

Supersonic wave propagation in active non-Hermitian acoustic metamaterials

Kangkang Wang,¹ Felix Langfeldt,^{2,*} Chen Shen,³ Haishan Zou,¹ Sipei Zhao,⁴ Jing Lu,^{1,†} and Lea Sirota^{5,‡}

¹Key Laboratory of Modern Acoustics, MOE, Institute of Acoustics,
Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, P. R. China

²Institute of Sound and Vibration Research, University of Southampton,
University Road, Highfield, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, United Kingdom

³Department of Mechanical Engineering, Rowan University, Glassboro, NJ 08028, USA

⁴Centre for Audio, Acoustics and Vibration, Faculty of Engineering and IT,
University of Technology Sydney, Ultimo, NSW 2007, Australia

⁵School of Mechanical Engineering, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel

Obtaining a group velocity higher than the speed of sound in a waveguide is a challenging task in acoustic wave engineering. Even more challenging is to achieve this velocity increase without any intervention with the waveguide profile, such as narrowing or widening, and particularly without interfering with the passage by flexible inclusions, either passive or active. Here, we approach this problem by invoking concepts from non-Hermitian physics, and imposing them using active elements that are smoothly sealed within the waveguide wall. In a real-time feedback operation, the elements induce local pressure gain and loss, as well as non-local pressure integration couplings. We employ a dedicated balancing between the control parameters, derived from lattice theory and adjusted to the waveguide system, to drive the dynamics into a stable parity-time-symmetric regime. We demonstrate the accelerated propagation of a wave packet both numerically and experimentally in an air-filled waveguide and discuss the trade-off between stabilization and the achievable velocity increase. Our work prepares the grounds for advanced forms of wave transmission in continuous media, enabled by short and long range active couplings, created via embedded real-time feedback control.

I. INTRODUCTION

Non-Hermitian systems, where interactions and exchange of energy with the surrounding environment are allowed, have been shown to exhibit unique properties in recent years^{1,2}. Their effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonians endow exceptional properties and topologies that go beyond conventional Hermitian counterparts. A prime example is parity-time (PT) symmetry and the emergence of exceptional points (EPs), which has garnered great research interest^{3,4}. The eigenstates of these PT-symmetric systems coalesce in the parameter space, and real eigenvalues are possible with non self-adjoint Hamiltonians. Initially a purely mathematical model^{5,6}, the concept was later successfully tested in photonic systems with balanced loss and gain potentials thanks to the equivalence between the paraxial electromagnetic wave equation and the Schrödinger equation⁷. Since then, numerous PT-symmetry and EP-related effects have been discovered, which suggested new functionalities and applications by tailoring the complex energy profile of the systems under study, including single-mode lasers⁸, enhanced sensitivity⁹, unidirectional invisible cloaking^{10,11}, and so on.

In the field of acoustics and elastodynamics, researchers have studied similar concepts and revealed a plethora of intriguing phenomena^{12–18}. Because of the absence of natural gain materials, a common approach is implementing an equivalent model with only lossy or lossless media, leaving part of the parameter space untapped. Although these systems are successful in terms of constructing complex effective Hamiltonians, recent studies have suggested that certain effects can only be induced by real gain-loss modulations^{19–21}. The use of gain media introduces external energy and broadens the utility of the parameter space. Several previous attempts have implemented real gain media using different techniques, such as

electro-thermoacoustic coupling^{22,23}, background airflow²⁴, energy injection^{25,26}, or active control elements^{27,28}. Nevertheless, the non-Hermitian phenomena demonstrated so far have been focused mostly on the property of nonreciprocity, and less on the control of wave propagation velocity. In addition, the common realizations of active couplings, in particular in acoustic waveguides, involve either alternations of the waveguide geometry, or placement of the actuators in the waveguide cross-section^{27,29,30}.

In this work, we address spatially continuous media, such as acoustic waveguides, hybridized with discretely-spanned active elements, which are seamlessly embedded in the waveguide wall. We program these elements to actively control the wave propagation velocity in a plain waveguide with a uniform cross-section. This is useful for applications for which the passage of fluid through the waveguide cannot be blocked. Motivated by control schemes for purely discrete PT-symmetric media, such as lattices^{31,32}, we derive the required couplings to speed-up wave packet propagation in the waveguide. Specifically, the active units control the onsite loss and gain profiles, as well as the couplings between the units, to create an effective PT-symmetric system. Utilizing the concept of feedback-based media^{30,33–41}, we realize these couplings in a real-time closed loop process.

At the first stage, we design a theoretical model to describe the controlled waveguide. Then, we suggest an analogous discrete model of a mass-spring lattice, and derive the relation between the control parameters that lead to a group velocity increase in the lattice, and in the same time guarantee its dynamical stability. Mapping back to the waveguide system, we obtain the required control parameters therein. Despite the differences between purely discrete and hybrid continuous-discrete systems (e.g., due to time delays or near field effects), we show that a faster group velocity compared to the back-




FIG. 1. The active acoustic metamaterial model and its spectral properties. (a) The waveguide schematics. (b) Analogous lattice schematics. (c)-(f) Frequency dispersion of the periodic system. Gray and yellow - lattice real and imaginary spectrum by Eq. (6). Black, orange - waveguide real and imaginary spectrum calculated via PWE by Eqs. (C10)-(C11). Cyan - waveguide real spectrum calculated via FE. $\beta = 1$ was set in the waveguide. (c) Nominal stable, $\hat{\gamma} = \gamma = 0$, $\hat{\eta} = \eta = 1$. (d) Unstable, $\hat{\gamma} = \gamma = 0.32$, $\hat{\eta} = \eta = 1$. (e) PT-symmetry-restored stable, $\hat{\gamma} = 0.32$, $\gamma = 0.29$, $\hat{\eta} = 1.5$, $\eta = 2$. (f) Gapped stable, $\hat{\gamma} = \gamma = 0$, $\hat{\eta} = 1.5$, $\eta = 2$. (g) Sketch of the FE model setup for numerically calculating the dispersion curves.

ground medium is possible in the waveguide system with judiciously tailored feedback modulation profiles.

Owing to the central role that stability plays in actively controlled wave systems⁴², we derive the theoretical criteria for stable wave dynamics in the metamaterial so that the wave amplitude is not growing during the propagation. We confirm our theoretical predictions of obtaining a group velocity higher than the speed of sound in air by carrying out experiments in an acoustic waveguide, and discuss the limitations of the achievable stability in the actual system. Our results showcase the implementation of active acoustic wave control in combination with PT-symmetry to support stable faster-than-sound dynamic pulse transmission. The work facilitates the spatio-temporal modulation of signals with real-time tuning capabilities and may find applications in acoustic communication and more.

II. THE TARGET WAVEGUIDE MODEL

We consider an acoustic waveguide that supports propagation of sound pressure waves p in a fluid of mass density ρ_0 and bulk modulus b_0 , as illustrated in Fig. 1(a). Active elements are connected to the waveguide wall in a periodic spacing $a/2$, positioned at x_n , and facing inwards. These elements produce acoustic control velocities v_n , and are incorporated in the field equation as

$$\frac{1}{c^2} p_{tt}(x, t) = p_{xx}(x, t) + \rho_0 \beta \sum_n \dot{v}_n(t) \delta(x - x_n), \quad (1)$$

where $\delta(\cdot)$ is the Dirac delta function, and β is the ratio between the active element area S_d and the waveguide cross-section S_w . The actively controlled waveguide constitutes a hybrid continuous-discrete medium. The role of the inputs v_n is to increase the group velocity inside this medium, v_g , beyond the background speed of sound c . Motivated by control schemes for PT-symmetric lattices^{31,32}, we define A and B sites alternating with the periodicity of a . Using feedback control loops, the elements at these sites respectively induce local gain and loss defined by the parameter γ , as well as an additional coupling between each A - B pair, defined by the parameter η . We set the control inputs at these sites to

$$v_n^{A/B}(t) = \frac{\eta - 1}{\rho_0 a} \int_0^t [p_n^{B/A}(t) - p_n^{A/B}(t)] dt \pm \frac{\gamma}{z_0} p_n^{A/B}(t), \quad (2)$$

where $p_n^{A/B}(t)$ is a compact form of $p(x_n^{A/B}, t)$, and $z_0 = \rho_0 c$ is the specific acoustic impedance. In closed loop, the pressure field in the waveguide is governed by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{c^2} p_{tt}(x, t) = & p_{xx}(x, t) \\ & + \beta \sum_n \frac{\eta - 1}{a} (p_n^B(t) - p_n^A(t)) \delta(x - x_n^A) \\ & + \beta \sum_n \frac{\eta - 1}{a} (p_n^A(t) - p_n^B(t)) \delta(x - x_n^B) \\ & + \beta \frac{\gamma}{c} \sum_n [\dot{p}_n^A(t) \delta(x - x_n^A) - \dot{p}_n^B(t) \delta(x - x_n^B)]. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

It is then required to derive a relation between γ and η so that $v_g > c$, but also that the system's stability is preserved, i.e.,

that the waves propagate with non-growing amplitudes. We thus consider an auxiliary model – an analogous mass-spring lattice, which is inherently discrete, Fig. 1(b), and derive the $\gamma - \eta$ relation for this model first. Each lattice site has a single degree of freedom, the vertical displacement y . The masses M_0 and spring constants K_0 are analogous to the bulk modulus and mass density of the fluid as $M_0 = aS_w/(2b_0)$ and $K_0 = 2S_w/(\rho_0 a)$, and the site velocity $v = \dot{y}$ maps to the pressure p . Defining $\hat{\eta}K_0$ as a spring constant different to the nominal K_0 , and $\mp i\hat{\gamma}Z_0$ as an onsite viscous damper (anti-damper) connected to ground, where $Z_0 = \sqrt{M_0 K_0}$ is the mechanical impedance, the dynamics of the n -th A and B sites of the dimer lattice can be formulated as

$$\omega_0^{-2} \ddot{y}_n^{A/B} = y_{n\mp 1}^{B/A} + \hat{\eta} y_n^{B/A} - (1 + \hat{\eta}) y_n^{A/B} \pm \hat{\gamma} \omega_0^{-1} \dot{y}_n^{A/B}, \quad (4)$$

where $\omega_0 = \sqrt{K_0/M_0}$. The mapping between the γ and η couplings of the waveguide, and $\hat{\gamma}$ and $\hat{\eta}$ couplings of the lattice then takes the form (App. A)

$$\hat{\gamma} = \beta\gamma, \quad \hat{\eta} = \beta \frac{1}{2}(\eta - 1) + 1. \quad (5)$$

In order to derive the relation between $\hat{\gamma}$ and $\hat{\eta}$ for the group velocity increase, we insert the solution $[y_n^A \ y_n^B]^T = [\bar{y}^A \ \bar{y}^B]^T e^{i(kna - \omega t)}$ in Eq. (4), where k is the wavenumber, and $\bar{y}^A, \bar{y}^B$ are the respective wave amplitudes. Defining the normalized frequency $\Omega = \omega/\omega_0$, we obtain the quadratic eigenvalue problem $(\Omega^2 \mathbf{I} - \Omega i\gamma \sigma_z - \mathcal{H}_0 - (1 + \eta)\mathbf{I}) [\bar{y}^A \ \bar{y}^B]^T = \mathbf{0}$, which can be augmented to $(\Omega \mathbf{I} - \mathcal{H}) \bar{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{0}$, where $\bar{\mathbf{y}}$ is the augmented eigenvector that includes $\bar{y}^A, \bar{y}^B$, and two auxiliary states. The effective 4×4 Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I} \\ \mathcal{H}_0 + (1 + \hat{\eta})\mathbf{I} & i\hat{\gamma}\sigma_z \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{H}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f \\ f_o & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

where $f = -[\hat{\eta} + e^{-ika}]$ and σ_z is the Pauli matrix. For $\hat{\gamma} > 0$ this Hamiltonian is non-Hermitian¹. The solution of the eigenvalue problem in Eq. (6) gives the lattice dispersion relation, and consequently the required relation between $\hat{\gamma}$ and $\hat{\eta}$ for dynamical stability³². This is illustrated in Fig. 1(c)-(f). For the nominal lattice, i.e. for $\hat{\gamma} = 0$ and $\hat{\eta} = 1$, the spectrum is purely real, as expected, Fig. 1(c), where the top band is idle (due to the lattice constant folding to $a/2$). When increasing $\hat{\gamma}$, the spectrum becomes complex-valued around the band crossing point, turning it into an exceptional cut⁴, Fig. 1(d) (e.g. for $\hat{\gamma} = 0.32$). The time response at the n -th node then takes the form $y_n(t) \propto e^{\omega_I t} e^{i(kna - \omega_R t)}$, where ω_R and ω_I are the real and imaginary components of the frequency, respectively. The response thus grows unbounded, indicating the system's dynamical instability. In particular, for

$$\hat{\gamma}_{pt} = \sqrt{2} \left(\sqrt{\hat{\eta}} - 1 \right) \quad (7)$$

the lattice spectrum is restored to be purely real, as illustrated in Fig. 1(e) for $\hat{\eta} = 1.5$ and $\hat{\gamma} = 0.32$, while preserving the non-Hermiticity of the Hamiltonian in Eq. (6). This transition indicates the restoration of the PT-symmetric phase⁵. For any

$\hat{\gamma} < \hat{\gamma}_{pt}$ the spectrum remains real, albeit gapped, e.g. as shown in Fig. 1(f) for $\hat{\eta} = 1.5$ and $\hat{\gamma} = 0$, thus forming the lattice stability region in the $\hat{\gamma} - \hat{\eta}$ plane. Remarkably, the new crossing point of the dispersion curves for $\hat{\gamma} = \hat{\gamma}_{pt}$, which is an exceptional point, occurs at a higher frequency than for the nominal case, indicating an increase in group velocity. This increase is given by

$$v_g = v_0 \sqrt{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \hat{\gamma}_{pt} + 1}, \quad (8)$$

suggesting that it is possible to exceed the Hermitian group velocity v_0 , and to guarantee dynamical stability (App. B). Back to the actual waveguide, we calculate the dispersion of Eq. (3) using the plane wave expansion (PWE) method⁴³, in which a series solution of traveling harmonic waves, $p(x, t) = e^{i\omega t} P(x)$, is assumed. Here, $P(x) = \sum_{m=-M}^M e^{-i(k+m)\mathbf{b}_1 \cdot x} \mathbf{d}_1 \bar{p}_m$, $\mathbf{b}_1 = \frac{2\pi}{a} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_1$ and $\mathbf{d}_1 = a\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1$ respectively span the momentum and the real spaces, $\bar{p}_m$ is the pressure field amplitude, and M is the approximation order (App. C). Due to the continuity of the acoustic medium there is an infinite number of bands, where M defines how many of these bands are plotted. The resulting spectrum is depicted on top of the lattice spectrum in Figs. 1(c)-(f) in low frequencies for an air-filled waveguide. The waveguide cross-sectional area is assumed equal to that of the active elements, i.e. $\beta = 1$, with a spacing of $a = 5$ cm, and $M = 4$.

Fig. 1(c) depicts the spectrum of the uncontrolled waveguide. The crossing point of the main band with its folding, which occurs at $ka = \pi$, directly implies the slope of 343 m/s, which, as expected, equals c , the speed of sound in air. We then begin to increase γ while keeping $\eta = 1$. Similarly to the lattice system, we substitute $\gamma = 0.32$ and an imaginary spectrum appears, as depicted in Fig. 1(d). To eliminate the imaginary spectrum we increase η as well. Since for $\hat{\gamma}_{pt} = 0.32$ the lattice balance relation in Eq. (7) reads $\hat{\eta} = 1.5$, the mapping to the waveguide in Eq. (5) implies $\eta = 2$.

However, as the analogy between the discrete and continuous systems is valid only in the long wavelength (low frequency) regime, a discrepancy between the underlying spectra is observed at the vicinity of the crossing point $ka = \pi$ (given by $\omega = 2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\hat{\eta}}c/a$ in the lattice case). Therefore, to restore the PT-symmetry in the waveguide for a given η , the value of γ stemming from Eqs. (5) and (7) needs to be slightly modified (the particular modification value depends on η , App. A). For $\eta = 2$, the balance is then obtained for $\gamma_{pt} = 0.29$, as shown in Fig. 1(e). Decreasing γ below γ_{pt} keeps the spectrum real, albeit gapped, as in the lattice, as illustrated in Fig. 1(f) for $\eta = 2$ and $\gamma = 0$.

To confirm the results obtained via the PWE, we calculate the waveguide's frequency dispersion using finite element (FE) analysis. As shown in Fig. 1(g), the domain is modeled as two-dimensional, representing a cross-section of the waveguide with the main propagation axis x and the vertical axis y . The height of the waveguide h and the actuator length d are explicitly included in the model. The actuators are represented by velocity sources, and point probes are used at the waveguide wall opposite to the actuators (similar to the exper-




FIG. 2. Waveguide realization in a feedback control setup using current-driven electroacoustic transducers. (a) Schematic of the electrodynamic speaker. (b) The controller structure. (c) The waveguide dispersion relation obtained from PWE for $a = 5$ cm and $\beta = 0.32$, featuring the uncontrolled case $\gamma = 0, \eta = 1$ (black), and the controlled cases $\gamma = 0.16, \eta = 1.5$ (purple), $\gamma = 0.31, \eta = 2$ (green), and $\gamma = 0.60, \eta = 3$ (blue). (d) Time domain responses to a Gaussian wavepacket centered at $\omega = 2.5$ kHz, calculated via FE. (e) The normalized group velocities obtained from the time domain simulations (circles), and from the corresponding dispersion plots in panel (c) ($\omega = 2.5$ kHz— black diamonds, $\omega = 0$ kHz— black crosses), plotted on top of the theoretical expression Eq. (8) via Eq. (5) (gray). (f)–(i) Corresponding full wave FE simulations, showing the absolute value of the pressure in mPa for $\eta = 1, 1.5, 2$, and 3 , respectively, depicting decreasing wavepacket arrival times with the same initial time for increasing η values (both times are indicated by horizontal dashed green lines). The vertical white dashed lines indicate the beginning and the end of the active part of the waveguide.

imental setup described in Sec. IV) to obtain the pressures p^A and p^B . Using these pressure values, the control law given in Eq. (2) is implemented to drive the velocity sources and create the required couplings. The ends of the waveguide section of length a , representing one unit cell, are terminated using periodic boundary conditions. All other boundaries are set to be sound hard. The dispersion curves were calculated by computing the eigenvalues of the periodic system with different ka values. The FE results are depicted in Fig. 1(c)–(f) on top of the PWE results, nearly coinciding with each other.

III. CONTROLLER DESIGN

We realize the control velocity sources using electroacoustic transducers, which replace the ideal actuators in Fig. 1(g). The control setup of each unit cell then consists of two speak-

ers, which generate control velocities v_n^A and v_n^B , as well as two microphones, which measure the pressure signals p_n^A and p_n^B . Based on these measurements, the actuators create the γ and η couplings in real time. The structure of one actuator is detailed in Fig. 2(a). This is an electrodynamic loudspeaker within a closed cavity, which features a diaphragm mechanically driven by a voice coil, placed in a permanent magnetic field. At low frequencies, the loudspeaker can be approximated as a mass-spring-damper system, and the diaphragm motion at small displacements can be described in the Laplace domain by^{44,45} $Z_{mo}(s)v(s) = -S_d p(s) + Bli(s)$ and $u(s) = Z_{eb}(s)i(s) + Blv(s)$. Here, $Z_{mo}(s) = M_{ms}s + R_{ms} + \frac{1}{C_{ms}s}$ and $Z_{eb}(s) = L_e s + R_e$ are, respectively, the open circuit mechanical and the blocked electrical impedance of the loudspeaker, where M_{ms} , R_{ms} , and C_{ms} represent its moving mass, mechanical damping, and the total mechanical compliance. S_d is the effective area of the diaphragm, with p being




FIG. 3. Experimental demonstration of accelerated wave packet propagation. (a) Schematic of the experimental setup. (b) Photograph of the waveguide, comprising 8 active sites. (c) The measured waveform at microphone Mic4 relative to microphone Mic1 as a function of time for $\eta = 1$, black, $\eta = 1.5$, purple, and $\eta = 2$, green. (d) Zoom-in of the measured waveform in (c). (e) Comparison of the calculated group velocities with the measured results. Dashed - the theoretical values obtained from Eq. (8) via Eq. (5). Dotted - the measured values. Solid - the measured values adjusted to the Hermitian velocity of 343 m/s.

the total sound pressure acting on it, which includes both the incident and scattered pressure. v is the vibration velocity of the speaker diaphragm, i is the current in the voice coil, and u is the input voltage between the electrical terminals. Bl is the force factor of the speaker, where B is the magnetic field strength and l is the length of the voice coil wire in the magnetic field. R_e is the DC resistance, and L_e is the self-inductance of the voice coil. To avoid the impact of the coil inductance L_e on the system stability, we designed our loudspeakers to be driven by current sources. We set the control law in each unit cell to

$$i_n^{A/B}(s) = (G_S \pm sG_\gamma) p_n^{A/B}(s) + G_\eta [p_n^{B/A}(s) - p_n^{A/B}(s)], \quad (9)$$

which results in the acoustic velocity commands

$$v_n^{A/B}(s) = \frac{-S_d + Bl(G_S \pm sG_\gamma)}{Z_{mo}(s)} p_n^{A/B}(s) + \frac{BlG_\eta}{Z_{mo}(s)} [p_n^{B/A}(s) - p_n^{A/B}(s)]. \quad (10)$$

Comparing Eq. (10) with Eq. (2), and assuming $Z_{mo}(s) \approx M_{ms}s$ in our working frequency range (above the mechanical resonance frequency of the loudspeakers), the controller gains

take the form

$$G_S = \frac{S_d}{Bl}, \quad G_\gamma = \frac{\gamma M_{ms}}{Blz_0}, \quad G_\eta = \frac{(\eta - 1)M_{ms}}{\rho_0 a Bl}. \quad (11)$$

The structure of the control law in Eq. (9) with Eq. (11) is schematically illustrated in Fig. 2(b). The gain G_S is responsible for the cancellation of the internal physical feedback of the loudspeaker, whereas G_γ and G_η create the γ and the η couplings, respectively. We then demonstrate the performance of our controlled waveguide using a numerical experiment with $\beta = 0.32$ (to be aligned with the actual experiment, Sec. IV). We calculate the controller gains for the cases $\eta = 1.5, 2, 3$. For these values of η , we have $\hat{\eta} = 1.08, 1.16, 1.32$ by Eq. (5), then $\hat{\gamma} = 0.06, 0.11, 0.21$ by Eq. (7), and $\gamma = 0.17, 0.34, 0.66$ back by Eq. (5). Using final modification, the corresponding values of $\gamma = 0.16, 0.31, 0.60$ are obtained. The dispersion relations of the resulting closed loops, calculated at low frequencies by PWE, are depicted in Fig. 2(c), on top of the uncontrolled case $\eta = 1, \gamma = 0$. The spectrum slope increases with γ , indicating an increase in group velocity. However, due to $\beta < 1$, the achieved slope, and thus the v_g increase for the same η is less than in Fig. 1(e).

A waveguide controlled by the feedback system in Fig. 2(a)-(b) for the above combinations of γ and η was then simulated in the time domain using FE. The FE model consisted of a waveguide with four unit cells and was terminated on both

ends by absorbing boundary conditions. A low-pass filter was applied to the current control signals to minimize the generation of higher-order non-plane waves in the waveguide. The responses to a Gaussian wave packet are given in Fig. 2(d). The group velocities calculated from these responses are depicted in Fig. 2(e) on top of the theoretical expression in Eq. (8) (via the mapping in Eq. (5)), and those calculated from the dispersion curves in Fig. 2(c), both for the central frequency of the wavepacket, and zero (the dispersion slope is monotonically decreasing with the frequency). The group velocities obtained from the time domain simulations are closer to the small frequency values. The corresponding full wave FE simulations, showing the absolute value of the pressure in mPa for $\eta = 1, 1.5, 2$, and 3 , are shown in Fig. 2(f)–(i), respectively, depicting decreasing wavepacket arrival times with the same initial time for increasing η values.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL DEMONSTRATION

To confirm the group velocity increase, an active metastructure was fabricated, as shown in the schematic of Fig. 3(a), and the photograph of Fig. 3(b). The sound source is positioned at the left end of the waveguide, whereas the right end contains glass wool for sound wave absorption, sealed by a 4 mm thick resin block. The waveguide has a uniform wall thickness of 4 mm, produced via 3D printing using a resin material with a density of 1180 kg/m^3 . The waveguide has a length of 1 m and a square cross-section with dimensions of $15 \times 15 \text{ mm}^2$, corresponding to a cutoff frequency for the plane wave mode of 11467 Hz. The active metamaterial, 20 cm in total length, consists of four unit cells (8 active sites) positioned in the center of the waveguide.

Each unit cell, measuring $a = 5 \text{ cm}$, contains two identical loudspeakers on the top, and two identical microphones on the bottom. Two additional microphones, Mic1 and Mic4, are symmetrically positioned on the left and right sides of the active metamaterial, spaced 60 cm apart, to monitor the velocity of acoustic wave propagation. A controller corresponding to Fig. 2(b) was designed to regulate the output of the control sources A and B . This was realized using voltage-controlled current sources (VCCS), which convert a voltage signal into a proportional current signal with unity gain to drive the loudspeakers, as detailed in App. D. The output voltage signals of the controller, u_n^A and u_n^B , are therefore converted into current signals as $i_n^{A/B} = G u_n^{A/B}$, where $G = 1 \text{ A/V}$.

To ensure consistency between the loudspeakers and microphones, careful selections and calibrations were performed. The Thiele & Small parameters of the loudspeakers were measured using the Klippel electroacoustic test system. The following average parameters were obtained: the diaphragm mass $M_{ms} = 0.0689 \text{ g}$, the force factor $Bl = 0.606 \text{ N/A}$, the effective diaphragm area $S_d = 72 \text{ mm}^2$, leading to $\beta = 0.32$, and the resonance frequency 900 Hz. Electret condenser microphones were used as the pressure sensors. The microphone signals were amplified to ensure a sensitivity of $S_0 = 400 \text{ mV/Pa}$. The controller was designed using analog circuitry and fabricated using a printed circuit board, see App. D. Po-

tentiometers were used to adjust G_γ and G_η to achieve the desired different values of γ and η according to Eq. (11), divided by S_0 . An NI acquisition card with a sampling rate of $f_s = 200 \text{ kHz}$ was used to record the microphone signals from Mic1 and Mic4.

The signal supplied to the sound source was a Gaussian pulse modulated by a 2500 Hz sine wave. Three sets of measurements were conducted with $\eta = 1, 1.5$, and 2 , respectively, and the corresponding γ was calculated from Eqs. (5) and (7) to be $0, 0.16$, and 0.31 . The measurement results are presented in Fig. 3(c), with a close-up in Fig. 3(d). These results compare the pulse waveform over time for the Hermitian case $\gamma = 0, \eta = 1$ with the non-Hermitian cases $\eta = 1.5$ and $\eta = 2$. For higher η we observed instability in the measured responses. Setting the peak time of the pulse recorded by microphone Mic1 as 0, the peak arrival times at microphone Mic4 are advanced by $\delta_t = 0.01 \text{ ms}$ and $\delta_t = 0.02 \text{ ms}$ for $\eta = 1.5$ and $\eta = 2$, respectively. This confirms that the acoustic wave speed was increased.

The actual group velocity v_g of the sound wave in the metamaterial can be determined by the equation $\frac{l}{c} - \frac{l}{v_g} = \delta_t$, where $l = 20 \text{ cm}$ is the total length of the active part. The baseline speed c was obtained as 333 m/s by measurement at a room temperature of 20°C , i.e., $c = s/t_0$, where $s = 60 \text{ cm}$ denotes the distance between microphones Mic1 and Mic4, and $t_0 = 1.8 \text{ ms}$ is the time difference between the pulse arriving at Mic4 and Mic1 in the Hermitian case. Therefore, for the non-Hermitian cases $\eta = 1.5$ and $\eta = 2$, the sound wave velocities in the active metamaterial read 339 m/s and 345 m/s , respectively. Fig. 3(e) compares experimental and theoretical results (FE time domain of Fig. 2(d)). The discrepancy between the theoretical and experimental results is attributed to the measured baseline sound velocity $c = 333 \text{ m/s}$ being lower than the theoretically expected value of 343 m/s at room temperature. This deviation primarily stems from measurement uncertainties (e.g., misalignment between the acoustic and physical centers of microphones Mic1 and Mic4, manufacturing and assembly tolerances for the waveguide, temporal resolution of the sampled pressure signals) and thermoviscous boundary layer effects slowing down the sound velocity in the waveguide⁴⁶. Correcting to the theoretical room temperature sound speed $c = 343 \text{ m/s}$, the experimental results become $v_g = 349 \text{ m/s}$ for $\eta = 1.5$ and $v_g = 355 \text{ m/s}$ for $\eta = 2$. The corrected values, shown as the solid line in Fig. 3(e), align closely with the theoretical results.

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In this work, we suggested a mechanism to enhance the sound velocity in a waveguide by lifting up the crossing point—and thus the slope—of the underlying dispersion relation without any change to the medium properties or the waveguide geometry. Rather, the slope increase was achieved by inducing non-Hermiticity through active control elements seamlessly sealed in the waveguide wall. The idea was based on an equivalent lattice model (Fig. 1(b)), and then mapped to the waveguide system (Fig. 1(a)).

The balance between the control parameters – the local gain-loss couplings γ and the non-local couplings η that we derived for the waveguide system via the analogous lattice system, Eqs. (5) and (7), addresses the challenge of merging a spatially discrete coupling pattern into the continuous waveguide medium. The final modification of the balance due to the analogy being valid only in the long wavelength regime, was minor for small η , but still required. As a result, a real spectrum was restored in the low frequency range and the desired faster-than-sound dynamics was achieved, manifested by the group velocity growth proportional to the square root of γ . In practice, there will be a limitation to the achievable group velocity, as one cannot generate neither an infinite γ , nor an infinite η ⁴⁷.

FE and PWE simulations suggested that, theoretically, the waveguide system was stable for the achieved group velocities. In our experiment, we managed to maintain stable propagation for a velocity increase of up to 355 m/s from the nominal 343 m/s. We anticipate that this limit can be further pushed by optimizations of the control setup and algorithm. Our active coupling approach is advantageous in applications that forbid waveguide cross-section blocking, and/or require long-range coupling between multiple active cells. Compared to purely passive systems, the active approach enables the modulation profile to be easily reconfigured to more complex interactions, which could lead to new wave properties and wave-guiding capabilities.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

K. W., H. Z., and J. L. have been supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 12274221). F. L. has been partially supported by the UK's Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) through the 3rd funding call by the UK Acoustics Network Plus EP/V007866/1. C.S. acknowledges support from the National Science Foundation under Grant No. ECCS-2337069. L.S. was partially supported by the Israel Science Foundation Grants No. 2177/23 and 2876/23.

Appendix A: Mapping between the lattice and the waveguide

Here we provide derivation details of the mapping in Eq. (5). The lattice equation in Eq. (4) is the result of the inhomogeneous mass-spring system

$$\begin{cases} M_0 \ddot{y}_n^A = K_0 (y_n^B - 2y_n^A + y_{n-1}^B) + F_n^A, \\ M_0 \ddot{y}_n^B = K_0 (y_{n+1}^A - 2y_n^B + y_n^A) + F_n^B, \end{cases} \quad (\text{A1})$$

being controlled in closed loop by the input forces

$$\begin{cases} F_n^A = K_0 (\hat{\eta} - 1) [y_n^B - y_n^A] + \hat{\gamma} \sqrt{K_0 M_0} \dot{y}_n^A, \\ F_n^B = K_0 (\hat{\eta} - 1) [y_n^A - y_n^B] - \hat{\gamma} \sqrt{K_0 M_0} \dot{y}_n^B. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A2})$$

Since $M_0 \ddot{y}_n = K_0 (y_{n+1} - 2y_n + y_{n-1}) + F_n$, a general forced lattice equation, maps to the inhomogeneous acoustic waveguide equation (1), and the lattice site displacement




FIG. A1. The PT-symmetry $\gamma - \eta$ balance in the waveguide. Blue - the γ value implied by Eqs. (5) and (7). Orange - the actual γ value obtained by final modification. (a) $\beta = 1$. (b) $\beta = 0.32$.

y maps to the integral of pressure p , the lattice control inputs F in Eq. (A2) are mapped to the acoustic inputs as βv , i.e.

$$\begin{cases} v_n^A = \frac{2(\hat{\eta} - 1)}{\beta \rho_0 a} \int_0^t [p_n^B - p_n^A] dt + \frac{\hat{\gamma}}{\beta z_0} p_n^A, \\ v_n^B = \frac{2(\hat{\eta} - 1)}{\beta \rho_0 a} \int_0^t [p_n^A - p_n^B] dt - \frac{\hat{\gamma}}{\beta z_0} p_n^B. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A3})$$

Comparing Eq. (A3) with the actual acoustic inputs in Eq. (2), the mapping of Eq. (5) is straightforwardly obtained. The final modification of γ for a fixed η , which is required due to the discrepancy between the continuous and the equivalent discrete model at the crossing point, is illustrated in Fig. A1. Both for $\beta = 1$ and $\beta = 0.32$, the actual value of γ that is required to restore PT symmetry in the waveguide is slightly smaller than the value that stems from Eqs. (5) and (7).

Appendix B: Group velocity control in the lattice model

The analogous lattice model in Fig. 1(b) is represented by the augmented eigenvalue problem in Eq. (6), which reads

$$\Omega^4 - (2(1 + \hat{\eta}) - \hat{\gamma}^2) \Omega^2 + (1 + \hat{\eta})^2 - f f_o = 0. \quad (\text{B1})$$

Its solution is given by

$$\Omega^2 = \frac{1}{2} (2(1 + \hat{\eta}) - \hat{\gamma}^2) \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\delta}, \quad (\text{B2})$$

with $\delta = (2(1 + \hat{\eta}) - \hat{\gamma}^2)^2 - 4((1 + \hat{\eta})^2 - f f_o)$. The requirements for real spectrum (with minimum at $\cos ka = -1$) give the PT symmetry condition $\hat{\gamma} \leq \hat{\gamma}_{pt}$ of Eq. (7) via Eq. (5). We then obtain $(1 + \hat{\eta})^2 - f f_o = 2\hat{\eta}(1 - \cos ka)$, $\delta = 8\hat{\eta}(1 + \cos ka)$, and the dispersion relation is obtained from Eq. (B2) as

$$\Omega = \sqrt{\sqrt{2}\hat{\gamma}_{pt} + 2} \cdot \sqrt{1 \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}(1 + \cos ka)}. \quad (\text{B3})$$

In the Hermitian case, the lattice constant is $a/2$. We then use the identity $\cos ka = \cos^2 \frac{ka}{2} - \sin^2 \frac{ka}{2}$, which implies that $\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}(1 + \cos ka)$ equals $\cos \frac{ka}{2}$ with the positive branch chosen for $k \in [0, \frac{\pi}{a}]$ and the negative branch for $k \in (\frac{\pi}{a}, \frac{2\pi}{a}]$. We then rewrite Ω in Eq. (B3) as a function of the Hermitian frequency spectrum, as

$$\Omega = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\hat{\gamma} + 1} \Omega_H \quad , \quad \Omega_H = \sqrt{2} \sqrt{1 - \cos \frac{ka}{2}}. \quad (\text{B4})$$

The group velocity (normalized by ω_0) thus becomes

$$v_g = \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial k} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\hat{\gamma} + 1} \frac{\partial \Omega_H}{\partial k} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\hat{\gamma} + 1} v_0, \quad (\text{B5})$$

where v_0 is the Hermitian group velocity, given by

$$v_0 = \frac{a \sin \frac{ka}{2}}{2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{1 - \cos \frac{ka}{2}}}. \quad (\text{B6})$$

Appendix C: The Plane Wave Expansion derivation

We substitute the proposed solution $p(x, t) = e^{i\omega t} P(x)$, with $P(x) = \sum_{m=-M}^M e^{-i(k+m)\mathbf{b}_1 \cdot x \mathbf{d}_1} \bar{p}_m$, $\mathbf{b}_1 = \frac{2\pi}{a} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_1$ and $\mathbf{d}_1 = a \hat{\mathbf{e}}_1$, into the n_{th} unit cell of Eq. (3). Using a general formulation $\mathbf{r} = x \mathbf{d}_1$, $\mathbf{k} = k \mathbf{b}_1$, and $\mathbf{G} = m \mathbf{b}_1$, and transforming p_{tt}, p_t to frequency domain as $-\omega^2 p, i\omega p$, reads

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \sum_{m=-M}^M e^{-i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}) \cdot \mathbf{r}} \bar{p}_m &= \sum_{m=-M}^M |\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}|^2 e^{-i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}) \cdot \mathbf{r}} \bar{p}_m \\ &- \beta \sum_{m=-M}^M \left[\frac{\eta-1}{a} \left(e^{-i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}) \cdot \mathbf{R}_B} - e^{-i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}) \cdot \mathbf{R}_A} \right) \right. \\ &- \left. \frac{\gamma}{c} i\omega e^{-i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}) \cdot \mathbf{R}_A} \right] \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_A) \bar{p}_m \\ &- \beta \sum_{m=-M}^M \left[\frac{\eta-1}{a} \left(e^{-i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}) \cdot \mathbf{R}_A} - e^{-i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}) \cdot \mathbf{R}_B} \right) \right. \\ &+ \left. \frac{\gamma}{c} i\omega e^{-i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}) \cdot \mathbf{R}_B} \right] \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_B) \bar{p}_m, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C1})$$

where $\mathbf{R}_A = -\frac{1}{4} \mathbf{d}_1$ and $\mathbf{R}_B = \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{d}_1$ are the actuators locations measured from the center of the unit cell. Multiplying Eq. (C1) by $e^{i(\mathbf{k}+\hat{\mathbf{G}}) \cdot \mathbf{r}}$, where $\hat{\mathbf{G}} = \hat{m} \mathbf{b}_1$, gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \sum_{m=-M}^M e^{-i(\mathbf{G}-\hat{\mathbf{G}}) \cdot \mathbf{r}} \bar{p}_m &= \sum_{m=-M}^M |\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}|^2 e^{-i(\mathbf{G}-\hat{\mathbf{G}}) \cdot \mathbf{r}} \bar{p}_m \\ &- \beta \sum_{m=-M}^M e^{i(\mathbf{k}+\hat{\mathbf{G}}) \cdot \mathbf{r}} \left(\frac{\eta-1}{a} \left[e^{-i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}) \cdot \mathbf{R}_B} - e^{-i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}) \cdot \mathbf{R}_A} \right] \right. \\ &- \left. \frac{\gamma}{c} i\omega e^{-i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}) \cdot \mathbf{R}_A} \right) \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_A) \bar{p}_m \\ &- \beta \sum_{m=-M}^M e^{i(\mathbf{k}+\hat{\mathbf{G}}) \cdot \mathbf{r}} \left(\frac{\eta-1}{a} \left[e^{-i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}) \cdot \mathbf{R}_A} - e^{-i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}) \cdot \mathbf{R}_B} \right] \right. \\ &+ \left. \frac{\gamma}{c} i\omega e^{-i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}) \cdot \mathbf{R}_B} \right) \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_B) \bar{p}_m. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C2})$$

Due to the orthogonality of the Fourier series, we have

$$\int_{A_c} e^{-i(\mathbf{G}-\hat{\mathbf{G}}) \cdot \mathbf{r}} dA_c = \begin{cases} A_c, & \mathbf{G} = \hat{\mathbf{G}} \\ 0, & \mathbf{G} \neq \hat{\mathbf{G}} \end{cases}. \quad (\text{C3})$$

Also we have

$$\int_{A_c} f(\mathbf{r}) \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_\alpha) dA_c = f(\mathbf{R}_\alpha), \quad (\text{C4})$$

where $A_c = a$ is the unit cell length and the lattice constant. Integrating Eq. (C2) over a unit cell, and solving for all $\hat{m}$ in the range $-M, \dots, M$, then gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} a \mathbf{I}_N \bar{\mathbf{p}} &= \mathbf{W} a \bar{\mathbf{p}} \\ &- \beta \sum_{m=-M}^M \left(\frac{\eta-1}{a} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{R}_A - \mathbf{R}_B)} e^{i\hat{\mathbf{G}} \cdot \mathbf{R}_A} e^{-i\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{R}_B} \bar{p}_m \right. \\ &+ \left. \left[\frac{\eta-1}{a} + \frac{\gamma}{c} i\omega \right] e^{-i(\mathbf{G}-\hat{\mathbf{G}}) \cdot \mathbf{R}_A} \bar{p}_m \right) \\ &- \beta \sum_{m=-M}^M \left(\frac{\eta-1}{a} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{R}_B - \mathbf{R}_A)} e^{i\hat{\mathbf{G}} \cdot \mathbf{R}_B} e^{-i\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{R}_A} \bar{p}_m \right. \\ &+ \left. \left[\frac{\eta-1}{a} - \frac{\gamma}{c} i\omega \right] e^{-i(\mathbf{G}-\hat{\mathbf{G}}) \cdot \mathbf{R}_B} \bar{p}_m \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C5})$$

where $N = 2M + 1$ is the total number of terms in the series,

$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{pmatrix} |\mathbf{k} + \hat{\mathbf{G}}_1|^2 & & \\ & \dots & \\ & & |\mathbf{k} + \hat{\mathbf{G}}_N|^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{C6})$$

$\bar{\mathbf{p}}$ is the eigenvector of length N , $\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{R}_A = -\frac{\pi}{2}m$, and $\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{R}_B = \frac{\pi}{2}m$. Using matrix formulation, we define $\sum_{m=-M}^M e^{i\hat{\mathbf{G}} \cdot \mathbf{R}_j} e^{-i\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{R}_j} \bar{p}_m = \mathbf{E}_{jj} \bar{\mathbf{p}}$, where

$$\mathbf{E}_{jj} = e^i \left[\mathbf{G}_1 \ \mathbf{G}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{G}_N \right]^T \cdot \mathbf{R}_j \cdot e^{-i\mathbf{R}_j \cdot} \left[\mathbf{G}_1 \ \mathbf{G}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{G}_N \right], \quad (\text{C7})$$

and j and $\hat{j}$ take the according values of A and B . Eq. (C5) then takes the form of the polynomial eigenvalue problem

$$(\mathbf{q}_2 \omega^2 + \mathbf{q}_1 \omega + \mathbf{q}_0) \bar{\mathbf{p}} = 0, \quad (\text{C8})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q}_2 &= \frac{a}{c^2} \mathbf{I}_N, \\ \mathbf{q}_1 &= -\beta \frac{\gamma}{c} i (\mathbf{E}_{\hat{A}A} - \mathbf{E}_{\hat{B}B}), \\ \mathbf{q}_0 &= -a \mathbf{W} + \beta \frac{\eta-1}{a} \left(e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{R}_A - \mathbf{R}_B)} \mathbf{E}_{\hat{A}B} + \mathbf{E}_{\hat{A}A} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{R}_B - \mathbf{R}_A)} \mathbf{E}_{\hat{B}A} - \mathbf{E}_{\hat{B}B} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C9})$$

We then rewrite Eqs. (C8)-(C9) in a companion form to obtain an augmented linear eigenvalue problem

$$\omega \mathbf{P} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{v}, \quad (\text{C10})$$

where $\mathbf{v}$ is the augmented eigenvector of length $2N$, and

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{q}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{I} \\ -\mathbf{q}_0 & -\mathbf{q}_1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{C11})$$

The solution of Eqs. (C10)-(C11) gives the black and orange dispersion curves in Fig. 1(c)-(f).

Appendix D: The controller structure

The VCCS employed in our experiment, photographed in Fig. D1(a), were designed using an improved Howland current pump circuit⁴⁸. It integrates the high output of the operational amplifier ADA4870 with the fast response of the op-

erational amplifier ADA4898. The relation between the output current i_o and the input voltage u_i then becomes $i_o = \frac{R_2}{R_1 R_6} u_i + \frac{R_2 R_3 - R_1 R_4}{R_1 R_6 (R_3 + R_4)} u_o$, as illustrated in Fig. D1(b). Substituting the resistor values from Fig. D1(b) yields the output current $i_o = G u_i$, where $G = 1 \text{ A/V}$. The circuit performance was verified via Multisim simulations. The resulting controller is photographed in Fig. D1(c).

* f.langfeldt@soton.ac.uk

† lujing@nju.edu.cn

‡ leabeilkin@tauex.tau.ac.il

- ¹ Y. Ashida, Z. Gong, and M. Ueda, “Non-Hermitian physics,” *Advances in Physics*, vol. 69, no. 3, pp. 249–435, 2020.
- ² K. Ding, C. Fang, and G. Ma, “Non-Hermitian topology and exceptional-point geometries,” *Nature Reviews Physics*, vol. 4, no. 12, pp. 745–760, 2022.
- ³ R. El-Ganainy, K. G. Makris, M. Khajavikhan, Z. H. Musslimani, S. Rotter, and D. N. Christodoulides, “Non-Hermitian physics and PT symmetry,” *Nature Physics*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 11–19, 2018.
- ⁴ M.-A. Miri and A. Alu, “Exceptional points in optics and photonics,” *Science*, vol. 363, no. 6422, p. eaar7709, 2019.
- ⁵ C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, “Real spectra in non-Hermitian Hamiltonians having PT symmetry,” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 80, no. 24, p. 5243, 1998.
- ⁶ C. M. Bender, “Making sense of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians,” *Reports on Progress in Physics*, vol. 70, no. 6, p. 947, 2007.
- ⁷ H. Zhao and L. Feng, “Parity-time symmetric photonics,” *National Science Review*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 183–199, 2018.
- ⁸ L. Feng, Z. J. Wong, R.-M. Ma, Y. Wang, and X. Zhang, “Single-mode laser by parity-time symmetry breaking,” *Science*, vol. 346, no. 6212, pp. 972–975, 2014.
- ⁹ H. Hodaei, A. U. Hassan, S. Wittek, H. Garcia-Gracia, R. El-Ganainy, D. N. Christodoulides, and M. Khajavikhan, “Enhanced sensitivity at higher-order exceptional points,” *Nature*, vol. 548, no. 7666, pp. 187–191, 2017.
- ¹⁰ Z. Lin, H. Ramezani, T. Eichelkraut, T. Kottos, H. Cao, and D. N. Christodoulides, “Unidirectional invisibility induced by PT-symmetric periodic structures,” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 106, no. 21, p. 213901, 2011.
- ¹¹ D. L. Sounas, R. Fleury, and A. Alù, “Unidirectional cloaking based on metasurfaces with balanced loss and gain,” *Physical Review Applied*, vol. 4, no. 1, p. 014005, 2015.
- ¹² X. Zhu, H. Ramezani, C. Shi, J. Zhu, and X. Zhang, “PT-symmetric acoustics,” *Physical Review X*, vol. 4, no. 3, p. 031042, 2014.
- ¹³ C. Shi, M. Dubois, Y. Chen, L. Cheng, H. Ramezani, Y. Wang, and X. Zhang, “Accessing the exceptional points of parity-time symmetric acoustics,” *Nature Communications*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 11110, 2016.
- ¹⁴ T. Liu, X. Zhu, F. Chen, S. Liang, and J. Zhu, “Unidirectional wave vector manipulation in two-dimensional space with an all passive acoustic parity-time-symmetric metamaterials crystal,” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 120, no. 12, p. 124502, 2018.
- ¹⁵ X. Wang, X. Fang, D. Mao, Y. Jing, and Y. Li, “Extremely asymmetrical acoustic metasurface mirror at the exceptional point,” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 123, no. 21, p. 214302, 2019.
- ¹⁶ K. Stojanoska and C. Shen, “Non-Hermitian planar elastic metasurface for unidirectional focusing of flexural waves,” *Applied Physics Letters*, vol. 120, no. 24, 2022.

- ¹⁷ R. Cai, Y. Jin, Y. Li, J. Zhu, H. Zhu, T. Rabczuk, and X. Zhuang, “Absorption-lasing effects and exceptional points in parity-time symmetric non-Hermitian metaplates,” *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 555, p. 117710, 2023.
- ¹⁸ L. Huang, S. Huang, C. Shen, S. Yves, A. S. Pilipchuk, X. Ni, S. Kim, Y. K. Chiang, D. A. Powell, J. Zhu *et al.*, “Acoustic resonances in non-Hermitian open systems,” *Nature Reviews Physics*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 11–27, 2024.
- ¹⁹ S. Xia, D. Kaltsas, D. Song, I. Komis, J. Xu, A. Szameit, H. Buljan, K. G. Makris, and Z. Chen, “Nonlinear tuning of PT symmetry and non-Hermitian topological states,” *Science*, vol. 372, no. 6537, pp. 72–76, 2021.
- ²⁰ A. Stegmaier, S. Imhof, T. Helbig, T. Hofmann, C. H. Lee, M. Kremer, A. Fritzsche, T. Feichtner, S. Klemmt, S. Höfling *et al.*, “Topological defect engineering and PT symmetry in non-Hermitian electrical circuits,” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 126, no. 21, p. 215302, 2021.
- ²¹ Y. Li, C. Liang, C. Wang, C. Lu, and Y.-C. Liu, “Gain-loss-induced hybrid skin-topological effect,” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 128, no. 22, p. 223903, 2022.
- ²² B. Hu, Z. Zhang, H. Zhang, L. Zheng, W. Xiong, Z. Yue, X. Wang, J. Xu, Y. Cheng, X. Liu *et al.*, “Non-Hermitian topological whispering gallery,” *Nature*, vol. 597, no. 7878, pp. 655–659, 2021.
- ²³ R. Pernas-Salomón, L.-Y. Zheng, Z. Zhang, P. Gao, X. Liu, Y. Cheng, and J. Christensen, “Theory of non-Hermitian topological whispering gallery,” *npj Computational Materials*, vol. 8, no. 1, p. 241, 2022.
- ²⁴ Y. Aurégan and V. Pagneux, “PT-symmetric scattering in flow duct acoustics,” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 118, no. 17, p. 174301, 2017.
- ²⁵ J. Christensen, M. Willatzen, V. Velasco, and M.-H. Lu, “Parity-time synthetic phononic media,” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 116, no. 20, p. 207601, 2016.
- ²⁶ W.-J. Yang, Z.-Z. Yang, A.-Y. Guan, X.-Y. Zou, and J.-C. Cheng, “Design and experimental demonstration of effective acoustic gain medium for PT-symmetric refractive index,” *Applied Physics Letters*, vol. 120, no. 6, 2022.
- ²⁷ L. Zhang, Y. Yang, Y. Ge, Y.-J. Guan, Q. Chen, Q. Yan, F. Chen, R. Xi, Y. Li, D. Jia *et al.*, “Acoustic non-Hermitian skin effect from twisted winding topology,” *Nature Communications*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 1–7, 2021.
- ²⁸ K. Wang, L. Shi, H. Zou, S. Zhao, C. Shen, and J. Lu, “A broadband active sound absorber with adjustable absorption coefficient and bandwidth,” *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, vol. 156, no. 2, pp. 1048–1057, 2024.
- ²⁹ R. Fleury, D. Sounas, and A. Alu, “An invisible acoustic sensor based on parity-time symmetry,” *Nature Communications*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 5905, 2015.
- ³⁰ A. Maddi, V. Pagneux, and V. Achilleos, “Exact analog of the Hatano-Nelson model in one-dimensional continuous nonreciprocal systems,” *Physical Review Research*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. L012061, 2024.




FIG. D1. Realization of the controller of Fig. 2(b). (a),(b) Photograph and circuitry of the Voltage-Controlled Current Sources. (c) Photograph of the controller.

- ³¹ A. Szameit, M. C. Rechtsman, O. Bahat-Treidel, and M. Segev, "PT-symmetry in honeycomb photonic lattices," *Physical Review A*, vol. 84, no. 2, p. 021806, 2011.
- ³² Y. Benisty, S. Jana, and L. Sirota, "Controlled wave acceleration in PT-symmetric and inhomogeneous non-hermitian lattices," *Physical Review B*, vol. 111, no. 17, p. 174304, 2025.
- ³³ T. Hofmann, T. Helbig, C. H. Lee, M. Greiter, and R. Thomale, "Chiral voltage propagation and calibration in a topoelectrical Chern circuit," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 122, no. 24, p. 247702, 2019.
- ³⁴ M. I. Rosa and M. Ruzzene, "Dynamics and topology of non-Hermitian elastic lattices with non-local feedback control interactions," *New Journal of Physics*, vol. 22, no. 5, p. 053004, 2020.
- ³⁵ T. Helbig, T. Hofmann, S. Imhof, M. Abdelghany, T. Kiessling, L. Molenkamp, C. Lee, A. Szameit, M. Greiter, and R. Thomale, "Generalized bulk-boundary correspondence in non-Hermitian topoelectrical circuits," *Nature Physics*, vol. 16, no. 7, pp. 747–750, 2020.
- ³⁶ S. Jana and L. Sirota, "Gravitational lensing and tunneling of mechanical waves in synthetic curved spacetime," *Physical Review Research*, vol. 5, no. 3, p. 033104, 2023.
- ³⁷ P. Zhu, X.-Q. Sun, T. L. Hughes, and G. Bahl, "Higher rank chirality and non-Hermitian skin effect in a topoelectrical circuit," *Nature Communications*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 720, 2023.
- ³⁸ F. Langfeldt and J. Cheer, "Controlling the effective surface mass density of membrane-type acoustic metamaterials using dynamic actuators," *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, vol. 153, no. 2, pp. 961–961, 2023.
- ³⁹ X. Wen, H. K. Yip, C. Cho, J. Li, and N. Park, "Acoustic amplifying diode using nonreciprocal Willis coupling," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 130, no. 17, p. 176101, 2023.
- ⁴⁰ D. Halder, R. Thomale, and S. Basu, "Circuit realization of a two-orbital non-Hermitian tight-binding chain," *Physical Review B*, vol. 109, no. 11, p. 115407, 2024.
- ⁴¹ S. Jana and L. Sirota, "Invisible tunneling through non-Hermitian barriers in nonreciprocal lattices," *Physical Review B*, vol. 111, no. 10, p. L100301, 2025.
- ⁴² D. A. Kovacevich, K. Grosh, and B.-I. Popa, "Stability-derived bounds on the realizable acoustic properties of active metamaterials," *Physical Review Applied*, vol. 21, no. 5, p. L051002, 2024.
- ⁴³ R. Chaunsali, C.-W. Chen, and J. Yang, "Subwavelength and directional control of flexural waves in zone-folding induced topological plates," *Physical Review B*, vol. 97, no. 5, p. 054307, 2018.
- ⁴⁴ E. Rivet, S. Karkar, and H. Lissek, "Broadband low-frequency electroacoustic absorbers through hybrid sensor/shunt-based impedance control," *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 63–72, 2016.
- ⁴⁵ N. Geib, A. Sasmal, Z. Wang, Y. Zhai, B.-I. Popa, and K. Grosh, "Tunable nonlocal purely active nonreciprocal acoustic media," *Physical Review B*, vol. 103, no. 16, p. 165427, 2021.
- ⁴⁶ "The group velocity increase is due to the active metamaterial only, and could not be due to temperature increase or thermal expansion/contraction, as it would require a temperature of 46°C to explain, for example, the measured increase of speed of sound at $\eta = 2$. Similarly, for plastics the thermal expansion coefficient is $\approx 80 \times 10^{-6}/^\circ\text{C}$, which would require very large temperature differences that were not possible in the room."
- ⁴⁷ "For a group velocity that is achieved, guaranteeing the stability in practice might require additional control strategy, as the relation in eq. (7) is based on an idealized assumption of all the circuit components in the metamaterial controller - Fig. 2(b), having the exact same values. In practice, the components might differ from each other in some percent of their value, or their value might change during operation due to temperature increase, etc. The resulting balance will therefore be violated, leading to a possible instability."
- ⁴⁸ I. V. Lam, "Analysis of improved Howland current pump configurations," *Tex. Instrum*, 2023.