the underwater platform (Figure 21). 1376 dynamic responses and mooring line 1377 The
- characteristics of the turbine in rogue waves were 1378
- then measured (Hayes, 2019). 1379



Figure 21: Effect of a rogue wave on a moored 1381 floating wind turbine model. A propeller is used to 1382 represent the wind turbine thrust. 1383

1384

5.4 (d) Tidal energy 1385

To investigate the effect of various winglets on the 1386 performance of a tidal turbine, a large model (Figure 1387 22) was towed at low speeds in the tank whilst 1388 1389 measuring its performance (Olvera-Trejo et al.).

1390 1391

Figure 22: Tidal turbine experiments

1393 1394

1392

1395

5.4 (e) PIV benchmark case

As part of the tank validation, a standard PIV 1396 1397 benchmark case (Muthanna et al., 2010) and (ITTC, 2017) was repeated in the facility in the spring 2023, 1398 using a flat plate and mounting system designed and 1399 manufactured in-house. 1400 1401



1403

Figure 23: PIV benchmark case results

Preliminary results (Figure 23) and (Gregory et al.,
2023) show a good correlation with other facilities
and will be the subject of a future publication.

1408 6 CONCLUSION

1407

1417

After ten years of efforts, the Boldrewood towing tank
is now fully functional. It is an impressive world class
facility that is loved by visitors and helps inspire the
next generations as well as giving current and future
students the physical insights their careers will need.
It also provides researchers and industry an excellent
tool to face the challenges of the coming decades.

1418 7 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

1419 1420 The whole process of creating a fully functioning tank has required the support and dedication of a large 1421 number of external organisations and staff within the 1422 University of Southampton. Particular mention 1423 should be made of the dedicated staff of ACE 1424 Winches (Michel Horn), Iconsys, Fraser Nash 1425 Consultants, Scale Engineering (Clive Evans), SSDC 1426 (Steve Watts), HR Wallingford (Ashley Cooper), 1427 1428 Blackfish Engineering, project managers Tom Prow 1429 and Graham Allerton, University staff Andy Claughton, Simon Cox, Barry Deakin, Barbara 1430 Halliday, Simon Mason, Rachel Mills, William 1431 Powrie, Martyn Prince, David Richards, James 1432 Sturgess, Sandy Wright, amongst many others and 1433 last but certainly not least David Turner who as tank 1434 1435 technician has ensured the whole system has been refined and fully functions. 1436

1437

1444

1446

Funding from the EPSRC NWTF purchased the PIV
and contributed to the carriage development costs.
Internal University funds provided the Qualisys
system. The remainder of the ~£6M fit out was funded
by the School of Engineering. The whole Building
185 construction cost was estimated to be £16M.

1445 **REFERENCES**

- 1447 1. ABERNETHY, C. N., PLANK, G. R. & SUSSMAN, E. O. (1977). *Effects of deceleration and rate of deceleration on live seated human subjects*. U.S. Department of Transport report no. UMTA-MA-06-0048-77-3.
- 1452 2. ARAUJO BENTO DE FARIA, H. (2019).
 1453 Measurement of underwater deformation on simplified propeller blades using digital image correlation. MEng Individual Project Thesis, University of Southampton.
- 1457 3. BAHAJ, A. S., MOLLAND, A. F., CHAPLIN, J.
 1458 R. & BATTEN, W. M. J. (2007). Power and thrust measurements of marine current turbines under various hydrodynamic flow conditions in a cavitation tunnel and a towing tank. Renewable
 1462 Energy, Issue 32(3), pp. 407-426.

- 1463
 1464
 1464
 1464
 1465
 1465
 1466
 1466
 1466
 1467
 1466
 1466
 1468
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460
 1460</l
- 1467 5. CARTWRIGHT, R. A., WILSON, P. A.,
 1468 MOLLAND, A. F. & TAUNTON, D. J. (2008).
 1469 A low wash design for a river patrol craft with
 1470 minimal environmental impact. Transactions of
 1471 The Royal Institution of Naval Architects Part A:
 1472 International Journal of Maritime Engineering,
 1473 Issue 150(A2), pp. 37-56.
- 1474 6. CLAUGHTON, A. (2015). Wide-light project final report. Sailing Yacht Research Foundation.
- 1476 7. DEAKIN, B. (2008). Decades of development -40 years history of the Wolfson Unit. Ship & Boat International, RINA.
- 1479 8. DU CANE, P. (1964). *High speed small craft*. 3rd
 1480 Edition. Temple Press Books, London.
- 1481 9. GAUVAIN, E. (2019). The un-restrained sailing yacht model tests - A new approach and technology appropriate to modern sailing yacht seakeeping. The 23rd Chesapeake sailing yacht symposium, Annapolis.
- 1486 10. GREGORY, M., BOWKER, J., KURT, M.,
 1487 BANKS, J. & TURNOCK, S.R. (2023),
 1488 Underwater PIV benchmark at the University of
 1489 Southampton Boldrewood Towing Tank, NWTF.
- 1490 11. HAYES, J. (2019). An experimental study of the global dynamic responses and mooring line characteristics of a spar-type floating wind turbine in rogue waves. MSc Thesis, University of Southampton.
- 1495 12. HAWKES, J., VAZ G., PHILLIPS, A.B., COX,
 1496 S.J. & TURNOCK, S.R. (2018). On the strong
 1497 scalability of maritime CFD, Journal of Marine
 1498 Science and Technology, Vol. 23, pp. 81-93.
- 1499
 13. HINO, T., STERN, F., LARSSON, L.,
 1500
 VISONNEAU, M., HIRATA, N. & KIM, J.
 1501
 (2020). Numerical Ship Hydrodynamics An
 1502
 assessment of the Tokyo 2015 workshop.
 1503
 Springer. ISBN 978-3-030-47571-0.
- 1504
 14. ITTC (2017). Benchmark for PIV(2C) and

 1505
 SPIV(3C) setups, ITTC Guideline 7.5–01-03-04.
- 1506 15. ITTC (2017b), High speed marine vehicles
 resistance test, ITTC Procedure 7.5-02-05-01
- 1508
 16. ITTC (2021). *Resistance Test*, ITTC Guideline
 1509
 7.5–02-01.
- 1510
 17. LARSSON, L., STERN, F. & VISONNEAU, M.
 1511
 (2014). Numerical Ship Hydrodynamics An
 1512
 assessment of the Gothenburg 2010 workshop.
 1513
 Springer. ISBN 978-94-007-7188-8.
- 1514
 18. LIDTKE, A. & BANKS, J. (2018). Underwater
 1515 Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV) and
 1516 dynamometry set up at the Boldrewood towing
 1517 tank using BRIDGES thruster. University of
 1518 Southampton.
- 1519 19. MALAS, B., BANKS, J., CAPPELLETTO, J. & THORNTON, B. (2019). *Applications of motion capture technology in a towing tank*. Advanced

- 1522ModelMeasurementTechnologyforThe1523Maritime Industry Conference, Rome.
- MALAS, B. (2022). Boldrewood towing tank
 carriage performance analysis. University of
 Southampton.
- 1527 21. MALAS, B. (2023), *Boldrewood towing tank rail* 1528 *alignment check*. University of Southampton.
- 1529 22. MARTIN, D. & LITWHILER, D. (2008). An investigation of acceleration and jerk profiles of public transportation vehicles. American Society for Engineering Education.
- (1996). 23. MOLLAND, Α. F. Resistance 1533 experiments on a systematic series of high speed 1534 catamaran forms : variation of length-1535 displacement ratio and breadth-draught ratio. 1536 Transactions of The Royal Institution of Naval 1537 1538 Architects, Issue 138, pp. 59-71.
- 1539 24. MOLLAND, A. F., WILSON, P.A., TAUNTON,
 1540 D.J., CHANDRAPRABHA, S. & GHANI, P.A
 1541 (2004). Resistance and wash measurements on a
 1542 series of high speed displacement monohull and
 1543 catamaran forms in shallow water. Transactions
 1544 of The Royal Institution of Naval Architects Part
- 1545A: International Journal of Maritime1546Engineering, Issue 146(2), pp. 19-38.
- 1547 25. MUTHANNA, C., DI FELICE, F., VERHULST,
 1548 M., DELFOS, R. & BORLETEAU, J-P. (2010).
 1549 PIV operation in hydrodynamic facilities, a flat
 1550 plate benchmark test comparing PIV systems at
 1551 different facilities. 15th International Symposium
 1552 on Applications of Laser Techniques to Fluid
 1553 Mechanics, Lisbon.
- 1554 26. OLVERA-TREJO, R., MYERS, L. E.,
 1555 BLUNDEN, L. & BAHAL, A. S. An
 1556 experimental study of the thrust and power
 1557 produced by a 1/20th scale turbine utilising blade
 1558 winglets. Manuscript submitted for publication.
- 1559 27. PONKRATOV, D. (2017). 2016 Workshop on ship scale hydrodynamic computer simulation.
 1561 Technical Investigation Department, Lloyd's 1562 Register.
- 28. POWELL, J. P. & PALACIN, R. (2015). *Passenger stability within moving railway vehicles: limits on maximum longitudinal acceleration.* Urban Rail Transit 1, pp. 95–103.
- 1567 29. SPRENT, A. & MACFARLANE, G. J (2007).
 1568 The alignment of the Australian Maritime
 1569 College towing tank. Spatial Science Institute
 1570 Biennial International Conference SSC2007,
 1571 Hobart, Tasmania.
- 1572 30. SUVA, F. (2017). *Measuring coupled kayak and*1573 *paddler motions within a towing tank*. MSc
 1574 Thesis, University of Southampton.