inorganics

MDPI

Article

A Rapid General Synthesis and the Spectroscopic Data of 2,2′-Bis-(di-isopropylphosphino)-1,1′-dibromoferrocene, (*bpdbf*), 1,1′,2,2′-Tetrakis-(di-isopropylphosphino) Ferrocene, (*tdipf*) and Related Ligands: Taking *dppf* into the Future

Peter N. Horton [1], Simon J. Coles [1], William Clegg [2], Ross W. Harrington [2] and Ian R. Butler [3,*]

[1] Department of Chemistry, University of Southampton, Highfield, Southampton SO17 1BJ, UK; p.n.horton@soton.ac.uk (P.N.H.); s.j.coles@soton.ac.uk (S.J.C.)
[2] Chemistry, School of Natural and Environmental Sciences, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 7RU, UK; bill.clegg@newcastle.ac.uk (W.C.)
[3] School of Computer Science and Electronic Engineering, Bangor University, Bangor LL57 2DG, Gwynedd, UK
* Correspondence: i.r.butler@hotmail.co.uk

Abstract: In this paper, the clean high yielding, synthesis, and structure of the tetraphosphine ligand, 1,1′,2,2′-tetrakis-(di-isopropyl-phosphino)ferrocene, (*tdipf*), is described. In addition, improved synthesis methods for 1,1′,2,2′-tetrakis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene, (*tppf*), and 2,2′-bis-(diphenylphosphino)-1,1′-dibromoferrocene are also reported, and the synthetic method is generalised to include the synthesis of 3,3′-bis-(diphenylphosphino)-1,1′,2,2′-tetrabromoferrocene. The related ligands 2,2′-bis-(iso-propylphosphino)-1,1′-bis-diphenylphosphinoferrocene (*diprdppf*) and 2,2′-bis-(di-isopropylphosphino)-dibromoferrocene have also been prepared and characterised. The crystal structure of the square planar bimetallic nickel (II) dichloride of *tdipf* is also described, together with a brief NMR study investigating the synthesis of this and related metal complexes. The crystal structures of the palladium and platinum dichloride complexes of 2,2′-bis-(di-isopropylphosphino)-1,1′-dibromoferrocene, *bpdbf*, are also discussed in the context of comparison with previously known crystal structures in the same general family. A general discussion on the synthetic methodology is given, along with indications for future research that other researchers might explore.

Keywords: phosphine; ligand; complex; ferrocene; nickel; palladium; synthesis; coordination

Academic Editor: Axel Klein

Received: 11 December 2024
Revised: 20 December 2024
Accepted: 23 December 2024
Published: 2 January 2025

Citation: Horton, P.N.; Coles, S.J.; Clegg, W.; Harrington, R.W.; Butler, I.R. A Rapid General Synthesis and the Spectroscopic Data of 2,2′-Bis-(di-isopropylphosphino)-1,1′-dibromoferrocene, (*bpdbf*), 1,1′,2,2′-Tetrakis-(di-isopropylphosphino) Ferrocene, (*tdipf*) and Related Ligands: Taking *dppf* into the Future. *Inorganics* **2025**, *13*, 10. https://doi.org/10.3390/inorganics13010010

1. Introduction

Ferrocene ligands are ubiquitous in organic synthesis, primarily being used as chiral and achiral ligands in catalysis [1–40]. These have fluctuated in popularity, but they have maintained their prominence as utilitarian ligands in synthesis since their initial development. Of the achiral ligands *dppf*, **1** [41–43], Figure 1, is the most used, probably because of its ready availability and stability, even though it is not necessarily the optimum ligand of choice in many metal-catalysed applications. Related to this are the arsine derivatives [44,45] and the more basic and soluble ligands *dippf* [46], **2** and *tbdbf* [47,48], **3**, which were first described in the early 1980's. The library of ligands has expanded since then, but essentially, most of these ligands are similar in design to the original ligands and simply use different R groups on the phosphorus. This is also true of the ferrocene-based chiral ligands such as compound **7** (Figure 1), which derive from the early work of Ugi [49–52], Hayashi and Kumada [3,53–59], and Cullen [60,61], and the

later adaptations to chiral ferrocenyl-bis-phosphines by Togni and co-workers [4,62–68]. Permethylated ligands, such as ligand **4** (Figure 1) have also been prepared for use in methoxycarbonylation [69–73].



Figure 1. (**a**) Some important ligand designs of multiply substituted phosphinoferrocenes, (**b**) showing compounds such as 1,1′,2,2′-tetrakis-(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene, **8**, 1,1′,3,3′-tetrakis-(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene as examples. (latter is taken from Pd complex with Pd removed).

1.1. Ligand Diversity

To pictorially illustrate the structural diversity of ferrocenylphosphine ligands and their metal complexes, some representative structures are shown in Figure 2 (aryl-substituted phosphines) and Figure 3 (isopropyl-substituted phosphines), which are taken from our own archives. It should be noted, however, that there are literally thousands of known ferrocenylphosphine metal complexes, with prominent researchers in the field each having large own ligand portfolios, and that these are simply a few of our own chosen to illustrate their structural diversity.



Figure 2. *Cont.*



(**G**) (**H**)

Figure 2. Examples of some ferrocenylarylphosphines to show structural diversity. (**A**) Nickel dichloride complex of 1,2,1′-tris-diphenylphoshinoferrocene. (**B**) 1,2,3,1′-tetrakis-diphenylphosphinoferrocene ligand. (**C**) 1-diphenylphosphino-1′,2′,3′,4′,5,′-pentaphenylferrocene. (**D**) nickel dichloride complex of ligand (**B**). (**E**) bis-(1′-bromoferrocenyl)-phenylphosphine, (**F**) 1,1′-bis-diphenyl(oxo)phosphinoferrocenes (CCl_4 solvate). (**G**) Ruthenium (DMSO) dichloride complex of the ligand bis-(1′-*S*-methyl-1-ferrocenyl)phenylphosphine. (**H**) Bis-1-[(1′,2′-diphenylphosphino)nickel(II)dibromide]phenylphosphino-nickel(II)dibromide. Further details of these compounds may be found in the Supplementary Materials.



(**A**) (**B**) (**C**)

(**D**) (**E**) (**F**)

Figure 3. Crystal structures of some iso-propylphosphinoferrocenes to show their diversity. (**A**) [(*dippf*)Re(=O)Cl_3], (**B**) [(2-*N*,*N*-Dimethylaminomethyl-1,1′-bis-diisopropylphosphinoferrocene)$NiCl_2$], (**C**) [(*1,2-dippf*)$PdCl_2$], (**D**) [(*1,1′-dippf*)$Mn(CO)_3Br$], (**E**) [(*1,1′-pmdippf*)Rh(1,5-*nbd*)], ClO_4, *pmdippf* is the permethylated *dippf* ligand and 1,5-*nbd* = 1,5-norbornadiene, (**F**) [(*1,1′-dippf*)$Ru(\mu^{2-}Cl)_3Ru(S\text{-}DMSO)_3$.

It can be noted that in structures **A**, **D**, and **H** in Figure 2 the metal complexes have square planar geometry, which contrasts with the tetrahedral coordination observed in the parent *dppf* nickel dihalides. Thus, by examination of typical structures, initially, it becomes clear that nickel halide complexes exhibit a square planar metal coordination mode with two *homo*-annular phosphines and a tetrahedral coordination mode with *hetero*-annular phosphines. In Figure 3, the isopropyl-substituted phosphines **A**–**F** exhibit a broad range of coordination modes with different metals, and again, the nickel complex in structure **C**, the homo-annular diphosphinoferrocene, is square planar. More interestingly, perhaps, is that in the methylamine substituted complex B, which has *hetero*-diphosphines, the metal

coordination geometry is also square planar. Therefore, a priori, it is difficult to make general rules. Complexes **A**, **D**, **E**, and **F** the metals (Mn, Re, Ru) are examples where the geometries are pseudo-octahedral. There are many examples of this coordination mode, particularly in metal carbonyl complexes.

1.2. Tetra-Dialkylphosphinoferrocenes or Tetra-Diarylphosphinoferrocenes

Tetra-substituted phosphinoferrocenes have been the subject of many research articles, and some of these ligands are commercially available. There are two routes to this family of ligands: either from the phosphine-substituted cyclopentadiene, or directly from ferrocene. The first route uses the chemistry of cyclopentadiene, which was developed by Broussier [74–77] and carried forward by Meunier and Hierso [78–80]. This research has become one of the most widely adopted areas of ferrocene ligand chemistry, and some elegant coordination chemistry and catalysis with these ligands has been reported [81–86]. The second route uses alpha-metalation of haloferrocenes [87–90], which was developed in our research group and since has seen fruitful development from several high-profile research groups [91–102]. The adapted methodology has enabled the synthesis of fully substituted ferrocenes such as *deca*-bromoferrocene [103] and *deca*-(trimethylsilyl)ferrocene [104]. The synthetic method is clearly suitable to use to prepare a range of other P4-ligands such as the known tetra phosphine ligand, *tppf*, **8** (Figure 1), which may be considered the tetra phosphine version of *dppf*. However, as noted earlier, based on the coordination chemistry of compound **8**, it may be more reasonably be considered the tetradentate version of the ligand 1,2-bis-diphenylphosphine ligand, **5**, as bidentate coordination of nickel, palladium and platinum is favoured between phosphines on the same cp-ring rather than inter-annular coordination [87]. Hierso has championed this ligand type, especially the di t-butyl substituted ligand 4,4′-bis(t-butyl)-1,1′,2,2′-tetrakis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene, **10** (see Figure 1), in which the t-butyl groups give the ligand increased solubility in organic solvents. The compound 4,4′-bis(t-butyl)-1,1′,2,2′-tetrakis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene, *HiersoPHOS-5*, is commercially available. This family of ligands can bind two metals in a bidentate mode, but the resultant complexes can be poorly soluble in common organic solvents. Of the tetradentate ligands, the ligand 1,1′,2,2′-tetrakis-(di-isopropylphosphino)ferrocene, *tippf*, **9**, has always been the principal target for us: this has been prepared previously by us using the *ortho*-metalation method, but its synthesis was not optimum, and hence was never published. The present paper presents a reliable synthesis of this ligand in high yield, together with a brief, in situ, NMR investigation into its coordination chemistry. This ligand is of special interest because of the success of the related ligand *dippf*, **2**, in catalytic applications [105], and it also has added basicity and should be able to bind to different metals or form homo-dimetallic complexes, which should improve the catalytic activity and performance. In comparison with the related arylphosphines *dppf*, **1,** and *tppf*, **8**, it will have the added non-polar solution solubility, and the enhanced activity associated with *dippf*. In this work, we seek to develop a synthetic route which avoids the use of column chromatography where possible. The rationale for this work was simply to develop an easy synthesis method which does not require cumbersome purification steps.

2. Results and Discussion

The general synthetic route diagram used in this work is shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4. Generalised synthetic routes **A**, **B**, and **C** to 1,1′,2,2′-tetrakis-(di-isopropylphosphino)ferrocene, *tippf*, **9**. **A** from 1,1′-dibromoferrocene; **B** and **C** from 1,1′,2,2′-tetrabromoferrocene. The method is also adaptable to the improved synthesis of its phenyl analogue **8**. Route A was the one that was initially examined using the pre-existing methodology affording mixtures.

We had attempted the synthesis of compound **9** from 1,1′-dibromoferrocene and **15** via the dibromide **18** without its isolation previously, but we were unable to obtain **9** via a reproducible method. The yields are low, and there are many by-products (isomers formed because of the isomerisation of the lithium intermediates). Here, we use a new modified route **A** (Figure 4), which is identical except for the addition of TMEDA to stop the isomerisation (the halogen dance), and we carry out the reaction at room temperature. This results in a much-improved synthetic method, and compound **18** can be isolated and crystallised as red/orange nodules following flash chromatography in high yields (80%+). Both diastereomers are formed in slightly differing ratios. The crystal structure of *rac*-**18** is shown in Figure 5, together with that of *rac*-2,2′-bis-(di-isopropylphosphino)-1,1′-dichloroferrocene, **30**, for comparison. The phosphorus chemical shifts for these compounds are observed at −4.90 (**18**) and −4.98 ppm (**30**). The pertinent NMR spectra of compound **18** are shown in Figure 6.

Compound **18** (as an isomer mixture) is then dilithiated and quenched with chlorodi-isopropylphosphine a second time to give compound **9,** again in reasonable yields, 70–80%, overall, 56–64%. Mid-way through this project, 1,1′,2,2′-tetrabromoferrocene, **16**, became available in multi-gram quantities in our lab from lithio-ferrocenes [104,105], and thus was used as an ideal starting material (Figure 4) for routes **B** and **C**. Again, in route **B**, diastereomers of **18** are formed; thus, these are best reacted as a mixture to give **9**. However, the preferred synthetic route is following route **C**, which is a one-step method. 1,1′,2,2′-tetralithioferrocene, **19**, is generated in either hexane/ether or in neat diethyl ether, and chlorodi-isopropylphosphine is added to the chilled solution. The yield obtained after workup is >90%. Ligand **9** is obtained as red nodules from methanol. It is readily soluble in hexane, diethyl ether, and chloroform but it is poorly soluble in DMF and DMSO, as was observed when attempting complexation reactions in these solvents. Its proton NMR

spectrum is essentially as anticipated by direct comparison with that of **6**; the ferrocene Cp-resonances are observed as the characteristic pseudo doublet (4.33 ppm) and pseudo triplet (4.55 ppm) (Figure 7). The chemical shift of the phosphorus resonance, which is observed at −1.27 ppm, is a similar value to that of 1,1′- and 1,2-bis-(di-isopropylphosphino)ferrocene, **2** and **6** (Figure 1). The crystal structure of compound *tippf*, **9**, is shown in Figure 8.



Figure 5. Crystal structures of ligands of 2′-diphenylphosphino-tribromoferrocene, **21**, 2,2′-bis-(di-isopropylphosphino)-dibromoferrocene, *rac*-**18** (and 2,2′-bis-di-isopropylphosphino-1,1′-dichloroferrocene, **30**, *meso*, added for comparison).



Figure 6. ^{1}H correlation spectrum of 2,2′-bis-di-isopropylphosphino-1,1′-dibromoferrocene, **18** (**A**,**C**), and ^{31}P NMR spectrum, one isomer, and (**B**,**D**) both isomers (approx. 2:1 ratio). Note that both phosphorus resonances overlap in the mixed isomer sample. (resonance expansions are shown in subfigures of **C** and **D**).



Figure 7. ^{1}H NMR spectrum of 1,1′,2,2′-tetrakis(di-isopropylphosphino)ferrocene, **9** (crystallised from reagent grade methanol). Note, chloroform resonance at ca 7.24 ppm and methanol CH_3 resonance at ca 3.45 ppm.



Figure 8. Top and side view of the crystal structure of compound **9**, *tippf*.

As shown in Figure 9, the methyl proton presents as a pair of doublets of doublets of doublets, while the methylene protons present as a broad pseudo pentet and a sharper 11-line resonance.



(**A**) (**B**)

Figure 9. ^{1}H NMR spectra (**A**) (with correlations) of compound **9**. (**B**) Shows the detailed multiplicity of the methyl and methylene resonances. (the singlet resonances are due to chloroform (7.24 ppm, and methanol, 3.46 ppm).

Treatment of ligand **9** with excess elemental sulphur (nmr tube) gives the tetrasulfide **14**, in which the two ferrocenyl proton resonances exchange positions and move downfield to 4.90 ppm and 5.22 ppm, respectively (see Figure 10).



Figure 10. ^{1}H NMR tube experiment to illustrate that the ferrocenyl resonance changes positions when the phosphine sulphide is formed. Bottom spectrum, compound **9**; top spectrum, compound **9** with addition of elemental sulphur. The appearance of other broad resonances indicates this is not a clean process. (some resonances attenuated in the top spectrum).

The new procedure used here also allowed for a much improved synthesis of the previously reported compound, 1,1′,2,2′-tetrakis-(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene, **8**. This compound was obtained as orange crystals on a 5 g scale. This preparation is straightforward, although removal of trace impurities of tris-1′,2,2′-tris-(diphenylphosphino)bromoferrocenes and traces of phosphine oxides caused by using commercial chlorodiphenylphosphine proved a little difficult (see Supporting Information). For this reason, it is recommended to use freshly opened or distilled samples of chlorodiphenylphosphine. Nevertheless, this synthetic method is an excellent one, with improved yields compared to existing methodology, which again had the problem of the formation of isomers. Spectral data is given in the Supporting Information, which shows the presence of 2,1′,2′-tris-(diphenylphosphino)bromoferrocenes, occasionally observed as an impurity. This compound complements the 1,1′,3,3′-tetrakis-(diphenylphosphino) ferrocene, **11**, (Figure 1, inset), which we prepared in the early 2000′s.

It was thought that the synthetic method would be suitable for the preparation of the related 1,1′,2,2′-tetrakis-(di-*tert*-butylphosphino)ferrocene, essentially by changing the quenching reagent to chlorodi-*tert*-butylphosphine. However, when we attempted the reaction, we were unsuccessful in obtaining the anticipated product, probably because the steric congestion caused by having adjacent bulky phosphines is too much. Highly air-sensitive product mixtures resulted. If we were to add THF into this synthesis, it is likely that the 1,3-disubstituted products would result following a halogen dance mechanism. However, 1,1′,2,2′-tetrabromoferrocene, **16**, may be used as a precursor for the synthesis of 2′-diphenylphosphino-1,1′,2-tribromoferrocene, **21** (see Figure 11 for general synthetic scheme). This was obtained in one step by lithium exchange of one bromine and quenching with chlorodiphenylphosphine. The correlation NMR spectrum of this compound (*rac*-) is shown in Figure 12. The reaction yield is greater than 80% (not optimised). Clearly, compound **21** will be a useful precursor compound for the synthesis of other many other phosphines, and this will allow the future synthesis of ferrocenes with four different phosphine substituents. In this case, there are six distinct ferrocenyl-proton resonances.



Figure 11. Schematic showing the ferrocenylphosphines which may be obtained from 1,1′,2,2′-tetrabromoferrocene, **16**, and structural drawings of compounds **30**–**32**.



Figure 12. Correlation NMR spectrum of 2′-diphenylphosphino-1,1′,2-tribromoferrocene, **21**. In the figure, the grey circles are the ferrocenyl protons on the phosphine-substituted ring, while the red circles represent resonances on the dibrominated ring.

The reaction of the 1,1′,2,2′-tetrabromoferrocene, **16**, with two equivalents of n-butylithium, followed by the same quenching reagent, gave the known 2,2′-bis-(diphenylphosphino)-1,1′-dibromoferrocene, **17**, in good, isolated yields (Figure 4, route A). However, the recommended route to this compound is from 1,1′-dibromoferrocene, **15**, (Figure 4, route **B**). This compound may now be obtained free from the other isomers such as 2,4-bis-(diphenylphosphino)-1,1′-dibromoferrocene, **31**, which plagued the earlier reported synthesis [88]. There is no need for chromatography. It is possible to conduct this reaction in multi-gram quantities. Detailed (in addition to those spectra shown in Figure 13) NMR spectra of this compound are given in the Supplementary Material section. From this, the mixed phosphine compound bis-2,2′-di-isopropylphosphino-1,1′-bis-(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene, **24** (see Figure 11), was also obtained following the lithiation and quench method as an orange/red crystalline solid. The ^{1}H/^{1}H COSY NMR spectrum of this compound is shown in Figure 14. On crystallisation from methanol, the product obtained was an approximately 2:1 mixture of meso and racemic isomers. Despite its highly crystalline appearance, we were unable to obtain a crystal structure.



Figure 13. ^{1}H NMR correlation spectra, 2,2′-bis-diphenylphosphine-1,1′-dibromoferrocene, **17**, (**A**), both isomers, and (**B**, (COSY) **D,** singlet at 1.5 ppm water), both predominantly one isomer (**B**, Cp-expansion only) from crystallization. Treatment of single isomer with sulphur gives the sulphide 2,2′-bis-(diphenylphosphinesulfido)-1,1′-dibromoferrocene, shown in (**C**), cleanly with the ^{31}P resonance moving from to ca −22.0 ppm (in **D**) to +41.2 ppm.



(**A**) (**B**)



(**C**)

Figure 14. (**A**,**B**) NMR spectrum of compound **24** (prepared from one isomer of compound **13**) and the expansion of the ferrocenyl region, and (**C**): $^{1}H/^{1}H$ COSY NMR spectrum of impure solid **24**, 🔴 (*rac* and *meso* ⚫ 2:1 ratio produced by a second crystallisation of residual solid). The blue circles show resonances of a monophosphine-substituted cyclopentadienyl ring of one of the co-crystallizing byproducts.

A point that should be emphasized here is that the lithiated intermediate compound **23** (see Figure 10) is best precipitated from solution, as reactions of the lithium with the phosphine can cause isolation problems.

The phosphorus chemical shifts in **24** are observed at –26.46 (d, $J_{P,P}$ = 89 Hz) and −5.73 ppm, respectively, for the isomer obtained from a sample of compound **13** with mainly one isomer.

As discussed earlier, the proton NMR of a crystallised sample indicates that both diastereomers are present and the small quantity of the second isomer may have thwarted

our attempted crystallographic characterisation. However, we do have a structural report of the palladium complex of compound **24**, which is available in the Supplementary Material. Further pertinent NMR spectra are also shown in the Supplementary Material section. In theory, the general synthetic method should be extendable to the preparation of more highly substituted ferrocenes. Therefore, a test reaction was carried out on the alpha dilithiation of 1,1′,2,2′-tetrabromoferrocene, **16**, to give 3,3′-bis-diphenylphosphino-1,1′,2,2′-tetrabromoferrocene, **25**, directly via the dilithium compound **24** (see Figure 11 for drawing).

Compound **25** was indeed the major product obtained from this reaction; however, it was only isolated following a chromatographic separation because of the significant number of by-products present. This was because *thf* had to be added to enhance the reagent solubility in the synthesis when quenching with the phosphine. This caused isomerisation of the lithiated intermediate, resulting in several byproducts. The ferrocene protons in the NMR spectrum (Figure 15) of this compound are observed as two doublets, (3.22, 4.62 ppm, isomer 1, and 3.23, 4.67 ppm, isomer 2). The protons adjacent to the bromines are the low field resonances, and the high field resonances are assigned as the protons next to the phosphines. The phosphorus resonances are observed at −26.11 ppm for both isomers, indicating a progression of chemical shifts as more bromines are added to *dppf*: *dppf*, −16.7 ppm; dibromo-*dppf*, −21.9; and tetrabromo-*dppf*, −26.1. As discussed above multiple lithiations (more than dilithiation) can occur in this synthesis, and when even a small excess of the LiTMP/TMEDA reagent was used, tris-phosphine, **27** (see Figure 16), and small quantities of tetraphosphine, **29**, were also observed by NMR. The three phosphorus resonances of compound **27** are observed at −25.01, −28.41, and −30.37 ppm, and for the tetra phosphine, **29**, a singlet at −32.1 ppm.

This poly lithiation is not unexpected and may be a useful adaptation of the synthetic methodology. Indeed, when we used excess lithiation reagents in early syntheses of **17** from 1,1′-dibromoferrocene, we also observed the presence of more highly substituted phosphinoferrocenes in the product mixtures. As we now have access to multi-gram quantities of 1,1′,2,2′,3,3′-hexabromoferrocene [106,107], it was hoped it would be possible to exploit the same reaction chemistry to prepare even more highly substituted ferrocenes, as well as examining the preparation of other phosphines with different phosphorus substituents. Unfortunately, this will require the use of more polar solvents in the case of the preparation of ferrocenylarylphosphines. On two attempted dilithiation reactions of 1,1′,2,2′,3,3′-hexabromoferrocene and quenching with chlorodiphenylphosphine in thf, we observed that extensive lithium scrambling led to too many products separating easily. Of course, this synthesis should be relatively easy for alkylphosphine synthesis, since they are soluble in hexane/ether, and we hope to see others pioneer this area of work. As mentioned earlier, the *per*-methylated *dippf* ligand, 2,3,4,5,7,8,9,10-octamethyl-1,6-*bis*-di-isopropyl-phosphino-ferrocene, *omdippf*, **32** (shown in Figure 10), had been used by us in previous ligand tests in the Lucite alpha process [108]. However, the synthetic data has not been published. The synthetic methodology is thus given in the Supporting Information. NMR data for ligand **32** is also included in the Supporting Information Section as it makes a useful NMR comparison with both *dippf*, **2**, and *tippf*, **9**. The phosphorus resonance of **32** is thus observed at 1.04 ppm. Its structure can be seen when coordinated to palladium, as shown in the Supplementary Material section.



(**A**) (**B**)

Figure 15. Band 1: chromatographic fraction showing major product, 3,3′-bis-diphenylphosphino-1,1′,2,2′-tetrabromoferrocene, **25**, from the dilithiation and quench with $ClPPh_2$. (**A**) Full spectrum, (**B**), COSY of ferrocenyl proton resonances. The second isomer of this compound could only be identified in product mixtures using COSY NMR.



(**A**) (**B**)

Figure 16. (**A**) ^{1}H NMR spectrum showing the presence of the 3,5,3′-tris-diphenylphosphino-1,1′,2,2′-tetrabromoferrocene, **27**. (**B**) Expansion of stacked ferrocenyl-region.

2.1. In Situ NMR-Based Coordination Studies of 2,2′-Bis-(diphenylphosphino)-1,1′-dibromoferrocene, 17 with Palladium and Platinum

Detailed coordination studies on related diphosphines and tetraphosphines and more highly phosphinated ferrocene ligands have been carried out by Hierso and co-workers; therefore, the reader is directed to these reports [74–86]. No bulk coordination complexes were possible here with precious metals, simply because we had limited resources. However, some basic coordination chemistries of nickel, palladium, and platinum (II) were examined using NMR spectroscopy by incremental addition of a metal precursor complex to a solution of the ligand in a deuterated solvent. This method is useful to enhance selectivity where complex product mixtures are possible due to a range of different coordination modes for polyphosphine ligands. For nickel compounds, the nickel complex [Ni(DME)Cl_2)] (DME = 1,2-dimethoxyethane) was used as a precursor. In the case of palladium, the precursor complexes [Pd(1,5-COD)Cl_2] or [Pd(CH_3CN)$_2Cl_2$] were used, and for platinum, [Pt(DMSO)$_2Cl_2$] was used. Typical NMR spectra are given in the Supporting Information Section. In the case of palladium and platinum, we were able to isolate crys-

talline samples of the meso isomer, which were structurally characterised. These are both square planar, as expected, and are shown in Figure 17.



Figure 17. Side and top views of the crystal structure of platinum dichloride complex of 2,2′-bis-diphenylphospino-1,1′-dibromoferrocene, **17**. *meso* and side and top views of the palladium dichloride complex of **17**. Full details are given in the Supplementary Material.

2.2. Coordination of 1,1′,2,2′-Tetrakis-(di-isopropyl-phosphino)ferrocene, (tdipf), **9**

It is noted that both the yellow trigonal nickel(I) and the green tetrahedral nickel (II)complexes of *dippf* have been structurally characterised previously [107]. On addition of nickel to a solution of ligand **9** (*tiiprf)* in chloroform, the monometallic complex is formed initially; this confirms it is square planar, as tetrahedral complexes are paramagnetic. This mono metallic complex forms from two phosphorus atoms on one cyclopentadienyl ring; thus, both free and coordinated phosphorus are observed. The free phosphine is observed at −2.59 ppm, whereas the co-ordinated phosphine is observed at +60.17 ppm. In the case of the ferrocene protons, the initial simplicity of the ligand resonances makes complex assignment easy, as the initial doublet and triplet pattern acts as a marker, and two new sets of resonances designate coordinated and unligated cyclopentadienyl rings. Both resonances move downfield; the uncoordinated cyclopentadienyl ring moves to 4.41 ppm (4.32) and 5.06 (4.55) ppm, whereas the coordinated ring protons move to 4.51 and 4.87 ppm. The figures in brackets are for the free ligand (see Figure 18 for in situ addition experiment). Further additions of nickel result in the formation of the bimetallic complex; however, the solubility of this was extremely low in the chlorinated solvents we had available for NMR experiments.

Because of this, no phosphorus resonance was identified for the bimetallic complex (which had precipitated), and only one very low resolution ferrocenyl proton resonance was observed at 4.67 ppm. Despite these problems, the nickel complex could be isolated as a crystalline compound on a larger scale by slow solvent diffusion, and its crystal structure is shown in Figure 19. In the case of palladium, the preparative addition of an excess of palladium bis acetonitrile dichloride to the ligand results in a deep red solution, from which a red brown precipitate is immediately formed. If the precipitate is ether washed and dried, then washed with chloroform-*d*, a sparingly soluble red complex is observed with a phosphorus singlet resonance at +72 ppm due to the bimetallic complex. Unfortunately, at that time, we had not obtained crystals suitable for single crystal analysis. Similar results were observed on carrying out palladium addition to ligand **8** (see Supplementary Materials).



Figure 18. Formation of monometallic nickel dichloride complex of compound **9** (NMR experiment); see inset. Both ferrocenyl resonances move downfield: the uncoordinated cyclopentadienyl ring proton resonance moves to 4.41 ppm (from 4.32) and 5.06 (from 4.55) ppm, whereas the coordinated ring protons move to 4.51 and 4.87 ppm.



Figure 19. Crystal structures of the nickel dichloride complexes of ligands **8** and **9**. (**A**), a top view and (**B**), a side view of the dinickel complex of ligand **8,** and (**C**), the top view and (**D**), the side view of the dinickel complex of ligand **9**.

Lastly, the coordination chemistry with platinum is as expected, and fits the pattern with those of the other group 16 metals. In the NMR experiments with platinum, $[Pt(DMSO)_2Cl_2]$ was used as the precursor, even though it is poorly soluble in chloro-

form. On coordination with *tiipf*, case two complexes in significant concentration were observed by NMR, with phosphorus chemical shifts observed at +22.22 ppm (major) and +21.66 (minor) with ^{195}Pt-^{31}P coupling constants of 3651 and 3616 Hz, respectively. Analogous results are observed by NMR in that the mono platinum complex forms first with the ligand proton resonance adjacent to phosphorus shifting from 4.35 ppm to 4.43 and 4.52 ppm (bound ring,) and 4.56 ppm (on the Pt bound ring) to 4.89 and 5.07 ppm. The major product complex which precipitates is assigned as the bidentate complex with the structure analogous to that of the nickel complex (see Figure 19). In a preparative scale reaction, an orange complex was formed, which immediately precipitated from the solution. Here, again, redissolution in the solvents used for NMR experiments was difficult. Despite the difficulty involved in these NMR scale experiments, we were able to isolate some crystalline compounds, and their structures are shown in Figure 19. These new complexes are thus ready to use in catalytic applications in powder form.

2.3. Extension of Methodology

Finally, one last frontier in ligand chemistry is now possible, which is the easy preparation of poly-ligands or polymers made of ligands. Polyligands are scaffolds for multi-metal catalysis. In the simplest case, dilithium compounds may be simply reacted with one half equivalent (w.r.t. Li) of dichlorophosphines instead of an equivalent of monochlophophines, with potential examples shown in Figure 20. We have briefly examined some reactions of this kind and isolated some poly-brominated precursors, which are pale yellow/cream in colour. In the case of dichlorophenylphosphine, the limitation is the solubility of the polymer, as oligomers tend to precipitate from solution. Thus, to achieve the desired scaffolds, it will be necessary to isolate the dilithium compounds and redissolve them in THF, then add the solution to a to a solution of the dichlorophosphines in THF. During the preparation of compound **35**, the intermediate compound **34** appears briefly at low temperature, as the solution turns deep red before returning the customary yellow-orange colour. This area of work clearly requires exploration, as it will be possible to host an array of different metals on these ligands, which should allow for very detailed, if complex, catalytic experiments to be performed. This bodes well for future research.



Figure 20. Schematic showing synthetic routes to polyphosphine ligands. (R = alkyl, aryl).

Clearly, future work in this area should focus on the use of alkylphosphines to obtain soluble polyphosphinoferrocene ligands. Where a solid ligand support is required, it is important to ensure the solvents used in the synthesis are highly polar and have no acidic

protons. It should be relatively easy to obtain more highly substituted phosphinoferrocenes, such as the fully phosphoryated decakis-(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene, if its poor solubility does not become the limiting factor. However, this aside, we now have derivatives of *dppf* which can be easily substituted (Figure 20).

3. Materials and Methods

All materials used, including lithiation reagent, phosphines, and solvents, were commercially sourced and used without repurification. Diethyl ether and tetrahydrofuran were dried using a commercial solvent drying instrument. ^{1}H NMR data were obtained on a Bruker WM400 instrument (Karlsruhe, Germany) operating at 400 MHz for protons. All crystallographic work was carried out at the National Crystallography Centre based at the University of Southampton. Mass spectroscopic data recording was carried out in-house and at the EPSRC National Mass Spectrometry Centre based at Swansea University. We thank the staff of both these institutions for their excellent and painstaking work.

3.1. General Experimental Details

All reactions were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere; however, all workups were performed under normal laboratory conditions. All reaction solvents were pre-dried using a commercial solvent drying instrument, and other solvents used for work ups were standard reagent grade. NMR experiments were conducted in $CDCl_3$ using a 400 MHz instrument for protons unless otherwise indicated. 1,1′-Dibromoferrocene and 1,1′,2,2′-Tetrabromoferrocene were prepared according to the literature method [104]. Other regents were all obtained from commercial suppliers and were used as received. Bromoferrocene solutions in hexane(s) were prepared by vigorously shaking the bromoferrocene in a large vial until fully dissolved. TMEDA = tetramethylethylenediamine; TMP = tetramethylpiperidine. It was important that the bromoferrocene(s) were fully dissolved before commencing work.

3.2. Lithiation of 1,1′-Dibromoferrocene [104]

Preparation of 2,2′-Dilithio-1,1′-dibromoferrocene, General Method

The method used follows the experimental work reported recently by Butler [104], except that the scales of reaction used here are somewhat lower. A mixture of LiTMP and TMEDA (*Alphalith*) was prepared in hexane or hexanes by addition of n-BuLi to TMP, and then adding half an equivalent of TMEDA. To this solution, a solution of 1,1′-dibromoferrocene, in the minimum quantity of hexane required to fully dissolve it, was added. Typically, a scale of 10 mmol was used. The reagent quantities used were as follows: 1,1′-dibromoferrocene (3.44 g); TMEDA (1.20 g); and TMP (2.90 g) in hexane (200 mL). After stirring for 20–30 min, a slurry of the 2,2′-dilithio-1,1′-dibromoferrocene–TMEDA reagent was obtained, ready for quenching with the appropriate electrophile.

3.3. Lithiation of 1,1′,2,2′-Tetrabromoferrocene [104]

Preparation of 3,3′-Dilithio-1,1′,2,2′-tetrabromoferrocene, General Method

The reaction was carried out in an identical manner to the previous experiment, except that because of the lower solubility of 1,1′,2,2′-tetrabromoferrocene in hexane(s), larger volumes of hexane were required to fully dissolve the precursor ferrocene. A mixture of LiTMP and TMEDA (*Alphalith*) was prepared in hexane or hexanes by addition of n-BuLi to TMP, adding half an equivalent of TMEDA. To this solution, a solution of 1,1′,2,2′-tetrabromoferrocene, in the minimum quantity of hexane required to fully dissolve it, was added. Typically, a scale of 5 mmol was used. The reagents used were as follows: 1,1′,2,2′-tetabromferrocene (2.6 g), TMEDA (0.60 g), and TMP (1.45 g) in hexane (200 mL). After

stirring for 20–30 min, a slurry of the 3,3′-dilithio-1,1′,2,2′-tetrabromoferrocene–TMEDA reagent was obtained, ready for quenching with the appropriate electrophile.

3.4. Tetra-lithiation of 1,1′,2,2′-Tetrabromoferrocene [108]

3.4.1. Lithiation, Method 1

A solution of 1,1′,2,2′-tetrabromoferrocene (2.5 g, 5 mmol) in diethyl ether maintained at −40–50 °C was treated with a slight excess of t-butyllithium, and the resulting deep red solution was used after 5–10 min.

3.4.2. Lithiation, Method 2

A slurry of 1,1′,2,2′-tetralithioferrocene was prepared at room temperature by stirring a solution of 1,1′,2,2′-tetrabromoferrocene in hexane with n-BuLi or t-BuLi. Addition of a few mL of diethyl ether facilitated the production of 1,1′,2,2′-tetralithioferrocene, which gradually precipitated. The slurry was used after 1 h.

The quenching reagents used were as follows: (a) chlorodiphenylphosphine; (b) chlorodi-isopropylphosphine; (c) dichloroisopropylphosphine; and (d) dichlorodphenylphosphine.

3.5. Quench Methodology

Generally, the quench reagent may be added dropwise to the well stirred solution of the lithiated reagent, and stirring was continued for 1–2 h. In the case of the less soluble reagents, such as chlorodiphenylphosphine, the reaction solution was warmed by immersion of the reaction flask (with a reflux condenser added to the reaction flask) in an oil bath for a further 2 h after the initial stirring period.

3.5.1. Work-Up: Isopropylphosphine Quench Reagents

The reactions were quenched with an aqueous solution of sodium carbonate, and diethyl ether was added to facilitate product extraction. The product contained in the organic layer was separated in a filter funnel, and this organic solution was dried over anhydrous magnesium sulphate. The solution was then suction filtered gently using a Buchner funnel through a small plug (2–3 cm depth) of silica gel. The gel was washed with ether to ensure any trapped product was removed. The volatiles were removed from the combined organic phases on a rotary evaporator. The resultant oil was dissolved in methanol and the product solution was left to stand in a sealed container or cooled in a freezer until crystallisation occurred. The products generally crystallised as nodules which were orange-red or red.

3.5.2. Work-Up: Phenylphosphine Quench Reagents

The reactions were quenched with an aqueous solution of sodium carbonate and diethyl ether, and dichloromethane was added to facilitate product extraction. The product contained in the organic layer was separated in a filter funnel, and this organic solution was dried over anhydrous magnesium sulphate. The solution was then suction filtered gently using a Buchner funnel through a small plug (2–3 cm depth) of silica gel. The volatiles were removed on a rotary evaporator. The resultant oil was dissolved in dichloromethane and the solution was triturated with diethyl ether until the first signs of clouding appeared. Then, the solution was allowed to stand or cooled to −20 °C to facilitate crystallisation. In methanol, the product solution was left to stand in a sealed container or cooled in a freezer until crystallisation occurred. The products generally crystallised as crystals or microcrystals which were yellow-orange or orange.

3.6. Compound Preparations

3.6.1. Preparation of the 1,1′,2,2′-Tetrakis-(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene, *tdppf*, **8**, [5 g Scale]

1,1′,2,2′-Tetrabromoferrocene 5.0 g (10 mml) was suspended in a mixture of diethyl ether (50 mL) and hexane (150 mL) at room temperature. A solution of n-BuLi in hexane (17 mL, 42.5 mmol) was added slowly and after a few minutes turbidity is observed which quickly results in the formation of the characteristic precipitate of 1,1′,2,2′-tetralithioferrocene. After 90 min the solution was cooled to *ca* −50 °C and chlorodiphenylphosphine (9.4 g, 43 mmol) in diethyl ether (100 mL) was added with rapid stirring (to avoid the phosphine freezing as droplets on addition). On warming, the colour changed through orange to a red-brown final colour. The solution was gently warmed to ensure complete reaction after it attained room temperature. The solution was then hydrolysed with an excess of an aqueous saturated potassium bicarbonate solution (100 mL), and the two phases were thoroughly mixed for 15 min. Following this, the red solution was filtered through a glass frit and the precipitate was washed with excess diethyl ether until the washing ran clear. The aqueous fraction was discarded, and the ether solution was combined and dried over magnesium sulphate. The solution was then passed through a short silica plug (using excess ether to remove the colour), and the red filtrate was reduced in volume. At the point where the last few mL of ether was removed, the product precipitated as a red/orange solid. This was redissolved in the minimum volume of ether and recrystallised at low temperature. This reaction was carried out numerous times, and each time the work-up method was varied dependent on how the product precipitated. To obtain a highly pure sample, the product could be chromatographed on deactivated neutral alumina or celite using hexane and ether eluents, which would result in a red microcrystalline sample.

Note: ^{t}BuLi can also be used in the series of experiments, but the solution must be cooled (min −30 °C) prior to addition.

1,1′,2,2′-Tetrakis-(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene, 8: ^{1}H NMR.: 3.83 (br dd, 4H, J = 1.0, 2.2 Hz), 4.72 (pt, J = 2.2 Hz), 6.85–7.30 (m's, 40H). ^{13}C NMR: 74.30 (2C), 77.53 (4C) (fc C's), 127.52 (t, J = 3.5 Hz), 127.80, 128.14 (t, J = 3.7 Hz), 128.74, 133.24 (t, J = 8.2 Hz), 134.55 (t, J = 9.5 Hz), (non quat. C's) 137.35 (t, J = 3.3 Hz), 138.18 (t, J = 4.3 Hz), (quat C's). ^{31}P −23.37. Mass spectrum: m/z, 923.1975, (theoretical), 923.1979 (observed). See Supporting Information for additional spectra.

3.6.2. Preparation of 1,1′,2,2′-Tetrakis-diisopropylphosphinoferrocene, **9**, Method 1

A solution of 2,2′-bis-di-isopropylphosphino)-1,1′-dibromoferrocene (1.44 g, 2.5 mmol) dissolved in diethyl ether (100 mL) maintained at −40 °C was treated with a solution of n-butyllithium (2.2 mL of a 2.5 M solution in hexanes, 5.5 mmol) and was stirred for 30 min. Chlorodiisopropylphosphine (0.9 mL, 5.6 mmol) was added dropwise using an airtight syringe and the solution was subsequently warmed to room temperature. Following hydrolysis with water, drying, and filtration following the method outlined above, the product was crystallised from methanol at −20 °C. Crystallisation in this case took several days, where the product was obtained as waxy red nodules (0.74 g, 52%). The residual solution can also be retained to obtain metal complexes.

3.6.3. Preparation of 1,1′,2,2′-Tetrakis-diisopropylphosphinoferrocene, **9**, Method 2

1,1′,2,2′-tetralithioferrocene was prepared as a red suspension by addition of n-butyllithium (8.0 mL of a 2.5 M solution) to a solution of 1,1′,2,2′-tetra-bromoferrocene (2.5 g, 5 mmol) dissolved in diethyl ether (50 mL) at room temperature. The solution was cooled to ca −50 °C before chloro-di-isopropylphosphine was added. The solution

was then warmed slowly to room temperature before being hydrolysed with a solution of potassium bicarbonate in water. The reaction mixture was poured into a separating funnel and the organic fraction was collected using small further increments of ether to extract any residual product (using the colour as an indication). This solution was flash filtered through a plug consisting of separate layers of silica and magnesium sulphate, and the plug was then further ether washed. The total filtrate was reduced in volume to leave an oil which was redissolved in the minimum volume of methanol for a complete solution. The solution was cooled to −20 °C in a flask under nitrogen for 3 days, whereupon it crystallised to give the product as red-pink nodules (91%). The residual solution, which still contained some ligand, **9**, was used directly to form a metal complex with nickel by addition of [Ni(DME)Cl_2] to the solution.

1,1′,2,2′-Tetrakis-(di-isopropylphosphino)ferrocene, **9**: ^{1}H NMR. 1.02 (ddd, 24H), 1.26 (ddd, 24H), 2.19 (m, 4H), 2.32 (m, 4H), 4.30 (m, 4H,), 4.52 (pt, 4H, *J* = 2.1 Hz). ^{13}C NMR: 20.29 (pt), 20.23 (pt), 21.86 (pt), 23.06 (pt), 24.96 (dd), 25.89 (pt), 73.62, 75.90, 85.33 (quat.). ^{31}P NMR: −1.29 (s). pt = pseudo triplet. Mass spectrum: M^+ + 1, *m*/*z* 651.3598 (observed); 651.3223, (theor.). See Supplementary Material for actual spectra.

3.6.4. Preparation of Compounds 2.2′-Bis-diphenylphosphino-1,1′-dibromoferrocene, **17** and 2,2′-Bis-diisopropylphosphino-1,1′-dibromoferrocene **18**

(General statement- the isomers formed of the products **17** or **18** are (R_PS_P)≡(S_PR_P), *meso*-**17** or *meso*-**18** and (R_PR_P), (S_PS_P), *rac*-**17** or *rac*-**18**, where subscript P refers to the chiral ferrocene plane. Similarly, isomeric products are formed in all unsymmetrically substituted products). When we refer to two different isomers this means two isomer pairs. Tentative assignments of these are made based on steric arguments and the limited crystallographic data we have to date.

A solution of 2,2′-dilithio-1,1′-dibromoferrocene–TMEDA was prepared as follows: a solution of LiTMP in hexanes (300 mL) was prepared by slow addition (2–3 min) of n-BuLi (8.5 mL of a 2.5 M sol., 21.3 mmol) to a solution of TMP (3.10 g, 22.0 mmol) in hexanes (20 mL). To this, TMEDA (1.25 g, 10.8 mmol) was added. A saturated solution of 1,1′-dibromoferrocene (3.44 g, 10 mmol) in hexanes (prepared separately by shaking 1,1′-dibromoferrocene in the minimum volume of hexanes needed to dissolve it) was added dropwise over 10 min. This was subsequently left to stir for 30 min. The solution/slurry was cooled to −40 °C and quenched with chlorodi-isopropylphosphine (3.25 g, 21.3 mmol) or chlorodiphenylphosphine (5.13 g, 21.3 mmol).

2,2′-Bis-diphenylphosphino-1,1′-dibromoferrocene, **17**. (major): Isomer 1: *meso* from 4 equiv. prep. 3.80 (dd, 2H, *J* = 1.2, 2.5 Hz), 4.33 (pt, 2H, *J* = 2.5 Hz), 4.55 (dd, 2H, *J* = 1.2, 2.5 Hz), 7.14 (m, 4H), 7.26 (m, 6H), 7.41 (m, 6H), 7.56 (m, 4H). ^{13}CNMR: 74.55, 75.26, 76.25, 128.40, 128.93, 132.32, 135.34. (*ipso* C's not reported). ^{31}P: −21.6 (pt, $J_{P\text{-}H}$ = 7.4 Hz). Isomer 2, rac.: 3.33 (dd, 2H, *J* = 1.5, 2.5 Hz), 4.38 (bt,2H, *J* = 2.5 Hz), 4.62 (dd, *J* = 1.5, 2.5), 7.07–7.66 M's, H). C 71.63 (*J* = 3.7 Hz), 72.95 (*J* = 4.5 Hz), 80.70 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz) 78.72 (ipso), 78.80 (ipso) 128.30 (2d, *J* = 3.5, 8.5 Hz), 132.14 (d, *J* = 19 Hz), 134.81 (d, *J* = 20 Hz) 136.27, (*ipso*), 136.37, (ipso), ^{31}P: −21.9 (NMR spectra of both isomers together are included in the Supplementary Material).

Mass spectral data: Mass spec 712.9257, [M + H], 711(56), 712(24) 713(100), 714 (39), 715(50), 716(19), M + H ion profile, 708.9334, (theor.) 708.9345, (obs.).

Compound **18** crystallised as a mixture of two isomers labelled as major and minor (see previous NMR spectra; major = higher concentration).

2,2′-Bis-diisopropylphosphino-1,1′-dibromoferrocene, **18**. (*meso*): ^{1}H NMR($CDCl_3$): 0.94 (6H, dd, 7.5, 13.0), 1.03 (6H, dd, 6.9, 14.3), 1.21 (6H, dd, 6.9, 10.7), 1.37 (6H, dd, 6.9, 16.3), 1.93 (2H, m), 2.29 (2H, m), 4.11 (2H, bs) 4.14 (2H, br s), 4.55 (2H, br s). ^{13}C NMR: 19.89 (d, 8.8), 20.17 (d, 11.2), 20.46 (d, 16.7), 22.59 (d, 21.7), 22.96 (d, 10.5), 24.88 (d, 13.8), 72.16, 73.08

(d, 1.2 Hz), 73.32, 98.48, 97.69. ^{31}P: −3.99. (*rac*): ^{1}H NMR($CDCl_3$): 0.92 (6H, dd, overlapped), 1.00 (6H, dd, overlapped), 1.15 (6H, dd, 6.9, 13.2), 1.33 (6H, dd, 7.6 overlapped), 1.98 (2H, m), 2.07 (2H, m), 3.97 (2H, br. s), 4.32 (2H, br. s) 4.34 (2H, br s). ^{13}C NMR: (minor): 19.60 (d, 9.2), 20.01 (d, 7.9), 20.16 (d, 7.1), 21.94 (d, 18.2), 22.61 (d, 18.9), 25.23 (d, 13.8), 68.99, 71.80 (d, J = 2.9), 78.73. (quat. not observed). ^{31}P: −4.98 ppm, (*meso*), −5.15 ppm (*rac*). Mass spec. $C_{22}H_{34}Br_2FeP_2H$; *m/z* 572.9981 (obs.), 572.9971 (theoretical). Mass spec.: *m/z*, 576.9882, [M + H], theoretical (obs.), 575(58), 576(16), 577(100), 578(30), 579(51), 580(16), 580(16).

3.6.5. Compound **24**, 2,2′-Bis-(di-isopropylphosphino)-bis-1,1′-(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene

This compound was prepared according to the method using compound **17** as starting material quenching with one equivalent (w.r.t. Li) of chlorodi-isopropylphosphine. Product crystallisation was from methanol/ether. The reaction scales used were 1–5 g of **17**.

2,2′-Bis-(di-isopropylphosphino)-bis-1,1′-(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene, **24** (note this was prepared from compound **17**, predominantly one isomer of compound **17**, maj. data for maj. isomer reported here): ^{1}H NMR.: 0.53 (dd, 6H), 0.59 (dd, 6H), 0.75 (p pt, 6h), 1.01 (dd, 6H), 1.10–1.45 (m's, 4H), 3.81 (bs, 2H), 4.17 (bs, 2H), 4.86 (bs, 2H), 7.12–7.25 (m's, 10H), 7.33 (bs, 6H), 7.64 (pt, 4H). ^{31}P: −26.46 (d, J = 89 Hz), −5.73 (m). ^{13}C (DEPT) 127.9, 128.4, 132.72, 132.92, 135.63, 136.86, 138.02 (incomplete weak res.). Mass spec. m/e 786 parent ion; 784 (5.8), 785 (3.1) 786 (100%), 787 (51.9) 788 (4.1), 789 (2.4).

3.6.6. Preparation of 2′-Diphenylphosphino-1,1′,2-tribromoferrocene, Compound **21**

This compound was prepared from 1,1′,2,2′-tetrabromoferrocene by addition of one equivalent of n-BuLi to a solution of 1,1′,2,2′-tetrabromoferrocene in dry THF at −70 °C (10 min) followed by quenching with one equivalent of chlorodiphenylphosphine. Following the normal workup (addition of dilute sodium carbonate solution and separation of the organic layer, drying ($MgSO_4$) and volatile removal) the product oil was crystallised from a mixture of dichloromethane and diethyl ether.

2′-Diphenylphosphino-1,1′,2-tribromoferrocene, **21**: ^{1}H NMR: 3.82 (dd, 1H, J = 1.46, 2.74 Hz), 4.05 (t, 1H, J = 2.75, 2.75 Hz), 4.22 (pt, J = 1.44 Hz), 4.27(m), 4.43 (dd, 1H, J = 1.48, 2.76 Hz), 4.60 (1H, m, J = 1.44, 1.44 Hz). (note, coupling constants are as read) -blue is P-substituted Cp ring; 7.15 (m, 2H), 7.26 (m, 2H), 7.39 (m, 3H) 7.51 (pt, 3H). ^{31}P, t, −22–66, ^{13}C NMR: 70.42, 71.21, 73.66. 73.70, 74.23, 76.01, 138.33, 128.58, 132.25, 135.12 (quaternaries not included). Mass spec. parent ion isotopic pattern, m/e 604–613, prominent ions at m/e 608(30%), 610(28), 612 (10).

3.6.7. Preparation of 3,3′-Bis-(diphenylphosphino)-1,1′,2,2′-tetrabromoferrocene, **25**, (maj)

This compound was prepared by dithiation of 1,1′,2,2′-tetrabromoferrocene to form 3,3′-dilithio-1,1′,2,2′-tetrabromoferrocene–TMEDA using the general method as outlined in the preparation of compound **17**.

2,2′-bis(diphenylphosphino)-1,1′,2,2′-tetrabromoferrocene: ^{1}H NMR: 3.24 (d, 2H, J = 2.6 Hz), 4.69 (d, 2H, J = 2.6 Hz), 7.00–7.50 (m's, 20H) ^{31}P {H}NMR: −26.10 (s). ^{31}P NMR: −26.10 (pt, J = 7.8 Hz). ^{13} C NMR: 70.12, 75.08. Phenyl resonances are overlapped. Minor isomer: 3.55 (2H), 4.68 (2H), 7.00–7.60 (m's). No integration reported on minor isomer (see Supplementary Material for spectrum).

Compound **27**; observed as a byproduct, 3,5,3′-tris-(diphenylphosphino),1,1′,2,2′-tetrabromoferrocene: ^{1}H NMR: 3.42 (s, 1H), 3.59 (d, 1H, J = 2.7 Hz), 4.43 (d, 1H, J = 2.7 Hz), 7.08–7.45 (m's, 30 H) ^{31}P −25.04, −25.09, −28.48.

3.7. Additional Synthetic Information (Compounds Prepared for Spectroscopic Comparisons)

2,2′-Bis-diisopropylphosphino-1,1′-dichloroferrocene, **30**.

A sample of 2,2′-bis-diisopropylphosphino-1,1′-dichloroferrocene, **30** (both isomers), was prepared directly in diethyl ether by direct lithiation (2 equivalents) of 1,1′-dichloroferrocene in the presence of TMEDA (0.5 equivalent), followed by quenching with two equivalents of chlorodi-isopropylphosphine. In this case, there was no need for special reactions conditions, and no product isomerisation occurred. A comparison of chemical shifts with those of 2,2′-bis-di-isopropylphosphino-1,1′-dibromoferrocene is shown in the Supplementary Material.

Synthesis: 2,3,4,5,7,8,9,10-octamethyl-1,6-*bis*-di-isopropylphosphinoferrocene, *omdippf*, **32**.

At the outset, it was mentioned that the *per*-methylated *dppf* ligand, *dppomf*, **18**, had been used in previous ligand tests in the Lucite process, and we decided it would be useful to have access to this ligand and its di-isopropyl analogue, 2,3,4,5,7,8,9,10-octamethyl-1,6-*bis*-di-isopropylphosphinoferrocene, *omdippf*, **32**, available for comparative tests. This new ligand was prepared using a simple modification of literature methods used for the preparation of compound **18**. Full synthetic details are again presented in the Supplementary Materials section.

Preparation of oligomeric 2,2′-phenylphosphino-1,1‘-dibromoferrocene, **35**.

A sample of 2,2′-dilithio-1,1′-dibromoferrocene was prepared as described above from a 3.46 g sample of 1,1′-dibromoferrocene in hexane (200 mL) The slurry was cooled to −60 °C and a 0.5 equivalent of dichlorophenylphosphine was added. Diethyl ether (200 mL) was then added, and the solution was allowed to warm to room temperature. On warming, the solution briefly turned deep red, which indicated the presence of the ferrocenophane compound **34**, which disappeared on further warming to room temperature. The sample was left to stir for 4 h and was gently warmed in a water bath. Following this, the work-up was identical to that of other samples, involving hydrolysis with diluted sodium carbonate solution. Following these steps, the oligomeric product was obtained as a cream coloured solid which was removed by filtration before being washed with water and hexane/ether. The solid was then vacuum dried. This compound was stored under a nitrogen atmosphere, as it darkens in air after several days. This polymeric compound had a complex broad NMR spectrum, with broad resonance between 2.1–6.0 ppm and 6.8–7.8 ppm. Mass spectroscopy showed it to be oligomeric rather than polymeric, with an *m/z* range from 400 to 1800.

The conclusion which can be drawn is that to make polymers of these ligands, it will be necessary to use alkylphophines (proposed in Figure 21 below) or alkylated derivatives of the phenylphosphines to increase the solubility of the precursors themselves.



Figure 21. Scheme showing applications for the chemistry developed in this work; fully phosphine substituted ferrocenes, poly-brominated phosphines, and polymers which may be used as ligands to bind multiple metals.

4. Summary and Overall Conclusions

In summary, the alpha lithiation method has been used to establish an easy synthetic route for more highly substituted *dppf* and *diipf* ligands. This will make it possible to vary the methods in which these two commercially important ligands are used. It is now possible to predict whether nickel (II) will bind in a square planar or tetrahedral mode based on the ligand structure. The metal complexes of these oligo-phosphine ligands reported here primarily exhibit square planar coordination, and these should thus be excellent ligands for use in alkoxy carbonylation and related industrial catalytic processes. In this work, all the new metal complexes have been prepared at room temperature with a view to the examination of the binding mechanism. There is a large area of research which may now be explored from the bulk synthesis of these complexes to the preparation of ferrocenes, which are fully substituted with 10 alkylphosphines. Finally, since this work was completed, there have been several reports published which use the lithiation protocols reported here [109–111].

At the outset, we stated that the experimental work described here was carried out several years ago, finishing in 2017. Thus, some time has passed, and consequentially there has been some progress in areas relating to starting material synthesis (although not in the area specifically covered by this study). We always welcome contact with researchers who would like to take this work forward, and we will be happy to help any research group doing so.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/inorganics13010010/s1, Additional NMR and general spectroscopic data, CIF files and checkCIF output files for compounds, **9**, **21**, **18**, **30**, $PdCl_2$ complexes of compound **17**, $PtCl_2$ complex of **8**, $NiCl_2$ complex of **9**, palladium complex of **24**. In addition, NMR spectral data is given for preliminary experiments on the ruthenocene analogue of compound **17**. Total 126 pages.

Author Contributions: P.N.H.: Crystallographic analysis, crystal data handling, and data deposition. W.C.: Crystallographic analysis, director of crystallographic facilities. R.W.H.: Crystallographic analysis. S.J.C.: Director of National Crystallographic Services and project overseer. I.R.B.: Conceptualization, methodology, synthetic work, writing, review and editing. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: We thank EPSRC for funding the National Crystallography Service (both Southampton and Daresbury: grant number for synchrotron work was EP/D07746X/1). This synthetic part of this research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: NMR spectroscopic data are available in the Supplementary Materials, and additional specified data may be requested by contacting the authors. Crystallographic data are available from the Cambridge Crystallographic Database.

Acknowledgments: I.R.B. acknowledges Dan Evans and Zahraa S. Al-Taie for their help in the lab and continued support and David Hughes for NMR experiments. We all thank the EPSRC Crystallographic Services for grants and facilities and the EPSRC mass spectroscopic service, and their staff based at Swansea University. Crystallographic work was carried out at the EPSRC National Crystallography Centre based at the University of Southampton and at the Daresbury Laboratory Synchrotron Radiation Source. Mass spectroscopic data was carried out in house and at the EPSRC National Mass Spectrometry Centre based at Swansea University. We thank the staff of both these institutions for their excellent and painstaking work. Finally, and certainly not least, we thank P.J. Murphy for the continuing support and lab provision. The inset image in the graphical abstract is from a painting by Edwin Cripps, owned by I.R.B.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Kealy, T.J.; Pauson, P.L. A New Type of Organo-Iron Compound. *Nature* **1951**, *168*, 1039–1040. [CrossRef]
2. Miller, S.A.; Tebboth, J.A.; Tremaine, J.F. Dicyclopentadienyliron. *J. Chem. Soc.* **1952**, *114*, 632–635. [CrossRef]
3. Hayashi, T.; Togni, A. (Eds.) *Ferrocenes*; VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 1995. [CrossRef]
4. Togni, A.; Haltermann, R.L. (Eds.) *Metallocenes*; VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 1998. [CrossRef]
5. Jäkle, F.; Sheridan, J.B. *Ferrocenes*; Štěpnička, P., Ed.; Wiley: Chichester, UK, 2008. [CrossRef]
6. Fu, G.C. Enantioselective Nucleophilic Catalysis with "Planar-Chiral" Heterocycles. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2000**, *33*, 412–420. [CrossRef]
7. Dai, L.-X.; Tu, T.; You, S.-L.; Deng, W.-P.; Hou, X.-L. Asymmetric Catalysis with Chiral Ferrocene Ligands. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2003**, *36*, 659–667. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
8. Blaser, H.-U.; Brieden, W.; Pugin, B.; Spindler, F.; Studer, M.; Togni, A. Solvias Josiphos Ligands: From Discovery to Technical Applications. *Top. Catal.* **2002**, *19*, 3–16. [CrossRef]
9. Blaser, H.-U.; Pugin, B.; Spindler, F. Progress in Enantioselective Catalysis Assessed from an Industrial Point of View. *J. Mol. Catal. A Chem.* **2005**, *231*, 1–20. [CrossRef]
10. Dai, L.-X.; Hou, X.-L. (Eds.) *Chiral Ferrocenes in Asymmetric Catalysis*; Wiley: Weinheim, Germany, 2010. [CrossRef]
11. Schaarschmidt, D.; Lang, H. Selective Syntheses of Planar-Chiral Ferrocenes. *Organometallics* **2013**, *32*, 5668–5704. [CrossRef]
12. Dey, S.; Buzsáki, D.; Bruhn, C.; Kelemen, Z.; Pietschnig, R. Bulky 1,1′-bisphosphanoferrocenes and their coordination behaviour towards Cu(I). *Dalton Trans.* **2020**, *49*, 6668–6681. [CrossRef]
13. Dey, S.; Pietschnig, R. Chemistry of sterically demanding dppf-analogs. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2021**, *437*, 213850. [CrossRef]
14. Vosáhlo, P.; Císařová, I.; Štěpnička, P. Comparing the asymmetric dppf-type ligands with their semi-homologous counterparts. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2018**, *860*, 14–29. [CrossRef]
15. Hartlaub, S.F.; Lauricella, N.K.; Ryczek, C.N.; Furneaux, A.G.; Melton, J.D.; Piro, N.A.; Kassel, W.S.; Nataro, C. Late Transition Metal Compounds with 1,1′-Bis(phosphino)ferrocene Ligands. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2017**, *2017*, 424–432. [CrossRef]
16. Karakaş, D.E.; Durap, F.; Aydemir, M.; Baysal, A. Synthesis, characterization and first application of chiral C2-symmetric bis(phosphinite)-Pd(II) complexes as catalysts in asymmetric intermolecular Heck reactions. *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* **2017**, *30*, 193–198. [CrossRef]
17. Siddiqui, M.M.; Radhakrishna, L.; Mague, J.T.; Balakrishna, M.S. 1,1′-Bis(dipyrrolylphosphino)ferrocene: Synthesis, coordination chemistry and structural studies. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2018**, *824*, 15–24. [CrossRef]
18. Trivedi, M.; Singh, G.; Kumar, A.; Rath, N.P. 1,1′-Bis(di-tert-butylphosphino)ferrocene copper(i) complex catalyzed C-H activation and carboxylation of terminal alkynes. *Dalton Trans.* **2015**, *44*, 20874–20882. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
19. Trivedi, M.; Ujjain, S.K.; Singh, G.; Kumar, G.; Dubey, S.K.; Rath, N.P. Syntheses, characterization, and electrochemistry of compounds containing 1-diphenylphosphino-1′-(di-tert-butylphosphino)ferrocene (dppdtbpf). *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2014**, *772–773*, 202–209. [CrossRef]
20. Sabounchei, S.J.; Ahmadi, M.; Azizi, T.; Panahimehr, M. A robust, moisture- and air-stable phosphine mono-ylide palladacycle precatalyst: A simple and highly efficient system for mizoroki-heck reactions. *Synlett* **2014**, *25*, 336–342. [CrossRef]
21. Meriç, N.; Aydemir, M.; Işik, U.; Ocak, Y.S.; Rafikova, K.; Paşa, S.; Kayan, C.; Durap, F.; Zazybin, A.; Temel, H. Cross-coupling reactions in water using ionic liquid-based palladium(II)-phosphinite complexes as outstanding catalysts. *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* **2014**, *28*, 818–825. [CrossRef]
22. Rao, S.; Mague, J.T.; Balakrishna, M.S. Synthesis, transition metal chemistry and catalytic reactions of ferrocenylbis(phosphonite), $[FeC_5H_4P(OC_6H_3(OMe\text{-}o)(C_3H_5\text{-}p))_2]$. *Dalton Trans.* **2013**, *42*, 11695–11708. [CrossRef]
23. Butler, I.R. The simple synthesis of ferrocene ligands from a practitioner's perspective. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2012**, *2012*, 4387–4406. [CrossRef]
24. Lohan, M.; Milde, B.; Heider, S.; Speck, J.M.; Kraße, S.; Schaarschmidt, D.; Rüffer, T.; Lang, H. Synthesis, electrochemistry, spectroelectrochemistry, and solid-state structures of palladium biferrocenylphosphines and their use in C,C cross-coupling reactions. *Organometallics* **2012**, *31*, 2310–2326. [CrossRef]
25. Irandoust, M.; Joshaghani, M.; Rafiee, E.; Pourshahbaz, M. 31P NMR study of the stoichiometry, stability and thermodynamics of complex formation between palladium(II) acetate and bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene. *Spectrochim. Acta-Part A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc.* **2009**, *74*, 855–859. [CrossRef]
26. Pourshahbaz, M.; Irandoust, M.; Rafiee, E.; Joshaghani, M. Kinetics of complex formation between palladium(II) acetate and bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene. *Polyhedron* **2009**, *28*, 609–613. [CrossRef]
27. Gusev, O.V.; Peganova, T.A.; Kalsin, A.M.; Vologdin, N.V.; Petrovskii, P.V.; Lyssenko, K.A.; Tsvetkov, A.V.; Beletskaya, I.P. Palladium dichloride (ferrocenylethynyl)phosphanes and their use in Pd-catalyzed Heck-Mizoroki- and Suzuki-Miyaura carbon-carbon cross-coupling reactions. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2008**, *693*, 3821–3830. [CrossRef]
28. Colacot, T.J.; Parisel, S. Synthesis, Coordination Chemistry and Catalytic Use of dppf Analogs (Book Chapter). In *Ferrocenes: Ligands, Materials and Biomolecules*; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2008; pp. 117–140. [CrossRef]

29. Butler, I.R. The conversion of 1,1′-dibromoferrocene to 1,2-dibromoferrocene: The ferrocene-chemist's dream reaction. *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* **2008**, *11*, 15–19. [CrossRef]
30. Fihri, A.; Meunier, P.; Hierso, J.-C. Performances of symmetrical achiral ferrocenylphosphine ligands in palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions: A review of syntheses, catalytic applications and structural properties. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *251*, 2017–2055. [CrossRef]
31. Bianchini, C.; Oberhauser, W.; Orlandini, A.; Giannelli, C.; Frediani, P. Operando high-pressure NMR and IR study of the hydroformylation of 1-hexene by 1,1′-bis(diarylphosphino)metallocene-modified rhodium(I) catalysts. *Organometallics* **2005**, *24*, 3692–3702. [CrossRef]
32. Fihri, A.; Hierso, J.; Vion, A.; Nguyen, D.H.; Urrutigoïty, M.; Kalck, P.; Amardeil, R.; Meunier, P. Diphosphines of dppf-type incorporating electron-withdrawing furyl moieties substantially improve the palladium-catalysed amination of allyl acetates. *Adv. Synth. Cat.* **2005**, *347*, 1198–1202. [CrossRef]
33. Sliger, M.D.; Broker, G.A.; Griffin, S.T.; Rogers, R.D.; Shaughnessy, K.H. Di-t-butyl(ferrocenylmethyl)phosphine: Air-stability, structural characterization, coordination chemistry, and application to palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2005**, *690*, 1478–1486. [CrossRef]
34. Heinze, K.; Lang, H. Ferrocene—Beauty and Function. *Organometallics* **2013**, *32*, 5623–5625. [CrossRef]
35. Gao, D.-W.; Gu, Q.; Zheng, C.; You, S.-L. Enantioselective Synthesis of Planar Chiral Ferrocenes via Au/Pt-Catalyzed Cycloisomerization. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2017**, *50*, 351–365. [CrossRef]
36. Fihri, A.; Hierso, J.-C.; Ivanov, V.V.; Rebière, B.; Amardeil, R.; Broussier, R.; Meunier, P. Enlarging the family of ferrocenylphosphine dinuclear rhodium complexes: Synthesis and X-ray structure of a novel "A-frame"-type trimetallic Rh/Fe/Rh complex. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **2004**, *357*, 3089–3093. [CrossRef]
37. Atkinson, R.C.J.; Gibson, V.C.; Long, N.J. The syntheses and catalytic applications of unsymmetrical ferrocene ligands. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2004**, *33*, 313–328. [CrossRef]
38. Ong, J.H.L.; Nataro, C.; Golen, J.A.; Rheingold, A.L. Electrochemistry of Late Transition Metal Complexes Containing the Ligand 1,1′-Bis(diisopropylphosphino)ferrocene (dippf). *Organometallics* **2003**, *22*, 5027–5032. [CrossRef]
39. Bianchini, C.; Meli, A.; Oberhauser, W.; van Leeuwen, P.W.N.M.; Zuideveld, M.A.; Freixa, Z.; Kamer, P.C.J.; Spek, A.L.; Gusev, O.V.; Kal'Sin, A.M. Methoxycarbonylation of ethene by palladium(II) complexes with 1,1′-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene (dppf) and 1,1′-bis(diphenylphosphino)octamethylferrocene (dppomf). *Organometallics* **2003**, *22*, 2409–2421. [CrossRef]
40. Butler, I.R.; Coles, S.J.; Hursthouse, M.B.; Roberts, D.J.; Fujimoto, N. Ferrocene-based ligands in ruthenium alkylidene chemistry. *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* **2003**, *6*, 760–762. [CrossRef]
41. Sollot, G.P.; Snead, J.P.; Portnoy, S.; Peterson, W.R.; Mertwoy, H.E. *Chem. Abstr.* 1965; 63, 18147b.
42. Bishop, J.J.; Davison, A.; Katcher, M.L.; Lichtenberg, D.W.; Merrill, R.E.; Smart, J.C. Symmetrically disubstituted ferrocenes: I. The synthesis of potential bidentate ligands. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1971**, *27*, 241–249. [CrossRef]
43. Rudie, A.W.; Lichtenberg, D.W.; Katcher, M.L.; Davidson, A. Comparative study of 1,1′-bis(diphenylphosphino)cobaltocinium hexafluorophosphate and 1,1′-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene as bidentate ligands. *Inorg. Chem.* **1978**, *17*, 2859–2863. [CrossRef]
44. Davison, A.; Bishop, J. Symmetrically disubstituted ferrocenes. II. Complexes of ferrocene-1,1′-bis(dimethylarsine) and ferrocene-1,1′-bis(diphenylarsine) with Group VI carbonyls. *Inorg. Chem.* **1971**, *10*, 826–831. [CrossRef]
45. Davison, A.; Bishop, J.J. Symmetrically disubstituted ferrocenes. III. Complexes of ferrocene-1,1′-bis(dimethylarsine) and ferrocene-1,1′-bis(diphenylarsine) with the Group VIII metals. *Inorg. Chem.* **1971**, *10*, 832–837. [CrossRef]
46. Butler, I.R.; Cullens, W.R.; Kim, T.-J. Synthesis of Some Isopropylphosphinoferrocenes. *Synth. React. Inorg. Met.-Org. Chem.* **1985**, *15*, 109–116. [CrossRef]
47. Cullen, W.R.; Kim, T.J.; Einstein, F.W.B.; Jones, T. Structures of three hydrogenation catalysts [(P-P)Rh(NBD)]ClO4 and some comparative rate studies where (P-P) = (.eta.5-R1R2PC5H4)(.eta.5-R3R4PC5H4)Fe (R1 = R2 = R3 = R4 = Ph; R1 = R2 = Ph, R3 = R4 = CMe3; R1 = R3 = Ph, R2 = R4 = CMe3). *Organometallics* **1985**, *4*, 346–351. [CrossRef]
48. Butler, I.R.; Cullen, W.R.; Kim, T.-J.; Retting, S.J.; Trotter, J. 1,1′-Bis(alkylarylphosphino)ferrocenes: Synthesis, metal complex formation, and crystal structure of three metal complexes of $Fe(\eta^5\text{-}C_5H_4PPh_2)_2$. *Organometallics* **1985**, *4*, 972–980. [CrossRef]
49. Gokel, G.W.; Ugi, I.K. Preparation and resolution of N,N-dimethyl-o-ferrocenylethylamine. An advanced organic experiment. *J. Chem. Educ.* **1972**, *49*, 294. [CrossRef]
50. Štěpnička, P. Forever young: The first seventy years of ferrocene. *Dalton Trans.* **2022**, *51*, 8085–8102. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
51. Marquarding, D.; Klusacek, H.; Gokel, G.; Hoffmann, P.; Ugi, I. Stereoselective syntheses. VI. Correlation of central and planar chirality in ferrocene derivatives. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1970**, *92*, 5389–5393. [CrossRef]
52. Battelle, L.F.; Bau, R.; Gokel, G.W.; Oyakawa, R.T.; Ugi, I. Absolute Configuration of a 1,2-Disubstituted Ferrocene Derivative with Two Different Chiral Substituents. *Angew. Chem.* **1972**, *11*, 138–140. [CrossRef]
53. Hayashi, T.; Konishi, M.; Fukushima, M.; Mise, T.; Kagotani, M.; Tajika, M.; Kumada, M. Asymmetric synthesis catalyzed by chiral ferrocenylphosphine-transition metal complexes. 2. Nickel- and palladium-catalyzed asymmetric Grignard cross-coupling. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982**, *104*, 180–186. [CrossRef]

54. Hayashi, T. Chiral monodentate phosphine ligand MOP for transition-metal-catalyzed asymmetric reactions. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2000**, *33*, 354–362. [CrossRef]
55. Hayashi, T.; Konishi, M.; Kobori, Y.; Kumada, M.; Higuchi, T.; Hirotsu, K. Dichloro[1,1′-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene]palladium(II): An effective catalyst for cross-coupling of secondary and primary alkyl Grignard and alkylzinc reagents with organic halides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, *106*, 158–163. [CrossRef]
56. Ogasawara, M.; Yoshida, K.; Hayashi, T. 2,2′-Bis(diphenylphosphino)-1,1′-biphenyl: New Entry of Bidentate Triarylphosphine Ligand to Transition Metal Catalysts. *Organometallics* **2000**, *19*, 1567–1571. [CrossRef]
57. Hayashi, T.; Konishi, M.; Ito, H.; Kumada, M. Optically active allylsilanes. 1. Preparation by palladium-catalyzed asymmetric Grignard cross-coupling and anti stereochemistry in electrophilic substitution reactions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982**, *104*, 4962–4963. [CrossRef]
58. Hayashi, T.; Kumada, M. Chiral Ferrocenylphosphines and their Use as Ligands for Transition Metal Complex Catalyzed Asymmetric Synthesis. In *Fundamental Research in Homogeneous Catalysis*; Ishii, Y., Tsutsui, M., Eds.; Springer: Boston, MA, USA, 1978. [CrossRef]
59. Kiso, Y.; Yamamoto, K.; Tamao, K.; Kumada, M. Asymmetric homogeneous hydrosilylation with chiral phosphine-palladium complexes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1972**, *94*, 4373–4374. [CrossRef]
60. Cullen, W.R.; Einstein, F.W.B.; Huang, C.-H.; Willis, A.C.; Yeh, E.S. Asymmetric hydrogenation catalyzed by cationic ferrocenylphosphine rhodium(I) complexes and the crystal structure of a catalyst precursor. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1980**, *102*, 988–993. [CrossRef]
61. Hampton, C.; Cullen, W.R.; James, B.R.; Charland, J.P. The preparation and structure of a dinuclear .eta.2-H2 complex (P-N)(.eta.2-H2)Ru(.mu.-Cl)2(.mu.-H)Ru(H)(PPh3)2, P-N = Fe[.eta.-C5H3(CHMeNMe2){P(iso-Pr)2}-1,2](.eta.-C5H5). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 6918–6919. [CrossRef]
62. Togni, A.; Breutel, C.; Schnyder, A.; Spindler, F.; Landert, H.; Tijani, A. A Novel Easily Accessible Chiral Ferrocenyldiphosphine for Highly Enantioselective Hydrogenation, Allylic Alkylation, and Hydroboration Reactions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 4062–4066. [CrossRef]
63. Togni, A. Planar-Chiral Ferrocenes: Synthetic Methods and Applications. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1996**, *35*, 1475–1477. [CrossRef]
64. Abbenhuis, H.C.L.; Burckhardt, U.; Gramlich, V.; Togni, A.; Albinati, A.; Mueller, B. A New Stereoselective Approach to Chiral Ferrocenyl Ligands for Asymmetric Catalysis. *Organometallics* **1994**, *13*, 4481–4493. [CrossRef]
65. Togni, A.; Breutel, C.; Soares, M.C.; Zanetti, N.; Gerfin, T.; Gramlich, V.; Spindler, F.; Rihs, G. Synthesis and structure of new chiral ferrocenylphosphines for asymmetric catalysis. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1994**, *222*, 213–224. [CrossRef]
66. Gischig, S.; Togni, A. Synthesis and Coordination Chemistry of a New Chiral Tridentate PCP N-Heterocyclic Carbene Ligand Based on a Ferrocene Backbone. *Organometallics* **2004**, *23*, 2479–2487. [CrossRef]
67. Togni, A. Developing New Chiral Ferrocenyl Ligands for Asymmetric Catalysis: A Personal Account. *Chimia* **1996**, *50*, 86–93. [CrossRef]
68. Blaser, H.-U.; Pugin, B.; Spindler, F.; Mejía, E.; Togni, A. Josiphos Ligands: From Discovery to Technical Applications. In *Privileged Chiral Ligands and Catalysts*, 1st ed.; Zhou, Q.-L., Ed.; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2011; pp. 93–136, ISBN 978-3-527-32704-1. [CrossRef]
69. Bianchini, C.; Meli, A.; Oberhauser, W.; Parisel, S.; Gusev, O.V.; Kal'Sin, A.M.; Vologdin, N.V.; Dolgushin, F.M. Methoxycarbonylation of styrene to methyl arylpropanoates catalyzed by palladium(II) precursors with 1,1′-bis(diphenylphosphino)metallocenes. *J. Mol. Catal. A Chem.* **2004**, *224*, 35–49. [CrossRef]
70. Gusev, O.V.; Kalsin, A.M.; Petrovskii, P.V.; Lyssenko, K.A.; Oprunenko, Y.F.; Bianchini, C.; Meli, A.; Oberhauser, W. Synthesis, Characterization, and Reactivity of 1,1′-Bis(diphenylphosphino)osmocene: Palladium(II) Complexes and Their Use as Catalysts in the Methoxycarbonylation of Olefins. *Organometallics* **2003**, *22*, 913–915. [CrossRef]
71. Gusev, O.V.; Kal'sin, A.M.; Peterleitner, M.G.; Petrovskii, P.V.; Lyssenko, K.A.; Akhmedv, N.G.; Bianchini, C.; Meli, A. Palladium(II) Complexes with 1,1′-Bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocenes [Fe(η5-C5R4PPh2)2]n+ (dppf, R = H, n = 0; dppomf, R = Me, n = 0; dppomf+, R = Me, n = 1). Synthesis, Characterization, and Catalytic Activity in Ethene Methoxycarbonylation. *Organometallics* **2002**, *21*, 3637–3649. [CrossRef]
72. Bianchini, C.; Meli, A.; Oberhauser, W.; Parisel, S.; Passaglia, E.; Ciardelli, F.; Gusev, O.V.; Kal'sin, A.M.; Vologdin, N.V. Ethylene Carbonylation in Methanol and in Aqueous Media by Palladium(II) Catalysts Modified with 1,1′-Bis(dialkylphosphino)ferrocenes. *Organometallics* **2005**, *24*, 1018–1030. [CrossRef]
73. Kalsin, A.M.; Vologdin, N.V.; Peganova, T.A.; Petrovskii, P.V.; Lyssenko, K.A.; Dolgushin, F.M.; Gusev, O.V. Palladium(II) complexes with *o*-aryl substituted 1,1′-bis(phosphino)ferrocenes [Fe(η^5-$C_5H_4PR_2$)$_2$Pd(NCMe)$_n$](OTf)$_2$ (R = *o*-MeOC_6H_4, *o*-MeC_6H_4, *o*-Pr^iC_6H_4, C_6F_5): Synthesis, structure and catalytic properties in methoxycarbonylation of ethylene. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2006**, *691*, 921–927. [CrossRef]

74. Broussier, R.; Ninoreille, S.; Bourdon, C.; Blacque, O.; Ninoreille, C.; Kubicki, M.M.; Gautheron, B. Ferrocenic polyphosphines and polythioethers: Synthesis, reactivity and structure. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1998**, *561*, 85–96. [CrossRef]
75. André-Bentabet, E.; Broussier, R.; Amardeil, R.; Hierso, J.-C.; Richard, P.; Fasseur, D.; Gautheron, B.; Meunier, P. Different coordination modes of a 1,1′,2,2′-ferrocenyltetraphosphine: Bi- and tri-dentate behaviour with group 6 and 7 transition metals. *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* **2002**, 2322–2327. [CrossRef]
76. Broussier, R.; Bentabet, E.; Amardeil, R.; Richard, P.; Meunier, P.; Kalck, P.; Gautheron, B. 1,1′,2,2′-Tetrakis(diphenylphosphino)-4,4′-di-tert-butylferrocene, a new cisoid arrangement of phosphino groups. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2001**, *637–639*, 126–133. [CrossRef]
77. Broussier, R.; Bentabet, E.; Mellet, P.; Blacque, O.; Boyer, P.; Kubicki, M.M.; Gautheron, B. New 1,1′- or 1,2- or 1,3-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocenes. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2000**, *598*, 365–373. [CrossRef]
78. Hierso, J.-C.; Fihri, A.; Amardeil, R.; Meunier, P.; Doucet, H.; Santelli, M.; Ivanov, V.V. Catalytic Efficiency of a New Tridentate Ferrocenyl Phosphine Auxiliary: Sonogashira Cross-Coupling Reactions of Alkynes with Aryl Bromides and Chlorides at Low Catalyst Loadings of 10^{-1} to 10^{-4} Mol %. *Org. Lett.* **2004**, *6*, 3473–3476. [CrossRef]
79. Laurenti, D.; Feuerstein, M.; Pèpe, G.; Doucet, H.; Santelli, M. A New Tetratertiary Phosphine Ligand and Its Use in Pd-Catalyzed Allylic Substitution. *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 1633–1637. [CrossRef]
80. Hierso, J.-C.; Fihri, A.; Ivanov, V.V.; Hanquet, B.; Pirio, N.; Donnadieu, B.; Rebière, B.; Amardeil, R.; Meunier, P. A Palladium-Ferrocenyl Tetraphosphine System as Catalyst for Suzuki Cross-Coupling and Heck Vinylation of Aryl Halides: Dynamic Behavior of the Palladium/Phosphine Species. *Organometallics* **2003**, *22*, 4490–4499. [CrossRef]
81. Nguyen, T.A.; Roger, J.; Nasrallah, H.; Rampazzi, V.; Fournier, S.; Cattey, H.; Daiann, E.D.S.; Fleurat-Lessard, P.; Devillers, C.H.; Pirio, N.; et al. Gold(I) Complexes Nuclearity in Constrained Ferrocenyl Diphosphines: Dramatic Effect in Gold-Catalyzed Enyne Cycloisomerization. *Chem. Asian J.* **2020**, *15*, 2879–2885. [CrossRef]
82. Hierso, J.C.; Smaliy, R.; Amardeil, R.; Meunier, P. New concepts in multidentate ligand chemistry: Effects of multidentarity on catalytic and spectroscopic properties of ferrocenyl polyphosphines. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2007**, *36*, 1754–1769. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
83. Doucet, H.; Hierso, J.-C. Palladium-Based Catalytic Systems for the Synthesis of Conjugated Enynes by Sonogashira Reactions and Related Alkynylations. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 6650–6671. [CrossRef]
84. Roy, D.; Mom, S.; Lucas, D.; Cattey, H.; Hierso, J.-C.; Doucet, H. Direct Arylation of Heteroaromatic Compounds with Congested, Functionalised Aryl Bromides at Low Palladium/Triphosphane Catalyst Loading. *Chem.-A Eur. J.* **2011**, *17*, 6453–6461. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
85. Platon, M.; Cui, L.; Mom, S.; Richard, P.; Saeys, M.; Hierso, J.-C. Etherification of functionalized phenols with chloroheteroarenes at low palladium loading: Theoretical assessment of the role of triphosphane ligands in CO reductive elimination. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2011**, *353*, 3403–3414. [CrossRef]
86. Evrard, D.; Lucas, D.; Mugnier, Y.; Meunier, P.; Hierso, J.-C. Organometallics On the Mechanistic Behavior of Highly Efficient Palladium-Tetraphosphine Catalytic Systems for Cross-Coupling Reactions: First Spectroscopic and Electrochemical Studies of Oxidative Addition on Pd(0)/Multidentate Ferrocenylpolyphosphine Complexes. *Organometallics* **2008**, *27*, 2643–2653. [CrossRef]
87. Thomas, D.A.; Ivanov, V.V.; Butler, I.R.; Horton, P.N.; Meunier, P.; Hierso, J.-C. Coordination chemistry of tetra- and tridentate ferrocenyl polyphosphines: An unprecedented [1,1′-heteroannular and 2,3-homoannular]-phosphorus-bonding framework in a metallocene dinuclear coordination complex. *Inorg. Chem.* **2008**, *47*, 1607–1615. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
88. Butler, I.R.; Beaumont, M.; Bruce, M.I.; Zaittseva, N.N.; Iggo, J.A.; Robertson, C.; Horton, P.N.; Coles, S.J. Synthesis and Structures of 1,1′,2-Tribromoferrocene, 1,1′,2,2′-Tetrabromoferrocene, 1,1′,2,2′-Tetrabromoruthenocene: Expanding the Range of Precursors for the Metallocene Chemist's Toolkit. *Aust. J. Chem.* **2021**, *74*, 204–210. [CrossRef]
89. Butler, I.R. 1,2,3,4,5-Pentabromoferrocene and related compounds: A simple synthesis of useful precursors. *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* **2008**, *11*, 484–486. [CrossRef]
90. Butler, I.R.; Drew, M.G.B.; Greenwell, C.H.; Lewis, E.; Plath, M.; Mussig, S.; Szewczyk, J. 1,3-Bisdiphenylphosphinoferrocenes: The unexpected 2,5-dilithiation of dibromoferrocene towards a new area of ferrocene-ligand chemistry. *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* **1999**, *2*, 576–580. [CrossRef]
91. Rupf, S.M.; Schröder, G.; Sievers, R.; Malischewski, M. Tenfold Metalation of Ferrocene: Synthesis, Structures, and Metallophilic Interactions in $FeC_{10}(HgX)_{10}$. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2021**, *27*, 5125–5129. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
92. Sünkel, K.; Bernhartzeder, S. Coordination chemistry of perhalogenated cyclopentadienes and alkynes. Part 30. New high-yield syntheses of monochloroferrocene and 1,2,3,4,5-pentachloroferrocene. Molecular structures of 1,2-dichloroferrocene and 1,2,3-trichloroferrocene. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2012**, *716*, 146–149. [CrossRef]
93. Sünkel, K.; Bernhartzeder, S. Coordination chemistry of perhalogenated cyclopentadienes and alkynes. XXVIII [1] new high-yield synthesis of monobromoferrocene and simplified procedure for the synthesis of pentabromoferrocene. Molecular structures of 1,2,3-tribromoferrocene and 1,2,3,4,5-pentabromoferrocene. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2011**, *696*, 1536–1540. [CrossRef]
94. Tazi, M.; Erb, W.; Roisnel, R.; Dorcet, V.; Mongin, F.; Low, P. From ferrocene to fluorine-containing penta-substituted derivatives and all points in-between; or, how to increase the available chemical space. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2019**, *17*, 9352–9359. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

95. Sünkel, K.; Weigand, S.; Hoffmann, A.; Blomeyer, S.; Reuter, C.G.; Vishnevskiy, Y.V.; Mitzel, N.W. Synthesis and Characterization of 1,2,3,4,5-Pentafluoroferrocene. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 126–129. [CrossRef]
96. Tazi, M.; Hedidi, M.; Erb, W.; Halauko, Y.S.; Ivashkevich, O.A.; Matulis, V.E.; Roisnel, T.; Dorcet, V.; Bentabed-Ababsa, G.; Mongin, F. Fluoro- and Chloroferrocene: From 2- to 3-Substituted Derivatives. *Organometallics* **2018**, *37*, 2207–2211. [CrossRef]
97. Blockhaus, T.; Bernhartzeder, S.; Kempinger, W.; Klein-Heßling, C.; Weigand, S.; Sünkel, K. Evidence for "Halogen-Dance" and Ring-Exchange Reactions in Chloro-methylthio-ferrocenes. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2020**, *42*, 6576–6587. [CrossRef]
98. Butenschön, H. Haloferrocenes: Syntheses and Selected Reactions. *Synthesis* **2018**, *50*, 3787–3808. [CrossRef]
99. Wen, M.; Erb, W.; Mongin, F.; Halauko, Y.S.; Ivashkevich, O.A.; Matulis, V.E.; Roisnel, T. Synthesis of Polysubstituted Ferrocene-sulfoxides. *Molecules* **2022**, *27*, 1798. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
100. Zirakzadeh, A.; Herlein, A.; Groß, M.A.; Mereiter, K.; Wang, Y.; Weissensteiner, W. Halide-Mediated Ortho-Deprotonation Reactions Applied to the Synthesis of 1,2- and 1,3-Disubstituted Ferrocene Derivatives. *Organometallics* **2015**, *34*, 3820–3832. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
101. Erb, W.; Mongin, F. Twofold Ferrocene C–H Lithiations For One-Step Difunctionalizations. *Synthesis* **2019**, *51*, 146–160. [CrossRef]
102. Tazi, M.; Erb, W.; Halauko, Y.S.; Ivashkevich, O.A.; Matulis, V.E.; Roisnel, T.; Dorcet, V.; Mongin, F. From 2- to 3-Substituted Ferrocene Carboxamides or How to Apply Halogen "Dance" to the Ferrocene Series. *Organometallics* **2017**, *36*, 4770–4778. [CrossRef]
103. Rupf, S.M.; Dimitrova, I.S.; Schröder, G.; Malischewski, M. Preparation and One-Electron Oxidation of Decabromoferrocene. *Organometallics* **2022**, *41*, 1261–1267. [CrossRef]
104. Rupf, S.M.; Sievers, R.; Riemann, P.S.; Reimann, M.; Kaupp, M.; Fasting, C.; Malischewski, M. Persilylation of ferrocene: The ultimate discipline in sterically overcrowded metal complexes. *Dalton Trans.* **2023**, *52*, 6870–6875. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
105. Boyes, A.L.; Butler, I.R.; Quayle, S.C. Palladium (II) complexes of (diisopropylphosphino)-ferrocenes: Improved catalysts for the Heck reaction. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 7763–7766. [CrossRef]
106. Butler, I.R.; Evans, D.M.; Horton, P.N.; Coles, S.J.; Murphy, P.J. 1,1′,2,2′-Tetralithioferrocene and 1,1′,2,2′,3,3′-Hexalithioferrocene: Useful Additions to Ferrocene Precursor Compounds. *Organometallics* **2021**, *40*, 600–605. [CrossRef]
107. Butler, I.R. Sitting Out the Halogen Dance. Room-Temperature Formation of 2,2′-Dilithio-1,1′-dibromoferrocene. TMEDA and Related Lithium Complexes: A Synthetic Route to Multiply Substituted Ferrocenes. *Organometallics* **2021**, *40*, 3240–3244. [CrossRef]
108. Fortune, K.M.; Castel, C.; Robertson, C.M.; Horton, P.N.; Light, M.E.; Coles, S.J.; Waugh, M.; Clegg, W.; Harrington, R.W.; Butler, I.R. Ferrocenylmethylphosphanes and the Alpha Process for Methoxycarbonylation: The Original Story. *Inorganics* **2021**, *9*, 57. [CrossRef]
109. Langer, J.; Fischer, R.; Görls, H.; Theyssen, N.; Walther, D. Nickel(I)-Komplexe mit 1,1-Bis(phosphino)ferrocenen als Liganden. *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **2007**, *633*, 557–562. [CrossRef]
110. Evans, D.M.; Hughes, D.D.; Murphy, P.J.; Horton, P.N.; Coles, S.J.; de Biani, F.F.; Corsini, M.; Butler, I.R. Synthetic Route to 1,1′,2,2′-Tetraiodoferrocene That Avoids Isomerization and the Electrochemistry of Some Tetrahaloferrocenes. *Organometallics* **2021**, *40*, 2496–2503. [CrossRef]
111. Bandaru, S.S.M.; Shah, J.; Bhilare, S.; Schulzke, C.; Kapdi, A.R.; Roger, J.; Hierso, J.-C. Phosphine ligands based on the ferrocenyl platform: Advances in catalytic cross-couplings. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2023**, *491*, 215250. [CrossRef]