PCCP

PAPER

Cite this: *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2024, 26, 28406

Received 25th July 2024, Accepted 29th October 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4cp02952f

rsc.li/pccp

1 Introduction

Following the absorption of a photon, the excess internal energy of a molecule can be redistributed via several competing photophysical and photochemical processes. These processes, which often occur on ultrafast timescales, underpin many fundamental mechanisms found in nature. The $C=C$ double bond, a key component in organic molecules, is of particular interest in this context. This chemical motif exhibits rich photochemistry, with isomerization around the double bond playing a crucial role in the photochemical pathway of vision.¹

The cis and trans isomers of 1,2-dichloroethene (DCE) are examples of substituted ethenes, in which two H atoms are replaced with Cl atoms (Fig. 1). Whereas many ethylenic systems are known to photoisomerize on exposure to UV light, $2,3$ the inclusion of the reasonably weak C–Cl bonds opens

Ultrafast photochemical processes in 1,2 dichloroethene measured with a universal XUV probe†

Henry G. McGhee,^a Henry J. Thompson,^b James Thompson,_c Yu Zhang, ^c Adam S. Wyatt,^c Emma Springate, ^{Dc} Richard T. Chapman, D^c Daniel A. Horke, D^d Russell S. Minns, \mathbb{D}^b Rebecca A. Ingle \mathbb{D}^a and Michael A. Parkes \mathbb{D}^{*a}

The presence of two chlorine atoms in 1,2-dichloroethene allows for isomerization around the double bond. This isomerization can lead to rich photochemistry. We present a time-resolved pump–probe photoelectron spectroscopy measurement on both the cis- and trans- isomers of 1,2-dichloroethene. A universal XUV probe of 22.3 eV is used allowing observation of photoelectrons formed anywhere on the potential energy surface, even from the ground-state or dissociation products. Following excitation with a 200 nm pump both ultrafast excited state dynamics and product formation are observed within the time resolution of the experiment. Excited state population begins to return to the ground state on an ultrafast time scale \langle < 70 fs) and population of products channels is observed on the same timescale. With the aid of ab initio calculations it is found that population transfer from the excited state is facilitated by vibrational modes involving C–C–H bends. PAPER

(a) Check for undates

any column Pays.
 Contained all processes in 1,2-

dichloroethene measured with a universal XUV
 $\frac{1}{2}$
 $\frac{$

up the possibility of additional photoinduced pathways, including photodissociation to form a range of products including Cl radicals. The DCEs have therefore attracted a great deal of interest experimentally and theoretically in the past decades, both from the stand point of fundamental photochemistry and in the context of atmospheric research. $4-6$

DCEs show a broad resonance in their UV absorption spectrum with a maximum at \sim 200 nm for trans and \sim 190 nm for cis. Previous vertical excitation energy calculations at the TDDFT/augcc-pVDZ level of theory suggest that the state with the majority of the oscillator strength is a $\pi \pi^*$ transition located on the C=C double bond, although there are a number of weak Rydberg series present in the same energetic region.^{7,8} There are also dissociative no^{*} and $\pi \sigma^*$ states that are energetically accessible, but have almost zero oscillator strength at the Franck–Condon geometry.

Early photolysis studies revealed photodissociation products for both isomers at \sim 200 nm as C₂H₂, C₂HCl, HCl, C₂H₂Cl[•], and Cl^{• 9-13} By measuring photofragment translational energy distributions, Umemoto et al. discovered that Cl is produced with two distinct energy releases implying the presence of two dissociation channels: one with a Gaussian velocity distribution

Fig. 1 Structures of cis and trans-1,2-dichloroethene.

^a Department of Chemistry, University College London, 20 Gordon Street, London, WC1H 0AJ, UK. E-mail: michael.parkes@ucl.ac.uk

 b School of Chemistry, University of Southampton, Highfield, Southampton SO17 1BJ, UK

^c Central Laser Facility, STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, Oxfordshire OX11 0QX, UK

^d Radboud University, Institute for Molecules and Materials, Heijendaalseweg 135, 6525 AJ Nijmegen, The Netherlands

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Calculated geometries and vibrational frequencies for the molecules. Further experimental results for cis-1,2-dichloroethene. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4cp02952f>

showing angular anisotropy and another with a Boltzmann-like velocity distribution and weak angular anisotropy.14 The former is ascribed to direct crossing of the $\pi\pi^*$ state with nearby n σ^* and $\pi\sigma^*$ states, and the latter from the dissociation of vibrationally excited ground state molecules following internal conversion. Subsequent studies support this interpretation and further showed the branching ratio of these channels to exhibit a wavelength dependence.^{15–19} In contrast, molecular elimination to produce HCl and $C₂$ HCl is believed to occur solely on the electronic ground state with a higher yield for the trans isomer.²⁰

However, these reaction schemes fail to address the presence of acetylene (C_2H_2) as a photoproduct. The absence of its dissociative partner $Cl₂$ led to the proposal that acetylene is formed from the secondary dissociation of the vibrationally excited chlorovinyl radical (following primary dissociation of the initial Cl atom). $21,22$ This mechanism also explains the presence of two Cl channels for which the branching ratio exhibits a wavelength dependence. Other potential pathways involving H and H_2 elimination have been shown to have very low yield in this wavelength range.^{15,20}

The ambiguities in the dissociation mechanism along with the absence of timescales for these processes prompted us to probe deeper into the photoinduced dynamics of DCEs. Timeresolved photoelectron spectroscopy (TRPES) provides a highly effective way of probing the chemical dynamics of the system 23 to help understand the photodissociation processes that occur in DCE. By using an XUV probe, there is sufficient probe energy to ionize all species on the reaction pathway, making it sensitive to large geometrical changes from the ground state. It is therefore an appropriate tool to follow photodissociation dynamics in which multiple fragments with a range of geometries may be produced. TRPES using an XUV source has been shown to be successful in the probing of chemical dynamics using both HHG sources^{2,3,24} and XFELs.^{25,26}

Here we apply TRPES to cis- and trans-dichloroethene using a 200 nm pump and a universal XUV probe. The experimental results are presented alongside ab initio calculations of the molecules' potential energy surfaces. The kinetics of the observed excited state features and photofragments are discussed with regard to prior studies, and serve to further improve our understanding of the dynamics of these photochemically interesting molecules.

2 Experimental

Experiments were performed using the AMO end station at the Artemis facility (Central Laser Facilities, Rutherford Appleton Laboratories). This experiment has been described in detail before so only a brief overview will be given here.²⁴ Cis- and trans-1,2-dichloroethene were purchased from Sigma Aldrich with 97% and 98% purity, respectively. They were used without further purification, but degassed with a cycle of freeze-pumpthawing before use. The samples entered the interaction chamber of the end station as an effusive beam with the chamber pressure maintained at 1×10^{-6} mbar during measurements.

The inlet line was gently heated (\sim 40 $^{\circ}$) to aid pressure stability and lower the risk of the nozzle blocking. The effusive beam was overlapped with the pump and probe laser beams at the entrance to an electron time-of-flight (eTOF) spectrometer (Kaesdorf ETF11). Any photoelectrons produced by interactions of the lasers and samples were collected in this eTOF.

The pump and probe beams were generated from a Ti:Sapphire amplifier system with a 1 kHz repetition rate (Red Dragon, KM Labs). The 200 nm pump pulse was generated from the fundamental of the Red Dragon through a series of BBO crystals. Around 1 μ J of pump with a bandwidth of around 1.8 nm and pulse durations of approximately 50 fs (FWHM) was generated. The high-harmonic generation was performed by focusing the second harmonic (around 400 nm) of the fundamental into an Argon jet and the 7th harmonic was selected (22.3 eV, 57 nm) using a time-preserving monochromator.²⁷ Probe pulses of around 10^{10} photons per second with a bandwidth of 500 meV and pulse durations of 35 fs (FWHM) were produced. The two beams (the pump and probe) were focused and recombined in front of the entrance to the eTOF. Variable time delays between the pump and the probe pulses were achieved using a mechanical delay stage. Paper

But and reacting angular anisotropy and another with a Bolamana like

The Context distribution and vector and the Context distribution and context distribution and vector and the Context distribution and vector and

Both *cis*- and *trans*-1,2-dichloroethene have $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transitions around 200 nm,^{7,8} though with different labels (S₃ and S₂ respectively) due to the different ordering of the excited states (see Tables 1 and 2). For the trans isomer the pump wavelength corresponds to the maximum of the peak in the photoabsorption spectrum. In contrast, for the *cis* isomer the pump wavelength is approximately 10 nm below the peak in the photoabsorption spectrum. However, given the large differences in oscillator strengths between the cis transitions, we expect most of the excited state population will be in the S_3 state. Therefore, for both *cis* and *trans* the observed timedependent dynamics will begin in the $\pi \pi^*$ state.

The eTOF used had a variable energy transmission which could be tuned using a lens at the TOF entrance. For these experiments this lens was tuned to maximise transmission of electrons whose kinetic energy was close to the energy of ionization from the neutral ground state into the ground state of the ion. This means that electrons ejected with lower energies would not be collected as efficiently. Electron signals from the eTOF were discriminated and then recorded with a time-todigital converter (Surface Concepts GmbH - SC-TDC-1000/04 D).

Pump–probe spectra were collected at a range of time delays and saved as 'cycles' (each cycle = 20 sweeps of a delay

Table 1 Calculated excited state properties for trans-1,2-dichloroethene from an EOM-CCSD calculation with a 6-311G++(3df, 3pd) basis. For each state the label, symmetry, energy, oscillator strength (f) and character of the transition is given

State	Sym.	Energy/eV		Character
S_1 S_2 S_3 S_4	B_g $B_{\rm u}$ $A_{\rm u}$ B_g	6.32 6.66 6.76 7.37	0.34 0.002 0	$\pi \rightarrow \sigma^*$ C-Cl + Ryd $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ $\pi \rightarrow \text{Ryd}$ $\pi \rightarrow \sigma_{C-H} + n_{Cl} + Ryd$

Table 2 Calculated excited state properties for cis-1,2-dichloroethene from an EOM-CCSD calculation with a 6-311G++(3df, 3pd) basis. For each state the label, symmetry, energy, oscillator strength (f) and character of the transition is given

State	Sym.	Energy/eV		Character
S ₁	B ₁	6.47	0.001	$\pi \rightarrow \sigma_{C-C1}^*$ + Ryd
S_2	B ₁	6.72	0.001	$\pi \rightarrow n_{\text{Cl}}$ + Ryd
S_3	B_2	6.90	0.36	$\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$
S_4	A ₂	7.42	$_{0}$	$\pi \rightarrow \sigma_{C-H} + n_{Cl} + Ryd$

sequence). There were 97 cycles for the trans data which amounted to 84×10^6 photoelectrons detected across all kinetic energies and time bins. For cis, the data was recorded for 80 cycles (65 \times 10⁶ electrons). A standard bootstrapping analysis was performed to estimate the statistical uncertainty. Cycles were randomly sampled with replacement 100 times and a time-of-flight to energy conversion (with Jacobian correction) was applied to each new dataset. The mean and standard deviation of these 100 datasets define the values and error bars shown in Fig. 3–6.

3 Theoretical

The ground state minimum energy geometries of cis- and trans-1,2-dichloroethene were optimised at the Möller-Plesset perturbation (MP2) level of theory with a 6-311G++(3df, 3pd) basis set in Gaussian 16.²⁹ Vertical excitation (EOM-EE-CCSD) and ionization energies (EOM-IP-CCSD) were calculated using the optimised minimum energy structures in QChem 5.4 with a 6- $311G++(3df, 3pd)$ basis set.³⁰ Cuts of the potential energy surface (PES) were made along the normal modes and the energies of the first eight singlet excited states calculated, all the cuts are shown in the ESI† and cuts along key modes are shown in Fig. 7 for trans-1,2-dichloroethene. It should be noted that CCSD methods are known to not produce accurate results in regions where the electronic wavefunction is not well described by a single configuration. Therefore, EOM-EE-CCSD and EOM-IP-

Fig. 2 Ground state photoelectron spectra for the cis and trans isomers of dichloroethene (DCE) with an ionizing photon energy of 22.3 eV, recorded by averaging the photoelectron spectra at negative time delays $(-1.5 \text{ ps to } -0.4 \text{ ps})$. The spectra have been normalised to the maximum of the \tilde{A} state

CCSD calculations were not performed in those regions where the wave function is strongly multi-configurational.

Results from the EOM-CCSD calculations for both isomers are summarised in Tables 1 and 2 for trans and cis respectively. Comparison of the calculated excitation and ionization energies to experimental results are given for both isomers in the ESI† (Fig. S2–S5). As can be seen the calculated values agree reasonably well, the excitation energies are around 0.4 eV too high in energy (Fig. S4 and S5, ESI†), while the ionization energies are around 0.1 eV different (Fig. S2 and S3, ESI†). This close agreement suggests that the calculated results should give a reasonable representation of the potential energy surfaces. For both isomers, the theoretical results show the $\pi \to \pi^*$ has the strongest oscillator strength of the transitions expected to be energetically accessible following 200 nm excitation. At the Franck–Condon geometry, the $\pi \to \pi^*$ corresponds to the S_2 state for *trans*-DCE, whereas for *cis*-DCE, the $\pi \to \pi^*$ corresponds to S₃. PCCP

This a Celubratic enter the critical state are considered on 30 October 2024. Downloaded on 12/10/2024 2:28:46

Maximum and the common access Article is licensed under a common access Article is proposed under activ

4 Results

Fig. 2 shows the ground state photoelectron spectra for cis- and trans-1,2-dichloroethene recorded with an incident photon energy of 22.3 eV. The photoelectron spectra of the two isomers show similar structure with an onset in signal around 9.5 eV due to ionization into the \tilde{X} state of the cation. The clearest difference between the *cis* and *trans* isomers is the shape and position of the state around 16 eV. This peak is a combination of ionization into two different ion states, \tilde{E} and \tilde{F} . Both states arise due to ionization from orbitals that are combinations of C–Cl, C $=$ C and C–H bonding. In the *cis* isomer these orbitals have the same symmetry and are therefore non-degenerate and resolvable. However, the orbitals have different symmetries in the trans isomer and hence have a near degeneracy. Other differences are in the relative heights of the \tilde{X} and \tilde{A} state peaks, and the widths of the \tilde{A} and \tilde{B} state peaks. The \tilde{A} peak for cis is much wider than for trans. Given the onset of ionization at 9.5 eV, any signal observed with a binding energy below this will be due to ionization of a UV-excited state.

4.1 trans-1,2-Dichloroethene

In Fig. 3 we present a heat map of the time-dependent photoelectron spectra for trans-1,2-dichloroethene obtained following excitation with a 200 nm pump. Here we also show timeaveraged photoelectron spectra over selected time windows to aid interpretation. Due to the energetic position of excited state features below the onset of ground state ionization (3.0–9.2 eV), they have an excellent signal-to-noise ratio, hence our analysis will focus on this energy range before considering higher binding energies.

Upon photoexcitation, the photoelectron spectrum of trans-DCE shows the appearance of a broad feature spanning binding energies between 3.0–8.0 eV. While none of these features are fully resolved in the present experiment, there appears to be a peak in the signal intensity at 7.6 eV and a broader feature close

Fig. 3 Pump–probe photoelectron spectra for trans-dichloroethene with 200 nm pump and 22.3 eV probe energies. Top panel: Grey line shows the ground-state photoelectron spectra obtained by averaging the pump–probe data at negative time delays $(-1.5, -1, -0.5$ and -0.4 ps). Solid lines represent the background subtracted photoelectron spectra integrated between selected pump/probe delay intervals. The error bars were obtained by standard bootstrapping analysis as described in Section 2. Blue vertical lines mark the expected vertical ionization energies of photofragments that may be produced via photodissociation.²⁸ Bottom panel: Contour plot showing the background subtracted photoelectron spectra across all pump–probe delay times. Delays greater than 1 ps are plotted on a logarithmic scale. In both panels, to aid visual interpretation the excited state signals that are observed below the onset of the first ionization band (9.3 eV, vertical dashed line) have been scaled up by a factor of $\times 25$.

to 8.5 eV, suggesting that the low binding energy region of the spectrum has contributions from multiple cation states.

The positive feature with an onset at around 8 eV shows different dynamics to the low binding energy features. This feature forms rapidly and then decays on a longer timescale with two time constants, becoming narrower in the process. A small amount of signal remains at the edge of the experimental time window (10 ps).

Above the first ionization energy of dichloroethene the signal-to-noise ratio of the data becomes poorer. This is in part due to less efficient detector transmission at lower electron kinetic energies, and a strong overlapping photoionization signal from ground-state dichloroethene. However, it is possible to see time resolved signal at these higher binding energies in regions where the ground-state signal is weak. In the spectrum associated with asymptotically long pump–probe delays (0.5–10 ps) clear signals in the binding energy regions associated with the dissociation products $(C_2Cl_2$ (10.40 eV), C_2HCl (10.60 eV), C_2H_2 (11.40 eV) and Cl[•] (12.96 eV)) are observed. We note, that given the underlying photoabsorption dynamics these are only tentative assignments, but seem reasonable. A mass resolved measurement will be required to fully confirm our assignments. No signals associated with the HCl (12.74 eV) or H (13.60 eV) products are observed, probably

Fig. 4 Integrated kinetic traces for trans-1,2-dichloroethene with 200 nm pump and 22.3 eV probe. The error bars were obtained by standard bootstrapping analysis as described in Section 2. The solid lines represent the results of fitting to models described in the text (eqn (1) and (2)). For (b) and (d), the pale lines indicate the individual contributions to the multicomponent fit. The dashed vertical line indicates the point from which the kinetic spectrum is plotted on a logarithmic scale.

due to their overlap with a large ground state background. Ground-state bleach signals are observed for all the bands in the ground-state spectrum, none of which undergo full recovery on the timescale of the experiment.

The 3D spectrum plotted in Fig. 3 allow us to identify specific regions in the photoelectron spectrum for further kinetic analysis. To determine experimental lifetimes, integrated kinetic traces were taken over the following binding energy ranges 3.0–8.0 eV, 8.8–9.2 eV, 10.4–11.0 eV, 11.0–11.6 eV and 12.8–13.2 eV, as shown in Fig. 4. Each region was fit to a specific kinetic equation, as described below, with the results of fits given in Table 3.

The energy range from 3.0–8.0 eV (Fig. 4a) is well fit with a single Gaussian (σ = 87 \pm 2 fs, FWHM = 205 \pm 5) which we take as representative of the instrument response function (IRF) of our experiment. Due to the apparent structure of the photoelectron band in this energy region fits were also made to smaller energy ranges, for example 3.0–6.0 eV and 6.0–8.0 eV,

however no differences could be observed in the integrated traces or fitting results for these smaller selections.

The energy region between 8.8–9.2 eV (Fig. 4b) was best fit by the sum of two exponential decays convoluted with the Gaussian IRF, which constitutes a parallel decay scheme, defined by:

$$
I(t) = (A_1 e^{-\Delta t/\tau_1} + A_2 e^{-\Delta t/\tau_2}) \otimes IRF
$$
 (1)

where A_1 and A_2 represent the amplitudes of the components, Δt is the delay time between pump and probe, and τ_1 and τ_2 are the time constants of the exponential decays. The IRF parameters were kept fixed and all other variables allowed to fit freely. The corresponding fits of the five different regions are given in Table 3 and the errors corresponding to numerical uncertainties from the least-squares fitting procedure. Attempts to fit this region to a sequential kinetic model did not result in an improved fit. The time constants for the two components were found to be τ_1 = 200 \pm 50 fs and τ_2 = 3900 \pm 500 fs. We note that the signal in this region seems to not return to baseline, instead it appears to return to a constant but non-zero value. This might indicate a low-amplitude photoproduct component in this region. We chose not to integrate the 8.0–8.8 eV region in order to avoid overlap from the feature from the 3.0–8.0 eV region in the kinetic trace.

Above the first ionization energy, three long lived product signals are observed between 10.4–11 eV, 11.0–11.6 eV and 12.8–13.2 eV (Fig. 4c–e). The integrated kinetic traces in these regions show no sign of decay within the time frame of the experiment and so their lifetimes can be considered > 10 ps. These regions were fit with an exponential rise convoluted with the Gaussian IRF:

$$
I(t) = A(1 - e^{-\Delta t/\tau}) \otimes IRF
$$
 (2)

where Δt is the pump–probe time delay and τ is the rise time of the signal. An additional Gaussian with fixed parameters according to the IRF was required to fit the region 11.0– 11.6 eV (d), indicating the presence of another short-lived state in this region. We define a lower limit of τ = 70 fs for resolvable time constants which corresponds to \sim 1/3 of the IRF (FWHM). For the photoproduct regions (c) – (e) , the rise time was found to be within this limit.

The energy region of 10.4–11.0 eV shows suggestions of a peak in the trace at early time delays. The signal rises rapidly, reaching a maximum around 200 fs before dropping to a lower intensity within the first 500 fs. Therefore, we attempted to fit this region with a combination of a Gaussian and a single exponential rise convoluted with the IRF. This fit resulted in a better fit to the data by eye, but the associated errors of the fitting coefficients were larger than the coefficients themselves (Fig. S9, ESI†). Hence, it appears that the Gaussian component may be a reflection of low signal-to-noise in this region rather than a dynamical process of the molecule.

The region from 12.8–13.2 eV shows the same behaviour, an initial rise and no appreciable decay on the time scale of the experiment. Qualitatively there appears to be additional growth in signal at longer time delays between 1–10 ps, however the

Fig. 5 Pump–probe photoelectron spectra for cis-dichloroethene with 200 nm pump and 22.3 eV probe energies. Top panel: Grey line shows the ground-state photoelectron spectra obtained by averaging the pump–probe data at negative time delays $(-1.5, -1, -0.5$ and -0.4 ps). Solid lines represent the background subtracted photoelectron spectra integrated between selected pump/probe delay intervals. The error bars were obtained by standard bootstrapping analysis as described in Section 2. Blue vertical lines mark the expected vertical ionization energies of photofragments that may be produced via photodissociation.²⁸ Bottom panel: Contour plot showing the background subtracted photoelectron spectra across all pump–probe delay times. Delays greater than 1 ps are plotted on a logarithmic scale. In both panels, to aid visual interpretation the excited state signals that are observed below the onset of the first ionization band (9.3 eV, vertical dashed line) have been scaled up by a factor of $\times 50$.

signal-to-noise in this region is not high enough to obtain a good fit for quantitative discussion.

In summary for trans-1,2-dichloroethene we have observed ultrafast decay (within the experimental IRF) of the initial signal. Alongside this we see onset of signals for several products, C_2Cl_2 , C₂HCl, C₂H₂ and Cl[•], which all appear rapidly, within the IRF. Finally signal is seen in the excited state region of the photoelectron spectrum which shows multiple decay processes.

4.2 cis-1,2-Dichloroethene

A heat map of the time-dependent photoelectron spectra for cis-1,2-dichloroethene obtained following excitation with a 200 nm

pump along with time-averaged photoelectron spectra is shown in Fig. 5. The cis isomer has a lower photoabsorption crosssection at the photon energy used compared to the trans isomer and therefore has a lower contrast between pump and probe signal. However, the overall excited state photoelectron spectrum still shows many similarities to the trans results. In particular the excited state region below the first IE shows a good signal-to-noise ratio and has similar kinetic behaviour to trans-1,2-dichloroethene. In the spectrum there is an energetically broad but short-lived feature at low binding energy (3.0– 8.0 eV) which decays within the IRF, and a feature at higher binding energy (8.8–9.2 eV) which decays on a longer timescale.

Fig. 6 Integrated delay curves for cis-1,2-dichloroethene with 200 nm pump and 21 eV probe. The dashed line indicates the point from which the kinetic spectrum is plotted on a logarithmic scale. The pale lines indicate the individual contributions to the multi-component fit.

Fig. 7 Cuts through the potential energy surface of trans-1,2 dichloroethene along selected mass-weighted normal modes. Calculated using EOM-CCSD/6-311G++(3df, 3pd) in QChem. The number of the mode is indicated in the x-axis label.

Table 3 Results of fitting integrated decay curves to eqn (1) and (2) for regions below the ionization potential for trans- and cis-dichloroethene

eBE/eV	Function <i>Trans</i> parameter/fs <i>Cis</i> parameter/fs Assignment		
$3.0 - 8.0$ $8.8 - 9.2$	Gaussian $\sigma = 87 \pm 2$ eqn (1) $\tau_1 = 200 \pm 50$ τ_2 = 3900 \pm 500	σ = 73 \pm 5 $\tau_1 \leq 70$ $\tau_2 = 2500 \pm 600$	ES
10.4–11.0 eqn (2) 11.0-11.6 eqn (2) 12.8-13.2 eqn (2)	$\tau \leq 70$ $\tau \leq 70$ $\tau \leq 70$		C ₂ HCl C_2H_2 Cl^{\bullet}

The integrated kinetic traces for these two regions are shown in Fig. 6. They were fit according to the same procedure as described for the trans isomer using eqn (1), and the fitting results are also given in Table 3. The Gaussian width for the 3.0–8.0 eV region for the cis dataset is slightly shorter (73 fs) than for the trans isomer (87 fs). In the 8.8–9.2 eV region, both time constants are faster for the *cis* isomer. τ_1 is well within the IRF, and τ_2 = 2.5 ps. We note that that the τ_1 constant is much faster for the *cis* isomer versus the trans.

Product signals that were observed for the trans isomer are much weaker or absent for the cis measurements. The signal-tonoise in the product regions was too low to allow fitting of a kinetic model, therefore, we do not report any fits to the product channels here. Plots of the integrated traces for the energy regions where the product channels should occur are given in the ESI† in Fig. S6.

5 Discussion

To aid the understanding of the time-resolved measurements it is first useful to consider what is known about the photochemistry of the 1,2-dichloroethenes. SAC-CI calculations and TD-DFT calculations show that the main peak in the VUV absorption spectrum of the 1,2-dichloroethenes (6.27 eV for *trans*, 6.62 eV for *cis*) is due to a $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transition from the HOMO.7,8,31,32 In both isomers this intense peak is found to have an underlying structure which Locht assigns as part of a Rydberg series converging to the first ionization limit mixed with n_{Cl} and σ_{C-H}^* character.^{7,8} In the *cis* isomer there is also a very weak absorption signal between 5–6 eV, before the rise in absorption due to the $\pi \to \pi^*$ transition. This weak signal is assigned to a B_1 transition which again has a mixed Rydberg, n_{Cl} and $\sigma_{\text{C}-\text{H}}^*$ character.⁷ No such transition is observed experimentally for trans-1,2-dichloroethene, though calculations suggest that the state is present but with zero oscillator strength, and so could be involved in post-absorption dynamics. Our EOM-CCSD calculations are summarised in Tables 1 and 2. Our values are in qualitative agreement with the previously published calculations.^{7,8} There are some differences in the energies and the precise mixed characters of the Rydberg states. These differences in character probably arise due to the use of different calculation methods and basis sets. From this supporting theory we therefore expect that initial excitation will populate the π^* state for both isomers. However, as the *cis* isomer is populated about 0.4 eV below the maximum of the absorption peak it will have a lower amount of vibrational energy following excitation than the trans isomer.

Given the higher signal-to-noise ratio in the experimental data, we will focus on the results for trans-1,2-dichloroethene. The experimental results suggest that following excitation into the π^* state population very rapidly leaves the initially populated region of this state, within 70 fs. Cuts through the potential energy surfaces along the molecule's normal modes (given in the ESI,† Fig. S1) indicate that the following modes should have an important effect on the early time decay of population, the C–C–Cl deformation (Q_3) , a C–Cl stretch and CH bend combination (Q_6) , the C=C stretch (Q_{10}) and a C-H stretch (Q_{12}) . The torsional mode (Q_1) will become important at later times. Cuts of these important modes are shown in Fig. 7. These cuts are presented in mass weighted coordinates where a value of 0 represents the Franck–Condon point. The bright state in these plots is S_2 . These modes show a gradient in the Franck–Condon region which will lead to rapid movement of population out of this region of the potential energy surface away from the Franck–Condon geometry. For example, for Q_{10} , excitation into S_2 gives a geometry that is not the S_2 minimum. Therefore, there will be motion along the Q_{10} coordinate to negative values. Further, these modes all show intersections between states S_2 and S_3 , in fact this pair of states are near degenerate for large portions of the PES along these modes. All the modes apart from Q_{12} also show a conical intersection between S_2 and S_1 that is very close to the Franck–Condon point. This suggests that the motion excited by the gradient will lead to rapid population transfer between the lowest three excited states $(S_1, S_2 \text{ and } S_3)$. Therefore, we assign the excited state feature at 3.0–8.0 eV, that shows very rapid decay, to transfer of the initially formed population from out of the Franck–Condon region of the S_2 state with rapid internal conversion to S_1 and S_3 . Following this initial rapid motion we expect that motion along the torsional mode (Q_1) will become important for transferring population from the excited states onto the ground state. As is clear in Fig. 7, at large rotations around the double bond (Q_1) the ground state becomes degenerate with the excited states. We would expect photoelectron signal associated with the 'hot' ground state products formed from this rotation to have more excess vibrational energy than the initial ground state molecules. Therefore we expect this 'hot' signal to be observed with binding energies close to but less than the rising edge of the first peak in the ground state PES. The importance of these modes is also shown as they are known to be key in the photochemical processes of ethene and 1,1-difluoroethene $33,34$ where pyramidalization of the C–H bond is coupled with twisting around the double bond to couple the excited electronic states to the ground state. Puper

Or the absorption peak it will have a lower amount of vibra-

signal control and the mail control and the mail control acceled site of them simulates are compared then the main control and the main control and the m

The next feature of the time-resolved photoelectron spectrum that needs assigning is between 8.8–9.2 eV. This feature forms rapidly (within the IRF) and decays with two time constants of 200 fs and 3.9 ps. Both of these decays are associated with the peak becoming more narrow in energy (see Fig. 3). There is also a hint that this feature does not return to baseline but instead there is a weak but constant

signal left at longer times. This feature could arise from an excited state or from vibrationally excited ground-state, given its position in energy just below the onset of direct ground-state ionization. We therefore tentatively assign this feature to hot ground state, which then decays via two pathways. This assignment is supported by a recent TRPES study on ethene with a 160 nm pump to excite into the S_2 state, and XUV probe (21.7 eV) to monitor the ensuing dynamics.² The shape of the photoelectron bands and the integrated decay curves in the energy region below onset of ground-state ionization are strikingly similar to the observations in the present study, indicating that the presence of substituents on the C $=$ C double bond may have little effect on the initial excited state dynamics. Karashima et al. determined that weak lower binding energy signals (3–6 eV) are due to the initially excited state decaying to a conical intersection on the order of 10 fs. The photoelectron signal for this conical intersection then gives rise to a more intense peak at higher binding energy (6–7.5 eV), which subsequently decays within 30 fs. A higher energy peak (8.5–10 eV) is observed which decays with two distinct time constants (0.87 ps and $>$ 5 ps) and was attributed to decay of vibrationally excited ground state products. It is therefore plausible that the feature in the 8.8–9.2 eV region in the present study of DCE is hot ground state formed within the IRF. This would then suggest that τ_1 represents the decay from the excited state to the ground state while τ_2 represents decay of the ground state. There is currently some controversy over the theoretical assignments of these peaks in ethene. $34,35$ More work is needed to understand what each of these features are.

We now turn to the photofragment signals at higher binding energy to elucidate more information on the dissociation mechanism. For the 11.0–11.6 eV region, the integrated trace is well fit with the sum of a Gaussian function (with parameters equal to the IRF) and an exponential rise. This Gaussian component implies the presence of a very short lived state that forms rapidly and decays within the IRF. We ascribe this to a higher lying cation state of the initial excited state signal observed in the 3.0–8.0 eV region. The exponential rise is associated with the formation of acetylene (C_2H_2) since the ionization energy for this fragment has been measured as 11.40 eV.²⁸ Acetylene was observed to form rapidly with respect to the initial excitation $\left(< 70 \text{ fs} \right)$. Previous studies have suggested that acetylene is formed via the secondary dissociation of the chlorovinyl radical ($C_2H_2Cl^{\bullet}$) which itself is produced by the initial C–Cl scission from the parent molecule. $21,22$ Using RRK theory, Sato et al. evaluated the rate constant for the $C_2H_2Cl^{\bullet} \rightarrow C_2H_2 + Cl$ reaction to be 100 fs.²¹ This close agreement is encouraging, but we note that the application of RRK assumes IVR has occurred, which may not have done so within 100 fs. The immediate presence of signal corresponding to acetylene in this study is in line with this calculation and suggests that if this sequential process occurs, it is completed within the time-resolution of the experiment.

The positive feature between 12.8–13.2 eV is attributed to Cl atom formation, given its measured ionization value of 12.96 $eV²⁸$ The integrated trace in this region shows a rapid

growth of signal. Previous measurements have measured the kinetic energy and angular distributions of the chlorine atoms produced following photolysis at \sim 200 nm and found two $components.¹⁴⁻¹⁹$ One pathway is from a direct crossing between the initially prepared $\pi\pi^*$ state and nearby no^{*} and $\pi\sigma^*$ states, giving rise to a Gaussian kinetic energy distribution with angular anisotropy. The other is from dissociation of hot ground state following internal conversion and hence has a more statistical Boltzmann distribution with weak anisotropy. We would therefore expect to see two components in the kinetics of the Cl atom, an initial fast rise followed by a slower growth. We note that the Cl signal qualitatively shows what could be a rise in signal at longer times. If this is a real rise and not an artefact of low signals these would indicate two formation time constants for the chlorine atom, in line with previous measurements. This would be a fast formation step, with the chlorine atom formed by rapid decay from an excited state. The slower decay which appears to grow in around 3–4 ps would then be decay from the hot ground state. The fit with an additional time-constant is shown in Fig. S8 (ESI†) however the signal-to-noise ratio in this region is not high enough to make any definitive assignments. However, though our observations might be in line with the slower decay happening from the hot ground state, we can not rule out the possibility that this derives from a second C–Cl bond cleavage. PCCP
 Excellent and the method of the case of the case of the case of the case are the case of the case of the case of the case of the case are the case of the c

The energy range between 10.4–11.0 eV is primarily associated with C₂HCl with a contribution at low energy from C₂Cl₂. The signal in this region forms within the IRF. Previous studies suggest that C_2 HCl is produced *via* molecular elimination from the hot ground state. HCl, its dissociative partner, is expected to be observed at 12.74 eV however is not clearly detected due to overlap with the third peak of the ground-state photoelectron spectrum.

Comparing the results for the two isomers we note that they have similar overall dynamics following photoexcitation. There is an ultrafast decay (within the experimental IRF) in the 3.0– 8.0 eV region, while two decays are observed in the 8.8–9.2 eV region. The two components in this region are faster for the cis isomer than for the *trans*. In the case of τ_1 , this is considerably faster. This is an indication that the population transfers these decays represent must be encouraged by having the two Cl atoms (or equivalently two H atoms) close to each other. Given the speed of the decays it is motion of the H atoms that is most likely to be important, though the motion of the Cl atoms will be important for the longer timescales. Further, these differences in decay rates could be projected onto differences in the ratios of product channels between the isomers. For example, Sato 21 saw that the relative yields for HCl from *cis* and trans was 0.3 and 0.5 respectively. As we do not observe clear product signals for cis-1,2-dichloroethene it is not possible for us to compare relative signals between the isomers.

The time constants for the initial decay of population from the excited state is very similar in both isomers, showing that the early-time excited state dynamics are not affected by the relative position of the substituents around the double bond. This suggests that these early dynamics are controlled by the

motions of the C and H atoms and not those of the Cl atoms which seem to be spectators. This is also shown by the similarity between the ethene and dichloroethene dynamics.² Therefore, we believe the initial dynamics in the 1,2-dichloroethenes is the same as in ethene. Rapid decay of population from the Franck– Condon point to a conical intersection with the ground state. The population transfer is then encouraged by lengthening of the C $=$ C bond and out-of-plane motion of the H atoms.^{2,35} The early-time dynamics are also not affected by the swapping of character of S_2 and S_3 between the two isomers. Showing that the presence of the second Rydberg state, with mixed n_{Cl} and Rydberg character, has little effect on the photodynamics. This is in line with theoretical studies on ethene dynamics where it was found that the low-lying Rydberg state of ethene had little effect on the early time dynamics.³⁵

In summary, we believe the following dynamics occur following photoexcitation of 1,2-dichloroethene, based upon previous studies of ethene. $2,35$ The initial photon absorption is a $\pi \to \pi^*$ transition into the S₂ (S₃ for *cis*) state. The population subsequently decays on an ultrafast time scale to a region of the potential energy surface which has strong coupling to the ground state. This population transfer is facilitated by initial stretching of the $C=C$ bond and pyramidalization around one of the carbon atoms bonds, largely driven by the motion of the H atoms. We believe, given all products appear to be formed at the same time and it is known that HCl is formed on the ground state, that the detected photoproducts are formed on the ground state.

One aim of this study was to look for signs of isomerization between trans and cis-1,2-dichloroethene. Though no conclusive evidence is found there are hints in the data. One hint of isomerization occurring includes the second peak in the ground-state photoelectron spectrum showing a rise in signal at a lower binding energy in the cis data than in the trans data. In this energy region there is clear signal due to formation of C_2H_2 , but there is possibly a second feature just before the edge of the ground-state signal (see Fig. 3). There are also hints in the ground-state bleaches of differences between the first and second ground-state photoelectron peaks that could be due to the change in intensity of these peaks when going from trans to cis-1,2-dichloroethene. Further studies are required to look for signs of such isomerization.

The other aim of this study was to improve our understanding of the dynamics that occur following photoexcitation of the 1,2-dichloroethenes. Our results show, that at least for trans-1,2-dichloroethene, the photoproducts are all formed very rapidly, within the time resolution of the experiments. As the formation is so rapid and it is known that HCl is formed on the ground state we suggest that all products are formed following ultrafast population of the ground-state. This is in contrast to previous static measurements that assumed that C_2H_2 was formed via a curve crossing on the excited state. To be sure of the assigned formation mechanisms of both C_2H_2 and C_2HCl more work is needed. Experimentally, measurements with higher electron energy resolution where the photoelectrons and photoions are measured in coincidence would be useful.

Paper Paper Policy of the contract of the cont

Such measurements would allow clear and unambiguous assignment of each peak observed in the photoelectron spectrum to a particular mass. On the theoretical side, ab initio dynamic calculations would help to reveal the mechanisms in more depth.

6 Conclusions

In conclusion we have studied the photochemistry of the two isomers of 1,2-dichloroethene using time resolved photoelectron spectroscopy coupled with theoretical calculations of the potential energy surface. Following excitation into the π^* state there is an ultrafast decay from the Franck–Condon region. This decay is caused by gradients along modes for $C=C$, C–Cl and C– H stretches and the C–C–H bend. Following this movement out of the Franck–Condon region there is rapid population transfer onto the ground state. From this ground state the formation of several products are observed. Signatures are detected for formation of C_2H_2 , C_2Cl_2 and Cl^{\bullet} . The formation of all these products is observed to occur on an ultrafast timescale. Paper

Such measurements would allow clear and unambiguous sublention), as a red HM. Corollation and the photoshemony article. Published articles.

Line on 2024 October 2024. Downloaded in the photoshemistry of the involv

Author contributions

The experiment was conceived by MAP with input on the planning and implementation from RAI and RSM. MAP, RAI, RSM, DAH, HGM, HJT collected the data with support from JT, YZ, ASW, RTC and ES who run and manage the Artemis laser facility. The experimental data was analysed by HGM and MAP, and the theoretical calculations performed by MAP. The combined output from the experiment and calculations were interpreted by MAP, RAI, RSM, DAH, HGM. The manuscript was written by MAP and HGM with input and edits from all authors.

Data availability

Data for this article, including photoelectron spectra and theoretical calculations are available at the UCL data repository [URL – <https://doi.org/10.5522/04/26343271.v1>]. It consists of all the experimental files collected for both cis and trans. Theoretical results for trans-1,2-dichloroethene are also available at the same DOI. It consists of the MP2 optimisation and the cuts along the normal modes calculated using EOM-EE-CCSD.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the STFC for access to the Artemis facility. RSM thanks the EPSRC for financial support (EP/X027635/1). DAH thanks The Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) for support under grant numbers STU.019.009 and VI-VIDI-193.037. HJT is grateful to the STFC XFEL Hub for Physical Sciences and the University of Southampton for a

studentship. RAI and HMG acknowledge EPSRC for a studentship (EP/N509577/1 and EP/T517793/1).

References

- 1 Y. Erez, I. Presiado, R. Gepshtein, R. Simkovitch and D. Huppert, J. Mod. Opt., 2014, 61, 1589–1604.
- 2 S. Karashima, A. Humeniuk, W. J. Glover and T. Suzuki, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2022, 126, 3873–3879.
- 3 C. Wang, M. D. Waters, P. Zhang, J. Suchan, V. Svoboda, T. T. Luu, C. Perry, Z. Yin, P. Slavíek and H. J. Wörner, Nat. Chem., 2022, 14, 1126–1132.
- 4 M. P. Sulbaek Andersen, A. Volkova, S. A. Hass, J. W. Lengkong, D. Hovanessian, T. I. Sølling, T. J. Wallington and O. J. Nielsen, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2022, 24, 7356–7373.
- 5 T. Yamada, A. El-Sinawi, M. Siraj, P. H. Taylor, J. Peng, X. Hu and P. Marshall, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2001, 105, 7588–7597.
- 6 C. E. Canosa-Mas, T. J. Dillon, H. Sidebottom, K. C. Thompson and R. P. Wayne, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2001, 3, 542–550.
- 7 R. Locht, D. Dehareng and B. Leyh, AIP Adv., 2019, 9, 015305.
- 8 R. Locht, D. Dehareng and B. Leyh, J. Quant. Spec. Rad. Trans., 2020, 251, 107048.
- 9 M. J. Wijnen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1961, 83, 4109–4110.
- 10 R. Ausubel and M. Wijnen, J. Photochem., 1975, 4, 241–248.
- 11 R. Ausubel and M. H. J. Wijnen, Int. J. Chem. Kinet., 1975, 7, 739–751.
- 12 M. J. Berry, J. Chem. Phys., 1974, 61, 3114–3143.
- 13 M. G. Moss, M. D. Ensminger and J. D. McDonald, J. Chem. Phys., 1981, 74, 6631–6635.
- 14 M. Umemoto, K. Seki, H. Shinohara, U. Nagashima, N. Nishi, M. Kinoshita and R. Shimada, J. Chem. Phys., 1985, 83, 1657–1666.
- 15 Y. Mo, K. Tonokura, Y. Matsumi, M. Kawasaki, T. Sato, T. Arikawa, P. T. A. Reilly, Y. Xie, Y.-A. Yang, Y. Huang and R. J. Gordon, J. Chem. Phys., 1992, 97, 4815–4826.
- 16 K. Sato, Y. Shihira, S. Tsunashima, H. Umemoto, T. Takayanagi, K. Furukawa and S. I. Ohno, J. Chem. Phys., 1993, 99, 1703–1709.
- 17 T. Suzuki, K. Tonokura, L. S. Bontuyan and N. Hashimoto, J. Phys. Chem., 1994, 98, 13447–13451.
- 18 Y. Huang, Y. A. Yang, G. He, S. Hashimoto and R. J. Gordon, J. Chem. Phys., 1995, 103, 5476–5487.
- 19 L. Hua, X. Zhang, W. B. Lee, M. H. Chao, B. Zhang and K. C. Lin, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2010, 114, 37–44.
- 20 G. X. He, Y. A. Yang, Y. Huang and R. J. Gordon, J. Phys. Chem., 1993, 97, 2186–2193.
- 21 K. Sato, S. Tsunashima, T. Takayanagi, G. Fujisawa and A. Yokoyama, J. Chem. Phys., 1997, 106, 10123–10133.
- 22 K. Seki, T. Kobayashi and K. Ebata, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 2011, 219, 200–203.
- 23 A. Stolow, A. E. Bragg and D. M. Neumark, Chem. Rev., 2004, 104, 1719–1758.
- 24 A. D. Smith, E. M. Warne, D. Bellshaw, D. A. Horke, M. Tudorovskya, E. Springate, A. J. H. Jones, C. Cacho, R. T. Chapman, A. Kirrander and R. S. Minns, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2018, 120, 183003.
- 25 S. Pathak, L. M. Ibele, R. Boll, C. Callegari, A. Demidovich, B. Erk, R. Feifel, R. Forbes, M. Di Fraia, L. Giannessi, C. S. Hansen, D. M. Holland, R. A. Ingle, R. Mason, O. Plekan, K. C. Prince, A. Rouzée, R. J. Squibb, J. Tross, M. N. Ashfold, B. F. Curchod and D. Rolles, Nat. Chem., 2020, 12, 795–800.
- 26 K. D. Borne, J. C. Cooper, M. N. Ashfold, J. Bachmann, S. Bhattacharyya, R. Boll, M. Bonanomi, M. Bosch, C. Callegari, M. Centurion, M. Coreno, B. F. Curchod, M. B. Danailov, A. Demidovich, M. Di Fraia, B. Erk, D. Facciala`, R. Feifel, R. J. Forbes, C. S. Hansen, D. M. Holland, R. A. Ingle, R. Lindh, L. Ma, H. G. McGhee, S. B. Muvva, J. P. F. Nunes, A. Odate, S. Pathak, O. Plekan, K. C. Prince, P. Rebernik, A. Rouzée, A. Rudenko, A. Simoncig, R. J. Squibb, A. S. Venkatachalam, C. Vozzi, P. M. Weber, A. Kirrander and D. Rolles, Nat. Chem., 2024, 16, 499–505.
- 27 F. Frassetto, C. Cacho, C. A. Froud, I. E. Turcu, P. Villoresi, W. A. Bryan, E. Springate and L. Poletto, Opt. Express, 2011, 19, 19169–19181.
- 28 NIST Chemistry WebBook, NIST Standard Reference Database Number 69, ed. P. J. Linstrom and W. G. Mallard, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg MD, 20899, 2021.
- 29 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. V. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, D. Williams-Young, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. J. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. N. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. A. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. P. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman and D. J. Fox, Gaussian 16, Revision A.01, Gaussian Inc., Wallingford CT, 2016.
- 30 Y. Shao, Z. Gan, E. Epifanovsky, A. T. Gilbert, M. Wormit, J. Kussmann, A. W. Lange, A. Behn, J. Deng, X. Feng, D. Ghosh, M. Goldey, P. R. Horn, L. D. Jacobson,

I. Kaliman, R. Z. Khaliullin, T. Kus´, A. Landau, J. Liu, E. I. Proynov, Y. M. Rhee, R. M. Richard, M. A. Rohrdanz, R. P. Steele, E. J. Sundstrom, H. L. Woodcock, P. M. Zimmerman, D. Zuev, B. Albrecht, E. Alguire, B. Austin, G. J. O. Beran, Y. A. Bernard, E. Berquist, K. Brandhorst, K. B. Bravaya, S. T. Brown, D. Casanova, C.-M. Chang, Y. Chen, S. H. Chien, K. D. Closser, D. L. Crittenden, M. Diedenhofen, R. A. DiStasio, H. Do, A. D. Dutoi, R. G. Edgar, S. Fatehi, L. Fusti-Molnar, A. Ghysels, A. Golubeva-Zadorozhnaya, J. Gomes, M. W. Hanson-Heine, P. H. Harbach, A. W. Hauser, E. G. Hohenstein, Z. C. Holden, T.-C. Jagau, H. Ji, B. Kaduk, K. Khistyaev, J. Kim, J. Kim, R. A. King, P. Klunzinger, D. Kosenkov, T. Kowalczyk, C. M. Krauter, K. U. Lao, A. D. Laurent, K. V. Lawler, S. V. Levchenko, C. Y. Lin, F. Liu, E. Livshits, R. C. Lochan, A. Luenser, P. Manohar, S. F. Manzer, S.-P. Mao, N. Mardirossian, A. V. Marenich, S. A. Maurer, N. J. Mayhall, E. Neuscamman, C. M. Oana, R. Olivares-Amaya, D. P. O'Neill, J. A. Parkhill, T. M. Perrine, R. Peverati, A. Prociuk, D. R. Rehn, E. Rosta, N. J. Russ, S. M. Sharada, S. Sharma, D. W. Small, A. Sodt, T. Stein, D. Stück, Y.-C. Su, A. J. Thom, T. Tsuchimochi, V. Vanovschi, L. Vogt, O. Vydrov, T. Wang, M. A. Watson, J. Wenzel, A. White, C. F. Williams, J. Yang, S. Yeganeh, S. R. Yost, Z.-Q. You, I. Y. Zhang, X. Zhang, Y. Zhao, B. R. Brooks, G. K. Chan, D. M. Chipman, C. J. Cramer, W. A. Goddard, M. S. Gordon, W. J. Hehre, A. Klamt, H. F. Schaefer, M. W. Schmidt, C. D. Sherrill, D. G. Truhlar, A. Warshel, X. Xu, A. Aspuru-Guzik, R. Baer, A. T. Bell, N. A. Besley, J.-D. Chai, A. Dreuw, B. D. Dunietz, T. R. Furlani, S. R. Gwaltney, C.-P. Hsu, Y. Jung, J. Kong, D. S. Lambrecht, W. Liang, C. Ochsenfeld, V. A. Rassolov, L. V. Slipchenko, J. E. Subotnik, T. V. Voorhis, J. M. Herbert, A. I. Krylov, P. M. Gill and M. Head-Gordon, Mol. Phys., 2014, 113, 184–215. Open Access Article. Published on 30 October 2024. Downloaded on 12/10/2024 2:28:46 PM. This article is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/) **[View Article Online](https://doi.org/10.1039/d4cp02952f)**

- 31 S. Arulmozhiraja, M. Ehara and H. Nakatsuji, J. Chem. Phys., 2008, 129, 174506.
- 32 O. Khvostenko, J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom., 2014, 195, 220–229.
- 33 S. Gómez, N. K. Singer, L. González and G. A. Worth, Can. J. Chem., 2023, 101, 745–757.
- 34 S. Gómez, E. Spinlove and G. Worth, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2024, 26, 1829–1844.
- 35 T. Mori, W. J. Glover, M. S. Schuurman and T. J. Martinez, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2012, 116, 2808–2818.