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**Background:** A skeletal muscle strength (SMS) decline is associated with metabolic diseases, but whether SMS also declines with chronic kidney disease (CKD) in individuals with metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease (MASLD) is uncertain. This study examined the associations between SMS and the risk of CKD in MASLD population.

**Method:** We performed a large-scale study with four cohorts: PERSONS and NHANES 2011-2014 cohorts for the cross-sectional investigation, and TCLSIH and UK Biobank cohorts for the longitudinal investigation. A handgrip dynamometer measured handgrip strength as a proxy for overall SMS. Participants were stratified according to CKD status [non-CKD vs. CKD (stages 1-5) groups].

**Results:** In PERSONS cohort, the CKD group have a lower handgrip strength than the non-CKD group (27.14±9.19 vs. 33.59±11.92 kg, P<0.001). Higher handgrip strength is associated with lower odds of abnormal albuminuria or CKD (OR: 0.96, 95%CI:0.92-0.99 and OR:0.95, 95%CI: 0.91-0.99 respectively). The highest handgrip strength tertile is associated with the lowest risk of having abnormal albuminuria or CKD (compared with the lowest or middle tertile). Results are similar in NHANES cohort. Furthermore, the highest handgrip strength is independently associated with a lowest risk of incident CKD in MASLD (HR: 0.95, 95%CI: 0.92-0.99 and HR:0.99, 95%CI: 0.98-0.99 in TCLSIH and UK Biobank cohorts). In Kaplan-Meier curve analysis, the cumulative incidence of CKD is lowest in the highest handgrip strength tertile compared to the lowest or the middle tertile.

**Conclusions:** Higher handgrip/muscle strength is independently associated with a lower risk of CKD and abnormal albuminuria in MASLD population.

**Plain language summary:**

Metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease (MASLD) occurs when there is too much fat in the liver. People with MASLD have an increased risk of developing chronic kidney disease (CKD). Skeletal muscles are responsible for voluntary movements and crucial for movement and health. We investigated whether skeletal muscle strength, assessed using handgrip strength, was associated with the risk of CKD in individuals with MASLD. Based on data from over 14,000 participants we found that higher SMS was associated with a lower risk of CKD. These findings suggest that preserving skeletal muscle strength may help prevent people with MASLD developing kidney disease.
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**Introduction**

Metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease (MASLD), also known as metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease (MAFLD), affects up to a third of the world’s adult population and has become a major global public health challenge[1-4]. MASLD not only increases liver-related morbidity and mortality but is also a multisystem disease, affecting multiple extra-hepatic organs and regulatory pathways[5-7]. It has been reported that MASLD is strongly associated with abnormal albuminuria and chronic kidney disease (CKD), regardless of the presence of obesity, type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) or hypertension[8, 9]. In a recent Delphi consensus study, international experts have developed and endorsed consensus statements guiding the epidemiology, management, and treatment of MASLD with CKD[8]. Recently, there has been controversy over pathological tissue/organ crosstalk, and skeletal muscle dysfunction may be a novel risk factor for CKD in the MASLD population[10].

It is known that there is a substantial decline in skeletal muscle strength (SMS) and mass in the aging population[11]. Skeletal muscle loss is associated with functional disability, greater bone fracture risk, cardiometabolic disorders, poor quality of life, and even death[12, 13]. Studies have also reported that reduced handgrip strength, as a proxy for overall SMS, is associated with the development and progression of MASLD[10, 14, 15]. To our knowledge, however, no studies have extensively assessed the association between handgrip strength and the risk of CKD in people with MASLD.

Therefore, the primary aim of this study, which included four independent cohorts from various countries, was to evaluate the magnitude of the association between SMS and the risk of prevalent and incident CKD in individuals with MASLD. Identifying any potential association could offer valuable insights into the contributing role of SMS in the development of kidney dysfunction and potentially inform treatment strategies to prevent CKD in individuals with MASLD. At present, our findings consistently demonstrate that higher handgrip strength is associated with a lower prevalence and incidence of CKD in MASLD population.

**Materials and Methods**

***Study design***

This is a large-scale multinational study, which included 14,079 Asian and non-Asian adult individuals with MASLD. We first performed a cross-sectional investigation to assess the association between handgrip strength and the prevalence of CKD or abnormal albuminuria in the MASLD population. Subsequently, we performed a longitudinal investigation to examine the association between handgrip strength and the incidence of CKD. This study was approved by the Ethics Committees of the First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University (2016-246), the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) Ethics Review Board, the Institutional Review Board of Tianjin Medical University (TMUhMEC 201430), and the National Health Service (NHS) North West Centre for Research Ethics Committee (11/NW/0382), respectively. All participants signed a written informed consent to participate in the study.

***Participants***

This study included individuals with MASLD from four independent cohorts (as shown in **Fig. 1**). Specifically, we included the PERSONS (Prospective Epidemic Research Specially Of NASH) cohort, which enrolled 460 Chinese adults admitted to the Hepatology Center of the First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University for liver biopsy examination (from 2017 to 2022); the NHANES (National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey) 2011-2014 cohort (obtained from URL: <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes.htm>), involving 1,414 participants with hepatic steatosis index (HSI)-detected MASLD (annotated from 2011 to 2014); the TCLSIH (Tianjin Chronic Low-grade Systemic Inflammation and Health) cohort, a large prospective dynamic population cohort study of adults living in Tianjin (China) with 6,358 subjects with ultrasound-detected MASLD (recruited from 2013 to 2016); and the UK Biobank cohort (obtained from URL: <https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/enable-your-research/register> with UKB permission), a large population-based prospective cohort with 5,847 UK participants with MASLD diagnosed by ultrasonography (recruited from 2006 to 2010).

Patients from the four independent cohorts were identified with a single etiology to their MASLD, and MASLD was defined by the presence of hepatic steatosis in combination at least one cardiometabolic risk factor, including overweight/obesity, T2DM, or evidence of metabolic dysregulation[16-18]. The exclusion criteria for our study were as follows: (1) hepatocyte steatosis < 5% on liver histology in the PERSONS cohort; (2) other liver diseases, such as alcoholic liver disease, viral hepatitis or autoimmune hepatitis; (3) missing data on kidney function, including estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) and albuminuria; (4) other known kidney diseases in the cross-sectional cohorts and pre-existing kidney conditions in the longitudinal cohorts; and (5) missing data on skeletal muscle strength measurements.

***Liver biopsy examination***

All participants in the PERSONS cohort underwent percutaneous liver biopsy guided by ultrasound. An expert liver pathologist blinded to the data collection analyzed all liver histology specimens in detail, including quantification of steatosis, hepatocyte ballooning and lobular inflammation[16, 19]. Fibrosis stages were graded based on Brunt’s histology criteria, and significant fibrosis was defined as a histologic stage F≥2[16].

***Skeletal muscle strength measurement***

Handgrip strength, as a proxy for overall SMS, was measured using a handgrip dynamometer (the Camry Digital EH101 dynamometer in the PERSONS and TCLSIH cohorts, the Takei Digital Grip Strength dynamometer in the NHANES cohort, and the Jamar J00105 hydraulic hand dynamometer in the UK Biobank cohort). According to the guidance, all participants were instructed to set their elbows at a 90° angle flexion while standing or sitting. They kept a neutral wrist position and had their upper arms vertically set against the trunk[20]. Participants performed maximum-force squeezes three times for each hand with professional instruction, and the mean of the three readings was recorded for analysis. Handgrip strength measurements did not apply to participants with prior surgical operations, arthritis, injury, or other defects in the last 6 months[21] and these subjects were excluded from the study. Handgrip strength was reported in absolute terms since it has previously been demonstrated that the association between handgrip strength and health outcomes did not differ according to whether handgrip strength was expressed in absolute or relative terms[22]. In addition, we categorized handgrip strength (expressed in kg) into the highest, middle, and lowest groups according to tertiles in the four cohorts. The mean handgrip strength in the lowest, middle, and highest groups for the PERSONS cohort are: 20.6±4.8 vs. 32.6±2.8 vs. 45.7±8.6 kg; for the NHANES 2011-2014 cohort: 21.6±3.3 vs. 29.3±2.1 vs. 42.5±7.6 kg; for the TCLSIH cohort: 32.2±7.2 vs. 39.2±7.2 vs. 46.6±9.1 kg; and for the UK Biobank cohort: 23.2±8.6 vs. 31.8±8.6 vs. 39.0±10.8 kg, respectively.

***CKD assessment***

Although glomerular filtration rate (GFR) is the best tool for diagnosing, staging, and managing CKD, its measurement is labor-intensive, costly, and not widely accessible[23]. In our analysis, we estimated GFR using the CKD-Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) equation, a widely used first-line tool, which may be influenced by factors such as muscle mass, age, gender, diet, and hydration status[24, 25]. CKD-EPI equation was as follows: eGFR = 141 × min (Scr/κ, 1)α × max (Scr/κ, 1)-1.209 × 0.993age × 1.018 [if female], where Scr is serum creatinine, κ is 0.7 for females and 0.9 for males, α is –0.329 for females and –0.411 for males, min indicates the minimum of Scr/κ or 1, and max indicates the maximum of Scr/κ or 1. CKD stages were defined according to the KDIGO guidelines as follows: stage 1, urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio (ACR) ≥ 30 mg/g with eGFR ≥ 90 ml/min/1.73 m2; stage 2, ACR ≥ 30 mg/g with eGFR of 60-89 ml/min/1.73 m2; stage 3, eGFR of 30-59 ml/min/1.73 m2 (with or without abnormal ACR); stage 4, eGFR of 15-29 ml/min/1.73 m2; and stage 5, eGFR <15 ml/min/1.73 m2. In the present study, all participants were stratified into the non-CKD and CKD (stages 1 to 5) groups, respectively.

***Demographic, clinical and laboratory data***

All participants’ related data were obtained by highly trained medical personnel and included biochemical parameters, anthropometric variables, and demographic data. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. The presence of hypertension was diagnosed by a blood pressure of ≥130/85 mmHg or the current use of any anti-hypertensive medication. T2DM was characterized by either self-reported physician diagnosis, the use of anti-diabetic medications, fasting glucose levels ≥7 mmol/L, or a hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) level ≥6.5% (≥48 mmol/mol)[16].

***Statistics and reproducibility***

Statistical analyses were conducted using the R statistical package (The R Foundation; http://www.r-project. org; version 3.4.3) and Empower (R) (www. empowerstats.com; X & Y Solution, Inc., Boston, MA, USA). Continuous variables were expressed as means ± SD or medians with interquartile ranges (IQR) and compared using the unpaired Student’s *t*-test or the Mann-Whitney test based on whether the data distribution was normal or skewed. Categorical variables were expressed as percentages and compared using the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test for small numbers, as appropriate. Cross-sectional associations between handgrip strength and the risk of prevalent CKD or abnormal albuminuria (urinary ACR ≥ 30 mg/g) were first investigated using binary logistic regression analysis by tertiles of exposure. Participants in the lowest handgrip strength tertile were used as the reference group in each logistic regression model, and the results were reported as odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Analyses were adjusted for common risk factors for CKD, including age, sex, ethnicity, BMI, T2DM and hypertension. The overall diagnostic accuracy of this logistic regression model for discrimination between CKD and non-CKD status was evaluated by the receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curve analysis and was expressed as the area under the receiver operator characteristic curve (AUROC). We also calculated diagnostic indexes, including sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), positive likelihood ratio, and negative likelihood ratio. Cox proportional hazards regression models were used to assess the longitudinal association between handgrip strength and the risk of developing incident CKD and expressed as hazard ratio (HR) and 95% CIs. Kaplan-Meier survival curves were also performed to study the cumulative incidence rates of CKD according to baseline handgrip strength tertiles.

**Results**

***Characteristics of participants in the cross-sectional and longitudinal cohorts***

In the two cross-sectional cohorts, 460 Chinese adults with biopsy-confirmed MASLD were enrolled in the PERSONS cohort, among which 44 (9.6%) individuals had CKD stages 1 to 5. A total of 1,414 United States adults with MASLD (based on hepatic steatosis index) were enrolled in the NHANES 2011-2014 cohort, among which 271 (19.1%) individuals had CKD stages 1 to 5. As shown in **Supplementary Table 1**, in the PERSONS cohort, patients with CKD were more likely to be female and older and had lower eGFR and higher levels of urinary ACR, HbA1c and fasting glucose. Patients with CKD also had a higher prevalence of T2DM and hypertension. Furthermore, those with CKD were more likely to have significant liver fibrosis on liver histology than those without CKD. Notably, handgrip strength was conspicuously lower in the CKD group than in the non-CKD group (27.14 ± 9.19 vs. 33.59 ± 11.92 kg; P <0.001). Similar results were observed in the NHANES cohort, with 27.39 ± 8.83 kg in the CKD group and 32.00 ± 10.00 kg in the non-CKD group, respectively. However, the ethnic composition of the NHANES cohort included Mexican Americans, non-Hispanic Whites and others, which differed from the Chinese PERSONS cohort, which was predominantly of a single ethnicity.

As for the two prospective cohorts, the attrition rates were 10.70% and 0.00% in the TCLSIH cohort and the UK Biobank cohort respectively. In the TCLSIH cohort (a dynamic adult population cohort study of individuals living in Tianjin, China), at the baseline, subjects who developed incident CKD over the follow-up were more likely to be older and had higher proportions of metabolic syndrome traits, with 28.1% T2DM and 82.5% hypertension, compared to those who did not. Furthermore, subjects who developed incident CKD also had lower baseline handgrip strength than those who did not (36.01 ± 10.96 vs. 39.38 ± 9.86 kg, P =0.004). Similar findings were observed in the UK Biobank cohort (including 5,847 participants with ultrasound-detected MASLD), with a baseline handgrip strength of 29.33 ± 11.69 kg in subjects who developed incident CKD over the follow-up and 31.66 ± 11.65 kg in those who did not.

***Cross-sectional associations between handgrip strength and risk of abnormal albuminuria***

As shown in **Fig. 2a-2b**, in the PERSONS and NHANES cohorts, we found an inverse linear association between handgrip strength and the risk of having abnormal albuminuria in the MASLD group with CKD. In multivariable logistic regression modelling (**Table 1**) with the presence of abnormal albuminuria as the dependent variable, the inverse association between handgrip strength and the risk of abnormal albuminuria remained evident even after adjustment of sex, age, BMI, T2DM and hypertension (adjusted OR: 0.96, 95%CI: 0.92-0.99, P =0.023 in the PERSONS cohort, and adjusted OR: 0.96, 95%CI: 0.93-0.98, P =0.002 in the NHANES cohort). **Fig. 2c** shows the risk of having abnormal albuminuria according to handgrip strength tertiles in the PERSONS cohort. Compared with those in the lowest tertile, individuals in the middle or the highest tertile of handgrip strength had an OR of 0.38 (95%CI: 0.15-0.97) and 0.29 (95% CI: 0.11-0.80) for having abnormal albuminuria, after adjusting for sex, age, BMI, T2DM and hypertension. Similar associations between handgrip strength tertiles and risk of abnormal albuminuria were observed in the NHANES cohort (**Fig. 2c**).

***Cross-sectional associations between handgrip strength and risk of CKD***

The smoothing spline curves show an inverse association between handgrip strength and the risk of prevalent CKD (stages 1 to 5) in the PERSONS and NHANES cohorts (**Fig. 3a-3b**). As shown in **Table 1**, there was a significant inverse association between handgrip strength and the risk of prevalent CKD even after adjustment for common renal risk factors (adjusted OR: 0.95, 95%CI: 0.91-0.99, P =0.008 in the PERSONS cohort and OR: 0.96, 95%CI: 0.94-0.99, P =0.004 in the NHANES cohort). Also, we found that there were no significant interactions of potential confounders like T2DM or obesity on the association between handgrip strength and the risk of prevalent CKD (P-interaction >0.05) (**Supplementary Table 2**). **Fig. 3c** shows the associations between handgrip strength tertiles and the risk of prevalent CKD in the PERSONS cohort. Compared with those in the lowest tertile, individuals in the middle or the highest tertile of handgrip strength had an OR of 0.30 (95%CI: 0.12-0.77) and an OR of 0.23 (95%CI: 0.09-0.62) for CKD, respectively, after adjustment for potential confounders. Similar findings were observed in the NHANES cohort (**Fig. 3c**). Based on these findings, we established a non-invasive assessment model that combined handgrip strength, age, sex, BMI, hypertension, and T2DM status to test discrimination between high-risk CKD and non-CKD groups in the MASLD population. The AUROC of this non-invasive assessment model was 0.74 (95% CI: 0.66-0.80) in the PERSONS cohort and 0.72 (95% CI: 0.68-0.75) in the NHANES cohort, respectively (**Fig. 4**).

***Longitudinal associations between handgrip strength and risk of incident CKD***

The association between handgrip strength and the risk of developing CKD is shown in **Supplementary Table 3**, among which the multivariable HRs (95% CI) were 0.95 (0.92-0.99) and 0.99 (0.98-0.99) in the TCLHIS and UK Biobank cohorts, respectively. The inverse association between handgrip strength tertiles and the risk of developing CKD remained significant even after adjusting for sex, age, BMI, T2DM, and hypertension, and was not influenced by baseline eGFR. As for Kaplan-Meier survival analyses, we found that the cumulative incidence rates of CKD had an upward trend in the TCLSIH and UK Biobank cohorts. **Fig. 5a-5b** showsthatthe cumulative incidence rates of CKD were 0.003 and 0.008 per annum, respectively, in the sixth year of follow-up. **Fig. 5c-5d** shows the associations between handgrip strength tertiles and the risk of developing incident CKD. When compared with the lowest tertile, the risk of incident CKD was much lower in the middle and the highest handgrip strength tertiles, both in the TCLSIH and UK-Biobank cohorts.

**Discussion**

As far as we are aware, this is the first large-scale study investigating the cross-sectional and longitudinal associations between handgrip strength, as a proxy for overall SMS, and the risk of having or developing CKD in adults with MASLD. The main and novel findings of our large-scale study that involved four independent cohorts of adults with MASLD from different countries are as follows: (a) higher handgrip strength was significantly associated with a lower prevalence and incidence of CKD or abnormal albuminuria in adults with MASLD; (b) this association remained evident even after adjusting for common renal risk factors, such as sex, age, ethnicity, obesity, hypertension, and T2DM; and (c) these findings have been reported in both Asian and non-Asian adults, thus reducing the bias of differential metabolic features in distinct geographical areas.

Recent studies have reported a significant inverse association between SMS and MASLD[14, 15, 21]. SMS is a key component of sarcopenia that may be involved in the development and progression of MASLD[26]. Sunyoung et al. found that lower SMS (defined as the lowest quartile of relative handgrip strength) was significantly associated with a 3.6-fold increased risk of prevalent MASLD (OR 3.62, 95%CI 3.25–4.03) even after adjustment of potential confounding factors[14]. Evidence has also shown the patterns of the association between handgrip strength and risk of severe MASLD. For example, Fanny et al., who followed 333,295 participants from the UK Biobank study for a median of ~10 years, reported that the risk of developing severe MASLD was lower among participants in the middle (HR 0.82; 95%CI 0.76-0.90) and the highest tertile of SMS (HR 0.70; 95%CI 0.64-0.77) compared to those in the lowest tertile [15]. Also, lower SMS was independently associated with a higher long-term risk of overall mortality in patients with MASLD[21]. As for the complications of MASLD, evidence demonstrates that lower SMS is associated with a higher risk of reduced pulmonary function in individuals with MASLD[27]. Previous studies have also reported an inverse association between SMS and CKD in the general population[28-31]. CKD may decrease SMS, reducing the patient’s quality of life and increasing the risk of morbidity and mortality[30]. To our knowledge, there have been no clinical studies examining the relationship between SMS and the risk of having or developing CKD in people with MASLD until now. Our large-scale study found that handgrip strength was much lower in the MASLD population with CKD, and higher handgrip strength, a proxy for overall SMS, was significantly associated with a lower prevalence and incidence of CKD, even after adjustment for common renal risk factors, such as sex, age, ethnicity, obesity, hypertension, and T2DM.

The pathogenic mechanisms linking handgrip strength/SMS to the risk of CKD in MASLD have not been fully elucidated. Skeletal muscle is one of the most important tissues for insulin-mediated glucose metabolism. Skeletal muscle loss can reduce insulin-mediated glucose disposal and, in turn, increase whole-body insulin resistance, which is causally involved in the development of cardiometabolic diseases[5, 32, 33]. Thus, we speculate that a decrease in SMS may adversely affect the prevalence and incidence of CKD in people with MASLD, and insulin resistance is one of the most relevant mechanisms linking lower SMS to the risk of CKD in the MASLD population. Other mechanisms linking SMS to CKD in MASLD may involve endothelial dysfunction and altered adipokine production that further exacerbate CKD development in metabolic diseases[12]. The association between MASLD and CKD has been investigated in recent years, and it has been shown that MASLD is independently associated with an increased prevalence and incidence of CKD[34]. For example, it has also been reported that MASLD was significantly associated with an increased prevalence (OR 2.12, 95%CI 1.69-2.66) and incidence of CKD (HR 1.79, 95%CI 1.65-1.95), and the severity of MASLD was independently associated with CKD and abnormal albuminuria[9, 35]. Consequently, we speculate that lower SMS may exert adverse effects on the development and progression of CKD as we observed such association in four independent large cohorts of subjects from different countries, all of whom had MASLD.

A sedentary lifestyle may also impair muscle regeneration by reducing satellite cell content, decreasing myogenic potential, and increasing fibrosis[36]. Conversely, physical activity and exercise are well-established counter-measures against muscle wasting and are also advocated as part of a lifestyle habit essential to decreasing the risk of CKD in patients with MASLD[36-38]. Exercise may help mitigate the decline in muscle regeneration by increasing the activation of satellite cells, improving the myogenic potential, and reducing fibrosis formation. Additionally, exercise improves whole-body insulin sensitivity and adipokine balance[36, 39]. Furthermore, physical activity is also beneficial for CKD management. In a meta-analysis comparing exercise training with sham or no exercise found that aerobic capacity, Heiwe et al. found that cardiovascular function, low-grade inflammation, and health-related quality of life were associated with various exercise interventions in patients with CKD[40]. This suggests that individualized physical activity prescription in patients with CKD is the primary treatment strategy. In addition, exercise can also benefit the liver and improve MASLD severity to some extent by decreasing hepatic steatosis and inflammation. Current evidence shows that aerobic and resistance exercise may produce comparable therapeutic effects in MASLD with similar frequency, duration, and periods of exercise (40–45 min/session three times/week for 12 weeks) [41]. Increased physical activity may, therefore, be important in the MASLD population to decrease the long-term risk of developing CKD.

Notably, our large-scale study included both Asian and non-Asian adult individuals from hospital-based and community-based cohorts, thus avoiding the bias of differential metabolic features in distinct geographical areas. However, our study also has some important limitations. First, our study contains four cohorts, among which some parameters are missing across cohorts, such as nutritional status, use of certain medications (such as renin-angiotensin system inhibitors and diuretics), electrolyte levels (e.g., hyperkalemia), inflammatory biomarkers, and socioeconomic status. Second, liver biopsy examination (used in the hospital-based cohort of Chinese patients with MASLD) is regarded as the gold standard method for diagnosing and staging MASLD, but this method is expensive and unethical to perform in community-based cohort studies. The blood-based scores (such as HSI) and liver ultrasonography are non-invasive diagnostic tests of hepatic steatosis that have acceptable sensitivity and specificity and were used to assess the presence of MASLD instead of liver biopsy in our community-based cohorts. Third, serum cystatin C concentration which cannot be affected by factors such as muscle mass, is a more accurate biomarker for assessing kidney function than serum creatinine concentration[25]. We considered including serum cystatin C level in our study but excluded it to maintain consistency, as serum cystatin C concentration was not measured in all four cohorts. Fourth, although the NHANES cohort data were based on a single eGFR/ ACR measurement, numerous studies over the years have utilized this cohort to investigate CKD-related issues[9, 42, 43]. Therefore, we have adopted this approach to expand the scope of our study across diverse populations. Another limitation of the study is the lack of data on physical activity, which may attenuate skeletal muscle strength decline. Evidence has shown that resistance training is the best non-pharmacological intervention to improve and offset the age-related decline in skeletal muscle function[44].

**Conclusion**

In this large-scale study that involved four independent cohorts from different countries, we found that higher handgrip strength (a reliable marker of overall SMS) was strongly associated with a lower prevalence and incidence of CKD (stages 1 to 5) in adults with MASLD, as detected by liver histology, imaging or blood-based scores. This association remained significant even after adjusting for common renal risk factors, such as age, sex, ethnicity, obesity, hypertension, and T2DM. These findings also suggest that MASLD patients with greater handgrip strength may be less likely to develop CKD.
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**Table legends**

**Table 1.** Unadjusted and adjusted cross-sectional associations between handgrip strength and the risk of abnormal albuminuria or CKD in the PERSONS and NHANES cohorts.

**Figure legends**

**Fig. 1.** Flow diagram showing how the four cohorts were involved in the study design.

**Fig. 2.** Associations between handgrip strength and the risk of abnormal albuminuria in cross-sectional analyses (n =460 in the PERSONS cohort and n =1,414 in the NHANES cohort). (a) Risk of abnormal albuminuria according to handgrip strength in Chinese patients with biopsy-proven MASLD in the hospital-based cohort. (b) Risk of abnormal albuminuria according to handgrip strength in the NHANES cohort. (c) Logistic regression models showing the risk of abnormal albuminuria according to handgrip strength tertiles.

**Fig. 3.** Associations between handgrip strength and the risk of prevalent CKD (stage 1-5) in cross-sectional analyses (n =460 in the PERSONS cohort and n =1,414 in the NHANES cohort). (a) Risk of CKD according to handgrip strength in Chinese patients with biopsy-proven MASLD in the hospital-based cohort. (b) Risk of CKD according to handgrip strength in the NHANES cohort. (c) Logistic regression models showing the risk of prevalent CKD according to handgrip strength tertiles.

**Fig. 4.** Diagnostic performance of non-invasive assessment of CKD in people with MASLD. (a) The AUROC of the non-invasive model for screening CKD in MASLD subjects was 0.74 (95% CI: 0.66-0.80) in the PERSONS cohort. (b) The AUROC of the non-invasive model was 0.72 (95% CI: 0.68-0.75) in the NHANES cohort.

**Fig. 5.** Kaplan-Meier survival curves for the analysis between handgrip strength and the risk of developing incident CKD in MASLD. (a and b) Cumulative incidence rates of CKD. (c and d) Cumulative incidence rates of CKD according to handgrip strength tertiles in the TCLSIH and UK Biobank cohorts.

Table 1. Unadjusted and adjusted cross-sectional associations between handgrip strength and the risk of having abnormal albuminuria or CKD in the PERSONS and NHANES cohorts.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Abnormal albuminuria | PERSONS cohort | | NHANES cohort | |
| OR (95% CI) | P-value | OR (95% CI) | P-value |
| Model 1 (unadjusted) | 0.95 (0.92, 0.98) | 0.002 | 0.96 (0.94, 0.98) | <0.001 |
| Model 2 | 0.95 (0.92, 0.99) | 0.020 | 0.95 (0.92, 0.98) | <0.001 |
| Model 3 | 0.96 (0.92, 0.99) | 0.023 | 0.96 (0.93, 0.98) | 0.002 |
| CKD | PERSONS cohort | | NHANES cohort | |
| OR (95% CI) | P-value | OR (95% CI) | P-value |
| Model 1 (unadjusted) | 0.95 (0.92, 0.98) | 0.001 | 0.95 (0.93, 0.96) | <0.001 |
| Model 2 | 0.95 (0.91, 0.98) | 0.007 | 0.96 (0.94, 0.98) | 0.002 |
| Model 3 | 0.95 (0.91, 0.99) | 0.008 | 0.96 (0.94, 0.99) | 0.004 |

Note: the reference group were participants with low handgrip strength.

Model 1 univariable logistic regression analysis (unadjusted model).

Model 2 adjustments for sex, age, BMI, and ethnicity (only in the NHANES 2011-2014 cohort) as covariates.

Model 3 adjustments for sex, age, BMI, ethnicity (only in the NHANES 2011-2014 cohort), type 2 diabetes, and hypertension as covariates.