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## The Study

## - Introduction

- The attention cascade model (Shih, 2008) is a general, mathematical model of attention and working memory. It is applied here to characterize cognitive aging.


## - Method

- Task: search for two targets (T1 and T2) in rapid serial visual presentation (SOA $=100 \mathrm{~ms}$ ) T1:3,5,7,9; T2: 2, 4, 6, 8 ; Distractor: black letters Design: T1 Salience (red/black) $\times$ T2 Salience (green/black) $\times$ T1-T2 Lag
- Results


$$
\text { Older ( } n=23, M=72.6 \mathrm{yrs} \text { ) }
$$




- The older group performed worse.
- The older group exhibited greater and longer AB - a loss of performance on a later target, T2, when an earlier target, T 1 , is processed.
- Target salience improved accuracy.
- Computational accounts (Table 1)
- 96 data points [ 3 measures by 32 conditions] for each group; Measures: $P(T 1), P(T 2)$ and $P(T 2 \mid T 1)$
- 10,000 bootstrap samples are used to estimate the optimum values and $95 \%$ confidence interval.
- Using $\alpha=.05$, the two groups did not differ in
- The processing rate $(1 / \beta)$ prior to the WM stages
$\checkmark$ The width of the attention window
$\checkmark$ The capacity $C$ of the consolidation processor
- However, relative to the young, the older adults
- Suffer more masking effect of the salient (and brighter) stimulus
$\diamond$ Require a longer consolidation duration
$\checkmark$ Have greater mean and variance of the internal noise (assuming a Gaussian distribution)


## - Conclusion

- The attention cascade model relates the age differences in the $A B$ task to the sensory and working memory components. The model may be a useful tool in comparative studies.
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Descriptions of the Attention Cascade Model


- Sensory processor (SP)
- Interference may scale down the stimulus strength
- Mandatory output to LTM: output to the ACM only if bottom-up salient (e.g., with a distinct color).
- Long-term memory (LTM) traces
- Same activation level assumed for well-learned items

Preliminary representations (PRs) in the peripheral buffer

- Each PR is described by a rectangular function Width = SOA (i.e., perceptually available) Scalable height (e.g., due to masking)
Attention Control Mechanism (ACM)
- Attention window (AW) transfers PRs into the WM buffer

The AW is described by a rectangular function
$\Rightarrow$ width (interval) modulated by the task demand, etc.

- Target templates (TTs) $\equiv$ task demands, behavioral goals
- Two modes of triggering the AW

Controlled: by a top-down salient stimulus via TTs Automatic: by a bottom-up salient stimulus via the SP.

- The AW triggering time distribution is a 2nd- (automatic) or 4th-order
(controlled) gamma function
Assuming the processing time in each pre-WM stage is id as an exponential pdf with the time constant $\beta$
strength s of the input to the WM buffer
- Working memory (WM)
- WM buffer
- If $s>$ response threshold, output to the response buffer
Otherwise, hold the inputs if the CP is engaged
- Strength $s$ decays exponentially while queuing the greater the $s$, the slower the decay
- Consolidation processor (CP)
- Requires $\pi \mathrm{ms}$. Once engaged, it takes no more inputs. - Resource: $s$ is weighted according to its top-down salience subject to resource availability Grow: the weighted $s$ grows exponentially during consolidation


## - Decision

- Order: an input with greater $s$ is perceived to have occurred earlier If the final $s>$ noise, produce a correct response. Otherwise, make a guess.


Table 1. Parameter Estimates of 2.5, 50, and 97.5 Percentiles for Each Group

| Parameter | Young |  |  |  | Older |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2.5 | 50 | 97.5 |  | 2.5 | 50 | 97.5 |
| $\theta$, initial masking factor (--) | 0.49 | 0.52 | 0.55 | * | 0.41 | 0.44 | 0.48 |
| $\beta$, time constant (ms) of pre-WM stages | 9.3 | 11.0 | 12.6 |  | 11.5 | 13.8 | 15.8 |
| $w$, width of attention window (ms) | 135 | 140 | 164 |  | 141 | 145 | 194 |
| $C, \mathrm{CP}$ capacity (item per SOA unit) | 0.95 | 0.98 | 1.01 |  | 0.92 | 0.95 | 0.98 |
| $\pi, \mathrm{CP}$ duration (ms) | 580 | 590 | 635 | * | 684 | 700 | 747 |
| $\mu_{\mathrm{n}}$, mean of CP noise (ms) | 7 | 10 | 14 | * | 21 | 25 | 29 |
| $\sigma_{\mathrm{n}}$, SD of CP noise (ms) | 36 | 40 | 43 | * | 61 | 65 | 70 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | 0.83 | 0.92 | 0.95 |  | 0.79 | 0.87 | 0.91 |
| Mean (SD) $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ |  | 0.96 | (0.006) |  |  | 0.92 | (008) |

Note. CP = consolidation processor. The values for the 2.5 and 97.5 percentile respectively provide the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ confidence interval for the distribution of 10,000 bootstrap samples. The value for the 50 percentile coincides with the optimum estimate. $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ denotes the amount of variance in the data that is accounted for by the model corrected for the number of free parameters. The value of $R^{2}$ is between 0 and 1 , with 1 denoting a perfect fit. In each round of simulations, there are 1000 Monte Carlo trials for each of the 32 conditions; each condition provides three dependent measures: $P(T 1), P(T 2)$, and $P(T 2 \mid T 1)$. The Mean (SD) R2 are based on 100 rounds of Monte Carlo simulations using the optimum estimates.

