# 1 Title page

- 2 Controlled human infection in late pregnancy: a single-arm interventional pilot trial investigating
- 3 mother-to-infant transmission of Neisseria lactamica
- 4 Dr Anastasia A Theodosiou, MBBChir<sup>a,b</sup>
- 5 Prof Debby Bogaert, PhD<sup>c</sup>
- 6 Dr David W Cleary, PhD<sup>d</sup>
- 7 Dr Adam P Dale, PhD<sup>a,b</sup>
- 8 Dr Diane F Gbesemete, BM <sup>a,b</sup>
- 9 Mr Jonathan M Guy, MSc <sup>a</sup>
- 10 Dr Jay R Laver, PhD<sup>a, b</sup>
- 11 Ms Lucy Raud, BSc <sup>a</sup>
- 12 Joint senior author (contributed equally): Dr Christine E Jones, PhD<sup>a,b</sup> & Prof Robert C Read, MD<sup>a,b</sup>
- 13 a Clinical and Experimental Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

b NIHR Southampton Biomedical Research Centre, University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation
 Trust, Southampton, UK

- 16 c Centre for Inflammation Research, Institute for Regeneration and Repair, University of Edinburgh,
   17 Edinburgh, UK
- 18 d Institute of Microbiology and Infection, College of Medical and Dental Sciences, University of
- 19 Birmingham, Birmingham, UK
- 20 Correspondence to: Dr Anastasia A Theodosiou (at1u17@soton.ac.uk)
- 21 Clinical and Experimental Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton SO166YD, UK

## 1 Abstract (300 words)

## 2 Background

The infant respiratory microbiome is derived largely from its mother and is associated with downstream health and disease. Manipulating maternal respiratory flora peripartum to influence the infant microbiome has not previously been investigated. *Neisseria lactamica* (Nlac) is a harmless pharyngeal commensal that correlates inversely with *N. meningitidis* carriage and disease. Intranasal Nlac inoculation is a safe and well-characterised controlled human infection model (CHIM) in non-pregnant healthy adults. We hypothesised that Nlac inoculation in pregnancy induces mother-to-infant Nlac transmission postnatally.

## 10 Methods

Twenty-one healthy pregnant women were inoculated at 36-38 weeks' gestation with 10<sup>5</sup> colony-forming units Nlac Y92-1009 at University Hospital Southampton Clinical Research Facility, UK (NCT04784845, closed to participants). Nlac selective culture, genome sequencing and serology were performed on maternal and infant oral, nasopharyngeal, breastmilk and serum samples over 15 weeks postpartum. Seven women naturally-colonised with Nlac at baseline were followed-up, but not inoculated. Oral samples were obtained from 12 one- to five-year-old siblings. The primary endpoint was infant Nlac colonisation.

#### 18 Findings

Although 15/21 (71%) inoculated women became Nlac-colonised, no sustained Nlac Y92-1009 transmission to their infants was observed. Conversely, non-Y92-1009 Nlac strain-sharing was observed in 4/7 (57%) uninoculated mother-sibling pairs, and *M. catarrhalis* (Mcat) strainsharing in 9/24 (38%) mother-infant pairs completing the study. Anti-Nlac serum IgG titres increased in 7/8 (88%) Nlac Y92-1009-colonised women, but none of their infants (where paired sera were available). There were no serious adverse reactions to the inoculum.

## 1 Interpretation

This first-in-human trial demonstrates that CHIM in pregnancy is feasible, and that Nlac Y92-1009 can safely and efficiently colonise pregnant women. Lack of sustained mother-to-infant Nlac transmission, despite evidence supporting mother-to-infant Mcat and sibling-to-mother Nlac transmission, challenges conventional perceptions of infants as passive recipients of maternal microbes, suggesting that respiratory commensal transmission is selective and microbe-specific.

## 8 Funding

9 MRC (MR/V002015/1), NIHR Southampton Biomedical Research Centre.

## 1 Research in Context

#### 2 Evidence before this study

3 The infant upper respiratory tract (URT) microbiome is seeded by multiple maternal niches, 4 including maternal URT, skin, breastmilk, vagina, and gut, and is also influenced by presence of 5 co-habiting siblings. Recent advances in microbiome research have increasingly focussed on 6 strain-level (rather than species- or genus-level) community profiling, demonstrating that a 7 subset of maternal strains disproportionately shape the infant URT. Indeed, shared strains account for 95% of infant but only 7% of maternal oral microbes. The composition and 8 9 developmental trajectory of the infant URT microbiome is associated with downstream health and disease, including recurrent URT infections and asthma. The causal mechanisms (if any) 10 11 underlying these findings remain unclear, although may be partly mediated by competition 12 between URT healthy commensals and bacterial and viral potential pathogens. Although such 13 competitive relationships have been characterised using animal and in vitro models, infant URT 14 commensalisation, mother-to-infant URT microbiome transmission, and the role of the infant 15 URT microbiome in downstream health and disease have not hitherto been investigated in interventional trials. We searched Pubmed from inception to August 25<sup>th</sup> 2024, with no 16 17 language restriction, using the terms ("microbiome" OR "microbiota") AND ("respiratory" OR 18 "pharyngeal" OR "pharynx" OR "oral" OR "mouth" OR "nasal" OR "nose") AND ("mother" OR 19 "maternal") AND ("infant" OR "newborn") AND "transmission" AND "strain". Of the 12 results, 20 four were observational studies describing strain-level mother-to-infant URT microbiome 21 transmission, and one was the published protocol for the study reported in this paper.

Additionally, we searched Pubmed from inception to August 25<sup>th</sup> 2024, with no language 22 restriction, using the terms "Neisseria lactamica" AND "Neisseria meningitidis" AND 23 24 ("colonisation" OR "carriage"). This search yielded 55 results, of which 11 were observational 25 studies describing the inverse epidemiological relationship between pharyngeal Neisseria 26 lactamica (Nlac) and N. meningitidis (Nmen) colonisation, and three were interventional controlled human infection model (CHIM) studies. The latter three studies reported safety data 27 28 from over 400 healthy non-pregnant adults, and demonstrated that Nlac inoculation directly 29 reduces pharyngeal Nmen carriage in a serogroup-independent manner. The mechanisms underlying this effect are unclear but may involve competition for niche occupation, with
 evidence supporting induction of cross-reactive adaptive immunity.

## 3 Added value of this study

4 This study is, to our knowledge, the first ever perinatal respiratory CHIM, demonstrating this 5 approach can be ethically acceptable and practically feasible. Within the limits of a proof-of-6 concept study, the inoculation strain was safe and efficient at inducing maternal URT Nlac 7 colonisation, although this appears insufficient to induce infant Nlac colonisation. This study 8 also challenges past evidence reporting that Nlac carriage is uncommon in adults (10% of 9 women over 20 years old). Indeed, baseline carriage of naturally-acquired Nlac strains was 41% 10 (7/17) in uninoculated pregnant participants with one- to five-year-old children (compared to 0/14 in those without), on par with the epidemiological colonisation peak seen in young 11 12 children themselves. From this, we infer that Nlac is readily transmitted from children to their 13 mothers, despite apparent lack of mother-to-infant transmission.

## 14 Implications of all the available evidence

Our finding that inoculation-induced maternal Nlac colonisation is insufficient to cause motherto-infant transmission, despite evidence of mother-to-infant *Moraxella catarrhalis* (Mcat) transmission, suggests that infant commensalisation is a selective process. These results have implications for future CHIM trials, which may involve health-associated commensals already known to transmit naturally from mothers to infants.

## 1 Introduction

2 The infant upper respiratory tract (URT) is often colonised asymptomatically by pathobionts 3 (potential pathogens) that can precipitate pneumonia, meningitis and asthma, each of which 4 are common causes of morbidity and mortality in children aged under five.<sup>1</sup> Pathobiont 5 acquisition and symptomatic infection is influenced by the composition and developmental trajectory of the infant URT microbiome.<sup>2</sup> Disruption of the stable microbiome is associated 6 with mucosal inflammation and invasion by pathobionts and viruses,<sup>3</sup> although interventional 7 human studies providing causal evidence of this are limited.<sup>4</sup> The infant URT microbiome is 8 9 largely derived from maternal microbes, with seeding from maternal URT, breastmilk, skin, gut, and vagina.<sup>5</sup> 10

The possibility of favourably manipulating the maternal URT microbiome (and that of the infant by horizontal mother-to-infant transmission) has been raised, but not hitherto investigated.<sup>4</sup> An experimental model demonstrating that mother-to-infant URT microbiome transmission can be manipulated would then pave the way for testing health-associated URT commensals of infancy (such as *Dolosigranulum* and *Corynebacterium* species) or early childhood (such as *Neisseria lactamica*).

17 N. lactamica (Nlac) is an acapsulate pharyngeal commensal that colonises over 40% of oneand two-year-old children,<sup>6</sup> but is rarely isolated from the throats of adults and neonates.<sup>7</sup> 18 Epidemiologically, Nlac carriage correlates inversely with *N. meningitidis* (Nmen) colonisation<sup>8</sup> 19 20 and invasive meningococcal disease (IMD),<sup>7</sup> with mathematical modelling suggesting that protection conferred by Nlac persists over five years.<sup>9</sup> A controlled human infection model 21 (CHIM) of Nlac URT carriage is safe,<sup>10,11</sup> and the inoculation strain Y92-1009 is genetically stable 22 over 26 weeks of colonisation.<sup>12</sup> Inoculation-induced Nlac carriage reduces Nmen colonisation 23 24 (independent of serogroup) from 18% (11/61) to 8% (5/61),<sup>13</sup> an effect that persists for at least 25 26 weeks and is associated with expansion of cross-reactive B lymphocytes.<sup>14</sup>

We conducted a CHIM trial with Nlac strain Y92-1009 to test the hypothesis that nasal inoculation in late pregnancy induces mother-to-infant Nlac transmission with neonatal colonisation by fifteen weeks postnatally. Based on results of previous CHIM trials,<sup>15</sup> we predicted that mothers would become colonised with Nlac, but did not know whether Nlac would be transmitted to the infant. We anticipated that anti-Nlac and anti-Nmen serum IgG titres would increase in Nlac-colonised, but not uncolonised, women and infants. As Nlac colonisation is uncommon in adults and infants, whereas *Moraxella catarrhalis* (Mcat) is readily transmissible from mother to infant and is present in a third to 100% of infants older than one month,<sup>16</sup> we hypothesised additionally that naturally-occurring mother-to-infant Mcat transmission would be observed using the same culture methods, regardless of whether inoculation-induced infant Nlac Y92-1009 colonisation was seen.

Nlac Y92-1009 was felt to be a suitable agent for investigating safety and efficacy of CHIM in 8 9 pregnancy, as it is known to be safe and effective in non-pregnant adults. Demonstration of 10 safety in pregnant women was also felt to be a necessary prerequisite before direct neonatal 11 CHIM could be considered. Additionally, as the core aim was to investigate mother-to-infant 12 commensal transmission, the choice of a commensal that is uncommon in infants and adult 13 women was desirable, to probe experimentally-induced (as distinct from naturally-occurring) 14 transmission. Although Nlac appears to protect against Nmen carriage, this proof-of-concept 15 study did not aim to establish whether infant anti-meningococcal responses could be induced 16 by maternal Nlac inoculation.

# 17 Methods

## 18 Study design and participants

In this single-arm CHIM study, healthy pregnant women were inoculated intranasally with 10<sup>5</sup> 19 20 colony-forming units (CFU) Nlac. Participants were screened and enrolled at 34-37 weeks' 21 gestation (Visit 1) and inoculated at 36-38 weeks' gestation (Visit 2), after which mother-infant 22 pairs were followed-up at 0-24 hours (Visit 3), 7±3 days (Visit 4), 28±3 days (Visit 5) and 15±2 23 weeks (Visit 6) postpartum (Figure 1A). Telephone pre-screening was conducted to identify 24 potentially eligible participants, followed by screening and inoculation visits at University 25 Hospital Southampton NIHR Clinical Research Facility; most birth visits took place at the 26 Princess Alexandra Hospital Southampton and most follow-up visits at the participants' own 27 homes. Adult women with a healthy singleton pregnancy were eligible, while those with 28 immunosuppression or recent or planned use of antibiotics were excluded (full inclusion and

exclusion criteria and sample size rationale in Appendix 1 p2). Written informed consent was
 obtained from all participants at the point of enrolment.

The trial was approved by the London Central Research Ethics Committee (21/PR/0373), sponsored by the University of Southampton (ERGO 61640), registered on ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT04784845), and overseen by an independent safety committee. Additional study design details are in the abbreviated published protocol,<sup>10</sup> and in the full study protocol (https://eprints.soton.ac.uk/492361/).

#### 8 Procedures

#### 9 Participant sampling

10 Upper respiratory swabs were obtained (maternal oropharyngeal, nasopharyngeal and saliva 11 at Visits 1-6; infant nasopharyngeal and saliva at Visits 3-6), as well as optional breastmilk 12 (hand-expressed by participants into sterile specimen containers; Visits 3-6) and blood samples 13 (umbilical cord at Visit 3; infant venous at Visits 5 and 6; maternal venous at Visit 6).

14 Optional anonymous questionnaires (Visits 1 and 5) were used to investigate participant 15 motivations, concerns and perceptions, the results of which have been published.<sup>17</sup> 16 Participants were compensated up to £100 for their involvement in the study. Adverse events 17 and clinical data, including mode of delivery and infant feeding, and antimicrobial use, were 18 recorded throughout the study period. Household COVID19 infection (defined as a positive 19 lateral flow or laboratory test in any household member) was recorded, but no SARS-CoV2 20 testing was performed as part of the study. Participants already colonised with Nlac (non-Y92-1009) at baseline were not inoculated, but were followed up as for inoculated participants. 21

#### 22 Inoculum preparation

A dedicated batch of lyophilised (freeze-dried) Nlac (hereafter LyoNlac) was produced and stored under Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP)-like conditions at the University of Southampton (Appendix 2, p3), using frozen seed stocks of Nlac Y92-1009 (sequence type 3493, clonal complex 613) produced by the GMP facilities at UK Health Security Agency (Porton Down, Salisbury).<sup>14</sup> Immediately prior to use, each ampoule of LyoNlac was reconstituted in sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; Fisher Scientific), serially diluted to 10<sup>5</sup> CFU/mL, and delivered nasally using a sterile pipette. Inoculum purity and viability were confirmed during
 batch production, and again for each ampoule at the point of inoculation.

#### 3 Selective culture

4 Each flocked URT swab (Medical Wire) was transported in 1mL storage medium comprising 5 10% glycerol in 0.1% diethylpyrocarbonate (DEPC)-treated water (Invitrogen). After vortexing 6 participant samples for 30 seconds to produce suspensions, 200µL of each was spread over GC 7 agar supplemented with 40µg/mL 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (X-gal) 8 and incubated at 37°C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Nlac growth was confirmed by oxidase test, Gram stain, 9 microscopic morphology analysis and either matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionisation time of 10 flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) or analytical profile index, and colonisation density was calculated (CFU/mL).<sup>14</sup> These methods were also used to identify Mcat throughout the 11 study, which is readily culturable on GC agar.<sup>18</sup> Residual respiratory sample suspensions, 12 breastmilk and pure growth isolates (up to five for Nlac and one for Mcat per sample) were 13 14 appropriately prepared and cryopreserved at-80°C.

#### 15 Whole genome sequencing

DNA extraction and whole genome sequencing (WGS) were performed by MicrobesNG (Birmingham, UK)<sup>19</sup> on up to three Nlac isolates per sample for the first and final two samples that were culture-positive for each participant. Additionally, for any families where at least two individuals were colonised with Mcat, up to three isolates were sequenced per individual. Appendix 3 (p4) lists version numbers and digital online identifiers for all software and databases used in analysis.

22 In brief, DNA extracts were used to prepare amplicon libraries (Nextera XT Library Prep Kit, 23 Illumina; Microlab STAR automated liquid handling system, Hamilton), and sequencing was 24 performed on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 (Illumina). Resulting reads were trimmed 25 (Trimmomatic) and assembled (SPAdes). For uninoculated participants naturally-colonised with 26 non-Y92-1009 Nlac strains at baseline, a single isolate from each participant underwent additional long-read sequencing, with libraries prepared using Oxford Nanopore Technologies 27 28 (ONT) SQK-LSK109 kit with Native Barcoding EXP-NBD104/114, and sequencing on a GridION with FLO-MIN106 R·9·4·1 flow cell (ONT). Hybrid assembly was performed (Unicycler) and all 29

short-read and hybrid assemblies were annotated (Prokka), followed by quality assessment
 (QUAST). The 16S rRNA gene sequence was used to report species identity, based on the SILVA
 database.

4 Assemblies returned by MicrobesNG were aligned using Snippy, with reference genomes Nlac 5 Y92-1009 (BioProject accession PRJNA331097) and Mcat CCRI-195ME (PRJNA350869). Variant 6 distance was computed for each genome pair, using bcftools to intersect pairs of variant call 7 files and snp-dists to produce a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) distance matrix, with and without correction for putative recombinant sites using Gubbins. Genome pairs differing 8 9 by fewer than ten recombination-corrected SNPs were classed as the same strain, compared 10 with possible strain-sharing for ten to 100 SNPs, and distinct strains for 100 or more SNPs; 11 consensus guidance regarding SNP distance thresholds is lacking, with most literature focussing on pathogens in outbreaks rather than sustained commensal colonisation.<sup>20</sup> Phylogenetic trees 12 13 were generated with RAxML-NG, specifying a general time reversible model with gamma-14 distributed rate heterogeneity set to ten parsimony and ten random starting trees, using 15 maximum likelihood, Felsenstein bootstrapping (100 replicates), and optimisation for near-zero 16 branch lengths. Variant distance matrices were visualised using pheatmap in RStudio, and 17 phylogenetic trees were visualised online using the Interactive Tree of Life.

#### 18 Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays

Nlac- and Nmen-derived deoxycholate-extracted outer membrane vesicles (Nlac-dOMV and 19 Nmen-dOMV, respectively) were prepared as previously described,<sup>21</sup> and used to perform 20 serum immunoglobulin G (IgG) enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA).<sup>22</sup> Briefly, 96-well 21 22 plates were pre-coated with 50µL of one of: 20µg/mL Nlac-dOMV, 20µg/mL Nmen-dOMV or 23  $20\mu$ g/mL bovine serum albumin (BSA, negative control) in carbonate coating buffer (pH 9.6). All coated wells were blocked with 200µL of 5% foetal calf serum in PBS (PBS-FCS). Each serum 24 25 sample was serially diluted two-fold in sterile PBS, and, after washing blocked wells, duplicate 50µL aliquots of each serum dilution were loaded and incubated for 1h. After subsequent 26 27 sequential incubations with (i) biotinylated rat-derived anti-human IgG (BioLegend) in PBS-FCS 28 (1:1,000), and (ii) streptavidin horseradish peroxidase (Abcam) in PBS-FCS (1:1,000), 100 µL of 29 the chromogenic substrate, o-phenylenediamine dihydrochloride (Fisher Scientific), was added 30 to each well. Colour change was measured as optical density at 490nm (OD<sub>490nm</sub>) using an iMark 1 microplate reader (Bio-Rad). Single-donor positive control serum (with high IgG titre against 2 both Nlac-dOMV and Nmen-dOMV) was available from a previous Nlac CHIM.<sup>19</sup> A four-3 parameter logistic log curve of reciprocal dilution against OD<sub>490nm</sub> was fitted to titrations of the 4 positive control serum for each plate, and used to interpolate reciprocal dilution for measured 5 OD<sub>490nm</sub> of each sample dilution, expressed as a percentage of the positive control titre 6 (Appendix 4, p5).

#### 7 Visit 6 amendment

8 Collection of maternal and infant venous blood at Visit 6 was incorporated by study amendment (approved 8<sup>th</sup> March 2022), based on pertinent findings in a related Nlac CHIM 9 study.<sup>15</sup> As the amendment was approved after study recruitment had completed, pre-10 11 inoculation maternal venous blood samples were not available, and umbilical cord blood was used as an imperfect proxy for maternal baseline serological status. The Visit 6 amendment also 12 13 allowed for collection of saliva samples from co-habiting children aged under 5-years-old (hereafter 'siblings'). The rationale, implications and supplementary results relating to this 14 15 amendment are detailed in Appendix 5 p6.

#### 16 Outcomes

The primary outcome was confirmation of infant Nlac colonisation by selective culture of 17 18 respiratory samples. Secondary and exploratory endpoints included: Nlac colonisation density 19 in inoculated participants compared with their infants; comparison of Nlac genome sequences 20 for isolates derived from inoculated and uninoculated participants and their infants; Mcat genome sequence for isolates derived from inoculated participants compared with their 21 22 infants, to assess for evidence of natural commensal strain-sharing; association between Nlac 23 colonisation and serum immunoglobulin G against Nlac outer membrane vesicles in inoculated 24 participants and their infants; Nlac oral colonisation in co-habiting children under five years old; 25 and assessment of participants' perspectives on research participation. Safety endpoints 26 included the number of participants with adverse reactions to the inoculum, and any serious adverse events during the study period. 27

#### 1 Statistical analyses

2 GraphPad Prism was used to perform data analyses. Quoted p-values are two-tailed and 3 considered statistically significant if <0.05, and interquartile range (IQR) is quoted for all 4 medians. Normality was assessed by the Shapiro-Wilk test. Mann-Whitney test was used to 5 compare independent non-parametric data, and Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test to 6 compare median immunoglobulin titres across timepoints. Correlation between continuous 7 non-parametric data was assessed by Spearman's rho (r<sub>s</sub>). Fisher's test was used to investigate 8 associations between categorical data. Sample size calculation and rationale is detailed in 9 Appendix 1 (p2).

## 10 Role of the funding source

11 The funder of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data 12 interpretation, or writing of the report.

## 13 **Results**

All visits took place between 1<sup>st</sup> October 2021 and 12<sup>th</sup> July 2022. Following telephone pre-14 15 screening of 39 individuals, 31 women were enrolled, although three were subsequently 16 withdrawn prior to inoculation (Figure 1B). Seven women were already colonised with Nlac 17 (non-Y92-1009) at screening, and the remaining 21 were inoculated, of whom seven (100%) 18 and 17 (81%) completed all follow-up (Visits 3-6), respectively. For the 24 participants 19 completing the study, the dataset was complete with no missing data, and saliva samples were 20 obtained from all 12 siblings (Visit 6). Participant characteristics are shown in Table 1. As follow-21 up visits were timed relative to infant age, and as gestational age at birth ranged from 38 to 42 22 weeks, there was a degree of expected variation in visit timing relative to inoculation (Figure 1C). When surveyed anonymously, participants mostly reported altruistic motivations, such as 23 24 contributing to science and helping other mothers and babies, while their concerns mainly related to their baby's potential discomfort due to blood and respiratory sampling.<sup>17</sup> Adverse 25 26 events are detailed in Appendix 6 (p7), and there were no serious adverse reactions to the inoculum. 27

1 Maternal and infant respiratory samples were assessed for culturable Nlac (Figure 2) and Mcat, 2 with strain-sharing investigated by isolate genome sequencing (details below; Figures 3 and 4). 3 Nlac Y92-1009 was identified in 15/21 (71%) inoculated women, and in 13/17 (76%) women 4 completing the study (Figure 2A). Conversely, Nlac Y92-1009 was only detected in one infant 5 born to an inoculated woman, at a single timepoint (Visit 3, birth; saliva), with no evidence of 6 sustained infant colonisation. The inoculation strain was not cultured from any siblings, 7 although a naturally-acquired Nlac strain was identified in one sibling (saliva). We identified 8 Mcat strain-sharing in 6/17 (35%) mother-infant pairs, suggesting Mcat transmission despite 9 lack of Nlac Y92-1009 transmission (details below; Figure 4).

10 Exposure to antibiotics (prescribed for indications unrelated to the study) was associated with 11 reduction in maternal Nlac colonisation density, loss of colonisation, and failure to establish 12 colonisation (Figure 2A and 2B). Indeed, antibiotics were received by 8/17 (47%) inoculated women completing the study, and in 6/8 (75%) of these women, Nlac was not cultured on some 13 14 or all sampling visits. Conversely, in all nine of the women who received no antibiotics, Nlac was 15 cultured at least once, and at every post-inoculation visit in 6/9 (67%). Most antibiotic exposure 16 occurred prior to Visit 3 (birth), particularly in the peripartum period, and the association 17 between antibiotic use and colonisation density was strongest at this visit (median density 0 18 [IQR 0-15] CFU/mL in antibiotic-exposed participants compared with 9,320 [559-31,020] 19 CFU/mL in unexposed participants, p=0.012; Figure 2B).

20 Maternal Nlac colonisation density was negatively correlated with elapsed time following 21 inoculation ( $r_s - 0.25$ , p=0.037 in 17 women completing the study;  $r_s - 0.55$ , p=0.0005 in nine 22 women who received no antibiotics; Figure 2C). Loss of Nlac colonisation occurred after 23 COVID19 infection in two women (neither of whom received antibiotics), although there was 24 no significant association between COVID19 infection and colonisation density (data not 25 shown).

Nlac (non-Y92-1009) colonisation was detected at screening in 7/17 (41%) pregnant women with co-habiting one- to five-year-old children ('siblings'), compared with 0/14 women without (p=0.0087; Figure 2A). Nlac (non-Y92-1009) was identified at Visits 5 and 6 (11 weeks apart) in one infant born to an uninoculated woman, with mother-infant strain-sharing confirmed by genome sequencing. Nlac was first cultured from this infant 50 days after the mother's only

positive sample (Visit 1, screening), and 27 days after the mother's first negative sample (Visit
3, birth), while the infant's sibling was not colonised at Visit 6. It is not possible to confirm
whether the infant acquired Nlac from its mother or sibling.

Median colonisation density was numerically lower in uninoculated compared with inoculated
women, particularly at Visits 3 and 4 (median 6 [IQR 0-2,024] and 3 [0-23] CFU/swab compared
with 724 [0-20,540] and 217 [0-4,700] CFU/swab, respectively), although these differences
were not significant, even when accounting for antibiotic use (Figure 2D).

For the 70 maternal oropharyngeal samples that were culture-positive for Nlac (Y92-1009 and non-Y92-1009), 23/70 (33%) of corresponding maternal saliva samples were also culturepositive. Conversely, Nlac was not identified in any saliva samples where the corresponding maternal oropharyngeal sample was culture-negative. Maternal saliva and oropharyngeal samples were concordant for 104/151 (69%) study visits (both positive 23/104, both negative 81/104). Nlac was not cultured from any nasopharyngeal or breastmilk samples, and Nmen was not cultured from any sample type.

To investigate for household strain-sharing and longitudinal genomic stability, 183 Nlac isolates were analysed by WGS (Figure 3A). All Nlac Y92-1009 genomes were highly similar, with median recombination-corrected SNP count = 0, total SNP count = 1, and total variant count (including insertions, deletions, and translocations) = 26 (IQR 0-1, 1-3, and 23-30, respectively; Figure 3B). Variant count was positively correlated with elapsed time since inoculation ( $r_s 0.72$  [p<0.0001], 0.50 [p<0.0001], and 0.32 [p=0.0010] for total variants, total SNPs, and recombinationcorrected SNPs, respectively; Figure 3C).

For non-Y92-1009 Nlac strains, strain-sharing was confirmed for one mother-infant and two mother-sibling pairs, while in two mother-sibling pairs, individuals were colonised with distinct Nlac strains (Figure 3D). Non-Y92-1009 Nlac strains that were similar to each other (10-100 SNP distance) were detected in seemingly unrelated individuals from five families. Findings were confirmed by phylogenetic analysis (Figure 3E). Co-colonisation with more than one Nlac strain was not detected in any individual, although this cannot be ruled out, as only three colonies were sequenced per sample.

1 Evidence of naturally-occurring mother-infant commensal transmission was sought by 2 sequencing 52 Mcat isolates derived from 14 families (Figure 4A). This suggested probable (0-3 10 SNP distance) within-family strain-sharing for seven mother-infant pairs, one mother-infant-4 sibling triad, and one infant-sibling pair, and possible (10-100 SNP distance) strain-sharing for 5 one further mother-infant pair (Figure 4B). These findings support mother-to-infant Mcat transmission, despite lack of apparent mother-to-infant Nlac transmission. Probable or possible 6 7 strain-sharing was also seen between individuals from ten unrelated families. This may represent exposure to common circulating strains, although a direct epidemiological 8 9 relationship between these families cannot be ruled out; indeed, all ten families included 10 siblings attending childcare with unrelated children.

11 As colonisation with Nlac Y92-1009 for longer than two weeks is known to cause an increase in anti-Nlac-dOMV and anti-Nmen-dOMV IgG titres in adults,<sup>15</sup> we hypothesised that increased 12 anti-Nlac-dOMV and anti-Nmen-dOMV IgG titres would be seen in infants that acquired Nlac, 13 14 compared with decreased transplacental maternal antibodies in uncolonised infants over 15 time<sup>23</sup>. Paired sera from Visit 3 (umbilical cord) and Visit 5 (infant) blood samples were available 16 for 7/24 (29%) of infants, demonstrating a significant decrease in IgG titres against Nlac-dOMV (median titre change-4.8 [IQR-11.1 to-2.5], p=0.031) and Nmen-dOMV (median titre change-17 18 12.6 [-33.1 to-8.5], p=0.031) for the six infants not colonised with Nlac (Figure 5A). Conversely, 19 in the only infant persistently colonised with non-Y92-1009 Nlac, anti-Nlac-dOMV IgG titre 20 increased (14.4 to 18.0; Figure 5A, pink datapoints), concomitant with an almost four-times 21 increase in anti-Nmen-dOMV IgG titre (11.0 to 42.1; Figure 5B, pink datapoints).

22 Maternal and venous sampling at Visit 6 (15±2 weeks) was incorporated by study amendment 23 (Appendix 5, p6). Both umbilical cord (Visit 3) and maternal venous (Visit 6) blood samples were available for 9/17 (53%) inoculated mothers completing all six study visits, of whom eight 24 25 became colonised with Nlac Y92-1009 following inoculation. Anti-Nlac-dOMV IgG titre 26 increased numerically in 7/8 (88%) of these women (median change 3.2 [IQR 0.9 to 10.2], 27 p=0.055; Figure 5C), whereas there were trends towards decreased anti-Nmen-dOMV IgG titre 28 in inoculated women (-2.6 [-16.0 to 10.7], p=0.55; Figure 5D), and decreased IgG titres against 29 Nlac-dOMV (-3.4 [-8.2 to -2.0], p=0.063; Figure 5E) and Nmen-dOMV (-4.9 [-19.8 to 3.2], 30 p=0.44; Figure 5F) in uninoculated women, although these changes were not significant.

## 1 Discussion

2 This study demonstrates that nasal Nlac Y92-1009 inoculation at 36-38 weeks' gestation 3 induces sustained maternal but not subsequent infant or sibling colonisation or serological 4 response by 15±2 weeks postpartum, despite evidence of mother-to-infant Mcat transmission, 5 and of naturally-acquired Nlac transmission from siblings to their mothers. The inoculation 6 strain was detected in only one infant and only at birth, with no associated serological response. 7 Rather than true colonisation, this likely represents transient contribution to the infant's 8 pioneer microbiome, the rapid influx of maternal and environmental microbes at birth, much of which is lost during niche-differentiation over the first days of life.<sup>24</sup> 9

10 These findings challenge conventional perceptions of infants as passive recipients of maternal 11 microbes, and suggest that mother-to-infant URT microbial transmission is selective, and 12 species-specific or even strain-specific. This has previously been suggested by observational 13 microbiome research, with 95% of infant oral strains accounting for only 7% of maternal oral bacterial abundance at 3 days postpartum,<sup>24</sup> and just 13 maternal strains making up over 85% 14 of infant oral abundance by 12 months postpartum.<sup>25</sup> However, this has hitherto not been 15 16 demonstrated in an interventional respiratory study. It is unclear why a small subset of maternal 17 URT strains appears disproportionately important in seeding the infant URT microbiome, while other microbes such as Nlac do not appear to transmit efficiently. There is evidence that 18 immunisation during pregnancy against pathobionts like N. meningitidis, S. pneumoniae or H. 19 20 influenzae can lead to increased infant antibody concentrations, although the impact of transplacental antibodies on commensal or pathobiont infant carriage remains unknown.<sup>26</sup> 21 22 Alternatively, transmission success may be related to infant microbiome niche differentiation, 23 including microbe-microbe and microbe-host interactions. Future investigations will include 24 microbiome analyses in mother-infant pairs.

By including women and siblings naturally-colonised with Nlac, this study meaningfully builds on the literature to date. Baseline Nlac carriage in women with 1-5-year-old children was 41% (7/17), higher than previously reported (10% in women over 20 years old) and comparable with colonisation rates in young children,<sup>7</sup> suggesting child-to-mother transmission. Non-Y92-1009 Nlac colonisation and serological response was seen in one infant, associated with a four-times increase in cross-reactive anti-Nmen-dOMV IgG. However, it is not possible to determine if Nlac was acquired from this infant's mother or sibling, or the timing of Nlac acquisition in any
 naturally-colonised uninoculated mothers or siblings.

3 Although sustained mother-to-infant inoculation-induced Nlac transmission was not seen, this 4 proof-of-concept study showed that CHIM in pregnancy can be feasible, and the inoculation 5 strain proved safe, genetically stable, and efficient at colonising inoculated women. There were 6 no AEs related to study participation, and only minor longitudinal microevolution was seen over 7 21 weeks following inoculation. Nlac was detected at least once in 75% of women sampled after inoculation, and in 100% of those who received no antibiotics, but Nlac colonisation was 8 9 reduced following antibiotics. As women likely to require intrapartum antibiotics were excluded 10 at screening, antibiotic use may have been even higher in an unscreened population, with 11 worldwide antibiotic exposure in pregnancy ranging from 20% to 65%.<sup>27</sup> Further, the confounding effect of antibiotics makes it difficult to comment on the effect of pregnancy per 12 se on Nlac CHIM, compared with past studies in non-pregnant adults. Of note, no antibiotics 13 14 were received by five of the mother-infant pairs in whom Mcat, but not Nlac, transmission was 15 observed. This suggests that antibiotic exposure does not explain these apparent differences in 16 Nlac and Mcat strain-sharing.

17 Comparisons with past Nlac CHIM studies are further limited by the small number of paired 18 serum samples available. Lack of a pre-inoculation maternal serum sample and use of umbilical cord blood as an imperfect proxy for maternal serological status likely means that baseline 19 20 maternal antibody titres were overestimated (Appendix 5, p6). This may explain why the 21 previously-described increase in anti-Nmen-dOMV IgG induced by Nlac inoculation was not seen in this study (Figure 5D).<sup>15</sup> All seven infants sampled at Visit 6 had received the first dose 22 23 of 4CMenB meningococcal serogroup B vaccine (routinely given at 8 weeks postnatally), 24 complicating interpretation of infant serological analyses at this timepoint (Appendix 5A and 25 5B, p6). Additionally, Nlac colonisation density was quantified using oral swab culture results in this study, as opposed to nasal wash in previous Nlac CHIM studies. 26

The pragmatic sample size was based on the primary endpoint of detecting mother-to-infant Nlac Y92-1009 transmission, but downstream serological and genomic analyses were likely under-powered. Sample acquisition and processing methods may have led to an underestimation in Nlac and Mcat carriage in certain contexts: siblings were sampled only once,

Mcat was opportunistically detected on Nlac-selective agar, and oropharyngeal sampling was not performed in infants or siblings. However, edentulous pre-weaned infants are known to have reassuringly similar oropharyngeal and saliva microbiome profiles, and niche differentiation between adjacent anatomical sites remains incomplete during infancy.<sup>28,29</sup> Furthermore, Nlac was detected in saliva from 5/12 (41.7%) sampled siblings, which is comparable with oropharyngeal carriage rates previously reported in this age-group.<sup>6–8</sup>

7 Despite stated limitations, this proof-of-concept trial will be a pathfinder for future 8 interventional studies investigating infant respiratory commensalisation. Although Nlac 9 inoculation in pregnancy does not appear to result in infant colonisation, future trials may 10 involve maternal inoculation with other health-associated commensals that appear to transmit 11 naturally from mother-to-infant (such as *Dolosigranulum* and *Corynebacterium* species), or 12 even inoculation of co-habiting siblings or infants themselves.

## 1 Figure legends

#### 2 Figure 1. Study overview.

A) Study timeline and participant procedures. w: weeks (gestational age for Visits 1 and 2;
postpartum age for Visits 3 to 6); d: days; h: hours; S: saliva; N: nasopharyngeal; O:
oropharyngeal; UC: umbilical cord; IV: infant venous; MV: maternal venous; (+): optional
(refusal or inability to provide a sample did not impact study participation).

7 B) Participant flow diagram. GBS: group B streptococcus.

C) Visit timing relative to birth and inoculation. Red lines indicate median and interquartilerange; V: Visit.

Figure 2. Neisseria lactamica (Nlac) colonisation kinetics in inoculated and naturally-colonised
 participants and their children.

12 CFU: colony-forming units; h: hours; d: days; w: weeks. Error bars (B and D) indicate median 13 and interquartile range. Visits 3, 4, 5 and 6: 0-24h, 7±3d, 28±3d and 15±2w postpartum, 14 respectively.

A) Nlac colonisation detected by selective culture of oropharyngeal (maternal) and saliva (infant
and sibling) samples obtained at study visits.

B) Nlac colonisation density in inoculated participants was lower in those who received
antibiotics (red) compared with those who received none (green). Significance assessed using
Mann-Whitney test.

C) Significant inverse correlation seen between Nlac colonisation density and elapsed time following inoculation for all inoculated volunteers completing the study (Spearman's rho [ $r_s$ ]-0.25, p=0.037; black), and for those who received no antibiotics ( $r_s$ -0.55, p=0.0005; green), but not those who receive antibiotics ( $r_s$ -0.11, p>0.05; red).

D) Trend towards lower median Nlac colonisation density in naturally-colonised compared with
 inoculated participants at each postpartum study visit (p>0.05 for all). Significance assessed
 using Mann-Whitney test.

Figure 3. Whole genome sequencing of Neisseria lactamica (Nlac) isolates derived from
 inoculated and naturally-colonised women and their children.

3 Sequencing (NovaSeq 6000, Illumina) performed on up to three Nlac isolates per sample for 4 the first and final two samples that were culture-positive for each participant. Additional long-5 read sequencing (GridION, Oxford Nanopore Technologies) and hybrid assembly performed on 6 one isolate from each naturally-colonised woman (7 isolates). Multiple sequence alignment and 7 variant calling performed using Snippy, with reference genome Nlac Y92-1009 (BioProject 8 accession PRJNA331097), and correction for putative recombination using Gubbins (Appendix 9 3, p4). Total variants: insertions, deletions and substitutions; SNP: single nucleotide polymorphism; RC: recombination-corrected. M: mother; C: under five-year-old child (sibling); 10 11 I: infant; inoc: Nlac Y92-1009 inoculum. Sequence names comprising unique sequence 12 identifier, family number, participant type, and visit number (e.g. 239590 24M4: sequence 13 239590 derived from a maternal sample from family 24 at Visit 4).

14 A) Summary of Nlac isolates frozen and sequenced for each participant group.

B) Nlac Y92-1009 (inoculation strain) genomes were highly similar across participants and
timepoints. Error bars indicate median and interquartile range.

17 C) Significant correlation between variant count and elapsed time following inoculation, for 18 total variants (Spearman's rho  $[r_s] 0.72$ , p<0.0001; green), total SNPs ( $r_s 0.50$ , p<0.0001, pink), 19 and recombination-corrected SNPs ( $r_s 0.32$ , p=0.0010; blue). Line of best fit based on simple 20 linear regression model.

D) Heatmap showing recombination-corrected SNP distance between pairs of Nlac genomes,
with each small square representing a different genome. Colours used to distinguish between
inoculated (blue) and uninoculated (red, orange, green, pink, purple, turquoise) families.

E) Nlac phylogenetic tree derived from multiple sequence alignment. Constructed using RAxML-NG and visualised with Interactive Tree of Life. Branch length is proportional to phylogenetic distance, indicated by scale numbers (variants per site). Colours used to distinguish between inoculated (blue) and uninoculated (red, orange, green, turquoise, purple, pink) families.

Figure 4. Whole genome sequencing of Moraxella catarrhalis (Mcat) isolates derived from
 inoculated and naturally-colonised women and their children.

3 Sequencing (NovaSeq 6000, Illumina) performed on up to three Mcat isolates per individual for 4 any families where at least two individuals were colonised with Mcat. Multiple sequence 5 alignment and variant calling performed using Snippy, with reference genome Mcat CCRI-6 195ME (BioProject accession PRJNA350869), and correction for putative recombination using 7 Gubbins (Appendix 3, p4). SNP: single nucleotide polymorphism; M: mother; C: under five-8 year-old child (sibling); I: infant; O: oropharynx; S: saliva; N: nasopharynx; B: breastmilk. 9 Sequence identifiers comprise family number, sample type, and visit number (e.g. 18IN6: 10 sequence derived from an infant nasopharyngeal sample from family 18 at Visit 6).

11 A) Summary of Mcat isolates frozen and sequenced for each participant group.

B) Heatmap showing recombination-corrected SNP distance between pairs of Mcat genomes, with each small square representing a different genome. Evidence of probable (0-10 SNPs) and possible (10-100 SNPs) strain-sharing in mother-infant pairs from the same family (green and blue, respectively) and from different families (red and orange, respectively; dashed red line used for non-adjacent sequences); and between related sibling-infant pairs (pink, 0-10 SNPs).

# Figure 5. Changes in serum immunoglobulin G (IgG) titres against *Neisseria lactamica* (Nlac) and *N. meningitidis* (Nmen).

19 IgG against deoxycholate-extracted outer-membrane vesicles derived from Nlac Y92-1009 20 (Nlac-dOMV; A, C, E) and Nmen H44/76 (Nmen-dOMV; B, D, F) in serum from umbilical cord, 21 infant venous (A and B) and maternal venous (C, D, E, F) blood samples. Serum titres were 22 measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), through interpolation compared to 23 a reference serum from a single human donor. Datapoint colours indicate participant 24 colonisation status (black: uncolonised; blue: Nlac Y92-1009-colonised; pink: Nlac non-Y92-25 1009-colonised). Error bars indicate median titre and interquartile range, with median values 26 compared across timepoints using Wilcoxon matched-pair signed rank test. \*Results from the 27 only infant persistently colonised with Nlac non-Y92-1009 are indicated in pink, but are not included in statistical analysis of titre change in uncolonised infants. Paired sera (Visit 3 and Visit 28 29 5) were not available from the only infant in whom Nlac Y92-1009 was identified at birth.

## 1 Contributors

2 AAT, CEJ, RCR, JRL, DWC, APD and DB conceived of and designed the trial, with AAT as Principal 3 Investigator and CEJ and RCR as joint Chief Investigators. AAT and CEJ wrote the study protocol, 4 with input from RCR, JRL, DWC, APD and DB. AAT, JRL and JMG produced the batch of Nlac 5 inoculum. AAT carried out the clinical study visits, with support from clinical fellows and trial 6 assistants. AAT and LR performed microbiological analyses to identify Nlac and Mcat, and 7 prepared isolates for whole genome sequencing, with support from laboratory technicians. 8 DFG optimised the anti-Nlac- and anti-Nmen-dOMV serum ELISA; JMG and APD performed the 9 ELISAS; AAT and APD analysed and verified the ELISA data. AAT collated and analysed all clinical, microbiological and sequencing data. AAT and CEJ verified the data and wrote the manuscript 10 11 first draft, and all authors contributed to critically appraising and revising the manuscript. All 12 authors had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision 13 to submit for publication.

## 14 Declaration of Interests

This study was funded by a Medical Research Council Clinical Research Training Fellowship (MR/V002015/1 to AAT), as well as internal NIHR Southampton Biomedical Research Centre consumables awards (to AAT and CEJ). AAT was sponsored by Eumedica (flights, accommodation and registration fees; no honoraria) to present results included in this Article at an international conference (ESCMID Global, Barcelona, April 2024). There was no industrial or funder involvement in the planning or execution of this study. There are no other competing interests to declare.

## 22 Data Sharing

The full study protocol can be accessed online (<u>https://eprints.soton.ac.uk/492361/</u>). All whole genome sequences derived from Nlac isolates have been uploaded to PubMLST.org, a collection of curated open-access databases for molecular typing and microbial genome diversity. Requests for additional deidentified participant data will be reviewed by the authors, and data sharing may be permitted to achieve the aims in the approved proposal, following appropriate institutional and ethical review. Data requests should be directed to the corresponding author, and requestors will be required to sign a data access agreement. Data
 will be available beginning one month and ending 24 months after publication of this Article.

# 3 Acknowledgements

We greatly appreciate the contributions of all our study participants, as well as the invaluable
support from the NIHR Clinical Research Facility team and the Reproductive Health Research
team at University Hospital Southampton, the NIHR Research Support Service Hub delivered by
University of Southampton and Partners, and our external safety committee.

## 1 References

- Perin J, Mulick A, Yeung D, et al. Global, regional, and national causes of under-5 mortality
   in 2000-19: an updated systematic analysis with implications for the Sustainable
   Development Goals. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health*. 2022;6(2):106-115. doi:10.1016/S2352 4642(21)00311-4
- Theodosiou A, Dorey R, Read R, Jones C. The infant pharyngeal microbiomes: origin, impact and manipulation. *Curr Opin Infect Dis*. 2020;33(6):548-555.
- de Steenhuijsen Piters WAA, Binkowska J, Bogaert D. Early Life Microbiota and Respiratory
   Tract Infections. *Cell Host Microbe*. 2020;28(2):223-232. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2020.07.004
- Theodosiou A, Dorey R, Laver J, Cleary D, Read R, Jones C. Manipulating the infant respiratory microbiomes to improve clinical outcomes: A review of the literature. *J Infect*. 2021;82(6):247-252.
- Bogaert D, van Beveren GJ, de Koff EM, et al. Mother-to-infant microbiota transmission and
   infant microbiota development across multiple body sites. *Cell Host Microbe*.
   2023;31(3):447-460.e6. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2023.01.018
- Bennett S, Griffiths DT, Mccarthy ND, et al. Genetic Diversity and Carriage Dynamics of
   Neisseria lactamica in Infants. *Infect Immun.* 2005;73:2424-2432.
   doi:10.1128/IAI.73.4.2424-2432.2005, issue = 4
- Olsen SF, Djurhuus B, Rasmussen K, et al. Pharyngeal carriage of Neisseria meningitidis and Neisseria lactamica in households with infants within areas with high and low incidences of meningococcal disease. *Epidemiol Infect*. 1991;106(3):445-457. doi:10.1017/s0950268800067492
- 8. Gold R, Goldschneider I, Lepow ML, Draper TF, Randolph M. Carriage of Neisseria meningitidis and Neisseria lactamica in Infants and Children. *J Infect Dis.* 1978;137(2):112-121. doi:10.1093/infdis/137.2.112
- Coen P, Cartwright K, Stuart J. Mathematical modelling of infection and disease due to
   Neisseria meningitidis and Neisseria lactamica. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2000;29(1):180-188.
   doi:10.1093/ije/29.1.180
- 10. Theodosiou A, Laver J, Dale A, Cleary D, Jones C, Read R. Controlled human infection with
   Neisseria lactamica in late pregnancy to measure horizontal transmission and microbiome
   changes in mother-neonate pairs: a single-arm interventional pilot study protocol. *BMJ Open.* 2022;12(5):e056081. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2021-056081
- 11. Evans C, Pratt C, Matheson M, et al. Nasopharyngeal colonization by Neisseria lactamica
   and induction of protective immunity against Neisseria meningitidis. *Clin Infect Dis*.
   2011;52(1):70-77. doi:10.1093/cid/ciq065
- 12. Pandey A, Cleary D, Laver J, et al. Microevolution of Neisseria lactamica during
   nasopharyngeal colonisation. *Nat Commun.* 2018;9(1):4753.

- Deasy A, Guccione E, Dale A, et al. Nasal Inoculation of the Commensal Neisseria lactamica
   Inhibits Carriage of Neisseria meningitidis by Young Adults: A Controlled Human Infection
   Study. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2015;60(10):1512-1520.
- 14. Dale A, Gbesemete D, Read R, Laver J. Neisseria lactamica Controlled Human Infection
   Model. In: Bidmos F, Bossé J, Langford P, eds. *Bacterial Vaccines*. Vol 2414. Methods in
   Molecular Biology. Springer US; 2022:387-404. doi:10.1007/978-1-0716-1900-1\_21
- 7 15. Dale A, Theodosiou A, Gbesemete D, et al. Effect of colonisation with Neisseria lactamica
  8 on cross-reactive anti-meningococcal B-cell responses: a randomised, controlled, human
  9 infection trial. *Lancet Microbe*. 2022;3(12):e931-e943. doi:10.1016/S266610 5247(22)00283-X
- Goldstein E, Murphy T, Parameswaran G. *Moraxella catarrhalis,* a Human Respiratory Tract
   Pathogen. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2009;49(1):124-131. doi:10.1086/599375
- 17. Bevan J, Theodosiou A, Corner J, Dorey R, Read R, Jones C. A Questionnaire-based Study
   Exploring Participant Perspectives in a Perinatal Human Challenge Trial. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*.
   2023;42(11):935-941. doi:10.1097/INF.00000000004036
- 18. UK Standards for Microbiology Investigations. ID 11: identification of Moraxella species and
   morphologically similar organisms. Published online 2015.
   https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/5a7558deed915d73149593f3/ID\_11i3.pdf
- MicrobesNG. MicrobesNG Frequently Asked Questions. Published webpage. Accessed
   December 15, 2023. https://microbesng.com/microbesng-faq/
- Stimson J, Gardy J, Mathema B, Crudu V, Cohen T, Colijn C. Beyond the SNP Threshold:
   Identifying Outbreak Clusters Using Inferred Transmissions. Leitner T, ed. *Mol Biol Evol*.
   2019;36(3):587-603. doi:10.1093/molbev/msy242
- Mukhopadhyay TK, Halliwell D, O'Dwyer C, et al. Rapid characterization of outer-membrane
   proteins in *Neisseria lactamica* by SELDI–TOF-MS (surface-enhanced laser desorption
   ionization–time-of-flight MS) for use in a meningococcal vaccine. *Biotechnol Appl Biochem*.
   2005;41(2):175-182. doi:10.1042/BA20040098
- 22. Laver J, Gbesemete D, Dale A, et al. A recombinant commensal bacteria elicits heterologous
   antigen-specific immune responses during pharyngeal carriage. *Sci Transl Med*.
   2021;13(601):eabe8573. doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.abe8573
- 23. Oguti B, Ali A, Andrews N, et al. The half-life of maternal transplacental antibodies against
   diphtheria, tetanus, and pertussis in infants: an individual participant data meta-analysis.
   *Vaccine*. 2022;40(3):450-458.
- 24. Ferretti P, Pasolli E, Tett A, et al. Mother-to-Infant Microbial Transmission from Different
  Body Sites Shapes the Developing Infant Gut Microbiome. *Cell Host Microbe*.
  2018;24(1):133-145.

- Sulyanto RM, Thompson ZA, Beall CJ, Leys EJ, Griffen AL. The Predominant Oral Microbiota
   Is Acquired Early in an Organized Pattern. *Sci Rep.* 2019;9(1):10550.
- 26. Etti M, Calvert A, Galiza E, et al. Maternal vaccination: a review of current evidence and
   recommendations. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2022;226(4):459-474.
   doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2021.10.041
- Schilling AL, Rody A, Bossung V. Antibiotic Use During Pregnancy and Childbirth: Prospective
   Observational Study on Prevalence, Indications, and Prescribing Patterns in a German
   Tertiary Center. *Geburtshilfe Frauenheilkd*. 2023;83(02):192-200. doi:10.1055/a-1934-1761
- 9 28. Biesbroek G. Dynamics and Drivers of the Respiratory Microbiome in Healthy Infants.
  10 Universiteit Utrecht; 2014.
- 29. Man WH, De Steenhuijsen Piters W, Bogaert D. The microbiota of the respiratory tract:
   gatekeeper to respiratory health. Published online 2017. doi:10.1038/nrmicro.2017.14
- 13

Appendices

Click here to access/download Supplementary Materials LancetMicrobe\_supplementary\_revisions.docx

| Screening (Visit 1; n=31 women)                                                             |                                                 |                  |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Median age (range)                                                                          |                                                 | 33·5 (23·1-39·9) |  |  |  |  |
| Ethnicity (%)                                                                               | White, British                                  | 26 (83·9)        |  |  |  |  |
|                                                                                             | White, any other White background               | 3 (9·7)          |  |  |  |  |
|                                                                                             | Asian, Pakistani                                | 1 (3·2)          |  |  |  |  |
|                                                                                             | Other, any other ethnic group                   | 1 (3·2)          |  |  |  |  |
| Household contact with children 1                                                           | 17 (54·8)                                       |                  |  |  |  |  |
| Household contact with animals (%)                                                          |                                                 | 16 (51·6)        |  |  |  |  |
| Birth (Visit 3; n=28 mother-infant p                                                        | pairs)                                          |                  |  |  |  |  |
| Median gestational age in weeks a                                                           | 40.0 (38.0-42.0)                                |                  |  |  |  |  |
| Mode of delivery (%)                                                                        | Vaginal                                         | 25 (89·3)        |  |  |  |  |
|                                                                                             | Caesarean                                       | 3 (10·7)         |  |  |  |  |
|                                                                                             | Forceps or ventouse (at any time during labour) | 6 (21·4)         |  |  |  |  |
|                                                                                             | Birthing pool (at any time during labour)       | 5 (17·9)         |  |  |  |  |
| Peripartum (labour to 24 hours<br>postpartum) systemic<br>(oral/parenteral) antibiotics (%) | Maternal before cord clamping only              | 3 (10·7)         |  |  |  |  |
|                                                                                             | Maternal after cord clamping only               | 7 (25)           |  |  |  |  |
|                                                                                             | Maternal before and after cord clamping         | 1 (3.6)          |  |  |  |  |
|                                                                                             | Infant                                          | 2 (7.1)          |  |  |  |  |
| Completed all follow-up (Visit 6; n=24 mother-infant pairs)                                 |                                                 |                  |  |  |  |  |
| Any household contact with smoke                                                            | 4 (16·7)                                        |                  |  |  |  |  |
| Any systemic (oral/parenteral)                                                              | Maternal                                        | 13 (54·2)        |  |  |  |  |
| antibiotics during study (%)                                                                | Before birth                                    | 6 (25.0)         |  |  |  |  |
|                                                                                             | After birth                                     | 9 (37.5)         |  |  |  |  |
|                                                                                             | Infant                                          | 1 (4·2)          |  |  |  |  |
| Mode of feeding (%)                                                                         | Any breastmilk                                  | 21 (87·5)        |  |  |  |  |
|                                                                                             | Exclusively breastmilk                          | 13 (54·2)        |  |  |  |  |
|                                                                                             | Any formula                                     | 11 (45·8)        |  |  |  |  |
|                                                                                             | Exclusively formula                             | 3 (12·5)         |  |  |  |  |

# Table 1. Participant characteristics and clinical data

|                             | Screening | Inoculation | Birth   | Post-pa | rtum follow- | up visits |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-------------|---------|---------|--------------|-----------|
|                             | Visit 1   | Visit 2     | Visit 3 | Visit 4 | Visit 5      | Visit 6   |
|                             | 34-37w    | 36-38w      | 0-24h   | 7±3d    | 28±3d        | 15±2w     |
| Maternal swabs<br>(S, N, O) | +         | +           | +       | +       | +            | +         |
| Infant swabs (S, N)         |           |             | +       | +       | +            | +         |
| Sibling swabs (S)           |           |             |         |         |              | (+)       |
| Breastmilk                  |           |             | (+)     | (+)     | (+)          | (+)       |
| Blood                       |           |             | (UC)    |         | (IV)         | (IV, MV)  |
| Questionnaire               | (+)       |             |         |         | (+)          |           |





| Participant                                               |    | Study visit |    |   |   |         |   |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|----|-------------|----|---|---|---------|---|
|                                                           |    | 3           | 4  | 5 | 6 |         | 6 |
|                                                           | 2  |             | Aa | А |   |         |   |
|                                                           | 3  | С           | С  |   | С |         |   |
| <u> </u>                                                  | 4  |             |    |   | С | 12)     |   |
| =2 1                                                      | 5  | А           |    |   |   | )=<br>U |   |
| ů)                                                        | 7  |             |    |   |   | ;γlr    |   |
| 500                                                       | 8  | Aa          |    |   |   | t or    |   |
| 2-1(                                                      | 9  | А           | А  |   |   | visi    |   |
| б,                                                        | 10 |             |    |   | С | ш       |   |
| llac                                                      | 12 |             |    |   |   | artı    |   |
| ∠ H                                                       | 13 |             |    |   | С | it-p    |   |
| wit                                                       | 14 | А           |    |   |   | sod     |   |
| ted                                                       | 16 | А           | А  |   |   | eks     |   |
| ula                                                       | 18 |             |    |   |   | Ň       |   |
| ants inoc                                                 | 20 | А           |    |   | С | 15      |   |
|                                                           | 21 |             |    |   |   | l at    |   |
|                                                           | 22 | Aa          |    |   |   | olec    |   |
| ici                                                       | 23 |             |    |   |   | amp     |   |
| art                                                       | 25 | AC          | А  |   | А | s (s;   |   |
|                                                           | 26 |             |    |   |   | ear     |   |
| ·                                                         | 29 |             |    |   |   | 5 У     |   |
|                                                           | 31 | А           | А  |   |   | der     |   |
| Baseline natural<br>Nlac carriers,<br>ot inoculated (n=7) | 1  |             |    |   |   | ůn      |   |
|                                                           | 6  |             |    |   | А | ged     |   |
|                                                           | 11 | А           | А  |   |   | jg af   |   |
|                                                           | 17 | А           |    |   |   | blin    |   |
|                                                           | 24 | А           |    |   |   | Sił     |   |
|                                                           | 27 |             |    | Α |   |         |   |
| ц                                                         | 28 |             |    |   |   |         |   |

|   | Nla |
|---|-----|
|   | NI  |
|   | NI  |
|   | NI  |
|   | NI  |
|   | No  |
|   | Ра  |
| Α | Ma  |
| а | Inf |
| С | CC  |
|   | cin |

lac (Y92-1009), mother only lac (Y92-1009), mother and infant lac (not Y92-1009), mother only lac (not Y92-1009), infant only lac (not Y92-1009), sibling lo Nlac present

Participant withdrawn and/or no sibling Maternal antibiotics since previous visit

Infant antibiotics since previous visit

COVID-19 in mother and/or infant

since previous visit







| Participant group (n) | Number of isolates |                         |  |  |
|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| Inoculated            | Frozen             | Sequenced (% of frozen) |  |  |
| Mother (15)           | 223                | 105 (47)                |  |  |
| Infant (1)            | 5                  | 3 (60)                  |  |  |
| Sibling (1)           | 5                  | 3 (60)                  |  |  |
| Uninoculated          |                    |                         |  |  |
| Mother (7)            | 115                | 54 (47)                 |  |  |
| Infant (1)            | 10                 | 6 (60)                  |  |  |
| Sibling (4)           | 20                 | 12 (60)                 |  |  |







Figure 3E



| Participant group (n) | Number of isolates |                         |  |
|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Inoculated            | Frozen             | Sequenced (% of frozen) |  |
| Mother (7)            | 15                 | 10 (67)                 |  |
| Infant (8)            | 28                 | 13 (46)                 |  |
| Sibling (3)           | 3                  | 3 (100)                 |  |
| Uninoculated          |                    |                         |  |
| Mother (6)            | 11                 | 9 (82)                  |  |
| Infant (6)            | 16                 | 15 (94)                 |  |
| Sibling (2)           | 2                  | 2 (100)                 |  |

