Oirsouw et al.

#### **Original Article**

KDM2B variants in the CxxC domain impair its DNA-binding ability and cause a distinct neurodevelopmental syndrome

Amber S.E. van Oirsouw<sup>14</sup>, Michael A. Hadders<sup>22</sup>, Martijn Koetsier<sup>14</sup>, Edith D.J. Peters<sup>14</sup>, Nurit

Assia Batzir<sup>33</sup>, Tahsin Stefan Barakat<sup>24</sup>, Diana Baralle<sup>25</sup>, Adelyn Beil<sup>26</sup>, Marie-Noëlle Bonnet
Dupeyron<sup>27</sup>, Philip M. Boone<sup>88</sup>, Arjan Bouman<sup>24</sup>, Deanna Alexis Carere<sup>89</sup>, Benjamin Cogne<sup>1024</sup>,

Leslie Dunnington<sup>1144</sup>, Laura S. Farach<sup>1144</sup>, Casie A. Genetti<sup>88,1243</sup>, Bertrand Isidor<sup>1040</sup>, Louis

Januel<sup>1343</sup>, Aakash Joshi<sup>1444</sup>, Nayana Lahiri<sup>1444</sup>, Kristen N. Lee<sup>86</sup>, Idit Maya<sup>1545,1646</sup>, Meriel

McEntagart<sup>1444</sup>, Hope Northrup<sup>1144</sup>, Mathilde Pujalte<sup>1343</sup>, Kate Richardson<sup>1144</sup>, Susan Walker<sup>11247</sup>,

Bobby P.C. Koeleman<sup>14</sup>, Mariëlle Alders<sup>1848,1949</sup>, Richard H. van Jaarsveld<sup>14</sup>, Renske Oegema<sup>1,14</sup>

<sup>14</sup>Department of Genetics, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht University, Utrecht 3584 CX,

The Netherlands

<sup>24</sup>Oncode Institute and Center for Molecular Medicine, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht

University, Utrecht 3584 CG, The Netherlands

<sup>25</sup>Pediatric Genetics Unit, Schneider Children's Medical Center of Israel, Petach-Tikva 4920235, Israel

<sup>26</sup>Department of Clinical Genetics, Erasmus MC University Medical Center, Rotterdam 8000 CA, The

Netherlands

<sup>≥</sup>Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton SO17 1BJ, United Kingdom

```
Department of Pediatrics, Division of Pediatric Genetics, Metabolism, and Genomic Medicine,
University of Michigan, Michigan, MI 48109, United States U.S.A
<sup>™</sup>Consultations de Génétique, Centre Hospitalier de Valence, Valence <mark>26000</mark>, France
<sup>28</sup>Division of Genetics and Genomics, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA 02115, United
States USA
GeneDx, LLC, Gaithersburg, MD 20877, United States USA
<sup>1040</sup>Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Nantes, Nantes 44093, France
<sup>44</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Division of Medical Genetics, McGovern Medical School at the
University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston (UTHealth Houston) and Children's Memorial
Hermann Hospital, Houston, Texas, TX 77030, United States USA
2115, Boston, MA Ocenter for Orphan Disease Research, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA Page 115,
United States USA
<sup>1343</sup>Department of Genetics, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon <mark>69002</mark>, France
<sup>144</sup>St. George's University of London & St. George's University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust,
London SW17 ORE, United Kingdom
<sup>55</sup>Recanati Genetics Institute, Beilinson Hospital<mark>, Rabin Medical Center, Petach Tikva 4941492</mark>
Israel
<sup>1646</sup>School of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv <mark>6997801</mark>, Israel
<sup>1717</sup>Genomics England, London E14 5AB, United Kingdom
<sup>1848</sup>Department of Human Genetics, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam 1105
AZ, The Netherlands
<sup>1949</sup>Reproduction and Development Research Institute, Amsterdam 1105 AZ, The Netherlands
```

\*Corresponding author. Department of Genetics, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht University, Utrecht 3584 CX, The Netherlands. E-mail: R.Oegema@umcutrecht.nl

#### **Abstract**

Rare variants affecting the epigenetic regulator KDM2B cause a recently delineated neurodevelopmental disorder. Interestingly, we previously identified both a general KDM2Bassociated episignature and a subsignature specific to variants in the DNA-binding CxxC domain. In light of the existence of a distinct subsignature, we set out to determine if KDM2B CxxC variants are associated with a unique phenotype and disease mechanism. We recruited individuals with heterozygous CxxC variants and assessed the variants' effect on protein expression and DNA-binding ability. We analyzed clinical data from 19 individuals, including ten previously undescribed individuals with seven novel CxxC variants. The core phenotype of the KDM2B-CxxC cohort is more extensive as compared to that of individuals with KDM2B haploinsufficiency. All individuals with CxxC variants presented with developmental delay, mainly in the speech and motor domain, in addition to variable intellectual disability and mild facial dysmorphism. Congenital heart defects were observed in up to 78% of individuals, with additional common findings including musculoskeletal, ophthalmological, and urogenital anomalies, as well as behavioral challenges and feeding difficulties. Functional assays revealed that while mutant KDM2B protein with CxxC variants can be expressed in vitro, its DNA-binding ability is significantly reduced compared to wildtype. This study shows that KDM2B CxxC variants cause a distinct neurodevelopmental syndrome, possibly through a molecular mechanism different from haploinsufficiency.

# **Keywords:**

intellectual disability; congenital heart disease; chromatinopathy; clinical genetics; developmental delay

# Key Words:

intellectual disability;

congenital heart disease;

chromatinopathy;

clinical genetics;

developmental delay

## Introduction

Pathogenic variants in genes involved in epigenetic regulation are an emerging cause of neurodevelopmental disorders (NDDs) [1]. We previously identified a novel NDD caused by heterozygous pathogenic variants in *KDM2B* and reported its associated episignature [2]. *KDM2B* encodes the 1336 amino acid lysine-demethylase 2B (KDM2B; OMIM 609078), which demethylates histone lysines K4, K36 and K79 via its JmjC domain [3–5], binds unmethylated CpG dinucleotides through its CxxC domain [6, 7] and interacts with other proteins through its F-box domain and leucine-rich region (LRR) [8, 9]. Apart from the canonical form of KDM2B (KDM2B-LF), a short isoform lacking the demethylating JmjC domain (KDM2B-SF) is expressed during early murine development and differentiation [8, 10].

KDM2B is implicated in many biological and cellular processes by regulation of gene expression, including cell proliferation [3, 11, 12] and differentiation [8, 13, 14]. Through its CxxC domain, KMD2B binds genome-wide to unmethylated CpG islands [7, 14]. In contrast to the majority of CpG dinucleotides that are methylated, CpG islands are short DNA regions enriched with unmethylated CpG dinucleotides. These CpG islands are therefore in a more permissive chromatin state and associated with approximately 70% of mammalian gene promoters [15].

Furthermore, KDM2B is essential for recruiting critical binding partners to CpG islands, such as Polycomb Repressive Complex 1 (PRC1) [7, 14]. Through its LRR, KDM2B interacts with core components of the PRC1, forming a non-canonical PRC1 variant 1 (PRC1.1). Polycomb group proteins

play a critical role in repressing lineage-specific genes, thereby maintaining the undifferentiated state of embryonic stem cells [16]. Loss of KDM2B leads to ectopic *de novo* hypermethylation and silencing of PRC target genes and differentiation defects in mouse embryonic stem cells [13, 14]. Consistent with its pivotal role in differentiation, various mouse models have demonstrated the importance of KDM2B during early embryonic development [10, 13, 17–20].

Chromosomal deletions encompassing the 12q24.31 region including *KDM2B*, as well as putative loss-of-function (pLOF) variants, missense variants and a small in-frame deletion, have been identified in individuals presenting with a variable expression of disease including developmental delay (DD)/intellectual disability (ID), autism, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), congenital organ anomalies and facial dysmorphism [2, 21–26]. In our recently described cohort, 9 of 21 individuals had a variant located within the CxxC domain, suggestive of a mutational hotspot. In all nine individuals, the variants occurred *de novo* and congenital anomalies seemed overrepresented. Notably, DNA samples from this CxxC subgroup showed a distinct sub-signature, apart from the general KDM2B-associated episignature. This CxxC sub-signature exhibits an on average increased methylation level, even exceeding that of the hypermethylated probes of the general-KDM2B episignature [2].

We set out to characterize the *KDM2B* CxxC-disorder and its underlying molecular mechanism. We provide extensive clinical descriptions of ten previously undescribed individuals with seven novel variants, expanding the total cohort to 19 individuals. Furthermore, we performed functional analysis of CxxC variants to assess their effects on DNA-binding. Our findings suggest *KDM2B* CxxC variants are associated with a distinct neurodevelopmental disorder possibly through a dominant-negative mechanism as opposed to haploinsufficiency associated with loss of function variants.

### **Results**

Individuals with CxxC variants

We identified ten unrelated individuals with nine distinct variants located in *KDM2B*'s CxxC domain (Table 1, Fig. 1A). All of the identified variants are missense variants, except for a single residue deletion p.(Lys635del) in individual #6. Interestingly, we observed p.(Lys635del) and p.(Gly638Asp) previously in unrelated individuals [2]. Clinical reports for each case are provided below, with a summary presented in Table 1.

### Clinical reports

Individual #1 (de novo c.1829G.>\_A p.(Arg610Gln)) is a 7-year-old girl. At 6\_-weeks old, echocardiogram revealed a 5\_-mm secundum atrial septal defect (ASD), atrial septal aneurysm, tiny apical muscular ventral septal defect (VSD), and borderline mildly decreased left ventricular systolic function. She underwent an ASD closure at 2\_-years 4\_-months old. Gross motor milestones were achieved on time, but speech was delayed and speech and occupational therapy were initiated at 8\_-months old. First words were achieved at one year old. At her current age, she can say four-to-five-word sentences. Around 3\_-years old, concerns of autistic behaviors were raised and she was formally diagnosed with autism spectrum disorder at 5\_-years old. Since then, she has been attending applied behavioral analysis therapy, speech therapy, occupational therapy, and life skill classes. Her older sister had an ASD that spontaneously resolved. Dysmorphology exam revealed macrocephaly, Cupid's bow upper lip and clubbing of toes bilaterally.

Individual #2 (de novo c.1838G\_>\_A p.(Cys613Tyr)) is a 21-year-old male with global developmental delay and mild ID. He spoke his first words and walked independently at 2.5\_-years old. He was diagnosed with bilateral absence of the nasolacrimal duct requiring surgery and mild myopia. He complains of joint stiffness. Facial features comprised a high

anterior hairline, a relatively long face, deep-set eyes, and small ears with broad and overfolded helices. He was noted to have a bifid uvula, tapering fingers and pes planovalgus. <a href="Individual #3">Individual #3</a> (de novo c.1841G\_>\_T p.(Arg614Leu)) is a 15-year-old boy. He has severe ID and behavioral challenges including autism spectrum disorder, ADHD, anxiety and self-harming behavior including skin picking. He can speak simple sentences since age 10\_-years. He was born prematurely after 35\_-weeks' gestation and had feeding difficulties in infancy. He exhibited toe walking. His medical history includes constipation, Perthes disease, osteomyelitis and an episode of sepsis. On physical examination short stature was noted and below-average measurements for weight and head circumference. He has simple ears with fleshy earlobes, fifth finger clinodactyly and medially deviated halluces.

Individual #4 (*de novo* c.1848C<sub>></sub>G p.(Cys616Trp)) is a now 5-year-old girl with global DD, who was evaluated at 3.5\_-years old. She was born at 41\_-weeks by vaginal delivery with biometry in normal range. She began sitting at 23\_-months and walking at 28\_-months. Speech development was delayed with few words without association at 3\_-years old and speaking in short sentences with pronunciation difficulties at 5\_-years old. On physical examination she had arched eyebrows, downslanted palpebral fissures, midface retrusion, high columnella, broad nares, and large anteverted ears (Fig. 2A, S1A). She has visual impairment with strabismus, myopia and astigmatism. She has pes planovalgus requiring orthopedic shoes.

Individual #5 (paternally inherited variant of unknown significance (VUS) c.1883A\_>\_T p.(His628Leu)) was born at almost 40\_-weeks' gestation with a low birth weight. He was admitted to the NICU for 5\_-days due to relatively mild respiratory problems. As a neonate he had gastro-esophageal reflux and diarrhea thought to be due to lactose intolerance. He

had delayed motor development——at nearly 1\_-year old he was not sitting up and had significant head lag. Brain imaging showed a 25\_-mm diameter arachnoid cyst which was surgically removed. At 3\_-years old global muscle weakness was noted and significant motor delay was present at age 4\_-years. On examination (Fig. S1C) he has a high forehead, a triangular face, short flared eyebrows, deep-set eyes, high nasal bridge, small nasal ridge, pointed upper lip, asymmetry of the ears, and mild left foot arthrogryposis. He was also diagnosed with hip dysplasia.

Individual #6 (de novo c.1903\_1905delAAG, p.(Lys635del)) is a 3-year-old girl who presented with hypotonia. She was born at term after an uncomplicated pregnancy with normal weight and length measurements. Excess neck skin was noted. Echocardiogram on day two of life showed a small patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) and aneurysmal atrial septum with patent foramen ovale (PFO). The neonatal period was significant for laryngomalacia, feeding issues and deformational plagiocephaly. She could sit independently at 7\_months, stand with support at 11\_months, and say two words by 11\_months. Now at 3\_years old, her core strength is improving, however she has expressive speech delay. On physical examination flat occiput, scleral show, prominent laterally extending eyebrows, mild hypertelorism, prominent nasal tip, creases on the ear lobes that originate at the intertragal notch, and retrognathia were noted. A hemangioma in the xiphoid area was noted. There is a wide angle between first and second toes bilaterally.

Individual #7 (de novo c.1913G\_>\_A, p.(Gly638Asp)) is a now 5-year-old boy with DD, who was evaluated at age 2.5\_-years. He was born at term with normal length and weight and large occipital-frontal circumference. He was diagnosed with neonatal hypolgycemias, congenital heart defects (bicuspid aortic valve, VSD, ASD, PFO, PDA, and ventricular

dilatation), renal cysts with asymmetric kidneys, macrocornea and has shunted ventriculomegaly (Fig. 2C). Physical examination noted umbilical hernia, leg length discrepancy, midface retrusion, tall forehead, infraorbital creases and macroglossia.

Currently he is in preschool with children of one year younger, where he is learning well and can identify numbers up to 40. He is gentle and gets along well with his classmates. Speech development is still delayed with speaking in 4-6 word phrases. His gross and fine motor development was delayed with first steps after age 2\_-years, but this improved significantly. He has hypotonia and uses ankle foot orthosis. He is a picky eater.

Individual #8 (*de novo* c.1913G\_>\_A p.(Gly638Asp)) is a 17-month-old male. He was born at term with normal measurements. He has had failure to thrive and feeding difficulties temporarily necessitating a gastric tube. He was diagnosed with a VSD and ASD postnatally due to a murmur. He underwent surgical repair of his VSD and primary closure of the secundum ASD. Additionally, he has a single kidney. He has global DD, babbles and speaks some words. Brain imaging at 7\_-months showed mild prominence of extra-axial fluid spaces and supratentorial ventricles (Fig. 2C). On physical examination hypotonia and spasticity were noted, as well as a low-set and posteriorly rotated right ear with a horizontal antihelix, mild micrognathia, and a sandal gap.

Individual #9 (de novo c.1937G\_>\_A p.(Cys646Tyr)) is a 1-year-old boy who presented with congenital anomalies and DD. He was born at term after an uncomplicated pregnancy. He was diagnosed with an ASD (surgery will be required) and bilateral postaxial polydactyly of the feet. He has focal epilepsy which started at 1\_-month and was treated with valproate sodium. Brain imaging showed an arachnoid cyst. His development was delayed (sat at 9\_m and walked at 18\_m) with speech delay requiring speech therapy.

Individual #10 (de novo c.1946G > C p.(Arg649Pro)) is a now 12-year-old boy who was evaluated at age 4\_years. He had gross motor delay. He now only has mild fine motor difficulties. His speech development was also severely delayed, with a few words at age 4\_years, and now speaks in sentences but can still mix up words and strangers have difficulty understanding him. He has had temper tantrums and still has some difficulty expressing emotions but is socializing well. He has moderate intellectual impairment and is currently attending a special school. He has had surgery for a large secundum ASD and bilateral undescended testes and was diagnosed with a Duane anomaly of the right eye. Of note, tooth eruption was delayed and his baby teeth had to be surgically removed. On physical examination medial flaring of the eyebrows, full upper eyelids, everted lower lip, overfolded helices, prominent antihelices, pectus carinatum, and pes planovalgus were noted (Fig. 2A, 2B).

#### The KDM2B-CxxC cohort

We analyzed the data of all 19 individuals with 15 distinct CxxC variants, including the ten individuals described here and the nine previously reported individuals (Table S1). All CxxC variants are of *de novo* origin, except for p.(His628Leu) which was inherited from an unaffected father in individual #5. As we were unable to test the paternal grandparents, postzygotic mosaicism in the father cannot be excluded. We obtained leucocyte-derived DNA samples from 1 published (#8\*) and 3 novel individuals (#2, #6 and #10), which all tested positive for the general *KDM2B*-associated episignature (EpiSign v4). In total, all 9/19 cases with CxxC variants that underwent methylation profiling showed this signature (Fig. 1A, Table 2) [2]. All CxxC variants are classified as pathogenic according to the ACMG criteria (Table S2), except for variant p.(His628Leu). This variant is classified as a variant of unknown

significance (VUS), due to paternal inheritance and lack of functional evidence supporting pathogenicity. Therefore, this individual was excluded from the clinical analysis of the CxxC cohort.

All 18 individuals exhibit developmental delay in one or multiple domains, especially early speech (18/18) and motor delay (15/18) (Table 3, Table 51). Cognitive function ranged from below-average IQ to severe ID with absence of speech. Frequent features present in at least half of individuals include cardiovascular anomalies (14/18), particularly ASD (n\_=\_11), single kidney (5/18), ophthalmological anomalies (10/18), skeletal anomalies (12/18), hypotonia (7/16), feeding difficulties (6/11) and behavioral challenges (8/18). Brain imaging revealed abnormalities in five individuals (5/10), with cysts and/or enlarged ventricles in three, for which one of these individuals underwent surgery. Growth measurements indicated a trend towards below-average growth (Fig. 2D). Microcephaly (4/15) and macrocephaly (2/15) were present in a minority. Facial dysmorphism was present in nearly all individuals (17/18). Analysis of available photographs (n\_=\_7) revealed recurrent features, including downslanted palpebral fissures, a bulbous nasal tip, a prominent cupid's bow of the upper lip, full cheeks, asymmetric or dysplastic ears and prominent ear lobes.

Collectively, the core phenotype observed in the expanded CxxC cohort includes developmental delays, primarily affecting the speech and motor domain, along with variable intellectual deficits, behavioral challenges, congenital heart defects, urogenital anomalies, ophthalmological anomalies, musculoskeletal anomalies, feeding difficulties and facial dysmorphism. This phenotype is more extensive than that observed in individuals with other pathogenic non-CxxC missense or pLOF variants (n\_=\_6) [2], who do not have congenital cardiovascular or ophthalmological anomalies nor kidney agenesis. Moreover,

four individuals with a 12q24.31 microdeletion encompassing *KDM2B*, who exhibit the *KDM2B* episignature [2], do not present with cardiovascular, ophthalmological or urogenital anomalies [24–26]. These findings suggest that the distinct phenotypic features observed are specifically attributable to CxxC variants.

## *In silico* modeling of CxxC variants

To improve our understanding of how these CxxC variants lead to the described disorder, we first performed *in silico* assessment of all novel and published CxxC variants (n\_=\_15). *In silico* prediction programs predict these variants to be pathogenic (Table 2) and all residues are intolerant to variation [27]. In accordance, all variants are absent from gnomAD [28] and RGC [29], except for p.(His628Leu), which is reported twice in RGC (Table 2) and is the variant inherited from an asymptomatic father. Seven of 15 variants, affecting residues Cys613, Cys616, Gly638 and Cys646, correspond to conserved positions within the homologous CxxC domain of KMT2A, where pathogenic mutations have been previously reported in patients with Wiedemann-Steiner syndrome [30, 31] (ClinVar Variation ID: 429638 Accession: VCV000429638.2).

The variants are located throughout the CxxC domain (Fig. 1B). The CxxC domain contains two conserved CXXCXXC motifs, where cysteine residues chelate a zinc ion, forming two zinc-fingers [6]. Half of the CxxC variants (7/15) result in the loss of a cysteine residue. A linker region after the two CXXCXXC motifs contains a highly conserved KFGG motif that is predicted to provide rigidity to the CxxC domain [32], and is mutated in 3/15 variants at positions 635 and 638. The KFGG motif is followed by a DNA-binding motif (KQ) that forms hydrogen bonds with the CpG dinucleotide in the DNA major groove. The DNA minor groove is bound by positively charged N-terminal and C-terminal regions of the CxxC domain [6, 32].

Specifically, Arg610 and Arg649 are predicted to form hydrogen bonds with the backbone of the DNA minor groove, as shown for the homologous residues in the CxxC domain of DNMT1 [33]. Additionally, Arg610 was found to form several hydrogen bonds with residues in the PHD domain [6]. Due to the rigidity of the CxxC domain by its KFGG motif and its proximity to the DNA during DNA-binding, methylation of cytosines prevents DNA binding by KDM2B's CxxC domain [32]. No CxxC variants in our cohort affect the DNA-binding motif of the CxxC domain. However, the rigidity of the CxxC domain could be affected by variants in the KFGG motif, potentially influencing KDM2B's DNA target sites. The variants at positions 614, 628 and 632 result in more hydrophobic residues, which can cause loss of hydrogen bonds and/or disturb correct folding. Specifically, Arg614 could be part of the positively charged residues that contribute to DNA binding, His628Leu alters the surface that could affect interaction or reduce solubility and Asp632Tyr alters the local protein surface, potentially affecting stability of the fold and binding partners. Taken together, the CxxC variants are predicted to affect zinc ion binding, DNA binding or the local protein structure (Table 2).

# Mutant KDM2B is expressed in vitro

As the patient variants affect highly conserved residues [2] important for local protein structure, we hypothesized they may influence protein stability. Protein stability changes were predicted *in silico* using the tool Rosetta  $\Delta\Delta$ G, where positive  $\Delta\Delta$ G values indicate destabilizing variants and negative values indicate stabilizing variants. Using a threshold of 1, half of the variants (p.(Arg610Gln), p.(Cys616Arg), p.(Cys630Ser), p.(Asp632Tyr), p.(Gly638Ser), p.(Gly638Asp), p.(Cys646Tyr), p.(Arg649Pro)) were predicted to destabilize the CxxC domain and none reached the threshold of a stabilizing effect (Table 2).

To evaluate whether KDM2B CxxC variants affect its expression, we compared protein expression of mutant KDM2B (KDM2B<sup>MUT</sup>) to wildtype (WT) KDM2B (KDM2B<sup>WT</sup>) by overexpression in HEK293T cells. We included nine CxxC variants observed in our cohort and three previously published VUS located near the CxxC domain (p.(Ile652Val), p.(Ala725Thr), p.(Gly745Ser)) [2, 21, 23]. All variants showed similar expression levels of both KDM2B-SF and KDM2B-LF as compared to wildtype (Fig. 3A-D, Fig. S2, Table 2). Recognizing that our overexpression system may not reflect the endogenous expression, our results suggest that while the variants may impact CxxC domain stability, they do not negatively affect expression of either KDM2B isoform.

## CxxC variants impair DNA-binding ability

Since mutant KDM2B protein with CxxC variants can be expressed in an *in vitro* overexpression system, we proceeded to investigate their effect on KDM2B's DNA-binding ability. The CxxC domain of KDM2B specifically recognizes unmethylated CpG dinucleotides [6, 7], therefore we tested DNA binding with an electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) using unmethylated DNA probes containing two CpG islands. For this, we produced recombinant wildtype (CxxCWT) or mutant (CxxCMUT) KDM2B CxxC-PHD protein (amino acids 600-750) (Fig. S3), as previous reports indicated the CxxC domain requires its adjacent PHD domain for stability [6]. CxxCWT showed DNA binding upon addition of 25 -µg/ml protein, which minimally increased upon adding more protein, suggesting WT protein reached DNA-binding saturation (Fig. 4A, 4B). DNA binding was significantly decreased upon introduction of all CxxC variants (<30% bound protein, p\_<\_0.0001) (Fig. 4B, 4C, Fig. S4). Increasing the concentration of CxxCMUT resulted in a higher fraction of protein-bound DNA, but for all variants, except CxxC<sup>G616R</sup>, a reduction of at least 25% remained when compared to WT (Fig.

4D. Additionally, VUS p.(Ile652Val) and p.(Gly745Ser) affected DNA binding (27% and 35% bound protein, respectively, p\_<\_0.0001), despite these variants being located on the edge and outside of the CxxC domain, respectively. We were unable to produce VUS p.(Ala725Thr), which purified as a truncated fragment, thereby precluding DNA binding assays. In conclusion, our data demonstrate the tested variants significantly reduce the DNA-binding ability of KDM2B's CxxC domain *in vitro*.

## Discussion

In this study we analyse in detail the clinical findings and genetic characteristics of nine individuals with pathogenic variants in the CxxC domain of KDM2B. Combined analysis of this cohort together with our previously published cohort shows that these variants cause a distinct neurodevelopmental syndrome. The KDM2B-CxxC disorder is characterized by developmental delays, mainly in the speech and motor domain, variable intellectual disability, congenital heart defects and facial dysmorphism. Speech delay is universal and remained a significant problem throughout childhood for several individuals. We observed behavioral challenges, hypotonia, feeding difficulties, urogenital anomalies, ophthalmological anomalies and pes planovalgus in multiple individuals. Neuroimaging was abnormal in half of individuals for whom this was performed, and neurosurgical intervention due to hydrocephalus was necessary in one individual. Since individuals with other pathogenic missense and pLOF KDM2B variants [2] do not show congenital cardiac or ophthalmological anomalies nor kidney agenesis, these features appear to be distinct phenotypic characteristics specifically attributable to CxxC variants. Based on our findings, we recommend a baseline workup of cardiac and renal ultrasound in each KDM2B-CxxC patient, and early developmental support focusing on speech, behavior and motor

development as appropriate. In addition, ophthalmological examination and brain imaging should be considered.

In line with the more extensive clinical phenotype compared to patients with *KDM2B* haploinsufficiency, our *in vitro* assays suggest CxxC variants act through a different, likely dominant-negative disease mechanism. We show CxxC variants do not negatively affect expression of the long and short KDM2B isoform in an *in vitro* overexpression system.

However, we observed a significantly decreased DNA-binding ability of CxxC variants using EMSA. We hypothesize this decreased DNA binding impairs the recruitment of KDM2B's binding partners to target DNA. In this way it could prevent PRC1 from exerting its repressive function, which can be detrimental as haploinsufficiency of other PRC1 components has already been associated with monogenic disease (e.g. oculofaciocardiodental syndrome caused by BCOR variants (MIM 300166), and Luo-Schoch-Yamamoto syndrome caused by RING1B/RNF2 variants (MIM 619460)).

We observed a decreased DNA-binding ability of CxxC variants with EMSA, in a simplified and controlled environment. This setup allows us to specifically and directly observe protein-DNA interactions, minimizing interference from other cellular components. In a nuclear environment, KDM2B has numerous target sites across the genome, and has been shown to bind genome-wide to ±84% of CpG islands in mouse embryonic stem cells (mESCs) [7, 14]. Additionally, in the cellular context, KDM2B faces competition from other DNA-binding factors. The DNA-binding saturation we reach for the wildtype protein *in vitro* is unlikely to fully reflect the dynamic cellular environment, therefore CxxC variants may even impact DNA binding more severely *in vivo*.

KDM2B requires the ability of its CxxC domain to bind unmethylated CpG islands for its various roles in epigenetic regulation. DNA binding by KDM2B plays a crucial role in protecting CpG islands from de novo methylation during early murine development [13, 18]. The loss of KDM2B at its target sites has been shown to result in hypermethylation at promoters normally bound by KDM2B in mESCs [13]. In line with these studies, we previously identified a stronger increase in DNA hypermethylation in our CxxC cohort as compared to the entire KDM2B cohort, contributing to a distinct episignature [2]. Taken together with the current findings that demonstrate CxxC variants significantly decrease KDM2B's DNA-binding ability, this suggests that KDM2B is also important in protecting DNA from methylation during human development. Further research is required to assess the effect of this hypermethylation in patients and to identify the pathways involved. Beyond regulating DNA methylation, the CxxC domain of KDM2B is also important for recruiting the PRC1 complex to the DNA, thereby leading to the repression of lineagespecific genes [7, 14, 17, 19, 20]. Loss of KDM2B and its CxxC domain results in the upregulation of polycomb repressed target genes and induces early differentiation in mESCs [7, 14]. This disruption affects embryonic development, as demonstrated in KDM2Bdeficient mouse models. Complete loss of KDM2B is embryonically lethal, causing severe developmental abnormalities including smaller body size, failure of neural tube closure, limb and craniofacial malformations and absence of the heart and aorta [13, 34]. Interestingly, mice homozygous for a KDM2B allele lacking only the CxxC domain also exhibit embryonic lethality with severe developmental defects [17, 20]. Heterozygous loss of the CxxC domain results in partial lethality and surviving mice display severe skeletal malformations with homeotic transformations [17], while mice heterozygous for KDM2B are free of developmental defects [13, 34]. Similar phenotypes to CxxC-specific loss are observed in

RING1A or RING1B knockout models, underscoring the connection to the PRC complex [35, 36]. Additionally, heterozygous conditional loss of the CxxC domain in neurons results in autism/ID-like behavior in mice, such as impaired spatial and motor learning, deficits in fear conditioning and social impairments [19, 20]. These phenotypes overlap with the neurodevelopmental abnormalities observed in our cohort. Future studies are necessary to fully understand how CxxC variants cause their specific phenotypic effect including congenital anomalies.

KDM2B expands the list of CxxC-containing proteins associated with germline variants causing human disorders. KMT2A encodes a histone lysine methyltransferase that plays a critical role in regulating gene expression during early development and hematopoiesis [37]. Heterozygous KMT2A variants cause Wiedemann-Steiner syndrome (MIM 605130), which is characterized by DD, ID, and characteristic facial features, with or without additional congenital anomalies. Missense variants in KMT2A's CxxC DNA-binding domain may be associated with more significant neurodevelopmental issues [30]. In other genes containing CxxC domains—KMT2B associated with intellectual developmental disorder (MIM 619934) and dystonia (MIM 617284), DNMT1 associated with autosomal dominant cerebellar ataxia, deafness, and narcolepsy (MIM 604121) and with hereditary sensory neuropathy type IE (MIM 614116), TET3 associated with neurodevelopmental Beck-Fahrner syndrome (MIM 618798)——pathogenic variants specifically affecting their CxxC domains have not been reported.

In conclusion, we delineate *KDM2B*-CxxC disorder as a distinct epigenetic neurodevelopmental syndrome with congenital anomalies. This more extensive

presentation of the *KDM2B* disorder suggests a distinct effect of CxxC variants, potentially caused by impaired binding of KDM2B to CpG dinucleotides.

# Materials and mMethods

#### Patient inclusion and data collection

This study is part of an ongoing investigation into genotype—phenotype correlations in *KDM2B* syndrome, approved by the medical ethical committee installed by the University Medical Centre Utrecht (TCBIO 21-355, March 18, 2021). The cohort was established through international collaborations using the GeneMatcher platform [38], direct personal communication and analysis of the Genomics England cohort [39]. *KDM2B* variants of interest were identified in Genomics England [39] by filtering aggV2 for heterozygous *KDM2B* variants with a maximum allele frequency — -0.001 and a maximum frequency of 5 in gnomAD v4.1.0 [28]. Among 78 -195 individuals, five individuals with a CxxC variant were identified. Their referring physicians were contacted, and three were successfully included in this study (individuals #3, #5 and #10).

Inclusion required the identification of a *KDM2B* variant (NM\_032590.5) located within the CxxC domain. Clinical and genetic data were collected using a standardized spreadsheet and subsequently entered into an electronic data capture platform. Informed consent for publication was obtained from all participants or their legal representatives. Facial photographs were systematically assessed by an experienced dysmorphologist (RO).

The phenotype of the CxxC cohort was compared to individuals with pathogenic non-CxxC missense variants, pLOF variants and 12q24.31 deletions published in our initial cohort (individuals 3.2, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 6, 11, 25.1, 25.2, 29, 30). Individuals 3.1 and 10 were excluded from this analysis due to the presence of a second genetic diagnosis affecting their phenotypic presentation [2].

## Analysis of *KDM2B* variants

Leucocyte-derived DNA samples were collected and EpiSign testing for the general *KDM2B*-associated episignature was conducted as previously described [2]. Variant population frequencies and gene constraint scores were obtained from gnomAD v4.1.0 [28] and RGC v1.1.2 [29]. Multiple *in silico* prediction programs were consulted: Polymorphism Phenotyping v2 (PolyPhen) [40], Sorting Intolerant from Tolerant (SIFT) [41], AlphaMissense [42], MutationTaster [43] and Rosetta DDG [44]. Structural analysis of variants was performed using PyMOL (The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 2.5.5, Schrödinger, LLC) and PDB 4O64 [6]. Variants were classified according to the 2015 American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG) and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology guidelines [45].

#### **DNA** constructs

The recombinant ZF-CxxC construct encompassing human *KDM2B* (encoding amino acids 600–750) including an N-terminal 6-his tag followed by a tobacco etch virus (TEV) protease cleavage site was a kind gift of Neil Blackledge (previously described [7]).

The His-KDM2B-LF (ENSP00000366271\_==\_-NP\_\_115979.3) and His-KDM2B-SF (XP\_\_005254018.1) constructs were created with In-Fusion cloning (Takara 5X In-Fusion HD Enzyme Premix, Takara Bio), using GFP-FBXL10 (Addgene plasmid 126\_542) and a backbone including a EF1A promoter, P2A-EGFP-T2A-PuroR and AmpR (previously described [46]). Agilent Quikchange II XL-Site directed mutagenesis kit (Agilent Technologies) was used to introduce all patient variants in the wildtype KDM2B constructs.

# Protein expression in HEK293T cells

Transfection of wildtype and mutant His-tagged KDM2B-SF and KDM2B-LF was performed in HEK293T cells (n\_=\_3, Fig. 3, S2). HEK293T cells were grown in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium

(DMEM) — high glucose (Sigma Aldrich) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Bodinco) and 1% penicillin-\_streptomycin (Gibco). Cells were incubated at 37-°C in 5% CO₂, 95% air in humidified cell culture incubators. HEK293T cells were seeded on day 1 in 12-wells plates at 30-40% confluency. The next day, cells were transiently transfected using 1 -ug plasmid DNA (KDM2B-SF/LF WT or variants) with 3\_-µL+ PEI (1\_-mg/ml) (Sigma Aldrich) in 100\_-µL+ Opti-MEM (Gibco). On day 3, cells were harvested and lysed with 100 - µL Pierce RIPA buffer (Thermo Scientific, 89 900), containing protease inhibitor (cOmplete Mini, EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail tablets, Roche, 11 836 170 001). Samples were sonicated 3x30 seconds at 4\_°C at a low frequency with the BioRuptor Pico. SDS-PAGE was performed using Mini Gel Tank from Invitrogen Thermofisher, with a NuPage 4-12% Bis tris gel (1\_mm x 15 well) (Invitrogen) for KDM2B-SF or a NuPage 3-8% Tris-Acetate gel (Invitrogen) for KDM2B-LF. Transfer was via a BIO-RAD Trans-Blot Turbo to a 0.2 -um nitrocellulose mini (BIO-RAD) at 25\_V, 1.3A for 10\_minutes. The following primary antibodies were used for KDM2B (Sigma-Aldrich 09-864, 1:1000), GFP (Sigma SAB4301138, 1:5000), 6x-His-tag (Invitrogen MA1-21315, 1:2000), αtubulin (Invitrogen, 1:2000), with secondary antibodies Goat anti-Rabbit IRDye® 800CW (LICOR 926-32 211, 1:7500) and Goat anti-Mouse Alexa Fluor 680 (Invitrogen A21057, 1:7500). The blots were scanned with the Amersham Typhoon scanner.

# Expression and purification of recombinant KDM2B ZF-CxxC

We purified wildtype and variant-carrying recombinant KDM2B CxxC-PHD protein from bacteria (Fig. S3). Recombinant KDM2B ZF-CxxC constructs were expressed in Rosetta (DE3) cells. Bacterial cultures (100\_-m\_l) were grown in auto-induction medium (TB with 100\_-ug/ml kanamycin, 0.25\_-mM zinc, 0.2% lactose, 0.05% glucose, 2\_-mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>) at 37\_°C for 2-3\_-hours, after which the cultures were incubated at room temperature overnight. Rosetta cells were pelleted and lysed in 20\_-mM Tris-\_HCl (pH\_-8.0), 500\_-mM NaCl, 0.1% Nonidet P-40, complete EDTA-free protease inhibitors (Roche Applied Science) by sonicating

on output setting 80A at 50% for 1-1.25\_minutes with a probe sonicator. Lysed samples were centrifuged at 4\_°C for 30\_-minutes at 14000 r.p.m. (rotor FA-45-30-11). The supernatant was loaded onto Cytiva HisTrap FF Crude columns pre-equilibrated with buffer A (20\_mM Tris-\_HCl (pH\_-8.0), 300\_mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 20\_mM imidazole). The columns were then attached to an Akta FPLC and washed with 50 column volumes of buffer A\_+\_-8% buffer B (20 -mM Tris-HCl (pH -8.0), 300 -mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 250 -mM imidazole). The recombinant KDM2B ZF-CxxC protein was then eluted from the column with 100% buffer B. The KDM2B-containing elution fractions were pooled and desalted to remove the imidazole (desalting size exclusion chromatography) using PD-10 desalting column packed with Sephadex G-25 resin (pre-equilibrated with desalt buffer 20\_-mM Tris-\_HCl (pH\_-8.0), 10\_-mM NaCl, 40 -mM KCl, 10% glycerol, 1 -mM DTT). Proteins were concentrated using centrifugal concentrators (Vivaspin® 6, 5,000 MWCO PES) at 1972 -g, 4-°C. Protein concentration was estimated using absorbance at 280\_nM. Protein expression and purification was checked by SDS-PAGE followed by InstantBlue staining (expedeon, ISB1L) or Western Blot (anti-His tag) (Fig. S3).

#### **EMSA**

A short randomly generated DNA probe (GTAGGCGGTGCTACACGGTTCCTGAAGTG)

containing two CpGs (also described by [47]) was ordered from IDT with 5 ends labeled

with Alexa Fluor 660 and resuspended in sodium chloride-Tris buffer (100\_-mM NaCl;

10\_-mM Tris-\_HCl, pH\_-8.0). EMSA reactions were assembled in binding buffer (10\_-mM Tris-\_

HCl pH\_-7.5, 40\_-mM KCl, 10\_-mM NaCl, 1\_-mM MgCl2, 1\_-mM EDTA, 1\_-mM DTT, 25\_-ng/µl

poly-dAdT competitor DNA, 5% glycerol) with 1.25\_-nM fluorescent probe. The mixture was

allowed to incubate for 15-20\_-minutes at room temperature prior to loading onto a 5%

polyacrylamide gel containing 0.5x TBE (45\_-mM Tris-Borate, 1\_-mM EDTA) and 2.5% glycerol, using a mini protein gel system with running buffer (0.5x TBE, 2.5% glycerol). Gel electrophoresis was performed at 80\_V for 40\_-minutes, after which the gels were directly scanned on an Amersham Typhoon biomolecular imager.

### Analysis expression and EMSA

A python script was written and used to automatically analyze the Western blot and EMSA TIF files. Blobs were detected and removed using OpenCV. The average intensity along individual lanes was calculated and a background line was drawn based on background levels of these intensity curves.

For Western blot analysis, the area of the peak was determined using derivatives of this peak and their intersection with the background line. KDM2B expression was normalized to the transfection control GFP. KDM2B<sup>MUT</sup> expression was calculated per Western blot relative to KDM2B<sup>WT</sup> expression. For EMSA, the total area under the curve (AUC) of the intensity curves per individual lanes was divided in a bound and an unbound area by creating derivatives of the intensity peak of unbound probe and using their intersection with the background line. The bound fraction was calculated by dividing the AUC consisting of bound probe by the total AUC. Binding curves were made in Prism 10, using a non-linear regression hyperbola. Statistical analysis was performed in Prism 10. One-Way ANOVA with Dunnett'-s multiple comparisons test was used to analyze the bar graphs of bound protein fractions (patient variants compared to wildtype).

#### Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the participating patients and their families for sharing their data.

The authors thank Neil Blackledge for sharing his recombinant ZF-CxxC construct. The authors thank Cassandra Smith for the bioinformatics support for the variant analysis.

Part of this research was made possible through access to data in the National Genomic Research
Library, which is managed by Genomics England Limited (a wholly owned company of the
Department of Health and Social Care). The National Genomic Research Library holds data provided
by patients and collected by the NHS as part of their care and data collected as part of their
participation in research. The National Genomic Research Library is funded by the National Institute
for Health Research and NHS England. The Wellcome Trust, Cancer Research UK and the Medical
Research Council have also funded research infrastructure.

The Regeneron Genetics Center, and its collaborators (collectively, the "Collaborators") bear no responsibility for the analyses or interpretations of the data presented here. Any opinions, insights, or conclusions presented herein are those of the authors and not of the Collaborators. This research (RGC) has been conducted using the UK Biobank Resource under application number 26041.

During the preparation of this work the author(s) used ChatGTP and Microsoft Copilot in order to improve readability and language. After using this tool/service, the author(s) reviewed and edited the content as needed and take(s) full responsibility for the content of the publication.

# **SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL Supplementary data**

Supplementary Material is available at *HMG* online. Supplementary data is available at *HMG*Journal online.

Conflict of Interest Statement Conflict of Interest Statement

D.A.C. is an employee of and may hold stock in GeneDx, LLC. The authors declare no further conflicts of interest.

**Funding** 

This work was supported by the Wilhelmina Children's Hospital Research Fund [WKZ onderzoeksfonds 2020-2021 to R.O.]; the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research [ZonMw Vidi grant 09150172110002 to T.S.B.]; Stichting 12q [ongoing support to T.S.B.]; US NINDS [award K08NS117891-01 to P.M.B.].

### References

- Fahrner JA, Bjornsson HT. Mendelian disorders of the epigenetic machinery: tipping the balance of chromatin states. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet* 2014;15:269– 293.
- van Jaarsveld RH, Reilly J, Cornips MC. et al. Delineation of a KDM2B-related neurodevelopmental disorder and its associated DNA methylation signature.
   Genet Med 2023;25:49–62.
- 3. Frescas D, Guardavaccaro D, Bassermann F. et al. JHDM1B/FBXL10 is a nucleolar protein that represses transcription of ribosomal RNA genes. *Nature* 2007;**450**:309–313.
- 4. Kang JY, Kim JY, Kim KB. *et al.* KDM2B is a histone H3K79 demethylase and induces transcriptional repression via sirtuin-1-mediated chromatin silencing. *FASEB J* 2018;**32**:5737–5750.
- Tsukada YI, Fang J, Erdjument-Bromage H. et al. Histone demethylation by a family of JmjC domain-containing proteins. Nature 2006;439:811–816.
- 6. Xu C, Liu K, Lei M. et al. DNA sequence recognition of human CXXC domains and their setructural domains. Structure 2018;26:85–95.e3.
- 7. Farcas AM, Blackledge NP, Sudbery I. et al. KDM2B links the Polycomb repressive complex 1 (PRC1) to recognition of CpG islands. Elife 2012;1.

- Inagaki T, Iwasaki S, Matsumura Y. et al. The FBXL10/KDM2B scaffolding protein associates with novel polycomb repressive complex-1 to regulate adipogenesis. J Biol Chem 2015;290:4163–4177.
- Han XR, Zha Z, Yuan HX. et al. KDM2B/FBXL10 targets c-Fos for ubiquitylation and degradation in response to mitogenic stimulation. Oncogene 2016;35:4179– 4190.
- 10. Fukuda T, Tokunaga A, Sakamoto R. et al. Fbxl10/Kdm2b deficiency accelerates neural progenitor cell death and leads to exencephaly. *Mol Cell Neurosci* 2011:46:614–624.
- 11. He J, Kallin EM, Tsukada YI. et al. The H3K36 demethylase Jhdm1b/Kdm2b regulates cell proliferation and senescence through p15(Ink4b). Nat Struct Mol Biol 2008;15:1169–1175.
- 12. Deiktakis EE, Abrams M, Tsapara A. et al. Identification of setructural elements of the

  Leysine sepecific depemethylase 2B CxxC depomain aessociated with

  Replicative senescence beypass in perimary memouse cells. Protein J

  2020;39:232–239.
- Boulard M, Edwards JR, Bestor TH. FBXL10 protects Polycomb-bound genes from hypermethylation. *Nat Genet* 2015;47:479–485.
- 14. He J, Shen L, Wan M. et al. Kdm2b maintains murine embryonic stem cell status by recruiting PRC1 complex to CpG islands of developmental genes. *Nat Cell Biol* 2013;15:373–384.
- 15. Illingworth RS, Bird AP. CpG islands--"a rough guide'. FEBS Lett 2009;583:1713-1720.
- **16.** Boyer LA, Plath K, Zeitlinger J. *et al.* Polycomb complexes repress developmental regulators in murine embryonic stem cells. *Nature* 2006;**441**:349–353.

- 17. Blackledge NP, Farcas AM, Kondo T. et al. Variant PRC1 complex-dependent H2A ubiquitylation drives PRC2 recruitment and polycomb domain formation. *Cell* 2014;157:1445–1459.
- 18. Huo D, Yu Z, Li R. et al. CpG island reconfiguration for the establishment and synchronization of polycomb functions upon exit from naive pluripotency.
  Mol Cell 2022;82:1169–1185.e7.
- 19. Zhang B, Zhao C, Shen W. et al. KDM2B regulates hippocampal morphogenesis by transcriptionally silencing Wnt signaling in neural progenitors. Nat Commun 2023;14.
- 20. Gao Y, Duque-Wilckens N, Aljazi MB. et al. Impaired KDM2B-mediated PRC1 recruitment to chromatin causes defective neural stem cell self-renewal and ASD/ID-like behaviors. iScience 2022;25:103742.
- 21. Yokotsuka-Ishida S, Nakamura M, Tomiyasu Y. *et al.* Positional cloning and comprehensive mutation analysis identified a novel KDM2B mutation in a Japanese family with minor malformations, intellectual disability, and schizophrenia. *J Hum Genet* 2021;**66**:597–606.
- 22. Monies D, Abouelhoda M, AlSayed M. et al. The landscape of genetic diseases in Saudi Arabia based on the first 1000 diagnostic panels and exomes. Hum Genet 2017;136:921–939.
- 23. Girard SL, Gauthier J, Noreau A. et al. Increased exonic de novo mutation rate in individuals with schizophrenia. Nat Genet 2011;43:860–863.
- 24. Labonne JDJ, Lee KH, Iwase S. et al. An atypical 12q24.31 microdeletion implicates six genes including a histone demethylase KDM2B and a histone

- methyltransferase SETD1B in syndromic intellectual disability. *Hum Genet* 2016;**135**:757–771.
- 25. Krzyzewska IM, Maas SM, Henneman P. et al. A genome-wide DNA methylation signature for SETD1B-related syndrome. Clin Epigenetics 2019;11:156.
- 26. Chouery E, Choucair N, Abou Ghoch J. et al. Report on a patient with a 12q24.31 microdeletion inherited from an insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus father.

  Mol Syndromol 2013;4:136–142.
- 27. Wiel L, Baakman C, Gilissen D. et al. MetaDome: pPathogenicity analysis of genetic variants through aggregation of homologous human protein domains. Hum

  Mutat 2019;40:1030–1038.
- 28. Chen S, Francioli LC, Goodrich JK. et al. A genomic mutational constraint map using variation in 76,156 human genomes. *Nature* 2024;**625**:92–100.
- 29. Sun KY, Bai X, Chen S. et al. A deep catalogue of protein-coding variation in 983,578 individuals. *Nature* 2024;**631**:583–592.
- 30. Li N, Wang Y, Yang Y. et al. Description of the molecular and phenotypic spectrum of Wiedemann-Steiner syndrome in Chinese patients. *Orphanet J Rare Dis* 2018;13:178.
- 31. Landrum MJ, Lee JM, Riley GR. *et al.* ClinVar: public archive of relationships among sequence variation and human phenotype. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2014;**42**:D980–D985.
- 32. Long HK, Blackledge NP, Klose RJ. ZF-CxxC domain-containing proteins, CpG islands and the chromatin connection. *Biochem Soc Trans* 2013;**41**:727–740.

- 33. Song J, Rechkoblit O, Bestor TH. et al. Structure of DNMT1-DNA complex reveals a role for autoinhibition in maintenance DNA methylation. Science 2011;331:1036–1040.
- 34. Andricovich J, Kai Y, Peng W. et al. Histone demethylase KDM2B regulates lineage commitment in normal and malignant hematopoiesis. *J Clin Invest* 2016;126:905–920.
- 35. del Mar Lorente M, Marcos-Gutierrez C, Perez C. et al. Loss- and gain-of-function mutations show a polycomb group function for Ring1A in mice. *Development* 2000;127:5093–5100.
- 36. Suzuki M, Mizutani-Koseki Y, Fujimura YI. *et al.* Involvement of the Polycomb-group gene Ring1B in the specification of the anterior-posterior axis in mice. *Development* 2002;**129**:4171–4183.
- 37. Castiglioni S, Di Fede E, Bernardelli C. et al. KMT2A: <u>u</u>Umbrella <u>g</u>Gene for <u>m</u>Ultiple <u>d</u>Diseases. *Genes (Basel)* 2022;**13**:514.
- 38. Sobreira N, Schiettecatte F, Valle D. et al. GeneMatcher: a matching tool for connecting investigators with an interest in the same gene. Hum Mutat 2015;36:928–930.
- 39. The National Genomics Research Library v5.1, Vol. 2020. Genomics England.
- 40. Adzhubei IA, Schmidt S, Peshkin L. et al. A method and server for predicting damaging missense mutations. A method and server for predicting damaging missense mutations. Nat Methods 2010;7:248–249.
- 41. Vaser R, Adusumalli S, Ngak Leng S. et al. SIFT missense predictions for genomes. Nat Protoc 2015;11:1–9.

- **42.** Cheng J, Novati G, Pan J. et al. Accurate proteome-wide missense variant effect prediction with AlphaMissense. *Science* 2023;**381**:eadg7492.
- 43. Schwarz JM, Cooper DN, Schuelke M. et al. MutationTaster2: mutation prediction for the deep-sequencing age. Nat Methods 2014;11:361–362.
- 44. Sora V, Laspiur AO, Degn K. et al. RosettaDDGPrediction for high-throughput mutational scans: f#rom stability to binding. *Protein Sci* 2023;**32**:e4527.
- 45. Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S. et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: aA joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. Genet Med 2015;17:405–424.
- 46. Dirkx N, Weuring WJ, De Vriendt E. et al. Increased prime edit rates in KCNQ2 and SCN1A via single nicking all-in-one plasmids. BMC Biol 2023;21:156.
- 47. Blackledge NP, Zhou JC, Tolstorukov MY. et al. CpG islands recruit a histone H3 lysine 36 demethylase. *Mol Cell* 2010;**38**:179–190.

Figure 1. *KDM2B* CxxC variants. (A) Linear representation of the full-length KDM2B protein, depicting the functional domains JmjC, CxxC, PHD, F-box and LRR (Uniprot Q8NHM5). The CxxC domain is enlarged to amino acid level to show the location of the CxxC variants. The outcome of the KDM2B EpiSign testing is depicted by the color of the bar (red\_-=\_-positive; black\_-=\_-not tested). Previously published individuals are indicated with the individual numbering from the previous publication and an asterisk. (B) <u>t</u>The 3D structure of KDM2B CxxC domain (PDB: 4O64 [6]) highlighting the position of the CxxC variants. Zinc ions are shown in grey.

Alt text: Location of *KDM2B* CxxC variants shown in a schematic of the full-length KDM2B protein with the CxxC domain expanded to amino acid resolution and in the 3D structure of the CxxC domain.

Figure 2. Photographs and growth data of individuals with *KDM2B* CxxC variants. (A)

Photographs of individuals #4 and #10 at 3y5m and 4y, respectively. Individual #4 shows arched eyebrows, downslanted palpebral fissures, midface retrusion, high columnella, broad nares, large anteverted ears and pes planovalgus. Individual #10 exhibits medial flaring of the eyebrows, full upper eyelids, everted lower lip, overfolded helices, prominent antihelices, pectus carinatum, and pes planovalgus. (B) Photographs of individual #10 at 12y8m. (C) Brain axial magnetic resonance imaging of individual #7 and #8 showing enlarged lateral ventricles. (D) Growth measurements at last investigation of individuals with CxxC variants, expressed in standard deviations (SD), reveal a trend towards below-average postnatal growth.

Alt Text: Clinical photos, brain imaging, and growth data of individuals with *KDM2B* CxxC variants showing shared facial features, structural brain differences, and a trend toward below-average postnatal growth.

Figure 3. Expression of KDM2B short form (SF) and long form (LF). (a\_A+\_C) wwestern blot results of wildtype (WT) and mutant hhis-KDM2B-SF (A) and mutant hhis-KDM2B-LF (C) expression in HEK293T cells following transfection with WT or mutant hhis-KDM2B expression plasmid. Tubulin was used as a loading control, GFP as transfection control. An untransfected sample was also included (NC). (B\_+\_D) gQuantification of wwestern blot results of KDM2B-SF (B) and KDM2B-LF (D) expression revealing all variants are expressed, similar to WT (n\_=\_3 replicates). KDM2B expression was normalized to the transfection control GFP and WT KDM2B expression.

Alt Text: Western blot and quantification data show that both short and long forms of mutant KDM2B are expressed in HEK293T cells at levels comparable to wildtype.

Figure 4. CxxC variants impair DNA-binding ability. (A) EMSA results of WT or mutant CxxC protein. WT or mutant CxxC protein was incubated in increasing amounts (25, 50, 100 and 200\_µg/ml) with fluorescently labeled DNA probe containing unmethylated CpG dinucleotides. A sample without addition of protein was also included (NC). Arrows point to unbound CpG DNA. The DNA shift indicates CpG DNA is bound by protein. (B) Quantification of EMSA results (n\_=\_3). Binding curves were obtained through fitting non-linear regression hyperbola. (C\_+\_D) bear graphs of fraction bound of WT and mutant CxxC protein of 25\_µg (C) and 50\_µg (D). Statistical results of oone-wway ANOVA with Dunnett' s multiple comparisons test of mutant CxxC protein compared to WT CxxC protein are shown (ns\_=\_-p\_->\_-0.05, \*\_-=\_-p\_-<\_-0.05, \*\_-=\_-p\_-<\_-0.001, \*\*\*\*\_-=\_-p\_-<\_-0.001, \*\*\*\*\_-=\_-p\_-<\_-0.0001).

Alt Text. Electrophoretic mobility shift assay results and quantification show that KDM2B CxxC variants reduce DNA-binding ability compared to wildtype, with statistical analysis confirming significantly impaired binding at specific protein concentrations.

Table 1. Clinical and genetic data of ten previously undescribed individuals with *KDM2B* CxxC variants. More extensive data are presented in Supplemental Table S1.

	#	#	#	#	#	#6	#7	#	#9	#10
	1	2	3	4	5			8		
Sex,	F,	М	М	F,	М	F, 3y3m	M, 2y6m	М	M,	M, 4y
age	7у	,	,	Зу	,			,	1y8m	
		2	1	5	1y			1y		
		1y	5у	m				5		
								m		
KDM2	C.	C.	C.	C.	C.	c.1903_1905del, p.(Lys635del)	c.1913G_>_A,	C.	c.193	c.1946G_>_C,
В	1	1	1	1	1		p.(Gly638Asp)	1	7G_>_	p.(Arg649Pro)

t       2       3       4       4       8         9       8       1       8       3       s646         G_       G_       G_       C_       A_         >_       >_       >_       >_       >_         A,       A,       T,       G,       T,         p.       p.       p.       p.         (A       (C       (A       (C       (H	
G_       G_       G_       C_       A_         >_       >_       >_       >_         A,       A,       T,       G,       T,         A,       A,       T,       A,       p.         p.       p.       p.       p.	
>_       >_       >_       >_         A,       A,       T,       G,       T,         p.       p.       p.       p.	
A,       A,       T,       G,       T,         p.       p.       p.       p.	
p.         p.         p.         p.	
rg ys rg ys is GI	
6 6 6 6 6 9 y6	
1 1 1 2 3	
0 3 4 6 8	
GI Ty L Tr L A	
n)   r)   e   p)   e     sp	
u) u)   u)	
Inherit         D         D         D         In         De novo         D         De novo	
ance e e e h e novo	
ov ov ov ite	
Development	
GDD N Y Y Y Y Yes, mild Yes Y Yes Yes	
(HP:0 o es es es es es	
00126 , , , ,	
3)   mi   se   m	
ld ve o	
re d	
er	
at	
e       e	
ID Y Y Y U U UK UK UK Yes, mod	erate
(HP:0 es es es K K	
00124 , , ,	
9) mi se	
ld ve	
re l	

Motor	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Yes, mild	Yes	Υ	Yes	Yes
delay	0	es	es	es	es			es		
(HP:0		,								
00127		mi								
0)		ld								
Speec	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	Yes, mild	Yes	Υ	Yes	Yes
h <u>//</u>	es	es	es	es	0	ree, mild	100	es	100	100
langu	CS		CS	03						
age		, mi						, mi		
delay		ld						ld		
(HP:0		iu						iu		
00075										
0) Behav	Α	N	Α	N	U	No	No	N	UK	Suspicion of
ioural	uti		uti	0	K	NO	INO		UK	autism, temper
proble		0		U	K			0		tantrums
-	S		S							tantrums
ms	m		m							
			,							
			A D							
			Н							
			D,							
			se If-							
			m							
			uti							
			lat							
			io							
			n,							
			a							
			nx							
			iet							
			у							
Growth			,							
OFC	2.	U	=-	=-	=-	<u>-</u> -1.5	2.6	<u></u>	<u>-</u> -2	<u>-</u> -1.3
(SD)	1	K	2.	1.	0.			0.		
(55)		••	0_	0	8			2		

			<_							
			s							
			D_							
			<_							
			_							
			1.							
			3							
Height	0.		<	0.		0.2	0.4	Ξ-	0.0	<u>-</u> -0.7
(SD)	7	1.	_	7	1.	_		0.		
, ,		5	2.		8			2		
			7							
Weigh	1.	0.		0.	U	<u>-</u> -0.2	0.7		<u>_</u> -1	<u>-</u> -0.7
t to	5	9	2.	0	K			1.		
height			0_					6		
(SD)			<_							
			s							
			D_							
			<_							
			-							
			1.							
			3							
Congen	ital a	noma	lies							
Cardi	Α	N	Н	N	N	Aneurysmal atrial septum with	ASD, PDA,	Α	ASD	ASD II
ovasc	s	О	е	О	О	PFO (at day two of life), small	PFO, VSD,	s		
ular	D		ar			PDA	ventricular	D,		
	II,		t				dilatation and	V		
	at		m				bicuspid aortic	s		
	ri		ur				valve	D		
	al		m							
	se		ur							
	pt		,							
	al		in							
	а		n							
	n		ос							
	е		е							
	ur		nt							
	ys									

Note		m									
S		,									
All											
All		m									
V S D.  I ve nt ric ut arr fu nc tic no nc											
S D. I Ve III VE											
D,     ve   nt   ve   nt   ric   ul   ar   fu   nc   tio   n,   mi   ld   P   P   S   S   No   O   O   O   O   O   O   O   O   O											
Ve											
Ve   nt   ric   vi   vi   vi   vi   vi   vi   vi											
Note											
Tic											
Urage											
ar fu nc fu nc tio nc tio n, mil ld P S S No											
No											
The content of the right eye		fu									
No.		nc									
Mi		tio									
Id   P   P   S   S   S   S   S   S   S   S		n,									
P		mi									
P   S   N   N   N   N   N   N   N   N   N		ld									
Uroge N N N N N N N N N A N A N N A N N N N		Р									
Uroge N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N		Р									
nital       o <th></th> <th>s</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>		s									
Note	Uroge	N	N	N	N	N	No	Renal cysts,	Si	No	Cryptorchidism
Ophth       N       N       N       St       N       Intermittent exotropia with exotropia with opic       Macrocornea       N       No       Duane anomaly of the right eye         ogical       ol       bi       astigmatism       ol       bi       astigmatism	nital	О	О	О	О	o		asymetric	n		
Cophth   N   N   N   St   N   Intermittent exotropia with   Macrocornea   N   No   Duane anomaly								kidneys	gl		
Ophth       N       N       N       St       N       Intermittent exotropia with       Macrocornea       N       No       Duane anomaly         almol       o       as       o       ra       o       hypertropia and myopic       o       of the right eye         ogical       ol       bi       astigmatism       astigmatism									е		
Ophth       N       N       N       St       N       Intermittent exotropia with       Macrocornea       N       No       Duane anomaly         almol       o       as       o       ra       o       hypertropia and myopic       o       of the right eye         ogical       ol       bi       astigmatism       astigmatism         ri       m       m									ki		
Ophth       N       N       N       St       N       Intermittent exotropia with       Macrocornea       N       No       Duane anomaly         almol       o       as       o       ra       o       hypertropia and myopic       o       of the right eye         ogical       ol       bi       astigmatism       astigmatism         ri       m       m									d		
Ophth       N       N       N       St       N       Intermittent exotropia with       Macrocornea       N       No       Duane anomaly         almol       o       as       o       ra       o       hypertropia and myopic       o       of the right eye         ogical       ol       bi       astigmatism       astigmatism									n		
almol     o     as     o     ra     o     hypertropia and myopic     o     of the right eye       ogical     ol     bi     astigmatism       ri     m     ol     ol     ol     ol       ri     m     ol     ol     ol     ol     ol       ri     m     ol     ol     ol     ol     ol     ol       ri     m     ol     ol     ol     ol     ol     ol       ri     m     ol     ol     ol     ol     ol     ol									еу		
ogical ol bi astigmatism ac s ri m	Ophth	N	N	N	St	N	Intermittent exotropia with	Macrocornea	N	No	Duane anomaly
ac s ri m		0	as	0	ra	0	hypertropia and myopic		0		of the right eye
ri m	ogical		ol		bi		astigmatism				
			ac		s						
			ri		m						
			m		us						

		al		,						
		d		m						
		uc		yo						
		t		pi						
		st		a,						
		е		as						
		n		tig						
		os		m						
		is,		ati						
		m		s						
		yo		m						
		pi								
		а								
		1.								
		5								
		d								
		pt								
		0								
		D								
		S								
Other										
Facial	Pr	S	Si	Ar	Hi	Flat occiput and top of head,	Macroglossia	Ri	No	Medial flaring of
dysm	О	m	m	ch	g	scleral show, mild hypertelorism,	(present since	g		eyebrows, full
orphis	mi	all	pl	е	h	prominent and laterally	birth), tall	ht		upper eyelids,
m	n	е	е	d	fo	extending eyebrows, prominent	forehead,	е		everted lower lip,
	е	ar	е	еу	re	nasal tip, ear creases on lobes	infraorbital	ar		overfolded
	nt	s	ar	е	h	originate at intertragal notch,	creases,	lo		helices,
	cu	wi	s	br	е	retrognathia	midface	w		prominent
	pi	th	wi	0	а		retrusion	se		antihelices
	d" <u>·</u>	br	th	w	d,			t		
	s	0	fle	s,	а			а		
	b	а	sh	d	tri			n		
	0	d	у	0	а			d		
	w	а	lo	w	n			р		
		n	b	ns	g			os		
		d	es	la	ul			te		

			T		
fol	nt	ar		ri	
d	е	fa		or	
е	d	се		ly	
d	р	sh		ro	
h	al	or		ta	
eli	р	t		te	
Χ,	е	fla		d,	
hi	br	re		h	
g	al	d		or	
h	fis	ey		iz	
а	su	е		0	
nt	re	br		nt	
er	s,	0		al	
io	mi	W		а	
r	df	s,		nti	
h	ac	d		h	
ai	е	е		eli	
rli	re	е		х	
n	tr	p-		(R	
e,	us	se		_>_	
d	io	t		L)	
е	n,	ey		,	
е	hi	es		mi	
ps	g	,		ld	
et	h	hi		mi	
еу	со	g		cr	
es	lu	h		0	
,	m	n		g	
а	n	as		n	
n	ell	al		at	
d	a,	br		hi	
а	br	id		а	
re	О	g			
lat	а	е,			
iv	d	s			
el	n	m			
у	ar	all			
					1

		lo		es	n					
		n		,	as					
		g		а	al					
		sh		n	ri					
		а		d	d					
		р		la	g					
		е		rg	e,					
		d		е	р					
		fa		а	oi					
		се		nt	nt					
		-		ev	е					
		Bi		er	d					
		fid		te	u					
		uv		d	р					
		ul		е	р					
		а		ar	er					
				S	lip					
					,					
					as					
					У					
					m					
					m					
					et					
					ry					
					of					
					th e					
					e					
					ar					
					s					
Skelet	N	Р	Р	Р	Mi	Sandal gap	Leg length	S	Bilate	Pes planovalgus,
al and	0	es	er	es	ld	3 1	discrepancy	а	ral	valgus deformity
limbs		pl	th	pl	lef		. ,	n	feet	of the knees,
		a	es	а	t			d	posta	pectus carinatum
		n	di	n	fo			al	xial	
		ov	se	ov	ot			g	hexa	
		al	as	al	ar					

		g	e,	g	th			а	dactyl	
		us	5t	us	ro			р	у	
		,	h		gr					
		ta	fin		ур					
		р	g		os					
		er	er		is					
		in	cli		а					
		g	n		n					
		fin	0		d					
		g	d		hi					
		er	ac		р					
		s,	tyl		dy					
		joi	у,		sp					
		nt	d d		la					
		sti	ev		si					
		ff	iat		а					
		n	е		<u> </u>					
		es	d							
		s	h							
		3	all							
			uc							
			es							
Hypot	N	N	N	Υ	Υ	Yes, mild	Yes, uses	Υ	UK	No
onia	0	0	0	es	es	res, mila	ankle foot	es	OIX	110
(HP:0				CS	CS		orthosis	CS		
00125							01110313			
2)										
Feedi	N	N	Υ	N	Υ	Yes	Picky eater	Υ	UK	UK
ng	0	0	es	0	es		r long care.	es		
difficu										
Ities										
(HP:0										
01196										
8)										
Seizur	N	N	N	N	U	No	Yes	N	Yes	No
es	0	0	0	0	K			0		-
(HP:0										
(1117.0										

00125										
0)										
Brain	N	N	U	N	Ar	NA	Hydrocephalu	Pr	Arach	NA
imagi	Α	Α	K	or	ac		s/ventriculome	0	noid	
ng				m	h		galy, posterior	mi	cyst	
				al	n		fossa cyst	n		
					oi			е		
					d			nc		
					су			е		
					st			of		
					s			ex		
								tr		
								а		
								ax		
								ial		
								flu		
								id		
								sp		
								ac		
								es		
								<u>/</u>		
								<del>/</del> s		
								u		
								pr		
								at		
								e nt		
								or		
								ial		
								ve		
								nt		
								ric		
								le		
								s		
								J		

Abbreviations: ADHD, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder; ASD, atrial septal defect; dpt, diopters; GDD, global developmental delay; F, female; ID, intellectual disability; M, male; NA, not assessed;

ODS, oculus dexter et sinister; OFC, occipital frontal circumference; PDA, patent ductus arteriosus; PFO, patent foramen ovale; PPS, peripheral pulmonary stenosis; SD, standard deviation; UK, unknown; VSD, ventricular septal defect; VUS, variant of uncertain significance.

Table 2. Data of each CxxC variant, presence of the variant in gnomAD or RGC, summary of *in silico* prediction results, results from functional studies and ACMG classification. The ACMG variant scoring results are presented in Supplemental Table S2.

	R	C6	R614L	C61	C61	C6	C62	H628L	C63	D632Y	K63	G63	G63	C6	R64
	6	13		6R	6Y	16	7Y		0S		5del	8S	8D	46	9P
	1	Υ				w								Υ	
	0														
	Q														
KDM2	p.	p.(	p.(Arg	p.(C	p.(C	p.(	p.(C	p.(His	p.(C	p.(Asp	p.(L	p.(G	p.(G	p.(	p.(A
В	(	Су	614Le	ys	ys	Су	ys	628Le	ys	632Tyr	ys	ly	ly	Су	rg
varian	Α	s	u)	616	616	s	627	u)	630	)	635	638	638	s	649
t	rg	61		Arg)	Tyr)	61	Tyr)		Ser)		del)	Ser)	Asp)	64	Pro)
	6	3T				6Tr								6T	
	1	yr)				p)								yr)	
	0														
	G														
	In														
	)														
	C.	c.1	c.1841	c.18	c.18	c.1	c.18	c.1883	c.18	c.1894	c.19	c.19	c.19	c.1	c.19
	1	83	G_>_T	46	47	84	80	A_>_T	89	G_>_T	03_	12	13	93	46
	8	8		T_>_	G_>_	8	G_>_		G_>_		190	G_>_	G_>_	7	G_>_
	2	G_		С	Α	C_>	Α		С		5del	Α	Α	G_	С
	9	>_A				_G								>_A	
	G														
	_>														
	_														
	Α														
INH	D	De	De	De	De	De	De	Inherit	De	De	De	De	De	De	De
	е	no	novo	nov	nov	no	nov	ed	nov	novo	nov	nov	nov	no	nov
	n	vo		o	0	vo	0		0		0	0	0	vo	o
	0														

	0														
N =	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	3	1	1
Populat	ion c	lata													
gnom	0	0x/	0x/0	0x/0	0x/0	0x/	0x/0	0x/2x	0x/0	0x/0x	0x/0	0x/0	0x/0	0x/	0x/0
AD/R	x/	0x		x	x	0x	x		x		x	x	x	0x	x
GC	0														
	x														
In silico	path	nogeni	c predicti	on											
AM,	4/	4/4	4/4	4/4	4/4	4/4	4/4	4/4	4/4	4/4	2/2	4/4	4/4	4/4	4/4
MT,	4														
SIFT,															
PP															
Rosett	1.	0.2	Ξ-	1.65	0.11	0.2	0.38	0.26±	1.46	2.77±	Ξ-	4.37	7.05	7.4	5.55
а	5	3_	0.33_±	±_0	±_1	5_	±_0	0.57	±_0	0.23	0.03	±_1	±_0	0_	±_0
ΔΔG	8	± 1	0.30	.88	.32	± 1	.45		.43	↓DS	±0.0	.16	.65	± 0	.99
(n_=_5,	±	.48	_	<b>↓</b> DS		.19			↓DS		4	↓DS	↓DS	.96	↓DS
±SD)	0.			_								_		↓D	
,	3													S	
	9														
	Ţ														
	D														
	s														
Effect	Α	Aff	Affect	Affe	Affe	Aff	Affe	Affect	Affe	Affect	Affe	Affe	Affe	Aff	Affe
protei	ff	ect	local	ct	ct	ect	ct	local	ct	local	ct	ct	ct	ect	ct
n	е	zin	protein	zinc	zinc	zin	zinc	protein	zinc	protein	KFG	KFG	KFG	zin	DNA
struct	ct	С	structu	ion	ion	С	ion	structu	ion	structu	G	G	G	С	bindi
ure	D	ion	re			ion		re		re	moti	moti	moti	ion	ng
	N										f	f	f		
	Α														
	bi														
	n														
	di														
	n														
	g														
Functio	nal s	tudies													

N	Ро	NT	Posi	Posi	NT	Posi	NT	Posi	Positiv	Posi	Posi	NT	NT	Posi
Т	siti		tive	tive		tive		tive	е	tive	tive			tive
	ve													
N	92_	NT	101_	103_	NT	107_	NT	110_	93_±_1	102_	98_±	100_	NT	NT
Т	±_2		±_31	±_35		±_23		±_26	8/	±_11	_15/	±_22		
	5/		/126	/142		/122		/129	126_±_	/157	137_	/142		
	15		_±_1	_±_1		_±_2		_±_1	20	_±_2	±_18	_±_3		
	4_±		4	6		1		9		1		0		
	_31													
N	NT	NT	<b>\</b>	<b>\</b>	NT	1	NT	<b>\</b>	1	1	1	1	NT	NT
Т														
ACMG classification														
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
	N T N T	T siti ve  N 92_ T ±2 5/ 15 4_± _31  N NT T	T siti ve  N 92 NT  T ±2 5/ 15 4± 31  N NT NT  T Stassification	T siti ve ve sitive ve si	T       siti       tive       tive         N       92_ NT       101_ 103_         T       ±2       ±31 ±35         5/       /126 /142         15       ±1 ±1         4±       4 6         31       4         N       NT         N       NT         N       NT         N       NT         Classification	T       siti ve       tive       tive         N       92_ NT       101_ 103_ NT         T       ±2       ±31 ±35         5/ /126 /142       /142         15       ±1 ±1         4±       4 6         31       N         N       NT         N       NT         N       NT         Classification	T       siti ve       tive       tive       tive         N       92_ NT       101_ 103_ NT       107_ 107_ 107_ 107_ 107_ 107_ 107_ 107_	T       siti       tive       tive       tive       tive         N       92_       NT       101_       103_       NT       107_       NT         T       ±2       ±31       ±35       ±23       ±23       ±23       ±122       ±122       ±122       ±2       ±2       ±4       ±4       ±4       ±6       1       ±31       ±2       ±2       ±4       ±6       1       1       NT       NT	T       siti       tive       <	T       siti       tive       tive       tive       tive       tive       e         N       92       NT       101       103       NT       107       NT       110       93±1         T       ±2       ±31       ±35       ±23       ±26       8/         5/       /126       /142       /122       /129       126±         15       ±1       ±1       ±2       ±1       20         4±       4       6       1       9       9         31       N       NT       NT <td>T       siti ve       tive       tive       tive       tive       e       tive         N       92_ NT       101_ 103_ NT       107_ NT       110_ 93±1 102_         T       ±2       ±31 ±35       ±23       ±26 8/ ±11         5/ /126 /142       /122 /122       /129 126±_ /157         15       ±1 ±1 ±1       ±2 ±1 20       ±1 20         4± 4       4       6       1       9       1         331       NT       NT       NT       NT       NT       NT       NT</td> <td>T         siti ve         tive         <th< td=""><td>T siti ve tive tive tive tive tive tive e tive tiv</td><td>T       siti ve       tive       tive       tive       tive       e       tive       tive       e       tive       tive       live       live</td></th<></td>	T       siti ve       tive       tive       tive       tive       e       tive         N       92_ NT       101_ 103_ NT       107_ NT       110_ 93±1 102_         T       ±2       ±31 ±35       ±23       ±26 8/ ±11         5/ /126 /142       /122 /122       /129 126±_ /157         15       ±1 ±1 ±1       ±2 ±1 20       ±1 20         4± 4       4       6       1       9       1         331       NT       NT       NT       NT       NT       NT       NT	T         siti ve         tive         tive <th< td=""><td>T siti ve tive tive tive tive tive tive e tive tiv</td><td>T       siti ve       tive       tive       tive       tive       e       tive       tive       e       tive       tive       live       live</td></th<>	T siti ve tive tive tive tive tive tive e tive tiv	T       siti ve       tive       tive       tive       tive       e       tive       tive       e       tive       tive       live       live

Table 3. Frequency of clinical features present in the CxxC cohort  $(n_=18)$ .

FEATURES	FREQUENCY (%)
Development	
ID (HP:0001249)/learning difficulties	10/13 (77%)
Motor delay (HP:0001270)	15/18 (83%)
Speech delay (HP:0000750)	18/18 (100%)
Behavioral challenges	8/18 (44%)
Autism (HP:0000729)/autistic features	6/18 (33%)
ADHD (HP:0007018)	3/16 (19%)
Growth	
Microcephaly (HP:0000252)	4/15 (27%)
Macrocephaly (HP:0000256)	2/15 (13%)

Height≤ <u>-</u> -1 SD	6/18 (33%)
Height≥1 SD	1/18 (6%)
Weight≤1 SD	6/16 (38%)
Weight≥1 SD	1/16 (6%)
Organ system anomalies	
Congenital heart defects	14/18 (78%)
ASD (HP:0001631)	11/18 (61%)
PFO (HP:0001655)	5/18 (28%)
VSD (HP:0001629)	4/18 (22%)
Pulmonary stenosis (HP:0034350)	4/18 (22%)
PDA (HP:0001643)	4/18 (22%)
Urogenital anomalies	8/18 (44%)
Single kidney (HP:0000122)	5/18 (28%)
Opthalmological anomalies	10/18 (56%)
Myopia (HP:0000545)	4/18 (22%)
Nasolacrimal duct stenosis (HP:0007678)	3/18 (17%)
Abnormal brain imaging	5/10 (50%)
Intracranial cysts	3/10 (30%)
Ventriculomegaly (HP:0002119)	3/10 (30%)
Other	
Facial dysmorphism	17/18 (94%)
Skeletal and limbs	12/18 (67%)
Pes planovalgus (HP:0001763)	5/18 (28%)
Hypotonia (HP:0001252)	7/16 (44%)

Feeding difficulties (HP:0011968)	6/11 (55%)
Seizures (HP:0001250)	2/18 (11%)

Abbreviations: ADHD, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder; ASD, atrial septal defect; ID, intellectual disability; PDA, patent ductus arteriosus; PFO, patent foramen ovale; VSD, ventricular septal defect.

Fig. S1.

Fig. S2.

Fig. S3.

Fig. S4.

Table S1.

Table S2.