

RECEIVED: April 8, 2025 Accepted: May 22, 2025 Published: July 2, 2025

# Precision holography of AdS<sub>5</sub> bubbling geometries

David Turton David Alexander Tyukov

Mathematical Sciences and STAG Research Centre, University of Southampton, Highfield, Southampton SO17 1BJ, U.K.

E-mail: d.j.turton@soton.ac.uk, a.tyukov@soton.ac.uk

ABSTRACT: Holographic duality provides a microscopic interpretation of asymptotically Antide Sitter supergravity solutions. The dual states of the field theory give rise to expectation values of light operators. These expectation values correspond to coefficients in the asymptotic expansion of gauge-invariant combinations of supergravity fields. We consider the duality between type IIB theory on  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  and  $4D \mathcal{N} = 4$  super Yang-Mills theory, focusing on the half-BPS sector. We clarify the structure of the precision holographic dictionary, making explicit the distinction between single-trace operators and single-particle operators, where the latter contain admixtures of multi-traces. We rewrite the holographic dictionary for half-BPS operators of dimension four in the single-particle basis. We then apply this dictionary to perform precision holographic studies of two different smooth supergravity solutions in the class derived by Lin, Lunin and Maldacena, that have been recently used to compute all-light four-point correlators by making extrapolations of heavy-light correlators.

Keywords: AdS-CFT Correspondence, Black Holes in String Theory

ArXiv ePrint: 2503.19760

**16** 

18

19

**20** 

| 1 |                                 |  | 1  |
|---|---------------------------------|--|----|
| 2 |                                 |  | 3  |
|   | 2.1                             | Single-particle chiral primaries                             | 3  |
|   | 2.2                             | Single-particle R-symmetry descendants                       | 4  |
|   | 2.3                             | Extremal three-point functions and single-particle operators | 5  |
|   | 2.4                             | Kaluza-Klein reduction to five dimensions                    | 7  |
|   | 2.5                             | Holographic dictionary in the single-trace basis             | 8  |
|   | 2.6                             | Holographic dictionary in the single-particle basis          | 9  |
| 3 | Supergravity solutions          |  | 10 |
|   | 3.1                             | LLM solutions in $AdS_5 \times S^5$                          | 10 |
|   | 3.2                             | First-order backgrounds                                      | 11 |
|   | 3.3                             | Second-order backgrounds                                     | 12 |
| 4 | Determining the dual CFT states |  | 12 |
|   | 4.1                             | Ansatz for the CFT states                                    | 12 |
|   | 4.2                             | Analysis of the solution in the consistent truncation        | 14 |
|   | 4.3                             | Analysis of the single-mode ripple solution                  | 15 |

# 1 Introduction

Discussion

Cross-check at cubic order

B CFT expectation values at cubic order

A Spherical harmonics and symmetric traceless tensors

Contents

Holographic duality is the remarkable conjecture that a gravitational theory in d+1 dimensions (possibly times other compact directions) may be completely equivalent to a non-gravitational theory that lives on the d-dimensional boundary of the original space-time [1]. Most typically, the gravitational theory lives in (d+1)-dimensional Anti-de Sitter space (AdS<sub>d+1</sub>), and the non-gravitational theory is a conformal field theory (CFT<sub>d</sub>).

On the gravitational side of such a duality, there can be large families of asymptotically Anti-de Sitter supergravity solutions that are horizonless and smooth up to possible physical sources. Holography relates these solutions to generically heavy pure states of the dual field theory. An interesting class of such solutions are those that are backreacted coherent bound states of many supergravitons, see for example [2–9]. For many of these families of supergravity solutions, there is a detailed proposal for the dual CFT states.

Several of these proposed identifications between supergravity solutions and CFT states have passed non-trivial tests using precision holographic studies of protected heavy-light three-point correlation functions [10–13], using the formalism developed in [14–16]. To perform such studies, one constructs gauge-invariant combinations of supergravity fields, and studies their asymptotic expansion at large radial distance in AdS. Coefficients in this expansion are dual to expectation values of light operators in the corresponding heavy CFT state.

Smooth supergravity solutions have also been used to compute heavy-light and all-light correlators, including very recently [17–19] in the duality between type IIB theory on  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  and  $4D \mathcal{N} = 4 SU(N)$  super Yang-Mills (SYM) theory [1]. These works used half-BPS asymptotically  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  smooth horizonless supergravity solutions in the class derived by Lin, Lunin and Maldacena (LLM) [3]. The correlators were computed in the supergravity regime in the bulk, i.e. at large N and large 't Hooft coupling.

These recent  $AdS_5/CFT_4$  developments build on similar work in  $AdS_3/CFT_2$  duality on heavy-light four-point correlators [20–23] and computing all-light correlators by making extrapolations thereof [24–27]; see also the related works [28–30]. Here, 'heavy' denotes CFT operators whose conformal dimensions scale linearly with the central charge c in the large c limit, and 'light' denotes CFT operators whose conformal dimensions are independent of c in the large c limit.

The recent computations of four-point correlators in [17–19] used two different LLM geometries. Each of these solutions is defined by a profile function that describes the boundary of a single droplet in the free fermion description of the LLM solutions. The work of [17] used a profile function that involves a single mode, that had been studied in [16]. By contrast, the work of [18, 19] used a solution [31] that lies in the consistent truncation of [32], that was first constructed and studied in [33, 34], and corresponds to an elliptical profile function [35]; see also [36]. For a selection of other recent work involving LLM solutions, see [37–43].

The primary motivations for this work are to make precise the difference between the two LLM backgrounds studied in [17–19], and to investigate the holographic description of these solutions with  $AdS_5/CFT_4$  precision holography [14, 16].

In this paper we study these two solutions perturbatively in a small parameter  $\alpha$ . The backgrounds agree to order  $\alpha$ , but differ at order  $\alpha^2$ . At first order in  $\alpha$ , the linearised supergravity fields are among the fluctuations around global  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  classified in [44], as shown in [45]. These fields are dual to the state  $|O_2\rangle$  corresponding to the chiral primary operator  $O_2 \sim Tr Z^2$ , where Z is a holomorphic combination of two of the six hermitian adjoint scalars of the dual field theory,  $Z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\Phi^1 + i\Phi^2)$ .

Beyond the linearised order, in general LLM solutions are dual to multi-traces built from powers of the field Z. These operators have scaling dimension equal to a particular U(1) R-charge in the SO(6) R-symmetry group,  $\Delta = J$ . In [31] it was proposed that the LLM solution in the consistent truncation is dual to a coherent state composed only of powers of  $O_2$ . This proposal was refined very recently in [19]. We will find supporting evidence for this proposal. We shall furthermore demonstrate that, by contrast, the solution defined by the single-mode profile is dual to a state that contains the dimension-four chiral primary  $O_4$  at order  $\alpha^2$ , and fix the coefficient of  $O_4$  in the state at this order.

To establish these results, we revisit the  $AdS_5/CFT_4$  precision holographic dictionary, and first clarify that it was originally derived in the single-trace basis of the dual CFT [14, 16].

We then rewrite the dictionary in the single-particle basis, which will be much more convenient for our analysis. Single-particle CFT operators are defined to be half-BPS operators that are orthogonal to all multi-trace operators [46, 47]. They are proposed to be dual to single-particle supergravity states on global  $AdS_5 \times S^5$ . This generalizes the earlier work of [48–50]. In the single-particle basis, the holographic dictionary takes a simpler form in terms of the 5D supergravity fields that arise from Kaluza-Klein reduction. The analogous single-particle basis has also been recently used in  $AdS_3/CFT_2$  holography [12].

We then use the precision holographic dictionary in the single-particle basis to study the two LLM geometries in question. To do so, on the supergravity side, we convert each background into de Donder-Lorentz gauge, building on the results of [17]. On the CFT side, we make an Ansatz for the dual CFT states up to order  $\alpha^2$ .

We compute expectation values of the single-particle chiral primary operators of dimension two and four, and of certain SO(6) R-symmetry descendants thereof. The first few expectation values we compute are used to fix the coefficients in the CFT states, thus distinguishing the dual CFT states to the respective LLM backgrounds. The remaining expectation values, in particular those of the R-symmetry descendants, represent non-trivial cross-checks of the dual CFT states, and also of the holographic dictionary in the single-particle basis. These cross-checks include an operator whose expectation value arises at order  $\alpha^3$ .

The structure of this paper is as follows. In section 2 we present the holographic dictionary in the single-particle basis, as well as the explicit form of the light operators whose expectation values we compute, including a set of R-symmetry descendants of the single-particle chiral primaries. In section 3 we describe the two LLM solutions we consider, and make explicit the difference between the solutions in supergravity. In section 4 we analyze the full set of holographic expectation values up to order  $\alpha^2$ , and fix the dual CFT states to the two LLM solutions. In section 5 we perform a non-trivial cross-check of the heavy state dual to the solution defined by the single-mode profile by computing an expectation value at order  $\alpha^3$ . In section 6 we discuss our results.

# 2 Precision AdS<sub>5</sub>/CFT<sub>4</sub> holography in the single-particle basis

In this section we start by presenting the light operators whose expectation values we compute in this paper. We then discuss the relation between extremal three-point correlators and single-particle operators. We review the relevant parts of the precision holographic dictionary in  $AdS_5$  [14, 16], and clarify that the dictionary was derived in the trace basis. We then rewrite the dictionary in the single-particle basis.

#### 2.1 Single-particle chiral primaries

Single-particle operators are defined to be orthogonal to all multi-trace operators, i.e. to have vanishing two-point functions with all such operators [46, 47]. We work with gauge group SU(N) and with complex combinations of the six hermitian scalar fields  $\Phi^i$  as follows,

$$Z(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \Phi^1(x) + i \Phi^2(x) \right) , \qquad \bar{Z}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \Phi^1(x) - i \Phi^2(x) \right) ,$$
 (2.1)

and similarly for  $X, \bar{X}, Y, \bar{Y}$  in terms of  $\Phi^a$ , where a runs over  $3, \ldots, 6$ .

We absorb the appropriate factors of the Yang-Mills coupling and of  $2\pi$  into the definition of the operators, as is often done (see e.g. [16, 51, 52]), such that the two-point correlators take the form

$$\langle \bar{Z}_{q}^{p}(x)Z_{s}^{r}(y)\rangle = \frac{\delta_{s}^{p}\delta_{q}^{r} - \frac{1}{N}\delta_{q}^{p}\delta_{s}^{r}}{|x - y|^{2}}.$$
 (2.2)

We shall work at leading order in large N. The 1/N term in the propagator is subleading (see e.g. [53]) and shall play no role in the present work. From now onwards, we shall suppress the spacetime dependence in most equations.

We first introduce the following notation for non-unit-normalized single-trace chiral primary operators,

$$\widehat{T}_k = \text{Tr}(Z^k). \tag{2.3}$$

As usual, it is convenient to define single-trace operators that are unit-normalized at leading order in large N, with  $\Delta = J = k$ , see e.g. [54],

$$T_k = \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(Z^k)}{\sqrt{k} N^{\frac{k}{2}}}. (2.4)$$

The unit-normalized single-particle chiral primaries take the following form at leading order in large N [47],

$$O_2 = T_2, \qquad O_4 = T_4 - \frac{2}{N}(O_2)^2,$$
 (2.5)

where we have kept only the leading term in the coefficient of the double-trace  $(O_2)^2$ . We emphasize that this term can contribute at leading order in large N in extremal and heavy-light correlators.

#### 2.2 Single-particle R-symmetry descendants

In this section we work out the explicit form of the single-particle R-symmetry descendants whose expectation values we shall compute in this paper. By "R-symmetry descendants" we mean operators obtained by acting on chiral primaries with (bosonic) lowering operators of the SO(6) R-symmetry.

First, we recall that the states dual to LLM solutions involve multi-traces composed of powers of the field Z. Such states break the SO(6) R-symmetry to SO(4). We will compute expectation values of some SO(4)-invariant R-symmetry descendants of chiral primaries. We thus define  $T_{k,m}$  to be the SO(4) invariant R-symmetry descendants of the single-trace operators  $T_k$  that have charge m under the U(1) selected by Z, and that are unit-normalized. Likewise, we define  $O_{k,m}$  to be the analogous descendants of the single-particle chiral primaries  $O_k$ . We work to leading order in large N throughout.

We start with the single-trace operators. The explicit forms of the descendants of single-trace CPOs can be obtained directly from the relevant spherical harmonic in terms of the six scalars  $\Phi^i$  [54] (see below around eq. (2.13)). Alternatively, one can act on the

chiral primary with the following SO(4)-invariant lowering operator [31], which lowers the U(1) R-charge by 2 units:

$$J^{-} \equiv \sum_{a=3}^{6} J^{-a} J^{-a}, \qquad J^{-a} \equiv (J^{1a} - iJ^{2a}), \qquad a = 3, \dots, 6,$$
 (2.6)

where

$$J^{ij} = -i \operatorname{Tr} \left( \Phi^i \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi^j} - \Phi^j \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi^i} \right), \qquad i, j = 1, \dots, 6.$$
 (2.7)

For instance, the neutral descendant  $O_{2,0} = T_{2,0}$  is

$$O_{2,0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}N} \text{Tr} \left( 2Z\bar{Z} - \frac{1}{2}\Phi^a \Phi^a \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}N} \text{Tr} \left( 2Z\bar{Z} - (X\bar{X} + Y\bar{Y}) \right). \tag{2.8}$$

At dimension four, the single-trace charge-two descendant  $T_{4,2} \sim J^{-}T_{4}$ , takes the form

$$T_{4,2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}N^2} \text{Tr} \left( 2Z^3 \bar{Z} - Z^2 \Phi^a \Phi^a - \frac{1}{2} Z \Phi^a Z \Phi^a \right) , \qquad (2.9)$$

and the single-trace dimension-four neutral descendant  $T_{4,0}$  is

$$T_{4,0} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{5}N^2} \text{Tr} \left[ 2Z^2 \bar{Z}^2 + (Z\bar{Z})^2 - \left( (Z\bar{Z} + \bar{Z}Z)\Phi^a \Phi^a + Z\Phi^a \bar{Z}\Phi^a \right) + \frac{1}{6} \left( \Phi^a \Phi^a \Phi^b \Phi^b + \frac{1}{2} \Phi^a \Phi^b \Phi^a \Phi^b \right) \right].$$
 (2.10)

We next discuss the single-particle descendants  $O_{4,2}$  and  $O_{4,0}$ . To obtain their explicit expressions, one can either act with the above SO(4)-invariant lowering operator on  $O_4$ , or one can add all possible SO(4)-invariant combinations of double-traces to  $T_{4,2}$  and  $T_{4,0}$  and fix their coefficients by imposing orthogonality with all double-traces. We obtain

$$O_{4,2} = T_{4,2} - \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{10}N}O_2O_{2,0} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{10}N^3}\text{Tr}Z\Phi^a \text{Tr}Z\Phi^a$$
 (2.11)

and

$$O_{4,0} = T_{4,0} - \frac{3}{\sqrt{5}N} (O_{2,0})^2 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}N} O_2^{\dagger} O_2 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}N^3} \text{Tr} Z \Phi^a \text{Tr} \bar{Z} \Phi^a$$
$$- \frac{1}{6\sqrt{5}N^3} \left( \text{Tr} \Phi^a \Phi^b \text{Tr} \Phi^a \Phi^b - \frac{1}{4} (\text{Tr} \Phi^a \Phi^a)^2 \right) . \tag{2.12}$$

In the above expressions, only the terms that are composed solely of Z,  $\bar{Z}$  contribute to the expectation values of these operators in LLM geometries. We have included these terms for completeness, and for possible use in future work. However, for the applications in sections 4 and 5, all terms that involve any fields other than Z,  $\bar{Z}$  can be ignored.

#### 2.3 Extremal three-point functions and single-particle operators

More generally, let us consider the following single-trace operators,

$$\widehat{T}_I = C^I_{i_1 \cdots i_k} \operatorname{Tr} \left( \Phi^{i_1} \cdots \Phi^{i_k} \right), \tag{2.13}$$

where  $C^I$  is a totally symmetric traceless rank-k tensor of SO(6). These operators live in half-BPS multiplets [54]. For details and conventions, see appendix A. For our holographic applications, we focus on operators up to dimension four, in the SU(N) theory. Thus the mixing in the single-particle operators involves at most double-trace operators, and no triple or higher traces.

Let us review the relation between extremal three-point functions and the mixing coefficients of double-traces in single-particle operators [48–50]. Consider three unit-normalized single-trace operators  $T_{I_1}$ ,  $T_{I_2}$  and  $T_{I_3}$ , such that  $k_1 = k_2 + k_3$ . The protected extremal three-point function takes the form [54]

$$\langle T_{I_1}(x_1)T_{I_2}(x_2)T_{I_3}(x_3)\rangle = \frac{1}{N} \frac{\sqrt{(k_2 + k_3)k_2k_3} \langle C^{I_1}C^{I_2}C^{I_3}\rangle}{|x_1 - x_2|^{2k_2}|x_1 - x_3|^{2k_3}},$$
(2.14)

where  $\langle C^{I_1}C^{I_2}C^{I_3}\rangle$  is the unique SO(6) invariant formed by contracting all indices in the tensors  $C^{I_a}$ , eq. (A.6), and is related to the triple intersection of spherical harmonics  $a_{I_1I_2I_3}$ , eq. (A.5), by

$$a_{I_1 I_2 I_3} = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\Sigma + 2\right)! \, 2^{\frac{1}{2}(\Sigma - 2)}} \frac{k_1! k_2! k_3!}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2! \alpha_3!} \langle C^{I_1} C^{I_2} C^{I_3} \rangle \,, \tag{2.15}$$

where  $\Sigma = k_1 + k_2 + k_3$ ,  $\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2}(k_2 + k_3 - k_1)$ , and similarly for  $\alpha_2, \alpha_3$ . At extremality, i.e. for  $k_1 = k_2 + k_3$ , this becomes

$$a_{I_1 I_2 I_3} = \frac{1}{(k_1 + 1)(k_1 + 2) 2^{k_1 - 1}} \langle C^{I_1} C^{I_2} C^{I_3} \rangle \equiv z(k_1) \langle C^{I_1} C^{I_2} C^{I_3} \rangle.$$
 (2.16)

Thus we have

$$\langle C^{I_1}C^{I_2}C^{I_3}\rangle = \frac{a_{I_1I_2I_3}}{z(k_1)}, \quad \text{for} \quad k_1 = k_2 + k_3.$$
 (2.17)

Note that there are no contractions between  $T_{I_2}$  and  $T_{I_3}$  inside the extremal correlator. Therefore we can take the coincident limit  $x_3 \to x_2$  and obtain the two-point function

$$\langle T_{I_1}(x_1) (T_{I_2} T_{I_3}) (x_2) \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \frac{a_{I_1 I_2 I_3}}{z(k_2 + k_3)} \frac{\sqrt{(k_2 + k_3)k_2 k_3}}{|x_1 - x_2|^{2(k_2 + k_3)}}.$$
 (2.18)

From now on, we will suppress the coordinate dependence in most correlators.

We introduce the notation  $C^{I_1,I_2,I_3}$  for the mixing coefficients by writing the single-particle operator in the form

$$O_{I_1} = T_{I_1} - \sum_{I_2 + I_3 = I_1} C^{I_1, I_2, I_3} T_{I_2} T_{I_3},$$
 (2.19)

where the notation  $I_2 + I_3 = I_1$  denotes that the sum is over all  $I_2, I_3$  compatible with  $k_2 + k_3 = k_1$  and charge conservation. Note that a particular double-trace combination enters this sum either once if it is a square of a single trace, or twice if it is a product of two distinct operators.

As discussed above, the mixing coefficients can be determined by requiring the vanishing of the two-point functions with all double-traces. One finds [48]

$$C^{I_1,I_2,I_3} = \frac{\sqrt{(k_2 + k_3)k_2k_3}}{2N} \langle C^{I_1}C^{I_2}C^{I_3} \rangle.$$
 (2.20)

For our applications in the present work, we now specialize to single-particle operators which are SO(4) singlets, and also on those double-traces in such operators that are themselves SO(4) singlets, since only such terms will contribute to the expectation values in the backgrounds we study. Then the multi-index I reduces to I = (k, m). We have

$$C^{(4,m),(2,n),(2,p)} = \frac{2}{N} \frac{a_{(4m)(2n)(2p)}}{z(4)},$$
(2.21)

which agrees with the appropriate mixing coefficients in the single-particle operators presented in the previous subsection. Thus (2.19) becomes

$$O_{4,m} = T_{4,m} - \frac{2}{N} \frac{a_{(4m)(2n)(2p)}}{z(4)} O_{2,n} O_{2,p}, \qquad (2.22)$$

where we sum over all n, p compatible with R-charge conservation.

It is convenient to express the precision holographic dictionary in terms of expectation values of operators with a different normalisation to (2.4), (2.5), which arises from the supergravity computation of two and three-point functions [14, 16], that is,

$$\mathcal{T}_k = \mathcal{N}_k T_k \,, \qquad \mathcal{O}_k = \mathcal{N}_k O_k \,, \qquad (2.23)$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}_2 = \frac{N}{\pi^2}, \qquad \mathcal{N}_k = \frac{N}{\pi^2} (k-2) \sqrt{k-1} \quad \text{for } k \neq 2.$$
 (2.24)

We note that the same normalisation coefficient  $\mathcal{N}_k$  appears for both  $\mathcal{T}_k$  and  $\mathcal{O}_k$ . Also, the normalization coefficients  $\mathcal{N}_k$  are the same for the SO(6) descendants as for the corresponding CPOs.

Finally, we express (2.22) in explicit form for  $\mathcal{T}_{4,k}$ :

$$\mathcal{T}_{4,m} = \mathcal{O}_{4,m} + \frac{\mathcal{N}_4}{\mathcal{N}_2^2} \frac{2}{N} \frac{a_{(4m)(2n)(2p)}}{z(4)} \mathcal{O}_{2,n} \mathcal{O}_{2,p}, \qquad (2.25)$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_4/\mathcal{N}_2^2 = 2\sqrt{3}\pi^2/N$ .

# 2.4 Kaluza-Klein reduction to five dimensions

We now review part of the Kaluza-Klein reduction of type IIB supergravity on  $S^5$  and its application to holography [14, 44, 54]. We use x to denote AdS<sub>5</sub> coordinates, y to denote  $S^5$  coordinates, and from now on we use a, b to denote indices on  $S^5$ . We focus on the trace of the 10D metric on  $S^5$ , and the five-form with all legs on  $S^5$ . The fluctuations of these fields have KK expansions of the form

$$h_{a}^{a}(x,y) = \sum \pi_{I}(x)Y^{I}(y),$$
  

$$f_{abcde}(x,y) = \sum \Lambda^{I}b_{I}(x)\epsilon_{abcde}Y^{I}(y),$$
(2.26)

where  $Y^I$  denotes scalar spherical harmonics on  $S^5$ , see appendix A. We focus on solutions that preserve SO(4) isometry in  $S^5$ , so as above, I reduces to I = (k, m).

From the fields  $\pi_I$  and  $b_I$ , we form the combination  $s_I$ , which is part of the set of fields that diagonalize the equations of motion, and which is given by

$$s_I = \frac{1}{20(k+2)} \left( \pi_I - 10(k+4)b_I \right) . \tag{2.27}$$

One then defines fields  $S_I$  which satisfy five-dimensional field equations that can be integrated into a five-dimensional action without derivative couplings. The relation between the fields  $S_I$  and  $s_I$  takes the form [14, 54]

$$S_I = s_I + \sum_{IK} \left( J^{IJK} s_J s_K + L^{IJK} D_\mu s_J D^\mu s_K \right) + O([s]^3), \qquad (2.28)$$

where  $D_{\mu}$  denotes the covariant derivative on empty AdS<sub>5</sub>. For the computation of expectation values of operators of dimension two, the linear term is sufficient. For the computation of expectation values of operators of dimension four, the linear and quadratic terms are sufficient [14]. We therefore write the relevant terms in the relations between the fields  $S_I$  and the fields  $S_I$  for k = 2, 3, 4 as [16]

$$S_I = w(s_I)s_I$$
,  $w(s_I) = \sqrt{\frac{8k(k-1)(k+2)z(k)}{k+1}}$ ,  $k = 2, 3$ ; (2.29)

$$S_{(4,m)} = \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{5} \left( s_{(4,m)} - \frac{a_{(4m)(2n)(2p)}}{27z(4)} \left( 83s_{(2,n)}s_{(2,p)} + 7D_{\mu}s_{(2,n)}D^{\mu}s_{(2,p)} \right) \right). \tag{2.30}$$

#### 2.5 Holographic dictionary in the single-trace basis

We now clarify that the previous derivation of the precision holographic dictionary [14, 16] was done in the trace basis. Using the notation  $[S]_k$  for the coefficient of  $z^k$  in the Fefferman-Graham expansion of the field S, the radial canonical momenta are given by [14, 16]

$$\pi_{2,m}^{(2)} = 2 \left[ S_{2,m} \right]_2, \qquad \pi_{k,m}^{(2k-4)} = (2k-4) \left[ S_{k,m} \right]_k \qquad (k \neq 2).$$
(2.31)

Firstly, for  $\mathcal{O}_{2,m} = \mathcal{T}_{2,m}$  the holographic dictionary is given by 1

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{2,m} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{T}_{2,m} \rangle = \frac{N^2}{2\pi^2} \pi_{2,m}^{(2)} \,.$$
 (2.32)

Next, the combination of supergravity fields dual to the expectation value of the single-trace operator  $\mathcal{T}_{4,m}$  involves quadratic terms in  $\pi_{2,m}^{(2)}$  as follows [16]:

$$\langle \mathcal{T}_{4,m} \rangle = \frac{N^2}{2\pi^2} \left( \pi_{4,m}^{(4)} + \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{z(4)} a_{(4m)(2n)(2p)} \pi_{2,n}^{(2)} \pi_{2,p}^{(2)} \right). \tag{2.33}$$

The quadratic terms were added in order to ensure consistency with the fact that in the trace basis, extremal three-point correlators are non-zero, and they factorize into a product of two-point functions [14].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The relation between our notation and that of [16] is  $\mathcal{T}_k = \mathcal{O}_{S_k}$ .

#### 2.6 Holographic dictionary in the single-particle basis

We now rewrite the holographic dictionary in the single-particle basis. We propose that the holographic dictionary for the expectation value of the single-particle operator  $\mathcal{O}_{4,m}$  takes the simple form

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{4,m} \rangle = \frac{N^2}{2\pi^2} \pi_{4,m}^{(4)} \,.$$
 (2.34)

As a corollary of this proposal, we obtain the dictionary for the double-trace operators, as follows. Combining (2.33) and (2.34) we obtain

$$\langle \mathcal{T}_{4,m} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{O}_{4,m} \rangle + \frac{N^2}{2\pi^2} \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{z(4)} a_{(4m)(2n)(2p)} \pi_{2,n}^{(2)} \pi_{2,p}^{(2)}.$$
 (2.35)

Combining this with eqs. (2.25) and (2.32), we obtain the following simple formula,

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{2,m}\mathcal{O}_{2,n}\rangle = \left(\frac{N^2}{2\pi^2}\right)^2 \pi_{2,m}^{(2)} \pi_{2,n}^{(2)} = \langle \mathcal{O}_{2,m}\rangle \langle \mathcal{O}_{2,n}\rangle, \qquad (2.36)$$

which is consistent with large N factorization.

For use when working with ten-dimensional solutions, we now record formulae for the supergravity expectation values in terms of the fields  $s_I$  that arise directly from the Fourier expansion of the 10D fields, eq. (2.27). Firstly, combining (2.32) and (2.29), and using the square bracket notation introduced above (2.31), we have

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{2,m} \rangle = \frac{N^2}{2\pi^2} \frac{2\sqrt{8}}{3} \left[ s_{(2,m)} \right]_2.$$
 (2.37)

For  $\mathcal{O}_4$ , we use the fact that for the following coefficient,

$$\pi_{4\,m}^{(4)} = 4 \left[ S_{4,m} \right]_4 \,, \tag{2.38}$$

there exists a form of the dictionary in terms of  $s_{k,m}$  with no derivatives. Specifically, using [16]

$$\left[D_{\mu}s_{(2,m)}D^{\mu}s_{(2,n)}\right]_{4} = 4\left[s_{(2,m)}s_{(2,n)}\right]_{4}, \qquad (2.39)$$

from (2.30) one can derive

$$[S_{4,m}]_4 = \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{5} \left[ s_{(4,m)} - \frac{37}{9z(4)} a_{(4m)(2n)(2p)} s_{(2,n)} s_{(2,p)} \right]_4. \tag{2.40}$$

Then using (2.34) and (2.31), the holographic dictionary for  $\mathcal{O}_{4,m}$  in terms of  $s_I$  is given by

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{4,m} \rangle = \frac{N^2}{2\pi^2} \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{5} \left[ 2s_{(4,m)} - \frac{74}{9z(4)} a_{(4m)(2n)(2p)} s_{(2,n)} s_{(2,p)} \right]_4. \tag{2.41}$$

For comparison, we also record the dictionary for  $\mathcal{T}_{4,m}$  [16, eq. (2.27)],

$$\langle \mathcal{T}_{4,m} \rangle = \frac{N^2}{2\pi^2} \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{5} \left[ 2s_{(4,m)} + \frac{2}{3z(4)} a_{(4m)(2n)(2p)} s_{(2,n)} s_{(2,p)} \right]_4, \tag{2.42}$$

which is consistent with the discussion above. Finally, for the double-traces we have

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{2,m} \mathcal{O}_{2,n} \rangle = \frac{8N^4}{9\pi^4} \left[ s_{2,m} \right]_2 \left[ s_{2,n} \right]_2.$$
 (2.43)

#### 3 Supergravity solutions

# 3.1 LLM solutions in $AdS_5 \times S^5$

We now review the asymptotically  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  LLM solutions [3]. These solutions contain only the metric and five-form field strength. The solutions take the form

$$ds^{2} = -h^{-2}(dt + V_{i}dx^{i})^{2} + h^{2}(dy^{2} + dx^{i}dx^{i}) + ye^{G}d\Omega_{3}^{2} + ye^{-G}d\tilde{\Omega}_{3}^{2},$$

$$h^{-2} = 2y \cosh G, \qquad z = \frac{1}{2} \tanh G,$$

$$y\partial_{y}V_{i} = \epsilon_{ij}\partial_{j}z, \qquad y(\partial_{i}V_{j} - \partial_{j}V_{i}) = \epsilon_{ij}\partial_{y}z,$$

$$F_{5} = F_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu} \wedge dx^{\nu} \wedge d\Omega_{3} + \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu} \wedge dx^{\nu} \wedge d\tilde{\Omega}_{3},$$

$$F = dB_{t} \wedge (dt + V) + B_{t}dV + d\hat{B},$$

$$\tilde{F} = d\tilde{B}_{t} \wedge (dt + V) + \tilde{B}_{t}dV + d\tilde{B},$$

$$B_{t} = -\frac{1}{4}y^{2}e^{2G}, \qquad \tilde{B}_{t} = -\frac{1}{4}y^{2}e^{-2G},$$

$$d\hat{B} = -\frac{1}{4}y^{3} *_{3} d\left(\frac{z + \frac{1}{2}}{y^{2}}\right), \qquad d\tilde{B} = -\frac{1}{4}y^{3} *_{3} d\left(\frac{z - \frac{1}{2}}{y^{2}}\right),$$

$$(3.1)$$

where  $*_3$  is the Hodge dual on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  parameterized by  $(y, x_1, x_2)$ , in this section i = 1, 2, and where

$$z(x_1, x_2, y) = \frac{y^2}{\pi} \int_{R^2} \frac{z(x_1', x_2', 0) dx_1' dx_2'}{((x - x')^2 + y^2)^2},$$

$$V_i(x_1, x_2, y) = \frac{\epsilon_{ij}}{\pi} \int_{R^2} \frac{z(x_1', x_2', 0) (x_j - x_j') dx_1' dx_2'}{((x - x')^2 + y^2)^2}.$$
(3.2)

Regularity imposes a boundary condition on the  $x_1$ - $x_2$  plane at y=0, which ensures that one or both of the three-spheres in the metric shrink smoothly. The boundary condition is that the function  $z(x_1, x_2, 0)$  take the values  $\pm 1/2$ . This can be depicted as a colouring of the  $\mathbb{R}^2$  at y=0 into black and white regions. The black regions correspond to droplets in a free fermion phase space, and their total area is fixed to be  $2\pi$  in our conventions. The free fermion description also plays a role in the holographic description of the corresponding CFT operators [51, 55].

The two backgrounds we study in this paper both involve a single black region. The boundary of this region is specified by a function that we shall refer to as the 'profile function'. To write the profile functions, we introduce plane polar coordinates via  $x_1 = r \cos \tilde{\phi}$  and  $x_2 = r \sin \tilde{\phi}$ .

The first profile corresponds to the configuration that lives in the consistent truncation. The small  $\alpha$  expansion of the profile function is  $[31]^2$ 

$$r(\tilde{\phi}) = r_1(\tilde{\phi}) = \sqrt{1 + \alpha \cos(2\tilde{\phi}) + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \cos(4\phi) + O(\alpha^3)}$$

$$= 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \cos 2\tilde{\phi} + \frac{\alpha^2}{16} \left(3\cos 4\tilde{\phi} - 1\right) + O(\alpha^3).$$
(3.3)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The relation to the notation of [31] is  $\alpha_{\text{here}} = -\epsilon_{\text{there}}$ . We also note that in the very recent work [19], the parameter  $\alpha$  is different to ours; it is given by  $\alpha_{\text{there}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \tanh\left(\frac{\epsilon_{\text{there}}}{2}\right)$  where the  $\epsilon_{\text{there}}$  of [31] and [19] are the same up to an overall sign.

In the second profile, studied in [16, 17],  $r^2(\tilde{\phi})$  involves a single mode:

$$r(\tilde{\phi}) = r_2(\tilde{\phi}) = \sqrt{1 + \alpha \cos(2\tilde{\phi})}$$

$$= 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \cos 2\tilde{\phi} - \frac{\alpha^2}{16} \left(\cos 4\tilde{\phi} + 1\right) + O(\alpha^3),$$
(3.4)

where  $\alpha < 1$  in the first line, and we expand for small  $\alpha$  in the second line. We see that the two profiles (3.3), (3.4) agree up to order  $\alpha$  but differ at order  $\alpha^2$ . For small  $\alpha$ , each profile describes a ripple on a unit circle.

We expand the metric and five-form field strength in small  $\alpha$ , up to order  $\alpha^2$ . For the metric, we introduce the notation

$$g = g^{(0)} + \alpha g^{(1)} + \alpha^2 g^{(2)}. \tag{3.5}$$

When  $\alpha = 0$ , both profiles reduce to the unit circle, which corresponds to empty global AdS. The quantities z and V are given in [3]. After the change of coordinates

$$y = R\cos\theta$$
,  $r = \sqrt{R^2 + 1}\sin\theta$ ,  $\tilde{\phi} = \phi - t$ , (3.6)

the metric and flux are those of empty global  $AdS_5 \times S^5$ , where the radii of  $AdS_5$  and  $S^5$  are both set to 1,

$$ds^{2} = -(R^{2} + 1)dt^{2} + \frac{dR^{2}}{R^{2} + 1} + R^{2}d\widetilde{\Omega}_{3}^{2} + d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2} + \cos^{2}\theta d\Omega_{3}^{2},$$
  

$$F_{5} = R^{3}dt \wedge dR \wedge d\widetilde{\Omega}_{3} + \cos^{3}\theta \sin\theta d\theta \wedge d\phi \wedge d\Omega_{3}.$$
(3.7)

#### 3.2 First-order backgrounds

At first order in  $\alpha$ , the LLM solutions specified by both the profiles (3.3) and (3.4) are the fields of a linearised supergraviton in global  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  [3, 56]. For later convenience we will generalise the discussion slightly, and present the first-order fields that correspond to the profile

$$r(\tilde{\phi}) = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\cos(n\tilde{\phi}) + O(\alpha^2), \qquad (3.8)$$

which for n=2 reduces to the linearisation of the profiles (3.3), (3.4).

For holography, one must either fix a gauge, as done in [44], or work in a gauge-invariant formalism [14]. We choose to impose de Donder-Lorentz gauge, in which the physical degrees of freedom are manifest, defined by

$$D^a h_{(ab)} = D^a h_{a\mu} = 0. (3.9)$$

Here round brackets on indices denote symmetric traceless part,  $\mu, \nu, \dots$  denote AdS<sub>5</sub> indices, and we remind the reader that  $a, b, \dots$  denote S<sup>5</sup> indices.

The linearised diffeomorphism that converts the order  $\alpha$  fields into de Donder-Lorentz gauge is given in [45]. In this gauge, the first-order metric and four-form potential  $A_4^{(1)}$  are given by

$$g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} = \sum_{n=\pm 2} \left( -\frac{6}{5} |n| \,\mathsf{s}_n \mathsf{Y}_n \, g_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} + \frac{4}{|n|+1} \mathsf{Y}_n \nabla_{(\mu} \nabla_{\nu)} \mathsf{s}_n \right), \qquad g_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} = \sum_{n=\pm 2} 2|n| \,\mathsf{s}_n \mathsf{Y}_n \, g_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)},$$

$$A_4^{(1)} = \sum_{n=\pm 2} \left( \mathsf{Y}_n \star_{AdS_5} d\mathsf{s}_n - \mathsf{s}_n \star_{S^5} d\mathsf{Y}_n \right), \qquad (3.10)$$

where

$$\mathsf{s}_n = \frac{|n|+1}{8|n|(R^2+1)^{|n|/2}}e^{int}\,, \qquad \mathsf{Y}_n = e^{in\phi}\sin^{|n|}\theta\,. \tag{3.11}$$

#### 3.3 Second-order backgrounds

At order  $\alpha^2$ , we again impose de Donder-Lorentz gauge. The closed-form order  $\alpha^2$  fields that follow from the single-mode profile given in eq. (3.4) were computed and converted into Kaluza-Klein form and de Donder-Lorentz gauge in [17]. We do the same for the solution in the consistent truncation. The order  $\alpha^2$  fields are somewhat lengthy, and we shall not present them here. The explicit form of the order  $\alpha^2$  metric of the solution specified by the single-mode profile can be found in [17].

Let us compare the two profile functions that we study. The difference between the profiles in eqs. (3.3) and (3.4) is

$$r_2(\tilde{\phi}) - r_1(\tilde{\phi}) = \frac{\beta}{2}\cos 4\tilde{\phi} + O(\alpha^3), \qquad \beta = -\frac{\alpha^2}{2},$$
 (3.12)

where we have introduced  $\beta$  by comparison with the linear terms in  $\alpha$  in (3.3), (3.4).

Correspondingly, the difference between the two metrics is proportional to the metric of the linearized fields (3.10)–(3.11) for n=4, but with a coefficient proportional to  $\alpha^2$  rather than  $\alpha$ . Explicitly, denoting the second-order metric corresponding to the profile  $r_1(\tilde{\phi})$  by  $g_1^{(2)}$  and likewise for the second profile, we have

$$g_2^{(2)} - g_1^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{2} g_{(n=4)}^{(1)}.$$
 (3.13)

We then expand the order  $\alpha^2$  metric and five-form field strength in S<sup>5</sup> spherical harmonics. We focus on the components given in (2.26), and compute the fields  $s_I$  defined in (2.27), which we will use to compute holographic expectation values in the next section.

#### 4 Determining the dual CFT states

# 4.1 Ansatz for the CFT states

In order to perform our precision holographic analysis, we now parameterise a family of CFT states that will include the two states of interest to us. The first ingredient will be the expansion of a coherent state built only from powers of  $O_2$ . The second ingredient will be a term linear in  $O_4$  at order  $\alpha^2$ , since we have seen that the difference between the Profile 1 and Profile 2 solutions is a single mode of frequency 4.

Several years ago, it was suggested that the solution corresponding to the single-mode profile may correspond to a coherent state built only from powers of  $O_2$  [16]. However, more recently, it has been proposed that the solution in the consistent truncation is the one that is dual to a coherent state built only from powers of  $O_2$  [31].

We will find evidence in support of the more recent proposal, demonstrate that the CFT state dual to the solution defined by the single-mode profile contains  $O_4$ , and fix the coefficient of  $O_4$  in the CFT state dual to that solution.

In preparation for constructing an appropriate Ansatz for the dual CFT states, we note that a solution composed of a set of linearised single-particle fluctuations corresponds to the following CFT state, to leading order in large N:

$$|\Phi\rangle = |0\rangle + \sum_{n} \delta_n O_n |0\rangle + O(\delta_n^2), \qquad \delta_n = \frac{N\alpha_n}{2\sqrt{n}}, \qquad (4.1)$$

where  $\alpha_n$  is the amplitude of a linearised fluctuation of frequency n, in the normalization used in section 3. The coefficient  $\delta_n$  was worked out in [16].

We will proceed by making an Ansatz with arbitrary coefficients, A, B, C, that we will fix by holographic computations. These coefficients will be useful to illustrate the structure of the calculation. We have seen that the solutions corresponding to both Profile 1 and Profile 2 have, to linear order in  $\alpha = \alpha_2$ , a linearized mode of frequency 2. The difference between the profiles is a linearized mode of frequency 4, but with coefficient  $\alpha_4 = \beta = -\alpha^2/2$ , see eq. (3.12). We thus consider the candidate set of states

$$|H\rangle = \mathcal{N}\left(|0\rangle + C\,\delta_2\,O_2|0\rangle + B\,\frac{\delta_2^2}{2}\,(O_2)^2\,|0\rangle + A\,\delta_4\,O_4|0\rangle + O(\delta_2^3,\delta_4^2)\right),$$
 (4.2)

where

$$\delta_2 = \frac{N\alpha}{2\sqrt{2}}, \qquad \delta_4 = -\frac{N\alpha^2}{8}. \tag{4.3}$$

To order  $\alpha^2$ , the norm of the state is  $\mathcal{N} = 1 - C^2 \delta_2^2 / 2$ .

To fix the coefficients A, B, C, we first compute the relevant set of CFT expectation values up to order  $\alpha^2$ . These are protected quantities, and we compute them using the free theory. We continue to suppress the spacetime dependence of the correlators. We shall compute expectation values of the supergravity-normalized versions of the various operators, see eqs. (2.23)–(2.24).

Because of the orthogonality of the single-particle basis, the expectation values of the charged operators  $\mathcal{O}_2$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_4$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_2^2$  are simply proportional to the respective coefficients C, A, B, as follows. In the following equations, we write the leading-order result in the small  $\alpha$  expansion (likewise for  $\delta_2$ ,  $\delta_4$ ); for ease of presentation, we suppress the notation  $\cdots + O(\alpha^{\#})$  etc. Firstly,

$$\langle H|\mathcal{O}_2|H\rangle = \mathcal{N}_2 C \delta_2 \langle O_2^{\dagger} O_2 \rangle = \frac{N^2}{2\sqrt{2}\pi^2} C\alpha.$$
 (4.4)

Next, exploiting the orthogonality between single-particle and multi-particle operators, we find

$$\langle H|\mathcal{O}_4|H\rangle = \mathcal{N}_4 A \delta_4 \langle O_4^{\dagger} O_4 \rangle = -\frac{\sqrt{3}N^2}{4\pi^2} A \alpha^2 \,.$$
 (4.5)

For  $\mathcal{O}_2^2$ , we obtain

$$\langle H | (\mathcal{O}_2)^2 | H \rangle = \mathcal{N}_2^2 \frac{B \delta_2^2}{2} \langle \left( O_2^{\dagger} \right)^2 (O_2)^2 \rangle = \frac{N^4}{8\pi^4} B \alpha^2.$$
 (4.6)

We now consider the neutral operators  $\mathcal{O}_{2,0}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{4,0}$ . At order  $\delta_2^2$ , and given the above Ansatz, these are only sensitive to the linear term in  $\delta_2$ , proportional to  $O_2$ , in (4.2). Firstly, at order  $\delta_2^2$ ,

$$\langle H|\mathcal{O}_{4,0}|H\rangle = \mathcal{N}_4 C^2 \delta_2^2 \langle O_2^{\dagger} O_{4,0} O_2 \rangle = 0, \qquad (4.7)$$

since  $\langle O_2^{\dagger}O_{4,0}O_2\rangle$  is an extremal three-point correlator of single-particle operators. The CFT expectation value of  $\mathcal{O}_{2,0}$  at this order is [16]

$$\langle H|\mathcal{O}_{2,0}|H\rangle = \mathcal{N}_2 C^2 \delta_2^2 \langle O_2^{\dagger} O_{2,0} O_2 \rangle = \frac{N^2 \sqrt{2}}{4\sqrt{3}\pi^2} C^2 \alpha^2 \,.$$
 (4.8)

#### 4.2 Analysis of the solution in the consistent truncation

It has been argued that the LLM solution in the consistent truncation should be a coherent state composed of multi-traces of the operator  $O_2$  only [31]. If this is correct, then the single-particle operator  $O_4$  should have zero expectation value in the corresponding heavy state. We will verify that this is indeed the case, and determine the state to order  $\alpha^2$ .

For Profile 1, using (2.26)–(2.27), the complete list of the fields  $s_I$  up to order  $\alpha^2$  is

$$s_{(2,2)} = \frac{3e^{-2it}}{8(R^2+1)}\alpha, \qquad s_{(2,-2)} = \frac{3e^{2it}}{8(R^2+1)}\alpha,$$
 (4.9)

$$s_{(2,0)} = \frac{\sqrt{3} (20R^4 + 57R^2 + 27)}{160 (R^2 + 1)^3} \alpha^2, \qquad (4.10)$$

$$s_{(4,0)} = \frac{111R^2 + 55}{192\sqrt{5}(R^2 + 1)^3}\alpha^2, \tag{4.11}$$

$$s_{(4,4)} = \frac{37e^{-4it}}{64(R^2+1)^2}\alpha^2, \qquad s_{(4,-4)} = \frac{37e^{4it}}{64(R^2+1)^2}\alpha^2.$$
 (4.12)

To order  $\alpha^2$  and exactly in R, we find the five-dimensional fields defined in eq. (2.30) to be

$$S_4 = 0$$
,  $S_{4,0} = 0$ .  $(4.13)$ 

To extract the supergravity expectation values, we must put the metric in Fefferman-Graham form. Recall that we denote the metric on empty global AdS<sub>5</sub> as  $g_{\mu\nu}^0$ . Similarly to [16] we write the zero-mode on  $S^5$  of the metric as  $g_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} + \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}^0$  with  $\tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}^0 = h_{\mu\nu}^0 + \frac{1}{3}\pi^0 g_{\mu\nu}^{(0)}$ . Then to order  $\alpha^2$ ,  $g_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} + \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}^0$  is given by

$$ds_{(0)}^{2} = -dt^{2} \left(R^{2} + 1\right) \left(1 + \alpha^{2} \frac{24R^{8} + 72R^{6} + 77R^{4} + 55R^{2} + 24}{32 \left(R^{2} + 1\right)^{4}}\right)$$

$$+ \frac{dR^{2}}{R^{2} + 1} \left(1 - \alpha^{2} \frac{24R^{6} + 76R^{4} + 149R^{2} + 15}{32 \left(R^{2} + 1\right)^{4}}\right)$$

$$+ R^{2} d\Omega_{3}^{2} \left(1 - \alpha^{2} \frac{72R^{6} + 216R^{4} + 199R^{2} + 45}{96 \left(R^{2} + 1\right)^{3}}\right).$$

$$(4.14)$$

The relation between the bulk radial coordinate and the Fefferman-Graham one is given by

$$R = \frac{1}{z} - \frac{z}{4} - \frac{3\alpha^2}{16}z - \frac{\alpha^2}{64}z^3 + \dots,$$
 (4.15)

however only the first term  $R = \frac{1}{z}$  is relevant to our analysis.

We now read off the supergravity expectation values using the holographic dictionary in eqs. (2.37)–(2.42). Using the Fefferman-Graham expansion and taking into account terms up to  $\alpha^2$ , the supergravity expectation values are

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_2 \rangle = \frac{N^2}{2\sqrt{2}\pi^2} e^{-2it} \alpha \,, \qquad \langle \mathcal{O}_{2,0} \rangle = \frac{N^2 \sqrt{2}}{4\sqrt{3}\pi^2} \alpha^2 \,, \tag{4.16}$$

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_4 \rangle = 0, \qquad \langle \mathcal{O}_{4,0} \rangle = 0, \qquad \langle \mathcal{T}_4 \rangle = \frac{N^2 \sqrt{3}}{2\pi^2} e^{-4it} \alpha^2, \qquad \langle \mathcal{T}_{4,0} \rangle = \frac{N^2 \sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{5}\pi^2} \alpha^2.$$
 (4.17)

When comparing to CFT expectation values, we will set t = 0.

Comparing the expectation values of the charged operators  $\mathcal{O}_2$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_2^2$  and  $\mathcal{O}_4$  to their respective CFT expectation values in eqs. (4.4)–(4.6), we obtain to leading order in large N

$$C = 1, \qquad B = 1, \qquad A = 0,$$
 (4.18)

where we note that the double-trace factorization given in eq. (2.36) implies  $B=C^2$ .

The expectation value of  $\mathcal{O}_{4,0}$  at order  $\alpha^2$  vanishes in supergravity, since  $S_{4,0} = 0$ , and also in the CFT. The expectation value of  $\mathcal{O}_{2,0}$  gives an independent cross-check of C = 1. The agreement of both  $\langle \mathcal{O}_{4,0} \rangle$  and  $\langle \mathcal{O}_{2,0} \rangle$  gives a non-trivial test of the completeness of the Ansatz (4.2) to this order.

Thus, for the solution in the consistent truncation, we see that to order  $\delta_2^2$  the following CFT state is consistent with all available expectation values of the known precision holographic dictionary:

$$|H\rangle = \mathcal{N}\left(|0\rangle + \delta_2 O_2|0\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\delta_2^2 (O_2)^2 |0\rangle + O(\delta_2^3)\right),$$
 (4.19)

where

$$\delta_2 = \frac{N\alpha}{2\sqrt{2}}, \qquad \mathcal{N} = 1 - \frac{\delta_2^2}{2}. \tag{4.20}$$

This is evidence in support of the proposal of [31] that the CFT state should be composed of multi-traces of  $O_2$  only.<sup>3</sup> It also agrees with the very recent refinement of this proposal in [19]; the relation between the notations of these works is given in footnote 2.

## 4.3 Analysis of the single-mode ripple solution

We now analyse the solution defined by the single-mode profile. For this solution, the fields  $s_{2,\pm 2}$ ,  $s_{2,0}$  and  $s_{4,0}$  are the same as for the solution in the consistent truncation. The only different component fields are  $s_{4,\pm 4}$ , given below, and there are no other fields up to order  $\alpha^2$ . This reflects the fact that the difference between solutions 1 and 2 is proportional to a single mode of frequency  $\pm 4$ , see eq. (3.12). For the solution specified by the single-mode profile, we have

$$s_{(4,4)} = \frac{17e^{-4it}}{64(R^2+1)^2}\alpha^2, \qquad s_{(4,-4)} = \frac{17e^{4it}}{64(R^2+1)^2}\alpha^2.$$
 (4.21)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>We note that a computation of  $\langle \mathcal{O}_4 \rangle = \langle \mathcal{O}_{4,0} \rangle = 0$  was reported in [31], however the holographic dictionary quoted in eq. (B.5) of that work is the dictionary for the single-trace operators, eq. (2.42), rather than the dictionary for the single-particle operators, eq. (2.41).

We therefore find the five-dimensional fields  $S_{4,m}$  to be

$$S_4 = -\frac{\sqrt{3} e^{-4it}}{8(R^2 + 1)^2} \alpha^2, \qquad S_{4,0} = 0.$$
 (4.22)

The Fefferman-Graham expansion depends only on the zero-modes of the ten-dimensional metric reduced on  $S^5$ . Therefore it is the same as that of Profile 1 in eq. (4.15).

Most of the supergravity expectation values for Profile 2 are the same as those for Profile 1, and are not sensitive to the difference between the two profiles.

However, importantly, for the single-mode profile, the supergravity expectation values of  $\mathcal{O}_4$  and  $\mathcal{T}_4$  differ from the Profile 1 expressions in eqs. (4.16), (4.17). The full set of non-zero supergravity expectation values up to order  $\alpha^2$  for the single-mode profile background are:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{2} \rangle = \frac{N^{2}}{2\sqrt{2}\pi^{2}} e^{-2it} \alpha , \qquad \langle \mathcal{O}_{2,0} \rangle = \frac{N^{2}\sqrt{2}}{4\sqrt{3}\pi^{2}} \alpha^{2} , \qquad \langle \mathcal{O}_{4,0} \rangle = 0 ,$$

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{4} \rangle = -\frac{\sqrt{3}N^{2}}{4\pi^{2}} e^{-4it} \alpha^{2} , \qquad \langle \mathcal{T}_{4} \rangle = \frac{N^{2}\sqrt{3}}{4\pi^{2}} e^{-4it} \alpha^{2} , \qquad \langle \mathcal{T}_{4,0} \rangle = \frac{N^{2}\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{5}\pi^{2}} \alpha^{2} , \qquad (4.23)$$

Comparing the supergravity and CFT expressions for the expectation values of  $\mathcal{O}_2$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_2^2$ , and particularly  $\mathcal{O}_4$ , we find that for the solution defined by the single-mode profile, the dual CFT state has coefficients

$$C = 1, \qquad B = 1, \qquad A = 1.$$
 (4.24)

Thus, for the solution defined by the single-mode profile, to leading order in large N and to order  $\alpha^2$ , the following CFT state is consistent with all available expectation values of the known precision holographic dictionary:

$$|H\rangle = \mathcal{N}\left(|0\rangle + \delta_2 O_2|0\rangle + \frac{\delta_2^2}{2} (O_2)^2 |0\rangle + \delta_4 O_4|0\rangle + O(\delta_2^3, \delta_4^2)\right),$$
 (4.25)

where

$$\delta_2 = \frac{N\alpha}{2\sqrt{2}}, \qquad \delta_4 = -\frac{N\alpha^2}{8}, \qquad \mathcal{N} = 1 - \frac{\delta_2^2}{2}. \tag{4.26}$$

We note that in the holographic study of the single-mode profile solution in [16], the single-trace basis was used. The expectation values of  $\mathcal{O}_2$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{2,0}$ , and  $\mathcal{T}_{4,0}$  in (4.23) agree with those reported in [16]. By contrast, the expectation value of  $\mathcal{T}_4$  in this background was not examined in that work. By computing the expectation value of  $\mathcal{O}_4$ , we have demonstrated the presence of  $\mathcal{O}_4$ , and fixed its coefficient in the dual CFT state.

#### 5 Cross-check at cubic order

In this section we consider the solution defined by the single-mode profile, and make a cross-check of the dual CFT state given in eq. (4.25). We do so by considering the charged R-symmetry descendant operator  $\mathcal{O}_{4,2}$ . For this operator, the CFT state (4.25) up to order  $\alpha^2$  gives rise to an expectation value at order  $\alpha^3$ . We demonstrate that this is precisely reproduced by the corresponding supergravity analysis.

To do so, we compute the closed-form LLM solution that follows from the single-mode profile to order  $\alpha^3$ , and bring it to de Donder-Lorentz gauge. We then extract the KK fields  $s_I$  as before. The complete list of the fields  $s_I$  at order  $\alpha^3$  is

$$s_{(4,2)} = \frac{e^{-2it} \left(119R^6 + 459R^4 + 477R^2 + 116\right)}{224\sqrt{10} \left(R^2 + 1\right)^5} \alpha^3, \tag{5.1}$$

$$s_{(2,2)} = \frac{e^{-2it} \left(720R^8 + 2112R^6 + 2247R^4 + 836R^2 + 233\right)}{2560 \left(R^2 + 1\right)^5} \alpha^3.$$
 (5.2)

We note in passing that the order  $\alpha^3$  term in  $s_{(2,2)}$  implies an  $\alpha^3$  correction to the supergravity expectation value of  $\langle \mathcal{O}_2 \rangle$ , which in our parameterization takes the form

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_2 \rangle = \frac{N^2}{2\sqrt{2}\pi^2} \left( \alpha + \frac{3}{4}\alpha^3 \right) \,. \tag{5.3}$$

This would be sensitive to a possible term proportional to  $\alpha^3 |O_2\rangle$  in the dual state, however we have expanded the dual state only to order  $\alpha^2$ , so this is beyond the precision to which we work. We thus focus instead on  $\mathcal{O}_{4,2}$ .

To compute the supergravity expectation value of  $\mathcal{O}_{4,2}$ , we compute the 5D field  $S_{4,2}$ . Using eq. (2.30) we obtain

$$S_{4,2} = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{10}} \frac{\left(2800R^6 + 10567R^4 + 8296R^2 + 2909\right)e^{-2it}}{11200\left(R^2 + 1\right)^5} \alpha^3.$$
 (5.4)

The relevant coefficient in the Fefferman-Graham expansion is

$$[S_{4,2}]_4 = -\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\frac{3}{10}}e^{-2it}\alpha^3,$$
 (5.5)

and so the holographic expectation value is

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{4,2} \rangle = -\frac{N^2}{2\pi^2} \sqrt{\frac{3}{10}} e^{-2it} \alpha^3 \,.$$
 (5.6)

We now compare this result to the CFT, i.e. we compute  $\langle \mathcal{O}_{4,2} \rangle$  in the state (4.25):

$$\langle H|\mathcal{O}_{4,2}|H\rangle = \mathcal{N}_4 \delta_2 \left(\frac{\delta_2^2}{2} \langle (O_2^{\dagger})^2 O_{4,2} O_2 \rangle + \delta_4 \langle O_4^{\dagger} O_{4,2} O_2 \rangle\right). \tag{5.7}$$

Firstly,  $\langle (O_2^{\dagger})^2 O_{4,2} O_2 \rangle$  gives a subleading contribution in large N compared to the term proportional to  $\langle O_4^{\dagger} O_{4,2} O_2 \rangle$ , see appendix B for details.

Next, we consider  $\langle O_4^{\dagger} O_{4,2} O_2 \rangle$ . Expanding  $O_4^{\dagger}$  in terms of single-traces, this is

$$\langle O_4^{\dagger} O_{4,2} O_2 \rangle = \langle T_4^{\dagger} O_{4,2} T_2 \rangle - \frac{2}{N} \langle \left( O_2^{\dagger} \right)^2 O_{4,2} O_2 \rangle. \tag{5.8}$$

We have determined already that the second correlator on the right-hand side of eq. (5.8) is subleading compared to the first one. The first term evaluates to

$$\langle T_4^{\dagger} O_{4,2} T_2 \rangle = \langle T_4^{\dagger} T_{4,2} T_2 \rangle - \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{10}N} \langle T_4^{\dagger} (T_{2,0} T_2) T_2 \rangle.$$
 (5.9)

Furthermore, the second term on the right-hand side of eq. (5.9) is suppressed at large N compared to the first term, see appendix B for details. So, to leading order in large N, we have

$$\langle O_4^{\dagger} O_{4,2} O_2 \rangle = \langle T_4^{\dagger} T_{4,2} T_2 \rangle = \frac{4}{\sqrt{5}N} \,.$$
 (5.10)

The CFT expectation value at this order, from (5.7), is then

$$\langle H|\mathcal{O}_{4,2}|H\rangle = \mathcal{N}_4 \frac{4\delta_2 \delta_4}{\sqrt{5}N} = -\frac{N^2}{2\pi^2} \sqrt{\frac{3}{10}} \alpha^3,$$
 (5.11)

which precisely agrees with the holographic result (5.6). This is a non-trivial cross-check of the CFT state dual to the single-mode profile solution given in eq. (4.25). This also represents a non-trivial check of the proposed holographic dictionary for single-particle operators in eqs. (2.34), (2.41).

### 6 Discussion

In this paper we revisited the  $AdS_5/CFT_4$  precision holographic dictionary for heavy-light three-point correlators. We clarified that it was originally expressed in the single-trace basis, and rewrote it in the single-particle basis. The holographic dictionary takes a simpler form in the single-particle basis, see eq. (2.34).

The single-particle basis gave a distinct advantage over the trace basis for our computation, because the dual CFT states we studied involve both single and double-trace operators. The orthogonality of the single-particle basis meant that each coefficient in our Ansatz for the dual CFT states was controlled by a single expectation value, see eqs. (4.2)–(4.6).

We performed a holographic analysis of the two LLM supergravity solutions under consideration, perturbatively in  $\alpha$ . From the asymptotic expansion of the appropriate gauge-invariant fields, we first computed the expectation values of the operators that directly control the coefficients in the Ansatz for the dual CFT states. These determine the dual CFT states up to order  $\alpha^2$  and at leading order in large N, see eqs. (4.19) and (4.25).

We also computed the supergravity expectation values of a set of R-symmetry descendants of chiral primaries. All of these resulted in precise agreement between gravity and CFT. We computed all expectation values that arise up to order  $\alpha^2$ , and also the expectation value of the R-symmetry descendant  $O_{4,2}$  in the solution defined by the single-mode profile, which arises at order  $\alpha^3$ . The agreement of these expectation values constitutes a set of non-trivial cross-checks of both the dual CFT states and the precision holographic dictionary in the single-particle basis.

Our results represent evidence in favour of the proposal that the dual CFT state of the LLM solution that lies in the consistent truncation is a coherent state composed only of powers of the dimension-two chiral primary  $O_2$  [19, 31]. We showed that the solution defined by a single-mode profile contains, by contrast, the dimension-four chiral primary  $O_4$  at order  $\alpha^2$ , and determined the coefficient of  $O_4$  at this order.

This raises a natural question for future work. That is, for the solution defined by the single-mode profile, what the dual CFT state is at higher orders in  $\alpha$ . It is natural to expect that a sequence of higher-dimension operators appears at successive orders in  $\alpha$ . Such terms

can in principle be analyzed by extending the precision holographic dictionary to operators with dimensions higher than four, which has not been done to date.

To extend the holographic dictionary to operators of dimension six, it would first be necessary to solve explicitly the relation between the five-dimensional fields  $S_I$  and the fields  $s_J$ , see eq. (2.28), up to cubic order in  $s_J$ , to account for cubic terms in  $s_{2,m}$ . This entails expanding the equations of motion up to cubic order in fluctuations and performing field redefinitions to remove derivative couplings [14, 54]. This would enable a study of the dual CFT state of the solution defined by a single-mode profile up to order  $\alpha^3$ .

More broadly, the LLM family of solutions is a large class, and there are even larger classes of 1/4 and 1/8-BPS solutions, see e.g. [35, 57, 58]. It would be interesting to perform precision holographic analyses of more general asymptotically  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  solutions. We re-emphasize that the holographic dictionary in the single-particle basis gives an advantage over the single-trace basis, and we expect that this form of the dictionary will prove useful for future studies.

# Acknowledgments

For valuable discussions, we thank Stefano Giusto, Rodolfo Russo, and Kostas Skenderis. The work of D.T. was supported by a Royal Society Tata University Research Fellowship. The work of A.T. was supported by a Royal Society Research Fellows Enhanced Research Expenses grant.

# A Spherical harmonics and symmetric traceless tensors

We consider the following single-trace operators,

$$\widehat{T}_I = C^I_{i_1 \cdots i_k} \operatorname{Tr} \left( \Phi^{i_1} \cdots \Phi^{i_k} \right) \tag{A.1}$$

where  $C^I$  is a totally symmetric traceless rank-k tensor of SO(6), which live in half-BPS multiplets [54]. The tensors  $C^I$  are unit-normalized,  $\langle C^IC^J\rangle\equiv C^I_{i_1\cdots i_k}C^J_{i_1\cdots i_k}=\delta^{IJ}$ . Each  $C^I$  corresponds to a scalar SO(6) spherical harmonic via  $Y^I=C^I_{i_1\cdots i_k}x^{i_1}\cdots x^{i_k}$  for unit-norm vectors  $x^{i_j}\in\mathbb{R}^6$ .

Scalar spherical harmonics on  $S^5$  satisfy

$$\square_{S^5} Y^I = \Lambda^I Y^I, \qquad \Lambda^I = -k(k+4), \qquad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
 (A.2)

We write the metric on  $S^5$  as

$$ds_{S5}^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2 + \cos^2\theta d\Omega_3^2. \tag{A.3}$$

In this paper we restrict to harmonics with SO(4) isometry, which depend only on  $\theta$  and  $\phi$ . Then the multi-index I reduces to I = (k, m) and the scalar harmonics  $Y^{(k,m)}$  are given in terms of hypergeometric functions [14].

Denoting the area of the unit five-sphere by  $\omega_5 = \pi^3$ , the scalar spherical harmonics are then normalized as

$$\frac{1}{\omega_5} \int_{S^5} Y^{I_1} Y^{I_2} = z(k) \delta^{I_1 I_2} , \qquad z(k) \equiv \frac{1}{2^{k-1} (k+1)(k+2)} . \tag{A.4}$$

The triple intersection constants  $a_{I_1I_2I_3}$  are defined by

$$a_{I_1 I_2 I_3} \equiv \frac{1}{\omega_5} \int_{S^5} Y^{I_1} Y^{I_2} Y^{I_3} \,.$$
 (A.5)

In terms of the SO(6) invariant (see e.g. [50])

$$\left\langle C^{I_1}C^{I_2}C^{I_3} \right\rangle \equiv C^{I_1}_{i_1\dots i_{\alpha_2}j_1\dots j_{\alpha_3}}C^{I_2}_{j_1\dots j_{\alpha_3}l_1\dots l_{\alpha_1}}C^{I_3}_{l_1\dots l_{\alpha_1}i_1\dots i_{\alpha_2}},\tag{A.6}$$

we have the following relation, used in the main text around eq. (2.15),

$$a_{I_1 I_2 I_3} = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\Sigma + 2\right)! \, 2^{\frac{1}{2}(\Sigma - 2)}} \frac{k_1! k_2! k_3!}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2! \alpha_3!} \langle C^{I_1} C^{I_2} C^{I_3} \rangle \,, \tag{A.7}$$

where  $\Sigma = k_1 + k_2 + k_3$ ,  $\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2}(k_2 + k_3 - k_1)$ , and similarly for  $\alpha_2, \alpha_3$ .

We record here some useful values of triple intersection constants:

$$a_{(4,4)(2,-2)(2,-2)} = z(4), a_{(4,0)(2,0)(2,0)} = \frac{3z(4)}{2\sqrt{5}},$$

$$a_{(4,0)(2,2)(2,-2)} = \frac{z(4)}{2\sqrt{5}}, a_{(4,2)(2,0)(2,-2)} = z(4)\sqrt{\frac{3}{10}}.$$
(A.8)

# B CFT expectation values at cubic order

In this appendix we record some details of the free CFT computations in section 5. We first describe the computation of the expectation value of  $\mathcal{O}_{4,2}$ . Our starting point is eq. (5.7), i.e.

$$\langle H|\mathcal{O}_{4,2}|H\rangle = \mathcal{N}_4 \delta_2 \left(\frac{\delta_2^2}{2} \langle (O_2^{\dagger})^2 O_{4,2} O_2 \rangle + \delta_4 \langle O_4^{\dagger} O_{4,2} O_2 \rangle\right). \tag{B.1}$$

Firstly, we verify explicitly that  $\langle (O_2^{\dagger})^2 O_{4,2} O_2 \rangle$  gives a subleading contribution in large N compared to the term proportional to  $\langle O_4^{\dagger} O_{4,2} O_2 \rangle$ . Rewriting in the trace basis, we have

$$\langle (O_2^{\dagger})^2 O_{4,2} O_2 \rangle = \langle (T_2^{\dagger})^2 T_{4,2} T_2 \rangle - \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{10}N} \langle (T_2^{\dagger})^2 (T_{2,0} T_2) T_2 \rangle.$$
 (B.2)

Explicitly, the relevant terms that contribute to these correlators are

$$\langle (T_2^{\dagger})^2 T_{4,2} T_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{5}N^5} \langle \left( \operatorname{Tr} \bar{Z}^2 \right)^2 \operatorname{Tr} Z^3 \bar{Z} \operatorname{Tr} Z^2 \rangle , \qquad (B.3)$$

$$\langle (T_2^{\dagger})^2 (T_{2,0} T_2) T_2 \rangle = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4\sqrt{3}N^5} \langle \left( \operatorname{Tr} \bar{Z}^2 \right)^2 \left( \operatorname{Tr} Z \bar{Z} \operatorname{Tr} Z^2 \right) \operatorname{Tr} Z^2 \rangle. \tag{B.4}$$

Free-field Wick contractions give

$$\langle (T_2^{\dagger})^2 T_{4,2} T_2 \rangle = \frac{16}{\sqrt{5}N^2} + O(N^{-4}),$$
 (B.5)

$$\langle (T_2^{\dagger})^2 (T_{2,0}T_2) T_2 \rangle = \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}N} + O(N^{-4}).$$
 (B.6)

Therefore

$$\langle (O_2^{\dagger})^2 O_{4|2} O_2 \rangle = O(N^{-4}),$$
 (B.7)

and so

$$\mathcal{N}_4 \delta^3 \langle (O_2^{\dagger})^2 O_{4,2} O_2 \rangle = \alpha^3 O(N^0) \,.$$
 (B.8)

Now we turn to  $\langle O_4^{\dagger}O_{4,2}O_2\rangle$ . The structure of this computation is described around eq. (5.9) of the main text; here we describe in more detail the fact that the following correlator gives a subleading contribution at large N:

$$\langle T_4^{\dagger} \left( T_{2,0} T_2 \right) T_2 \rangle = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4\sqrt{3}N^5} \langle \operatorname{Tr} \bar{Z}^4 \left( \operatorname{Tr} Z \bar{Z} \operatorname{Tr} Z^2 \right) \operatorname{Tr} Z^2 \rangle. \tag{B.9}$$

Free-field Wick contractions give

$$\langle \operatorname{Tr} \bar{Z}^4 \left( \operatorname{Tr} Z \bar{Z} \operatorname{Tr} Z^2 \right) \operatorname{Tr} Z^2 \rangle = 8N^3 + O(N),$$
 (B.10)

so we find

$$\frac{1}{N} \langle T_4^{\dagger} (T_{2,0} T_2) T_2 \rangle = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}N^3} + O(N^{-5}).$$
 (B.11)

Thus, to leading order in large N, we have

$$\langle O_4^{\dagger} O_{4,2} O_2 \rangle = \langle T_4^{\dagger} T_{4,2} T_2 \rangle + O(N^{-3}),$$
 (B.12)

leading to eq. (5.10) of the main text.

**Data Availability Statement.** This article has no associated data or the data will not be deposited.

Code Availability Statement. This article has no associated code or the code will not be deposited.

**Open Access.** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY4.0), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

#### References

- [1] J.M. Maldacena, The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 231 [hep-th/9711200] [INSPIRE].
- [2] O. Lunin and S.D. Mathur, AdS/CFT duality and the black hole information paradox, Nucl. Phys. B 623 (2002) 342 [hep-th/0109154] [INSPIRE].
- [3] H. Lin, O. Lunin and J.M. Maldacena, Bubbling AdS space and 1/2 BPS geometries, JHEP 10 (2004) 025 [hep-th/0409174] [INSPIRE].
- [4] I. Bena et al., Habemus Superstratum! A constructive proof of the existence of superstrata, JHEP 05 (2015) 110 [arXiv:1503.01463] [INSPIRE].

- [5] I. Bena et al., Smooth horizonless geometries deep inside the black-hole regime, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117 (2016) 201601 [arXiv:1607.03908] [INSPIRE].
- [6] I. Bena et al., Asymptotically-flat supergravity solutions deep inside the black-hole regime, JHEP
   02 (2018) 014 [arXiv:1711.10474] [INSPIRE].
- [7] N. Čeplak, R. Russo and M. Shigemori, Supercharging Superstrata, JHEP 03 (2019) 095 [arXiv:1812.08761] [INSPIRE].
- [8] P. Heidmann, D.R. Mayerson, R. Walker and N.P. Warner, *Holomorphic Waves of Black Hole Microstructure*, *JHEP* **02** (2020) 192 [arXiv:1910.10714] [INSPIRE].
- [9] N. Čeplak and S.D. Hampton, Vector superstrata. Part II, JHEP 10 (2024) 011 [arXiv:2405.05341] [INSPIRE].
- [10] S. Giusto, E. Moscato and R. Russo, AdS<sub>3</sub> holography for 1/4 and 1/8 BPS geometries, JHEP 11 (2015) 004 [arXiv:1507.00945] [INSPIRE].
- [11] S. Giusto, S. Rawash and D. Turton, Ads<sub>3</sub> holography at dimension two, JHEP **07** (2019) 171 [arXiv:1904.12880] [INSPIRE].
- [12] S. Rawash and D. Turton, Supercharged AdS<sub>3</sub> Holography, JHEP **07** (2021) 178 [arXiv:2105.13046] [INSPIRE].
- [13] B. Ganchev, S. Giusto, A. Houppe and R. Russo, AdS<sub>3</sub> holography for non-BPS geometries, Eur. Phys. J. C 82 (2022) 217 [arXiv:2112.03287] [INSPIRE].
- [14] K. Skenderis and M. Taylor, Kaluza-Klein holography, JHEP 05 (2006) 057 [hep-th/0603016] [INSPIRE].
- [15] I. Kanitscheider, K. Skenderis and M. Taylor, Holographic anatomy of fuzzballs, JHEP 04 (2007) 023 [hep-th/0611171] [INSPIRE].
- [16] K. Skenderis and M. Taylor, Anatomy of bubbling solutions, JHEP 09 (2007) 019 [arXiv:0706.0216] [INSPIRE].
- [17] D. Turton and A. Tyukov, Four-point correlators in  $\mathcal{N}=4$  SYM from AdS<sub>5</sub> bubbling geometries, JHEP 10 (2024) 244 [arXiv:2408.16834] [INSPIRE].
- [18] F. Aprile, S. Giusto and R. Russo, Holographic correlators with BPS bound states in  $\mathcal{N}=4$  SYM, Phys. Rev. Lett. 134 (2025) 091602 [arXiv:2409.12911] [INSPIRE].
- [19] F. Aprile, S. Giusto and R. Russo, Four-point correlators with BPS bound states in AdS<sub>3</sub> and AdS<sub>5</sub>, arXiv:2503.02855 [INSPIRE].
- [20] A. Galliani, S. Giusto and R. Russo, Holographic 4-point correlators with heavy states, JHEP 10 (2017) 040 [arXiv:1705.09250] [INSPIRE].
- [21] A. Bombini et al., Unitary 4-point correlators from classical geometries, Eur. Phys. J. C 78 (2018) 8 [arXiv:1710.06820] [INSPIRE].
- [22] A. Bombini and A. Galliani,  $AdS_3$  four-point functions from  $\frac{1}{8}$  -BPS states, JHEP **06** (2019) 044 [arXiv:1904.02656] [INSPIRE].
- [23] S. Giusto, C. Iossa and R. Russo, The black hole behind the cut, JHEP 10 (2023) 050 [arXiv:2306.15305] [INSPIRE].
- [24] S. Giusto, R. Russo and C. Wen, Holographic correlators in AdS<sub>3</sub>, JHEP 03 (2019) 096 [arXiv:1812.06479] [INSPIRE].
- [25] S. Giusto, R. Russo, A. Tyukov and C. Wen, *Holographic correlators in AdS*<sub>3</sub> without Witten diagrams, *JHEP* **09** (2019) 030 [arXiv:1905.12314] [INSPIRE].

- [26] S. Giusto, R. Russo, A. Tyukov and C. Wen, The CFT<sub>6</sub> origin of all tree-level 4-point correlators in AdS<sub>3</sub> × S<sup>3</sup>, Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (2020) 736 [arXiv:2005.08560] [INSPIRE].
- [27] N. Čeplak, S. Giusto, M.R.R. Hughes and R. Russo, *Holographic correlators with multi-particle states*, *JHEP* **09** (2021) 204 [arXiv:2105.04670] [INSPIRE].
- [28] I. Bena, P. Heidmann, R. Monten and N.P. Warner, Thermal Decay without Information Loss in Horizonless Microstate Geometries, SciPost Phys. 7 (2019) 063 [arXiv:1905.05194] [INSPIRE].
- [29] L. Rastelli, K. Roumpedakis and X. Zhou,  $AdS_3 \times S^3$  Tree-Level Correlators: Hidden Six-Dimensional Conformal Symmetry, JHEP 10 (2019) 140 [arXiv:1905.11983] [INSPIRE].
- [30] S. Giusto, M.R.R. Hughes and R. Russo, *The Regge limit of AdS*<sub>3</sub> holographic correlators, *JHEP* 11 (2020) 018 [arXiv:2007.12118] [INSPIRE].
- [31] S. Giusto and A. Rosso, The geometry of large charge multi-traces in  $\mathcal{N}=4$  SYM, JHEP 10 (2024) 200 [arXiv:2401.01254] [INSPIRE].
- [32] M. Cvetic et al., Consistent SO(6) reduction of type IIB supergravity on S<sup>5</sup>, Nucl. Phys. B **586** (2000) 275 [hep-th/0003103] [INSPIRE].
- [33] Z.-W. Chong, H. Lu and C.N. Pope, BPS geometries and AdS bubbles, Phys. Lett. B 614 (2005) 96 [hep-th/0412221] [INSPIRE].
- [34] J.T. Liu, H. Lu, C.N. Pope and J.F. Vazquez-Poritz, Bubbling AdS black holes, JHEP 10 (2007) 030 [hep-th/0703184] [INSPIRE].
- [35] B. Chen et al., Bubbling AdS and droplet descriptions of BPS geometries in IIB supergravity, JHEP 10 (2007) 003 [arXiv:0704.2233] [INSPIRE].
- [36] S.E. Vazquez, Reconstructing 1/2 BPS Space-Time Metrics from Matrix Models and Spin Chains, Phys. Rev. D 75 (2007) 125012 [hep-th/0612014] [INSPIRE].
- [37] R. de Mello Koch, J.-H. Huang and L. Tribelhorn, *Exciting LLM Geometries*, *JHEP* **07** (2018) 146 [arXiv:1806.06586] [INSPIRE].
- [38] V. Balasubramanian et al., Emergent classical spacetime from microstates of an incipient black hole, JHEP 01 (2019) 197 [arXiv:1810.13440] [INSPIRE].
- [39] A. Holguin, 1/2 BPS structure constants and random matrices, JHEP 12 (2023) 046 [arXiv:2305.06390] [INSPIRE].
- [40] D. Berenstein, E. Maderazo, R. Mancilla and A. Ramirez, Chaotic LLM billiards, JHEP 08 (2024) 056 [arXiv:2305.19321] [INSPIRE].
- [41] G. Eleftheriou, S. Murthy and M. Rosselló, The giant graviton expansion in  $AdS_5 \times S^5$ , SciPost Phys. 17 (2024) 098 [arXiv:2312.14921] [INSPIRE].
- [42] E. Deddo, J.T. Liu, L.A. Pando Zayas and R.J. Saskowski, Giant Graviton Expansion from Bubbling Geometry: Discreteness from Quantized Geometry, Phys. Rev. Lett. 132 (2024) 261501 [arXiv:2402.19452] [INSPIRE].
- [43] Y. Chen, H.W. Lin and S.H. Shenker, *BPS chaos*, *SciPost Phys.* **18** (2025) 072 [arXiv:2407.19387] [INSPIRE].
- [44] H.J. Kim, L.J. Romans and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, The Mass Spectrum of Chiral N = 2D = 10Supergravity on  $S^5$ , Phys. Rev. D **32** (1985) 389 [INSPIRE].
- [45] L. Grant et al., Minisuperspace quantization of 'Bubbling AdS' and free fermion droplets, JHEP 08 (2005) 025 [hep-th/0505079] [INSPIRE].

- [46] F. Aprile, J. Drummond, P. Heslop and H. Paul, Double-trace spectrum of N = 4 supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory at strong coupling, Phys. Rev. D 98 (2018) 126008 [arXiv:1802.06889] [INSPIRE].
- [47] F. Aprile et al., Single particle operators and their correlators in free  $\mathcal{N}=4$  SYM, JHEP 11 (2020) 072 [arXiv:2007.09395] [INSPIRE].
- [48] G. Arutyunov and S. Frolov, Some cubic couplings in type IIB supergravity on  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  and three point functions in SYM(4) at large N, Phys. Rev. D **61** (2000) 064009 [hep-th/9907085] [INSPIRE].
- [49] G. Arutyunov and S. Frolov, On the correspondence between gravity fields and CFT operators, JHEP 04 (2000) 017 [hep-th/0003038] [INSPIRE].
- [50] G. Arutyunov, R. Klabbers and S. Savin, Four-point functions of all-different-weight chiral primary operators in the supergravity approximation, JHEP 09 (2018) 023 [arXiv:1806.09200] [INSPIRE].
- [51] S. Corley, A. Jevicki and S. Ramgoolam, Exact correlators of giant gravitons from dual N = 4 SYM theory, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 5 (2002) 809 [hep-th/0111222] [INSPIRE].
- [52] T.W. Brown, Half-BPS SU(N) correlators in N=4 SYM, JHEP **07** (2008) 044 [hep-th/0703202] [INSPIRE].
- [53] O. Aharony et al., Large N field theories, string theory and gravity, Phys. Rept. **323** (2000) 183 [hep-th/9905111] [INSPIRE].
- [54] S. Lee, S. Minwalla, M. Rangamani and N. Seiberg, Three point functions of chiral operators in D = 4, N = 4 SYM at large N, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 697 [hep-th/9806074] [INSPIRE].
- [55] D. Berenstein, A toy model for the AdS/CFT correspondence, JHEP 07 (2004) 018 [hep-th/0403110] [INSPIRE].
- [56] V.S. Rychkov, D1-D5 black hole microstate counting from supergravity, JHEP 01 (2006) 063 [hep-th/0512053] [INSPIRE].
- [57] O. Lunin, Brane webs and 1/4-BPS geometries, JHEP 09 (2008) 028 [arXiv:0802.0735] [INSPIRE].
- [58] Q. Jia and H. Lin, Interior analysis, stretched technique and bubbling geometries, Annals Phys. 462 (2024) 169616 [arXiv:2312.16751] [INSPIRE].